Employee Participation

Simran Balana[1] Dr. Pawan Verma[2]

1.   Assistant Professor, Shri Khushal Das University, Hanumangarh
2.   Associate Professor, Shri Khushal Das University, Hanumangarh

Abstract

Employee participation refers to the process through which employees are involved in organizational decision-making rather than merely following instructions. It is an essential element of workplace empowerment. According to Newstrom and Davis, participation represents the *"mental and emotional involvement of people in group situations that encourages them to contribute to group goals and share responsibility for them."* This review paper examines employee participation in Human Resource Management and decision-making processes. It highlights the crucial role that employee participation plays in enhancing organizational effectiveness.

Introduction

Empowerment involves decentralizing authority within the organization by allowing employees at different levels to make decisions. Teamwork is a vital component of this empowerment process. Organizations encourage employee participation through methods such as suggestion boxes, feedback systems, team meetings, and reward programs for innovative ideas.

Employee participation also aligns with quality management principles, where employees take personal responsibility for ensuring that their work meets both internal and external customer expectations. Several participation models exist, including employee representation on supervisory boards, representation through separate bodies, or hybrid models agreed upon between management and employees.

Literature Review

Scholars such as Harber, Marriott, and Idrus (1991) consider employee participation a key factor in implementing new management strategies. Research shows that participation enhances job satisfaction (Harmon et al., 2003), motivation, and employee commitment (Bhatti & Qureshi, 2007).

Quality Circles (QCs), introduced widely in the UK during the 1980s, were among the early forms of employee involvement aimed at improving morale, loyalty, and productivity. Although their popularity declined over time, they influenced later participatory techniques.

Employee commitment, typically studied as affective and continuance commitment (Allen & Meyer, 1990), has been strongly linked to job satisfaction and involvement. Sonia (2010) found that higher job satisfaction leads to increased affective and normative commitment.

Human Resource Management philosophies (Armstrong, 2008) emphasize that employee participation improves organizational flexibility, productivity, and commitment. Suggestion schemes, participative leadership, communication, and shared decision-making have all been shown to contribute positively to employee performance and satisfaction.

Benefits of Employee Participation

Employee participation provides several organizational, psychological, and financial benefits:

Organizational Benefits

- Improved communication and cooperation.
- Faster problem-solving with reduced managerial workload.
- Lower operating and recruitment costs.
- Higher productivity, quality, and innovation.
- Development of future leaders within the workforce.

Employee-Related Benefits

- Higher job satisfaction and morale.
- Stronger organizational commitment.
- Increased sense of ownership and empowerment.
- Greater autonomy and motivation.
- Reduced resistance to organizational change.

Strategic Benefits

- Improved organizational reputation.
- Enhanced retention and reduced turnover.
- Better alignment with Total Quality Management (TQM) practices.

Disadvantages of Employee Participation

Despite its benefits, employee participation also presents challenges:

- Not all employees desire increased involvement, creativity, or responsibility.
- Employees may lack commitment to overall organizational success.
- Security risks may arise due to wider sharing of information.
- Decision-making becomes time-consuming, slowing organizational response.
- Conflicts or false participation may emerge among employees.
- Training employees and managers for participative roles can be costly.
- Once introduced, reducing participation levels may cause dissatisfaction.
- Cohesive groups may form pressure groups that resist change or lower productivity.

Conclusion

This review highlights the significant impact of employee participation on organizational performance. While there are both advantages and disadvantages, effective participation systems promote empowerment, democratic decision-making, creativity, and commitment within the workplace. When implemented correctly, employee participation contributes to improved productivity, stronger employee-employer relationships, and overall business success.

References

Bowen, J. R. (1986): On the Political Construction of Tradition: Gotong Royong in Indonesia. The Journal of Asian Studies, 45 (3), pp. 545-561.

Ackers, P., Marchington. M., Wilkinson, A. and Goodman, J. (1992) The Long and Winding Road: Tracking Employee Involvement at Brown's Woven Carpets. Employee Relations, Vol. 14, No. 3, MCB University Press. pp. 56–70.

Advantages & Disadvantages of Employee Involvement by Neil Kokemuller, Demand Mediahttp://smallbusiness.chron.com/advantages-disadvantages-employee-involvement-21399.html

Allender, H. (1993) Self-Directed Work Teams: How far is too far? Total Quality Management, September/October 1993. pp. 13–15.

Ardichvili, A., Page, V. et al. (2003): Motivation and Barriers to Participation in Virtual Knowledge-sharing Communities of Practice. Journal of Knowledge Management, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 64 - 77. 2.

Armstrong, M. (2009). A Hardbook of Human Resource Management Practice.10th edition. London: Kogan Page Ltd.

Brewster, C., Hegewisch, A., Holden, L. and Lockhart, T. (1992) The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland. In: Brewster, C., Hegewisch, A., Holden, L. and Lockhart, T. eds. The European Human Resource Management Guide, London, Academic Press.

British Quality Foundation. The UK Quality Award Guide to Self-Assessment. London, The British Quality Foundation, 1994.

Brownell, P. (1982): A Field Study Examination of Budgetary Participation and Locus of Control. The Accounting Review, 57 (4), pp. 766-777

Cadwallader, S., Jarvis, C. B., Bitner, M. J., Ostrom, A. L. (2010): Frontline employee motivation to participate in service innovation implementation. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 38 (2), pp. 219-239.

Cotton, J. L., Vollrath, D. A. et al. (1988): Employee Participation: Diverse Forms and Different Outcomes. The Academy of Management Review, 13, (1), pp. 8-22.

David I. Levine and George Strauss, "Employee Participation and Involvement," in Commission on Workforce Quality and Labor Market Efficiency, Investing in People: A Strategy to Address America's Workforce Crisis, background papers, vol. 2, paper 35b (Department of Labor, September 1989), pp. 1893 948.

Davis, K., Newstrom, J. W. (1997): Human Behaviour at Work: Organizational behavior. New York: McGraw-Hill Companies.

Dodi W. Irawanto "EMPLOYEE PARTICIPATION IN DECISION-MAKING: EVIDENCE FROM A STATE-OWNED ENTERPRISE IN INDONESIA"pp 160-172 https://www.efst.hr/management/Vol20No1-2015/8_Irawanto.pdf

Gibson, J., Ivanchevich, L. et al. (1992): Human Behaviour. New York: Prentice Hall.

Goodfellow, R. (1997): Indonesian Business Culture. Singapore: Butterworth-Heinemann Asia.

Han, T. S., Chiang, H. H., Chang, A. (2010): Employee participation in decision-making, psychological ownership and knowledge sharing: mediating role of organizational commitment in Taiwanese high-tech organizations. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 21 (12), pp. 2218-2233.

Harber, D., Marriot F., et al. (1991): Employee Participation in TQC: The Effect of Job Levels on Participation and Job Satisfaction. International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 8 (5)

Harmon, J., Scotti, D. J., Behson, S., Farias, G., Petzel, R., Neuman, J. H., & Keashly, L. (2003): Effects of high-involvement work systems on employee satisfaction and service costs in veterans healthcare. Journal of Healthcare Management, 48 (6), pp. 393-405.

Higgins, J. (1982): Human Relations: Concepts and Skills. New York, USA: Random House.

Holden, L. (1991) Employee Communications on the Increase. Involvement and Participation, November 1991. pp. 5–8.

Holden, L. (1994) Employee Involvement. In: Beardwell, I. and Holden, L. eds. Human Resource Management A Contemporary Perspective. London, Pitman Publishing. Ch. 14. Phillips, P. (1989): Shared Decision-making in an Age of Reform. Updating School Board Policies, 20, pp. 1-4.

Holden, L. (1996) HRM and employee involvement in Britain and Sweden: a comparative study. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, Vol. 7, February 1996. pp. 59–81.

Lawler, E. and Mohrman, S. (1985) Quality Circles After the Fad. Harvard Business Review, January-February 1985. pp. 65–71.

Mitchell, T. R. (1973): Motivation and Participation: An Integration. The Academy of Management Journal, 16 (4), pp. 670-679.

Rice, K. (1987): Empowering Teachers: A search for Professional Autonomy. San Rafael, Dominican College of San Rafael. Master thesis.

Rosidi (1999): Participation in Budgeting and Manager Achievement: The relationship between organization commitment and relevant job information. Management Department. Malang, Brawijaya University. Master thesis.

Sarsito, T. (2006): Javanese Culture as the Source of Legitimacy for Soeharto's Government. Asia Europe Journal, 4 (3), pp 447-461.

Shadur, M. A., R. Kienzle, et al. (1999): The Relationship Between Organizational Climate and Employee Perceptions of Involvement. Group & Organization Management, 24 (4), pp. 479-503.

Sirianni, C. (1995) Tavistock Institute Develops Practices of Contemporary Work Reform. Work and Empowerment (Electronic Journal), Civic Practices Network, Internet resource; http://www.cpn.org/cpn/sections/topics/work/stories-studies/tavistock_institute.html.

Sirianni, C. (1995) Union and Management Collaborate to Democratise Work at the Shell Sarnia Plant. Work and Empowerment (Electronic Journal), Civic Practices Network, Internet resource; http://www.cpn.org/cpn/sections/topics/work/stories-studies/sarnia.html.

Smith, C. and Brannick, M. (1990) A Role and Expectancy Model of Participative Decision-making: A Replication and Theoretical Extension. Journal of Organisational Behaviour, Vol. 11, No. 2. pp. 331–340.

Sodhi, J.S. et al. 1995. In Search of Participation (New Delhi, Shri Ram Centre for Industrial Relations).

Soedarsono, A. A., Murray, S. L. et al. (1988): Productivity Improvement at a High-tech State-owned Industry: An Indonesian case study of employee motivation. Engineering Management, 45 (4), pp 388-395

Sonia, J., (2010): "organizational commitment and job satisfaction: a study of employees in the information technology industry in Bangalore, India", Unpublished dissertation for Degree of Master of Philosophy, submitted to Christ University, Bangalore.

Verplanken, B., Holland, R. W. (2002): Motivated decision-making: effects of activation and self-centrality of values on choices and behavior. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 82 (3), pp. 434-447.

Vroom, V. H. (1974): Decision-making and the Leadership Process. Journal of Contemporary Business, 3 (4), pp. 47-64.

Wang, S., Noe, R. A. (2010): Knowledge sharing: A review and directions for future research. Human Resource Management Review, 20 (2), pp. 115- 131.

What Is Employee Participation & Empowerment?

Wilkinson, A., Marchington, M., Ackers, P. and Goodman, J. (1992) Total Quality Management and Employee Involvement. Human Resource Management Journal, Vol. 2, No. 4, Summer. pp. 14–15.

Wood, S.,de Menezes, L. M. (2011): High involvement management, highperformance work systems and well-being. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 22 (7), pp. 1586-1610.

Yuliani, N., Prihanto, S., et al. (2003): Belief, Organization Commitment and Empowered Team; Study in Surabaya Government Staff. Anima Indonesian Psychological Journal, 18 (3).

Zainuddin, S., Isa, R. C. (2011): The role of organizational fairness and motivation in the relationship between budget participation and managerial performance: A conceptual pap