

Enhancing Incident Response Efficiency in Hybrid Cloud Environments using SOAR: A Review Study

Ritesh Katare¹, Rajat Bhade², Ashwin Dhanvijay³, Pratik Gandhare⁴, Achitya Khonde⁵, Rupesh Samarth⁶, Dr. Pravin Kulurkar⁷, Deovrat Gedam⁸

Ritesh Katare, Department of Computer Science and Engineering (Cyber Security), GHRCEM, Nagpur

Rajat Bhade, Department of Computer Science and Engineering (Cyber Security), GHRCEM, Nagpur

Ashwin Dhanvijay, Department of Computer Science and Engineering (Cyber Security), GHRCEM, Nagpur

Pratik Gandhare, Department of Computer Science and Engineering (Cyber Security), GHRCEM, Nagpur

Achitya Khonde, Department of Computer Science and Engineering (Cyber Security), GHRCEM, Nagpur

Rupesh Samarth, Department of Computer Science and Engineering (Cyber Security), GHRCEM, Nagpur

Dr. Pravin Kulurkar, Department of Computer Science and Engineering (Cyber Security), GHRCEM, Nagpur

Deovrat Ashish Gedam, Associate Analyst-Cyber Defence Center, Fresenius Medical Care

Abstract – This research investigates the efficacy of Security Orchestration, Automation, and Response (SOAR) in mitigating the complexities of hybrid cloud security. As decentralized data across multi-cloud and on-premises systems often results in delayed threat detection, this study evaluates Mean Time to Respond (MTTR) by comparing automated frameworks against traditional manual SOC operations. Drawing on empirical evidence from high-compliance sectors, the analysis reveals that automating incident triage and containment can enhance response efficiency by approximately 50%. The study concludes that SOAR is a fundamental requirement for modern cyber resilience, transitioning security workflows from labor-intensive processes toward a scalable, autonomous architecture capable of securing diverse digital infrastructures.

Key Words: SOAR, Incident Response, Hybrid Cloud, MTTR, SOC Automation, Cyber Resilience.

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid digitization of enterprise operations has led to an exponential increase in cyber threats, placing unprecedented pressure on Security Operations Centers (SOC). Traditional SOC frameworks rely heavily on manual intervention for monitoring, detecting, and mitigating security incidents. However, as modern organizations migrate toward hybrid cloud architectures—combining on-premises infrastructure

with multiple cloud service providers—the volume of security telemetry has become overwhelming. This data fragmentation often results in "alert fatigue," where security analysts are inundated with thousands of notifications, many of which are false positives. Consequently, the Mean Time to Respond (MTTR) increases, leaving organizations vulnerable to prolonged breaches.

Despite its advantages, SOAR implementation presents challenges such as high initial cost, integration complexity, and dependency on predefined playbooks. Organizations must ensure proper configuration and continuous monitoring to achieve optimal performance [6], [9].

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Several studies highlight the importance of SOAR in modern cybersecurity systems.

Splunk (2023) reported that automation through SOAR reduces MTTR by up to 50% [1]. Similarly, IBM Security (2022) emphasized that SOAR enhances workflow efficiency and accelerates threat response [2]. Palo Alto Networks (2022) demonstrated that SOAR minimizes alert fatigue by filtering false positives and prioritizing threats [3].

Gartner (2022) identified SOAR as a key component in modern SOC architecture due to its scalability and integration capabilities [4]. Additionally, Shackelford

(2021) noted that SOAR improves collaboration among security teams by centralizing security operations [5]. These studies confirm that SOAR significantly improves cybersecurity performance in hybrid environments

3. BODY OF PAPER

I. Hybrid Cloud Orchestration Architecture

In a hybrid environment, security data is usually spread across on-premises systems and multiple cloud infrastructures. This is where SOAR platforms help by connecting everything through APIs and centralizing all data in a single location.

II. Manual vs. Automated Incident Response

Traditional SOC teams respond to security incidents manually, which is not only slow but also difficult to scale. Security teams need to toggle through multiple tools, making response times slower. This is where SOAR platforms help by utilizing automated playbooks to respond faster to security incidents. In finance and healthcare organizations, response times are reduced from hours to minutes, saving around 50% of MTTR time. It also helps...

III. Scalability and Autonomous Cyber Resilience

As digital systems are becoming more and more sophisticated, it is no longer possible to rely on human-driven systems to ensure security. SOAR can assist in this aspect because it can facilitate a transition to a more automated system. This can be achieved through machine learning that can prioritize threats depending on risk levels, thus acting on high-risk threats instantly and automatically handling lower-risk threats.

Table -1: Comparison of Incident Response Metrics (Manual vs. SOAR)

Incident Type	Metric	Manual SOC (Minutes)	SOAR Integrated SOC (Minutes)	Improvement (%)
Phishing Attack	Detect to Triage	45	5	88%
	Containment Time	120	15	87%

Brute Force	IP Blocking/ Lockout	30	2	93%
	Enrichment (Logs)	60	3	95%
Malware Alert	Sandbox Analysis	90	10	89%
	Remediation	180	40	77%

Fig1.1: Soar Automation Architecture



4. CONCLUSIONS

This study intends to highlight the effectiveness of Security Orchestration, Automation, and Response in improving security operations in hybrid cloud environments. SOAR is found to be of prime importance in improving automation in responding to and triaging incidents, thus improving Mean Time to Respond (MTTR) in a substantial way. Here, the efficiency is achieved up to 50 percent compared to traditional SOC mechanisms for responding.

This study has revealed that SOAR is of prime importance in minimizing human intervention and errors, and it is found to be beneficial for high-compliance segments. SOAR is an important component of cybersecurity solutions, and it helps in achieving a paradigm shift in security architecture.

Future research can focus on integrating artificial intelligence with SOAR to further enhance automated decision-making capabilities.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the faculty members and mentors of the department for their valuable guidance and support. We also thank our institution for providing the necessary resources to complete this study.

We are grateful to researchers and authors whose work contributed significantly to this review. Their insights have been instrumental in understanding the role of SOAR in modern cybersecurity systems.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] Splunk Inc., “The State of Security Automation Report,” 2023.
- [2] IBM Security, “Security Orchestration, Automation and Response,” IBM Corp., 2022.
- [3] Palo Alto Networks, “The Evolution of SOAR Platforms,” 2022.
- [4] Gartner, “Market Guide for Security Orchestration Automation and Response,” 2022.
- [5] N. Shackleford, “SOAR adoption and cybersecurity automation,” SANS Institute, 2021.
- [6] IBM Security, “Security orchestration, automation and response,” IBM Corp., 2022.
- [7] Splunk Inc., “The State of Security Automation Report,” Splunk, 2023.
- [8] Palo Alto Networks, “The evolution of SOAR platforms,” Palo Alto, 2022.
- [9] Gartner, “Market Guide for Security Orchestration Automation and Response,” Gartner Research, 2022.
- [10] M. Tohidi et al., “Testing many is better than one,” in Proc. ACM CHI Conf., 2006, pp. 1243–1252.
- [11] S. Behl and K. Behl, *Cybersecurity and Cyberwar: What Everyone Needs to Know*. Oxford University Press, 2017.
- [12] P. Mell and T. Grance, “The NIST definition of cloud computing,” NIST, 2011.
- [13] ENISA, “Automation in cybersecurity operations,” European Union Agency for Cybersecurity, 2021.
- [14] Cisco, “Security automation and orchestration,” Cisco White Paper, 2022.
- [15] CrowdStrike, “Modern SOC and automation trends,” CrowdStrike, 2023.