

Effect of Shapes on Concrete Filled Steel Tubes under Static Axial Load

Rajeshwari S¹, Athiq Ulla Khan², Dr.N S Kumar³

¹M.Tech Structural Engineering, GCE, Ramanagara, Karnataka, India ²Assistant Professor, Dept.of Civil Engineering, GCE, Ramanagara, Karnataka, India ³Prof. & HOD, former Director (R&D), Dept.of Civil Engineering, GCE, Ramanagara, Karnataka, India ***

Abstract- In this study, the mechanical properties of different CFST column shapes, including circular, rectangular, square, square with rounded corners, and triangular, are all analyzed and compared using the ANSYS R2 2021 software. Total deformation, equivalent stress in concrete and steel, equivalent elastic strain in concrete and steel, and mechanical characteristics of the various CFST column shapes are also compared. axial load as well as the highest load that CFST columns can support. Comparing the circular model to other models, it was discovered that it is more resilient to stress and strain and deforms less.13.648% total deformation and decreases in stress and strain of 41.66%, 25.93%, 42.06%, and 24.99% for concrete and steel, respectively.

Key words: steel tubes with concrete filling, deformation, maximum load, stress-strain, and ANSYS software.

1.INTRODUCTION

Overview of the Concrete-Filled Steel Tube (CFST) Column A type of composite structural element used in construction and engineering is the concrete-filled steel tube, or CFST. It creates a column design that is very effective and adaptable by combining the positive aspects of steel and concrete. In order to construct CFST columns, a steel tube is first placed vertically, into which pressurepoured concrete is then poured.CFST columns, which blend steel and concrete, have several benefits over conventional reinforced concrete or steel columns.Steel tubular members made of concrete that combine the The greatest qualities of steel and concrete are renowned for their exceptional performance. As a result, these tubes are appearing in large and aerial buildings more frequently. For use in all architectural aesthetic applications, circular, rectangular, and square CFST can be made in a variety of shapes.

1.1 Steel Tube With Concrete Filling

In the construction of high-rise structures, bridges, subway platforms, and barriers, concrete filled steel tubes (CFST) columns are frequently employed. In many parts of the world, CFST columns are used as composite columns. The CFST column's fundamental design principle states that when a steel tube is used as a casing outside of the concrete filling Steel and concrete working together has an impact on characteristics. They are resistant to both static and seismic forces.

1.2 Advantages of CFST column

1. High load-bearing capacity with a small cross-section dimension;

2. Strong stiffness and ductility;

3. High seismic resistance

4.Is extremely useful for restoring CFST columncontaining structures, including bridge piers and large skyscrapers, among others.

5. Extremely rigidity and ductility, and high earthquake

2. OBJECTIVES

1. To investigate the behavior of CFST columns with various forms

T



Volume: 07 Issue: 08 | August - 2023

SJIF Rating: 8.176

ISSN: 2582-3930

2. To investigate the impact of strain, deformation, and stress on various CFST column shapes.

3. To investigate the maximum stress and strain in steel and concrete using the ANSYS package

4. To examine the impact of stress, deformation, and strain on different CFST column shapes

3. METHODOLOGY

Static Structural Analysis:

1. Creating the geometric CFST model with the necessary dimensions using Design Modeller.

2. Defining the materials in engineering data, such as structural steel and concrete, with the appropriate qualities.

3. Giving the materials a name.

4. Establishing the model's preferred mesh size.

5. Identifying and determining the support or boundary conditions (fixed at the bottom and free at the top).

6. Specifying and allocating the desired pressure's magnitude.

7. Find the solution to the static structural analysis.

8. Calculate the overall deformation, the maximum elastic shear strain, and the maximum shear stress as results.

9. Total the findings.

4. MODELLING

The model description and the properties of materials are show in the table respectively.

	Type of material	Concrete	Steel			
	Density	2300 kg/m ³	7850 kg/m ³			
	Grade	M ₂₅	Fe ₃₄₅			
	Young's modulus of elasticity	s 3e+10	2e+11			
	Poisson's ratio	0.18	0.3			
Ty	pe of Geometry	Solid circular, Rectangle, Square, square with Round edge, Triangle				
Type of Structural Element		Column				
Concrete grade		M25				
Ste	el grade	Fe345				
Me	esh size	Software generated				
An	alysis type	Static Structural				

Table 4.1 Details of model

5.MODELLING PROCEDURE & ANALYSIS USING ANSYS

🞦 📴 😹 🕒 Project 🥔 AziEngineering Data 🗙														
Y Filter Engineering Data III Engineering Data Sources														
Teolbox 💌 🖡	×	X Outline of Schematic A2: Engineering Data 🗸 🗸 X												
Physical Properties	^			A		в	с		DE				11	
🚰 Density	1	1		Contents of Engineering Data	J.	0	63	s	iource		Description			а.
Isotropic Secant Coefficient of Therm.		2	Mater	rial										n i
Orthotropic Secant Coefficient of Ther		3	9	Soncrete		×	8	-	General				_	11
Orthotropic Instantaneous Coefficien Melting Temperature		4	9	Structural Steel		·		<u>م</u>	General	Fatigue Data from 1998 A Div 2, Table	a at zero mean str SME BPV Code, S 5-110.1	ess con ection 8	nes 3,	
E Linear Elastic		•	C)	lick here to add a new material										1
🔛 Isotropic Elastidly	1	Propert	es of Outlin	ne Row 1: Concrete									- I	×
Anisotropic Elastidy			A						8	с		D	Е	
Hyperelastic Experimental Data		1	1 Property					Value		Unit		8	ፍገ	
Hyperelastic		2	Material Field Variables				Table							
Chaboche Test Data		3	2 Density				2300		kg m^-3	-	m			
Plasticity		- 4	😑 🗞	Isotropic Secant Coefficient of Therma	l Expans	ion								
Creep		5	Coefficient of Thermal Expansion					1.4E-05		C^-1	-			
🖽 Life		6	6 🗄 🔀 Isotropic Elasticity											
E Strength		7	7 Derive from					Young's M	4odulu 💌					
🖽 Gasket		8	Ye	oung's Modulus					3E+10		Pa			
Viscoelastic Test Data		9	9 Poisson's Ratio				0.18							
Viscoelastic		10	Bulk Modulus			_	1.5625E	+10	Pa					
El Shape Memory Alloy		11	Shear Modulus				1.2712E	+10	Pa					
Geomechanical		12	Tensile Yield Strength 0				0		Pa	-				
Damage	13 🔀 Compressive Yield Strength 0 Pa 💌													
Cohesive Zone	Cohesive Zone 14 🔀 Tensile Ultimate Strength SE +06 Pa													
E Fracture Criteria		15	5 Compressive Ultimate Strength					4.1E+07		Pa	-		[[[[1]]	

Fig:1 Ansys Engineering Data sources

Ι

International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)

Volume: 07 Issue: 08 | August - 2023

SJIF Rating: 8.176

ISSN: 2582-3930



Fig:2 Meshed model



Fig:4 force applied



Fig:3 Applying Fixed Ends

SHAPE	TOTAL DEFORMATION(m m)			
CIRCULAR	4.6654X10^-7			
RECTANGULAR	5.0046X10^-7			
SQUARE	4.97X10^-7			
SQUARE WITH	5.0968X10^-7			
ROUND				
TRIANGULAR	5.4028X10^-7			



Fig:5 Total deformation of CFST



Fig:6 Equivalent stress at Concrete

© 2023, IJSREM | <u>www.ijsrem.com</u>



SJIF Rating: 8.176

Volume: 07 Issue: 08 | August - 2023

SHAPES	Steel				
	STRESS (N/mm^2)	STRAIN (mm/mm)			
CIRCULAR	106.67	5.335X10^-4			
RECTANGULAR	120.47	6.1343X10^-4			
SQUARE	114.77	5.859X10^-4			
SQUARE WITH ROUND EDGES	105.37	5.3621X10^-4			
TRIANGULAR	142.26	7.1131X10^-4			



Fig 7 Equivalent stress at structural steel



ISSN: 2582-3930

Fig 9: Equivalent Elastic strain at Structural steel

6. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

6.1 Deformation

Deformation's maximum values vary depending on the model.

TABLE 6.1.1: Maximum Deformation of CFST



5.60E-04

5.40E-04



Fig:8 Equivalent Elastic strain at concrete

5.20E-04 §.00E-04 Rectangi 4.80E-04 4.60E-04 square Graph 6.1.1: Graph for Total deformation 6.00E+07 circ Stress in N /mm2 4.00E+07 2.00E+07 Rec ar 0.00E+00

Circular

Graph 6.1.2 Max stress in concrete

Τ

International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)Volume: 07 Issue: 08 | August - 2023SJIF Rating: 8.176ISSN: 2582-3930

2.00E-03 Circular Rectangular 1.50E-03 1.00E-03 Square Square with 5.00E-04 Round Triangular 0.00E+00 EQUIVALENT ELASTIC STRAIN IN CONCRETE Graph 6.1.2 Max strain in concrete 1.60E+08 circular 1.40E+08 1.20E+08 Rectangular 1.00E+08 8.00E+07 Square 6.00E+07 4.00E+07 Souare with Round 2.00E+07 Triangular 0.00E+00 EQUIVALENT STRESS IN STEEL

Graph 6.1.3 Max stress in steel



Graph 6.1.	3 Max	strain	in	steel
------------	-------	--------	----	-------

SHAPES	CONCRETE		
	STRESS (N/mm^2)	STRAIN (mm/mm)	
CIRCULAR	29.418	9.8327X10^-4	
RECTANGULAR	36.109	1.2103X10^-3	
SQUARE	34.44	1.1516X10^-3	
SQUARE WITH ROUND EDGES	50.43	1.7058X10^-3	
TRIANGULAR	37.935	1.2654X10^-3	

Table 6.1.3: Maximum stress & strain in steel

Discussion of Result

A.Deformation(Δ).

According to the deformation results, the model deformation in the triangular model is 5.4028x10-7 mm as opposed to 4.6654x10-7 mm in the circular model, and the overall deformation in the triangular model has increased by almost 13.648%.

B.stress in concrete.

According to the results of the stress test on concrete, the stress in a square with round edges is approximately 50.437 N/mm2, compared to 29.418 N/mm2 for a circular model, and the stress in a square with round edges has increased by around 41.66%.

C. Stress in steel

According to the results of the stress in steel analysis, the stress in steel in a triangle is approximately 142.26 N/mm2, compared to 105.37 N/mm2 in a square with round edges, and the stress in a triangle has increased by around 25.93%.

D.strain in Concrete

According to the results of the stress in steel analysis, the stress in steel in a triangle is approximately 142.26 N/mm2, compared to 105.37 N/mm2 in a square with round edges, and the stress in a triangle has increased by around 25.93%.

E.strain in steel

According to the results of the strain in steel analysis, the strain in steel in a triangle is approximately $7.113 \times 10-4 \text{ mm/mm}$,



SJIF Rating: 8.176

ISSN: 2582-3930

compared to 5.335 x 10-4 mm/mm in a circle, and the strain in steel in a triangle has increased by around 24.99%.

7.Conclusions

1. Total maximum deformation for CFST decreases for circular model compared to triangular model.

2.Total maximum stress for CFST increases for square with round edges compared to circular model.

3.Total maximum stress for CFST increases for square with round edges compared to circular model.

4. Maximum strain for inner concrete tube in CFST circular model compared to square with round edges model.

8.REFERENCES

[1] Hua Ma, Wenjing Wang, Zhenbao Li, and Zhenyun Tang. Under axial compression, size effects were seen in circular steel tubes filled with concrete and having various diameter-to-thickness ratios. 554-567 in Engineering Structures 151 (2017).

[2] A statistical theory of material strength, by Weibull, was published in R Swedish AcadEngSciProc1939;151:1-45.

[3] Bazant ZP, Pang SD. Size influence in brittle and quasibrittle fracture based on activation energy statistics. 2007;55:91-131 J Mech Phys Solids.

[4] Sumei Zhang, Yuyin Wang, Peng Chen a, b, and c. The axial compression strength of circular CFST columns is predicted by size. 172 (2020) 106221 Journal of Constructional Steel Research

[5] David Hernández-Figueirido, Ana Piquer, and Carmen Ibaez. Axially loaded high strength CFST stub columns: shape effect. (2018) 247-256 Journal of Constructional Steel Research

[6] Liu Jin, Xiuli Du, Ping Li, and Lingling Fan. Axially loaded steel tubular columns with varying confinement coefficients: size effect. Construction Engineering 198 (2019) 109503

[7] K. W. Poh and I. D. Bennetts' Behaviour of Steel Columns at Elevated Temperatures.

[8] Size impact, Bazant ZP. 2000;37:69-80 Int J Solids Struct.

[9] Bazant ZP, Pang SD. Size influence in brittle and quasibrittle fracture based on activation energy statistics. 2007;55:91-131 J Mech Phys Solids.

[10] T. Sheehan, X.H. Dai, D. Lam, and M. Pagoulatou. Circle-shaped concrete-filled double-skin steel tubular (CFDST) stub column capacity studied using finite element analysis. 102-112 in Engineering Structures, 2014

BIOGRAPHIES

Rajeshwari S, Completed Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering from Ghousia College of Engineering, Ramanagara, Karnataka under VTU in the year 2021, Presently pursuing M.tech in Structural Engineering in Ghousia College of Engineering, Ramanagara, Karnataka, Under VTU.

Mr. Athiq Ulla Khan, completed his Bachelor degree in Civil Engineering from Ghousia College of Engineering, under VTU in the year 2012. He completed his master's degree in Structural Engineering under VTU from the same college in the year 2015. He is now pursuing his PhD at GCE Research Centre under the guidance of Prof. Dr. N S Kumar. He is working as an assistant professor in the department of civil engineering for the past 5 years. He teaches various subjects for both UG and PG students. He has successfully guided 30 students at UG and PG level. He has published 10 papers at the National and International level. His areas of interest are composite columns.

Dr. N S Kumar, Graduated in the year 1985 from Mysore University, M.E. in Structural Engineering., in the year 1988 from Bangalore University and earned his PhD from Bangalore University during the year 2006 under the guidance of Dr. N Munirudrappa, the then Chairman and Prof. UVCE, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Bangalore University. Presently, working as Prof. & HoD, Department of Civil Engineering Ghousia College of Engineering, Ramanagaram and completed 31 years of teaching. He is involved in the Research field related to the behaviour of Composite Steel Columns and Nano Materials for a decade. To his credit, over 150 publications, and travelled abroad for his research presentations including world conferences too. Also, more than 3PhD's completed and ongoing 5 are working under his guidance. Also, authored more than 8books to his credit.