

Ergonomic and Structural Design of Indian Railway Coach Seat (LHB Type)

Omkar S. Todkar , Suraj B. Khande , Pratik S. Lohar., Prof. Ashwin S. Kamble

Department of Mechanical Engineering, JSPM's Narhe Technical Campus, Narhe, Pune 411041, India

Affiliated with Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune, India

Abstract — This document expresses the mandatory format and appearance of an essay prepared for IJSREM e-journals. The layout configurations directly affect human posture, dynamic stability, and muscle strain during long-distance transits. This research paper provides an extensive, multi-disciplinary investigation into the holistic structural optimization, passenger comfort enhancement, and ergonomic refinement of Linke Hofmann Busch (LHB) railway passenger seating and berth architectures. Using detailed anthropometric data from the Indian passenger demographic, an inclusive geometrical domain was modeled in SolidWorks to maximize anatomical compatibility. Finite Element Method (FEM) simulations using linear elastic isotropic models were conducted to observe stress distributions, resultant displacements, and equivalent strains under static payload distributions. Advanced modifications replacing baseline structural mild steel frames with High-Strength Low-Alloy (HSLA) steel profiles and implementing triple-layer hybrid polyurethane cushioning matrices were evaluated. The numerical results confirm that peak localized stress limits comply safely with designated material yield bounds, multiplying structural safe factors while achieving clear structural weight optimization. The output provides a robust framework for implementing human-centered engineering standards across Indian Railways' long-distance coaching networks.

Key Words: Railway Ergonomics, LHB Coach, SolidWorks Modeling, Finite Element Analysis (FEA), HSLA Steel, Hybrid Multi-Layer Foam.

1. INTRODUCTION

The comfort and safety of passengers are among the most important aspects of railway transportation design. In India, the Indian Railways serves as one of the largest public transport systems in the world, carrying millions of passengers daily over long distances. To meet the growing demand for speed, comfort, and safety, the Indian Railways has gradually shifted from conventional ICF (Integral Coach Factory) coaches to modern LHB (Linke Hofmann Busch) coaches. LHB coaches, developed using German technology, are known for their lightweight stainless-steel construction, better riding comfort, enhanced safety, and higher speed potential. However, one of the key factors influencing passenger satisfaction within these coaches is the design of the seating system. The seat design directly affects passenger posture, comfort level, and fatigue during travel.

An ergonomically poor seat can cause discomfort, muscle strain, and dissatisfaction, especially during long journeys. Therefore, ergonomic design plays a crucial role in ensuring that the seat dimensions, backrest angles, cushioning, and legroom are optimized to suit the majority of passengers. Simultaneously, the structural design must ensure that the seat has adequate strength and stiffness to withstand static and dynamic loads during train operations, including vibrations, sudden braking, and passenger impact. This project aims to study, analyze, and redesign the LHB coach seat based on ergonomic and structural considerations. The approach involves the collection of dimensional data, analysis of existing seat configurations, and the development of a 3D CAD model using software such as SolidWorks.

Finite Element Analysis (FEA) is then performed to evaluate the structural performance of the proposed seat design under realistic loading conditions. The main objective is to achieve an optimal balance between ergonomics, material utilization, and structural integrity. The redesigned model is expected to improve passenger comfort, reduce fatigue,

and enhance durability without increasing manufacturing cost or weight significantly. The study also emphasizes the importance of incorporating human factors and modern design tools in railway coach seat development. Thus, this project contributes to the modernization efforts of Indian Railways by promoting safe, comfortable, and efficient seating systems suitable for future high-speed and long-distance operations.

2. OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE

The primary technical objectives established for this engineering intervention focus on resolving the performance shortfalls identified in current operational seating assets. The core research goals include:

- To design a passenger seat suitable for LHB coaches with improved comfort and safety parameters.
- To systematically analyze and compare materials suitable for large-scale production and structural longevity.
- To ensure comprehensive ergonomic design matching the anthropometric profiles of Indian passengers.
- To optimize the existing structural frames in terms of load-bearing strength, minimizing localized high-stress accumulation, and overall weight reduction.

The overall scope of work for this project spans multiple structural and design phases:

1. Data Collection: Studying existing LHB coach seat dimensions, passenger posture variations, and relevant anthropometric datasets.
2. Design Development: Creating an improved seat design focusing on structural stability and targeted anatomical support.
3. Ergonomic Analysis: Evaluating seat design parameters such as floor height, backrest angle, cushion thickness, and lumbar support curvature.
4. Structural Evaluation: Assessing load-bearing capacity and frame deformation under extreme static and operational load combinations.
5. Material Selection: Choosing suitable materials to balance mechanical strength, corrosion protection, and weight management.
6. Manufacturing Feasibility: Recommending fabrication and assembly workflows suitable for mass production lines.
7. Validation and Recommendations: Comparing the proposed design configuration against existing configurations to confirm structural and ergonomic advantages.

3. METHODOLOGY

The systematic engineering approach used in this project follows a multi-stage workflow, ensuring that every step can be verified and traced back to design criteria:

- Step 1: Problem Identification - Operational LHB coach seating components were inspected at the maintenance depot to identify recurring issues with seat pan sagging, cushion compression, and high-stress points on the support brackets.
- Step 2: Anthropometric Data Collection - Sizing data from regional anthropometric databases were compiled to define the anatomical boundaries for seat width, backrest envelope, and thigh clearance.
- Step 3: Geometrical Modeling - Using the derived dimensional bounds, a highly accurate 3D solid model assembly was created within SolidWorks, separating the frame, cushioning, and brackets.
- Step 4: Finite Element Analysis Setup - The assembly model was imported into an evaluation environment, where linear elastic isotropic material definitions, curvature-based meshing, and real-world boundary constraints were applied.
- Step 5: Structural Optimization and Comparison - Performance data from simulations comparing conventional mild steel with high-strength alloy configurations were analyzed to maximize load-bearing safety parameters.
- Step 6: Field Validation and Documentation - The simulated model performance was verified against maintenance data gathered during on-site inspections at GICC Central Railway Depot in Pune.

4. BODY OF PAPER

4.1 GEOMETRICAL DESIGN AND ANTHROPOMETRIC SPECIFICATIONS

To ensure that the seating geometry accommodates a wide range of the passenger population, physical dimensions were derived directly from anthropometric data. The designed layout establishes an optimal balance between maximum passenger capacity and acceptable body posture alignment. The specific design dimensions used to build the SolidWorks CAD assembly are detailed in Table 1 below:

Table -1: Geometrical Specification Matrix

Design Parameter	Baseline Dimension / Specification
Seat Height (Floor Clearance)	450 mm – 480 mm
Seating Segment Width	450 mm (Per Passenger Space)
Cushion Profile Depth	480 mm
Backrest Vertical Envelope	550 mm
Seat Pitch Configuration Range	890 mm – 1020 mm
Armrest Vertical Clearance	200 mm – 250 mm
Backrest Reclining Angular Vector	100° – 115°
Primary Cushion Layer Thickness	80 mm

The baseline seat frame geometry integrates a contoured backrest profile to provide support to the human lumbar and thigh regions. The 105-degree backrest angle lowers intervertebral disc pressure compared to standard vertical seats. Furthermore, the 450 mm width allocation per passenger ensures individual space margins while maintaining the standard corridor clearance widths required by railway safety regulations.

4.2. MATERIALS AND STRUCTURAL ENHANCEMENT

To achieve a robust structural design while reducing weight, this optimization replaces conventional low-carbon mild steel frames with High-Strength Low-Alloy (HSLA) steel profiles. HSLA steel incorporates minor fractions of alloying elements, including niobium (Nb), vanadium (V), and titanium (Ti). These elements refine the grain structure and introduce precipitation hardening, which significantly improves mechanical properties and corrosion resistance without increasing structural weight.

The chemical composition is restricted to low carbon limits (0.05% to 0.25%) to ensure excellent weldability and formability during structural fabrication. The material properties comparing conventional mild steel with optimized HSLA structures are summarized in Table 2 below:

Table -2: Frame Material Property and Cost Comparison Matrix

Material Designation	Yield Strength	Weight Footprint	Corrosion Resistance	Approx Cost (₹/kg)	Recommended Layout Use
Mild Steel (MS - Baseline)	250 MPa	High Baseline	Poor / High Creep	60 – 80	Current secondary bracing
HSLA Steel (Optimized)	450 - 550 MPa	Medium / Optimized	Superior	90 – 120	Main load-bearing frame

The structural analysis confirms that the increased yield strength of HSLA steel allows for thinner frame profiles. This modification achieves a significant reduction in structural deadweight while increasing overall load capacity. The superior corrosion resistance also minimizes structural oxidation caused by environmental moisture and cleaning workflows, reducing maintenance costs over the component life cycle.

4.3. DESIGN ANALYSIS AND SIMULATION

To analyze stress concentrations and deformation characteristics under peak load cases, a detailed static simulation was built within SolidWorks Simulation. The 3D CAD assembly includes structural definitions for the backrest frame, seat base, supporting pins, and wall-mounting brackets. The model was evaluated using a high-quality solid mesh to ensure numerical accuracy. The specific configuration parameters used in the simulation are detailed below:

- Analysis Environment and Type: Static Nodal Solid Solution
- Mesh Quality Definition: Blended Curvature-Based High-Order Element Mesh
- Total Model Nodes Generated: 31,276 Nodes
- Total Model Elements Generated: 15,660 Elements
- Jacobian Points check for Quality: 16-Point Distribution Mapping
- Maximum Element Size Bound: 64.139 mm | Minimum Element Size Bound: 3.206 mm
- Baseline Model Material: AISI 1020 / High Strength Steel Alloy
- Material Modulus of Elasticity (E): 2.0×10^{11} N/m² | Poisson's Ratio (ν): 0.29
- Material Mass Density (ρ): 7,900 kg/m³
- Reference Failure Yield Strength Ceiling: 3.51571×10^8 N/m²
- Reference Ultimate Tensile Strength Ceiling: 4.20507×10^8 N/m²

Boundary constraints were configured by setting a completely fixed geometry condition ('Fixed-1') across the rear face of the mounting bracket assembly. This arrangement simulates a rigid structural connection to the main car body structure. A uniform vertical normal force vector of 3,000 N was applied across the upper horizontal seat pan faces, simulating a heavy passenger load with a high safety margin. The computed loading results are summarized in Table 3 below:

Table -3: Reaction Force and Moment Structural Balance

Boundary Selection Set	Force Vector component	X-Axis Value	Y-Axis Value	Z-Axis Value	Resultant Vector Magnitude
Entire Assembly Model Boundary	Reaction Force Vector (N)	24.1625	22,881.8	2,461.37	23,013.8
Entire Assembly Model Boundary	Reaction Moment (N.m)	1.44765	0.00836	-0.03327	1.44806
Free Body Force Check Verification	Free Body Force (N)	-0.00239	0.00148	-0.00183	0.00335
Free Body Moment Check Verification	Free Body Moment (N.m)	1.40311	0.01469	-0.03218	1.40355

The stress distribution solutions reveal a maximum localized von Mises stress concentration of 4.396e08 N/m², occurring around the highly constrained junction zones of Node 19233 near the support bracket. While this peak localized stress slightly exceeds the baseline yield strength of lower-grade mild steel, implementing optimized HSLA options with yield boundaries up to 550 MPa ensures a safe structural performance margin. The maximum displacement was restricted to 2.902e-02 mm at Node 14116, confirming high frame stiffness under peak payload distributions. The peak equivalent strain value was recorded at 1.784e-03 at Element 10250, demonstrating a highly stable structural response.

4.4. ERGONOMIC CUSHIONING IMPROVEMENTS

To resolve issues with cushion sagging and passenger fatigue during long journeys, this design replaces conventional single-layer polyurethane foam pads with a three-layer hybrid cushion matrix. The multi-layer configuration optimizes pressure distribution across the human-seat interface, preventing localized pressure hotspots. The specific design features of the three-layer hybrid cushion structure are detailed below:

1. Surface Layer: A 20 mm high-resilience memory foam layer that conforms to individual body contours, maximizing the contact area and reducing peak pressure concentrations on the lower back and thighs.
2. Intermediate Layer: A 40 mm high-density flexible polyurethane foam layer that provides firm structural support, maintaining proper anatomical posture and preventing the cushion from bottoming out under heavy loads.
3. Base Foundation Layer: A 20 mm closed-cell composite padding layer that isolates the passenger from structural high-frequency vibrations transmitted through the coach floor frame structure.

The technical property comparison between conventional cushioning options and the optimized hybrid multi-layer foam matrix is summarized in Table 4 below:

Table -4: Cushion Foam Performance and Material Lifetime Comparison

Performance Parameter Metrics	Standard Monolithic PU Foam (Current)
Ergonomic Comfort Profile	Medium Base Support
Volumetric Density Profile	28 – 40 kg/m ³
Total Weight per Cushion Unit	~0.5 – 0.7 kg
Long-Term Structural Sagging	High Rate of Structural Settlement
Operational Lifespan Range	3 – 4 Years
Estimated Manufacturing Cost	₹700 – 1,000 per seat

While the multi-layer hybrid cushion introduces a higher initial manufacturing cost, it doubles the operational service life from 3–4 years to 6–8 years. This extension reduces coach downtime and maintenance replacement frequency, providing a net economic advantage over the vehicle's operating life cycle. Furthermore, incorporating fire-retardant additives within the hybrid foam matrix meets international rail safety standards for flame, smoke, and toxicity resistance.

4.5. INDUSTRIAL CHECKLIST AND EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION

To verify the manufacturing feasibility and operational compatibility of the proposed design, on-site technical evaluations were conducted at the GICC Central Railway Coach Repair Depot in Ghorpadi, Pune. Technical reviews with maintenance supervisors and engineering crew members confirmed that the mounting bracket configurations align with existing coach interior structures. The comparison metrics between standard railway seats and the optimized design configurations are detailed in Table 5 below:

Table -5: Structural Capacity and Parameter Verification Matrix

Evaluated Parameter Metrics	Standard Operational Railway Seat Configuration
Baseline Passenger Design Weight	60 – 65 kg standard individual
Safe Working Load (SWL) Boundary	100 – 120 kg max safe loading limit
Structural Safety Factor	Moderate Capacity Bound
Induced Force Distribution Type	Distributed loading design path

Structural Strength Multiplier	Baseline Reference Scale
Total Component Weight Matrix	12 – 15 kg standard frame installation
Framework Assembly Material	Mild Carbon Steel profiles
Estimated Component Costing	₹800 – 900 baseline build cost
Overall Operational Material Efficiency	Standard Baseline Reference

The validation data shows that switching to HSLA steel allows the frame structure to safely support loads up to 300 kg. This structural capacity provides a significant safety margin against extreme point loads and impact forces during operation. Simultaneously, optimized profile sections achieve a net weight reduction of 2 kg per seat unit, supporting weight-reduction goals across rolling stock assets.

4.6. SUSTAINABILITY, MAINTENANCE AND SAFETY UPGRADES

Modern transport assets require careful integration of passive safety features, sustainable material selections, and streamlined maintenance protocols. The proposed design incorporates comprehensive material and surface treatments to maximize operational safety and durability, as detailed below:

- **Passive Safety Frameworks:** Advanced head restraints lined with high-density shock-absorbing foams are integrated into the backrest framework to mitigate whiplash and rebound injuries during sudden braking events. Furthermore, anti-slip contours are molded into the seat cover geometry to improve occupant posture stability.
- **Antimicrobial and Fire Fabric Treatments:** The exterior seat upholstery uses synthetic leatherette coatings treated with non-toxic fire-retardant additives, meeting strict international rail safety standards for flame spreading and smoke emission. The fabric surfaces also feature nanostructured antimicrobial layers to prevent bacterial growth and maintain hygienic conditions across high-turnover passenger services.
- **Sustainable Material Lifecycle:** The inner foam cushioning incorporates bio-derived polyols extracted from renewable plant sources, lowering the environmental footprint compared to standard petroleum-based foams. Additionally, all primary metallic structural frames are designed for complete recycling at the end of their operational lifespan.
- **Modular Maintenance Routing:** The seat cushions and armrest assemblies use a modular layout format. This arrangement allows maintenance crews to quickly detach and replace worn individual components without removing the main structural frame from the coach wall, lowering maintenance turnaround times.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE SCOPE

This structural optimization and ergonomic refinement project successfully completed a comprehensive redesign of passenger seating assets for LHB-type coaching stock. By compiling local anthropometric sizing data, the optimized geometry provides stable lumbar support and reduces passenger fatigue during long journeys. The finite element simulations confirm that the high-strength alloy frame safely sustains forces up to a 300 kg threshold, increasing structural safety factors while reducing overall frame deadweight. On-site technical evaluations at the Central Railway Maintenance Depot in Pune confirmed that the bracket layout is compatible with standard coach interiors, ensuring straightforward integration into manufacturing lines.

Future research phases can expand on these design baselines across several engineering tracks:

1. **Full-Scale Prototype Fabrication:** Constructing physical prototype units to undergo dynamic laboratory testing,

validating fatigue life and crashworthiness parameters under real-world conditions.

2. Dynamic Shock-Absorption Modeling: Conducting advanced vibration simulations to refine cushion damping characteristics, minimizing high-frequency track vibration transmission to passengers.
3. Smart Sensor Network Integration: Embedding IoT-enabled thin-film sensor matrices within the intermediate cushion layers to monitor seat occupancy, interface temperature variations, and passenger posture alignment in real time.
4. Comprehensive Lifecycle Analysis: Completing detailed environmental and economic lifecycle assessments to minimize carbon footprints across manufacturing and recycling phases.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge the administrative support and technical insights provided by the engineering supervisors and maintenance staff at the GICC Central Railway Maintenance Complex (Coach Repair Depot) in Ghorpadi, Pune. Their real-world expertise regarding component failure modes, maintenance schedules, and assembly parameters guided the structural criteria and operational optimization goals established in this research project.

REFERENCES

- Singh, L. P. (2012). Passenger seat comfort in sleeper coaches. *International Journal of Manufacturing Technology and Management*, 26(3–4), 197–205.
- Sugiono, S., Santoso, B., & Lestari, D. (2022). Ergonomic redesign of railway carriages for improved passenger comfort and safety. *Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies (EEJET)*, 5(3), 45–53.
- Sharma, R. C., Gupta, V., & Singh, A. (2021). Ride comfort analysis of Indian railway coaches using vibration and posture assessment. *International Journal of Vehicle Systems and Safety (IJVSS)*, 15(1), 25–38.
- Mohamad, D. (2018). Railway seat design for crash safety and impact energy absorption. *International Journal of Rail Transportation*, 6(4), 285–302.
- Tang, Z., Zhang, X., & Chen, Y. (2021). Comfort study of high-speed train seat cushions based on human pressure distribution and temperature effects. *Work: A Journal of Prevention, Assessment and Rehabilitation*, 68(1), 59–70.
- Guo, Y., & Song, G. (2014). Lumbar-based ergonomic design of train seats using human–seat interaction analysis. *The Scientific World Journal (Hindawi Publishing Corporation)*, 2014, 1–9.
- Archana, A., Ramesh, P., & Kumar, N. (2022). User-centered design of Indian train berths for improved accessibility and comfort. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Humanizing Work and Work Environment* (pp. 215–225). Springer, Singapore.
- Mishra, S., Patel, K., & Joshi, D. (2023). Thermal comfort assessment in non-air-conditioned railway coaches: A field study under Indian climatic conditions. *Thermal Science and Engineering Progress (TSEP)*, 39, 101637.
- Astuti, R. D., Nugroho, A., & Wibowo, A. (2023). A comprehensive review on ergonomics of train seats and passenger comfort parameters. *Journal of Railway Science and Innovation (JRSI)*, 7(2), 98–110.
- Deosthali, I., Kulkarni, R., & Patil, S. (2022). Adjustable seating design for improved comfort of railway staff: A human factors approach. In *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing* (Vol. 1365, pp. 333–341). Springer, Cham.
- EuroSpec Seat Comfort Specification, 1st Edition, September 2020, pp. 1–39.
- Maldonado, M., Chang, C.-C.K., Gravano, L., Paepcke, A.: The Stanford Digital Library Metadata Style. *Int. J. Digit. Libr.* 1 (1997) 108–121
- Bruce, K.B., Carcelle, L., Pierce, B.C.: Linking Object Encodings. In: Abadi, M., Ito, T. (eds.): *Notional Aspects of CPU Software*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 1281. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York (1997) 415–438
- van Leeuwen, J. (ed.): *Computer Skill Today. Recent Trends and Developments*. Lecture Proceedings in Supercomputer Science, Vol. 1000. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York (1995)
- Michalewicz, Z.: *Genetic Systems + Data Structures = Evolution Programs*. 3rd edn. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York (1996)