Ensuring Accuracy in AI-Driven Image Sentiment Analysis: A Functional Testing Approach

Harshit Dubey

Abstract

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in image recognition and sentiment analysis has introduced new challenges in functional testing. This paper explores the methodologies used to validate AI-generated sentiment analysis on images. It details the challenges encountered, testing strategies, step-by-step functional testing processes, and best practices to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the AI model.

This white paper can be effectively used by QA teams, developers, and product owners to select the most appropriate image sentiment analysis tool by comparing accuracy, performance, and reliability. It also serves as a reference framework to build or enhance functional testing strategies for AI-based image sentiment systems, guiding both manual and automated test planning.

Introduction

Sentiment analysis of images combines computer vision with natural language processing to assess the emotional tone conveyed by an image. It is widely used in social media monitoring, customer feedback analysis, and marketing. Ensuring the reliability and correctness of AI-generated sentiment results is critical to avoid misinterpretation and bias. This white paper outlines a structured approach to functionally testing AI sentiment analysis models, ensuring high accuracy and robustness across diverse datasets.

Challenges Encountered

- 1. **Ambiguity in Sentiments** Many images have mixed emotions or unclear emotional expressions.
- 2. **Bias in Training Data** Datasets may have inherent biases, affecting AI model performance.
- 3. **Lighting and Image Quality Variability** Poor lighting or resolution can impact sentiment classification accuracy.
- 4. **Edge Cases** Images such as abstract art or neutral facial expressions may be challenging to classify.
- 5. **Contextual Dependency** Sentiment may depend on contextual elements that AI struggles to interpret correctly.

Testing Strategy

Step-by-Step Testing Performed

- 1. Understanding System Requirements
- o Define sentiment labels: Positive, Negative, Neutral.
- o Set accuracy thresholds and confidence levels.
- o Determine expected output format (e.g., sentiment labels, probability scores).
- 2. Setting Up Test Data
- o **Balanced Dataset**: Include varied images with well-labelled sentiments.

© 2025, IJSREM | https://ijsrem.com DOI: 10.55041/IJSREM52407 | Page 1



International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)

Volume: 09 Issue: 09 | Sept - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 **ISSN: 2582-3930**

Diversity: Account for different ethnicities, lighting conditions, and settings. 0 **Test Scenarios:** Clear emotional cues (e.g., smiling, crying). Ambiguous expressions (e.g., neutral, abstract images). Edge cases (e.g., conflicting emotions, artistic images). **Functional Test Cases** 3. **Test Case 1: Positive Sentiment Recognition** *Input*: Image of a smiling person. Expected Output: "Positive" label with high confidence (>80%). Validation: Ensure correct classification and confidence level. **Test Case 2: Negative Sentiment Recognition** *Input*: Image of a crying person. Expected Output: "Negative" label with appropriate confidence. Validation: Ensure accurate detection of distress. **Test Case 3: Neutral Sentiment Recognition** *Input*: Person with a neutral expression. Expected Output: "Neutral" label with moderate confidence. Validation: Avoid misclassification as positive or negative. **Test Case 4: Edge Case Recognition** Input: Abstract art, ambiguous group photos. Expected Output: "Neutral" or "Undefined" label. Validation: Ensure AI gracefully handles uncertainty. **Comparing Outputs with Expected Results** 4. Accuracy Check: Compare AI predictions with manually labelled dataset. 0 Consistency Check: Validate results across different lighting, resolutions, and scenarios. Edge Case Handling: Assess AI response to difficult images. 0 5. **Performance Testing** Processing Speed: Measure time taken per image analysis. 0 Scalability: Test system performance with large batches of images. 0 **Stress Testing** 6. Unusual Inputs: Use distorted, low-quality, or mixed-emotion images. 0

© 2025, IJSREM | https://ijsrem.com DOI: 10.55041/IJSREM52407 | Page 2

Boundary Conditions: Test with extreme cases like highly filtered or artistic images.

Error Handling: Ensure AI doesn't crash and properly flags unclassifiable images.



ISSN: 2582-3930

Learned & Best Practices

Lessons Learned

- Diversity in Training Data is Essential 1.
- 2. Confidence Scores Must be Interpreted Carefully
- 3. Edge Cases Require Special Handling
- 4. Continuous Model Improvement is Necessary

Best Practices

- 1. Use a Diverse and Representative Dataset
- 2. Benchmark Model Performance against Human-Labelled Data
- 3. Implement Error Handling Mechanisms
- 4. Regularly Update the Model
- 5. Automate Functional Testing Where Possible

Sample Comparative Sentiment Analysis Results

Image ID Manual Sentiment Tool A Sentiment Tool B Sentiment Tool C Sentiment

IMG001	Positive	Positive (85%)	Positive (90%)	Positive (88%)
IMG002	Negative	Negative (70%)	Negative (75%)	Neutral (60%)
IMG003	Neutral	Neutral (65%)	Positive (60%)	Neutral (70%)
IMG004	Neutral (Art)	Undefined	Neutral (50%)	Undefined
IMG005	Mixed Emotion	Positive (60%)	Negative (55%)	Neutral (50%)

Note: Percentages represent confidence levels.

Conclusion

AI models that analyse emotions in images can be very useful, but they will only work well if they are carefully tested to make sure they give accurate and reliable results. By employing a structured testing methodology, ensuring diverse test coverage, and addressing edge cases, AI models can deliver more reliable sentiment predictions. The outlined approach enhances AI robustness, reduces biases, and improves real-world applicability in sentiment-based image analysis applications. This white paper can serve as a reference point for AI tool.

© 2025, IJSREM https://ijsrem.com DOI: 10.55041/IJSREM52407 Page 3