

ESG in India: It is Time to Take Notice

Aditi Sharma

Ph.D. Research Scholar

Symbiosis International (Deemed) University, Pune

aditi.sharma@siib.ac.in

Dr. Viraja Bhat ¹ Associate Professor

Symbiosis Institute of International Business, Pune

viraja@siib.ac.in

Abstract:

ESG is essential in corporate social responsibility (CSR) and corporate governance. This paper aims to study the ESG material factors the top 10 Indian companies adopt to increase their ESG scores, and this research paper discusses previous research's limitations, implications, and perspectives for the future of India. In addition, the form tries to study corporate manageability, ways of behaving, and drivers mentioned in the company's ESG materiality factors disclosures. This research paper focuses on India's top 10 ESG-rating listed companies in NIFTY 50 based on their ESG disclosure year to the latest FY (2013-2021) score in India. It reveals some interesting examples and advancements. The evaluation of chosen organizations' manageability drives showed that key natural maintainability objectives, for example, lessening ozone-harming substance discharges, further developing energy proficiency, obtaining environmentally friendly power energy, proficient water use, squandering the board, and waste reusing, overwhelm their aspirations and that they don't give equivalent need to the social part of ESG ventures.

Through a systematic literature review and critical analysis of India's Top 10 ESG-scoring companies in Nifty 50 disclosure policies, this paper shows the advancement of ESG in India and a critical examination of the theoretical rules and regulations by SEBI basis of Indian corporate sector step toward research in ESG, the link between the extension of ESG in India, Economic consequences after implementation of ESG and affect of ESG disclosure policies adopted by top 10 corporates in India. This paper extends to show the progress and failings of ESG research and focuses on the future of ESG in India to give an allusion to the academic study and practice of ESG incorporates.

Keywords: *Business Industry, BRSR, Disclosure Policies, ESG, Government Acts*

1.) *Introduction:*

In the past two decades of the Indian corporate world, sustainability has marked its place everywhere. Everything can be hyphenated or paired with sustainability. It is a word that is described as maintaining a level at a specific rate. Nowadays, we have sustainable cities to sustainable corporates, economies, livelihoods, management, and sustainable development. Like every word has its history, sustainability also comes with history. In the 1960 and 1970s, German environmentalists wanted to grow their companies simultaneously with the environment. They wanted their environment to be a part of social development too. So, the former Prime Minister of Norway constructed a commission, “*Brundtland Commission*,” to give their primary focus on this perspective in the mid-1980s, and from then, after seven years of a long struggle, in 1987 landmark report entitled “*Our Common Future*” accepted the modern definition of sustainable development.

“Sustainable development is a development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.” (WCED 1987a: 43).

Many long intellectual debates took place to give sustainability, notably sustainable development, to accept globally. Focus.....

If we take a tour of Global corporate rules and regulations towards sustainable development, it has evolved rapidly in the past two decades. *Sustainability is blooming like a beautiful flower from Sustainable Development to Environment Sustainable Governance (ESG).* US Secretary General “Kofi Annan” first coined the term ESG in 2005 in Who Cares Wins, which refers to the performance of any company in protecting its natural resources and managing its relationships with the environment, society, and stakeholders by providing internal and external leadership. Incorporating ESG factors into investments would benefit both the company and the investor. Before investing in a company, potential investors want to know what standards the companies apply to protect the natural environment and society. This perspective includes

“environmental-social-governance (ESG), which analyses a company’s decision-making with investment analysis. ESG is about three broad factors: Environment, Social, and Governance. Environment - The way the company deals with its risks and environmental hazards, such as waste, pollution, protection of natural resources, and treatment of animals, all of these can be examined with the help of the analysis. How will the company deal with these risks? It is a company’s internal and external relationships with all its stakeholders. Does the company

contribute some of its revenues to support workers or volunteers? Third is Governance is Rules and regulations, and practices to be followed by a company for its management, where shareholders expect a fair accounting of the company that promotes honesty and diversity in government through the ESG governance principle. All responsible managers of the company ensure that funds can be used appropriately for good environmental practices and sound business people.

1.1) ***Incorporate ESG principles and their values:***

Moving towards knowing about ESG rules is gradually evolving and is instrumental in determining what companies should adopt to run their businesses smoothly. To maintain public trust and immense monetary importance, companies should follow the ESG process and build a loving image of nature in front of stakeholders. In the short term, companies may face many challenges related to ESG, such as physical damages like loss of physical assets in natural disasters; therefore, losses and liabilities will also increase in case of fiscal failures.

Companies must comply with ESG laws to mitigate the rapidly increasing environmental and societal threats.

1.2) ***What is ESG Materiality??***

ESG Materiality is the overall performance of a company which affects the financial decision of a company in a specific measure of the company's total growth and performance. The company's ESG strategy material factors are financial elements for long-term victory.

Literature Review: Sustainability emerged more than 50 years ago as a vision to interconnect the issues in Environment, society, and government, and many rules and regulations were made to implement sustainability into the corporate world. In 2004 new term in the world of sustainability emerged: ESG (Environment, Social, and Governance).

2.1) Status of ESG Globally: In the fight against green consumerism and sustainable development, a greater degree of investment in international and sustainability business attention (Nosratabadi et al., 2019). As per the research paper, factors of ESG (Environment, Social, and Governance) can be considered when making investment decisions. According to (Hayat & Orsagh (2015), investors generally believe persuasive evidence and significant extensive

information regarding ESG is a benchmark for the firm's positive impact on the world. **(Bernow and other, 2017)**. Regarding sustainable business investments, ESG policy influences overall business performance **(Husted & de Sousa-Filho, 2017)**. Many arguments about sustainability and how ecosystems adapt to environmental changes have been found in prior studies. Various scientists have accounted for positive and negative relationship patterns, notwithstanding a couple of unbiased communications **(Kluza et al., 2021)**. Coordinated areas, particularly monetary foundations, are feeling the squeeze to involve ESG examination in navigation **(Bualalay et al., 2020; Bk and Cheba, 2020)**. Additionally, because of media pressure, pellucidness about ESG is encouraged by companies **(Garcia-Sanchez et al., 2014)**. A company's ability to grow economically and sustainably depends heavily on gender diversity, according to **(Jizi & Nehme (2017) and Wasiuzzaman & Wan Mohammad (2020)**. To ascertain the correlation between diversity and inclusion and economic performance, currency risks, and ESG public reporting, a board (GDB) should be established in the organization. Connections between GDB, financial burden, and company performance, that is, all three positive, negative, and ambiguous, have been found in previous research **(Sila et al., 2016; 2018 by Haque and Ntim; Perryman and others, 2016)**.

According to **(Bernardi & Stark (2018))**, environmental disclosure does not correlate the forecast accuracy and governance disclosures. Businesses may also benefit more financially from ESG disclosure than from non-disclosure and have the potential to align with the national interest. Entrenched in their deep-rooted study, they investigated that the ESG disclosures, quantity, and quality of products do businesses. It concluded that companies were least feasible to engage in ESG greenwashing if they underwent extensive testing and ample surveillance from prominent investors. **(Yu et al., 2020)**. To meet stakeholder needs and increase a firm's answerability **(Eccles et al., 2014)**, corporate have significantly increased the disclosure of ESG. **(Sebastianelli and Tamimi, 2017)**. ESG disclosures have the potential to boost corporate acceptance and public awareness. This may increase the number of businesses that employ diverse business management strategies and sustainably disclose their ESG information globally. According to the 2018 Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) report, 12,964 companies worldwide have published 50,197 voluntary sustainability reports containing varying degrees of disclosure of ESG reporting. In this way, considering investor interests, it is vital to zero an apprehensive revelation of strategy for ESG (palatable or meager) advertisement or decreases significant worth. Be that as it may, public gambling and worldwide partner commitment may likewise influence this partnership

(Rodríguez et al., 2014). The cross-listing provides strong legitimacy, which reflects the extent and improves ESG performance, according to some researchers who have examined its effect on firms' ESG performance. According to **(Del Bosco & Misani (2016))**, cross-listed businesses meet social

expectations regarding environmental protection and safeguard constituent interests. The significance of taking ESG into account in impactful procedures to ensure the entire term and the potential consequences of sustainability performance on financial outlook have been reported in the literature (**Bassen and Kovács, 2020; 2016 (Hummel & Schlick)**). Academics have further written about Islamic businesses' contributions to environmental protection and the welfare of society (**Azmi et al., 2019; 2015, Chowdhury and Masih**). Responsibility, public obligation, mechanical progressions, and the worldwide pandemic are significant variables affecting a business's ability to manage its financial resources.

An element of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and public responsibility, the ESG can enhance associations' financial performance. **Sila and Cek (2017)** found that while there is a small positive correlation between environmental performance and economic activity, there is a significant positive correlation between social performance and economic activity. The research shows that CSR and technological innovation go hand in hand. Research on specialized change rates has shown an organization's capacity to shape its innovation capacity **Shao & Lin (2016)**. According to Parmentola et al., blockchain technology can support environmental sustainability and sustainable development objectives. Concerning safety, deprivation, environmental degradation, and the monetary system, the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the relationship between people, the environment, and the benefits of advancements **Adams & Abhayawansa (2021)**. The most subsequent breakthroughs in innovation for developing ESG strategy, worldwide pandemic issues, and topographical, political, and strict elements should be considered. Making it easier to decide whether to start a new business or keep an existing one open to uphold ESG practices may increase investor interest. Already, no exhaustive review has talked about the worldwide ascent and impact of every ESG-related factor on the viability of a corporate business venture.

2.2) Status of ESG in India: For India, it is still too early for ESG as Indian corporates, and investors are increasingly alert compared to past negligence “Environmental and Social Reporting” in our country was known to us. In the past few years, before the ESG reporting framework, UNEP introduced GRI “*Global Reporting Initiative*,” it is a framework consisting of 4 principles (Materiality, Sustainability Context, Stakeholder Inclusiveness, and Completeness) for every organization to report on sustainability performance by their companies.

The movement started and grew slowly. GRI guidelines were starting points, and they grew slowly, then reached to ESG reporting framework. Moreover, today, in India, the Government of India made a relevant “policy framework” to boost sustainable development reporting. In 2011 GOI, Ministry of Corporate Affairs also introduced the “Voluntary Guidelines for CSR” into NVG (National Voluntary Guidelines) on Environmental, Social & Economic Responsibilities of

Business. These NVG have nine principles illustrating the LTSV (Long term sustainable Value) for Indian corporates, and now for achieving fiscal profit goals, these LTSV are given priority.

One such methodology assists businesses in predicting the numerous risks related to the environment and society they could potentially confront because then they can advise their key

players about just how they intend to evaluate and manage such potential dangers. Individuals and corporate bodies just on the industry's ethical and social disclosures will assure people that all

assess its effectiveness at the LTSV. In 2012 SEBI gave mandatory instructions for the top 100

companies as per their market capitalization to disclose BRR “Business Responsibility Report” in combination with their annual report so that the stakeholders know their company's current position

concerning disclosures about loyalty towards NVG guidelines. Then the top 500 companies, as per their market capitalization, were bound to release BRR as per the guidelines by SEBI, which advanced the

condition of BRR applicability for all. **Singh Rakhi and Tandon Deepak., (2011)** focussed on Banking and its grey areas. The CSR reporting debate is not working on a document that clarifies what we want,

or no work is available to look into. For the benefit of stakeholders, many companies have started disclosing their sustainability reports as per the GRI guidelines in the past ten years with proper format.

The companies voluntarily do this as a beginning after GRI reporting guidelines. **Dutta Sumanta (2011)** does comparative research between Karmyog and 19 Indian companies' GRI initiative reporting

framework. They show how these nineteen companies are doing business by following GRI initiatives with sustainable practices. This GRI standard can be evaluated by auditing companies or self-declared.

Commercial enterprises are the performances of corporates, and their effects on the economy can be analyzed after disclosing the sustainability reports. Opportunities and conducive use of sustainable

development with GRI or G3 parameters monitor and indicate the risk associated with companies following sustainability guidelines. To keep an eye on the company's strategy, performance, and

governance in 2017, SEBI introduced a voluntary adoption technique for reporting in which all companies can adopt this rule and give open access to all their stakeholders to see all necessary fiscal

and non-fiscal information by assuring transparency in communication. SEBI also introduced “issuing and listing green debt securities” disclosures in the same year. As per the SEBI circular, [Dos and don'ts](#)

[relating to green debt securities to avoid occurrences of greenwashing](#)(1) SEBI states the Green Debt securities framework in companies can use debt

securities to raise funds that can be utilized for particular projects or assets that come under mentioned (SEBI List) categories. It further states that companies cannot run from continuous reporting

requirements. And in 2020, finally, SEBI decided and took steps to remove BRSR

“Business Responsibility and Sustainability Report” and replaced it with BRR to listed companies to see the future risk and opportunities in ESG (Environment, Social, and Governance) along with their

financial stake in the market also; the company has to add targets, proposed objectives, and goals to achieve the same was also mentioned in the report. BRR report was an initiative for investors to move ahead towards sustainability and ESG investing with companies, stating that SEBI allowed the top 1000 listed companies to adopt BRR rules and regulations voluntarily in the financial year 2020-21, but after a year from 2021-2022, it is mandatory for all. From BRR, it was observed that the regulatory framework got powerful as the year passed. According to market capitalization, it went from voluntary to mandatory for all big companies quickly. So now GOI moves to the next stage after BRR to ESG disclosure reporting. This comes with the remarkable result for many companies that the quality and quantity of “Environment Social Reporting” have increased. Company reports start disclosing the environmental problems at a larger scale. From the above table materiality indexes, every company must disclose their ESG policies per the SEBI-mandated guidelines on ESG Disclosures. These scores can be added to Environment, Social, Governance, and combined ESG Points. Larsen and Tourbo (74), Adani Ports and Logistics (74), and Dr. Reddy’s Laboratories (67) are the three top India Listed Companies with the highest ESG composite scores in India in the financial year (2021-2022).

In the corporates, the Triple bottom line structure is inculcating more into the ESG, what ESG is, how corporates are moving towards ESG, and what the main factors are focusing on current reporting trends—the current positioning of corporates in the international market regarding disclosures of the ESG reporting framework. In the Indian context, the company's disclosure policies and behavior should be observed **Rajiv P. Shah, Aayush Sarogi (2020)**. ESG is giving new shape to the advanced Indian economy, but still, there are some areas for improvement, and what and why barriers are there is also discussed by researchers. ESG can be implemented in India with minimal rules and regulations but higher awareness to help investors understand the company's sustainable structure before investing. ESG disclosure framework of Indian companies and the relationship between ESG disclosures and financial performances.

Preeti Sharma et al. (2020) disclosed that with the help of the GRI Framework, companies are announcing their ESG disclosure. By content analysis of companies' CSR disclosure reports and annual reports, what is the status of the company's ESG reports? The study only takes listed Indian companies from 2013 through 2016. It shows that our country's voluntary disclosure of CSR (Corporate Social Responsibility) and Corporate governance reporting is minimal. But nowadays, ESG reporting is increasing tremendously to address the concern of stakeholders. This research tries to help the government to know the level of ESG reporting activities through CSR reporting among Indian corporates. The study reveals that companies' extent of ESG disclosure is increasing with the help of their annual and sustainability reports. They made the CSR index using the

GRI framework and listing agreement clause 49. The company reports showing more focus on environmental problems. In our country, which is the 7th largest developing nation, it was noticed that 87% are Environmental problems, 35% are Social issues, and 32% with governance issues. Significant themes are waste management, pollution control, carbon emission, water recycled, water efficiency, and other requisite resources. This quick action leads to worse and more exhausted reporting with some uncertainty by corporations. Regarding the issue of greenwashing, a few corporates have started misusing sustainability reporting tools to improve their public image. According to a literature review **(Rajesh, 2020)**, sustainability is necessary for dealing with such emerging issues. A sustainable business investment and development principle is a triple-based approach considering environmental and socioeconomic issues **(Rajesh, 2020)**.

The literature paints a mixed picture of the connection between organizations' environmental and financial performance. The top management of these companies needs to give more importance to sustainability reporting, as evidence states. Eventually, CSR and environmental social reporting have a wider nexus between them. CSR under the Companies Act 2013 is more focused on Company's values and temporal relations with the company's business operations and model. In contrast, ESG or Environment sustainability reporting focuses more on material risks, opportunities, and overall sustainability. CSR is more concerned with "Corporate Philanthropy," which means the company is more involved in charity and donation programs voluntarily. And

this shows a vast gap between these two, and philanthropy is different from sustainability. The Advantage of ESG Principles and their Implementation- Investors are moving towards sustainable investments and more awareness of ESG issues. Interruption of investors has blinded companies like "Nike" to change their supply chain and "Volkswagen" to follow emission reduction norms. Due to excellent research, ESG investing delivers good returns because firms with a large "Sustainability Rating" have become less worth investing in organizational effectiveness. ESG investing and sustainable finance are developed through a tool called ESG valuation. The objective is to understand ESG concepts, importance, scope, components, shortcomings, and the future of ESG investing in India. The increasing negative impacts of climatic conditions and their unpredictability force the world to adapt to these changes and mitigate their effects by focusing on global results **Ritika Seth, Shubham Gupta, et al. (2021)**. Apart from examining this concept, the focus has been on understanding the evolution of finance to implement this investment practice. Due to the increasing role of ESG and its importance to stakeholders in India, there seems to be a positive impact on the environment, and investors are not only getting a higher return on investment in the long run but also emerging the risks and opportunities of future in terms of finance and other too. Environment calamities like natural disasters and other extreme problems

can be prevented and lower the risks. Increase in Sustainable finance, whose objective is to set all financial rules and regulations, norms, standards, and products that pursue an environmental objective. To give profit to both environment and investors, ESG criteria introduced business investing decisions at large. It contributes to economic productivity while

attempting to improve and revive ecosystem functions. **Esha Gupta and Adityan Rangaswamy (2021)** compare India's policy, disclosure principles, and ratings with the EU, where India doesn't have any law for ESG, and the researcher criticizes how the EU has taken steps to implement ESG. Secondary sources are used to justify the statement. The paper's main objective is to show that daily business course is affected by ESG disclosures, rating systems, principles, and policies in India and EU countries. The second objective is how ESG help business to increase the profitability of a company. Both countries have a vast ESG implementation gap. Every year these four major financial credit rating companies (KPMG, E & Y, CRISIL, and PWC) state the ESG rating, which states the current status of ESG in India regarding disclosures and reports. They try to understand that disclosure of ESG didn't relate to accounting or financial reporting framework. They mention the excellent and poor sectors of ESG disclosure in India and why they need to be improved. And as an outcome, it is found that in recent years 3rd, party assurance has played an essential role in ESG data to enhance companies' disclosure reporting percentage. **Renu Gupta and Amita Motwani (2022)** also show a rise in external audits. In the current ESG scenario in India, they took all the secondary resources to mention the current scenario for future aims. The objective is to compare the present with the past and look ahead to the future aspects of ESG in India. The conclusion of this research says that enterprises are constantly and time trying to disclose sustainability reporting, and some of them are doing well. In contrast, only some companies are in their learning phase. Corporations are sharing more information about their business. Details of companies and their working method related to society and the environment are more feasible to know and understand. More companies also disclose sustainability efforts as ways to achieve further sustainability (**Manoj Pareek (2022)**). This review looked at the literature to find meaningful, influential factors businesses should consider for sustainability and compared them to ESG categories. In addition, the authors attempt to study the ESG material factors top 10 Indian companies adopt to increase their ESG score and value for stakeholders, and this research paper discusses previous research's limitations, implications, and perspectives for the future of India.

Research Method- This study was based on secondary data analysis considering India's top 10 ESG Scores rating listed companies in NIFTY 50 based on their Market Capitalisation. The period between 2014-15 to 2021-2022 is chosen for analysis of ESG Disclosure policies. The content analysis approach is used to derive conclusions. To analyze the disclosure pattern by these companies, a textual analysis of the top 10 listed companies across different sectors will be taken in the Annual report.

Results and Discussions In this research paper, we took India's Top 10 ESG-scoring companies. We took the materiality disclosures to define the ESG Scores of the company in a coordinated manner. The below table shows the material concepts company is taking as per India NIFTY 50.

Themes	Materiality Factors	Metrix
Environment	Emission	GHG Intensity
	Water	- Water Intensity - Water Recycled
	Energy	- Energy Consumed %Renewable Energy used
	Waste	% Waste Recycled - Hazardous Waste Intensity
Social	Diversity and Inclusion	- Independent Directors - Women on Board - Non-Executive Directors on Board - Independent Directors on Audit Committee
	Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)	CSR Spending as a % of revenue
	Employee Engagement/Retention	Employee Turnover (%)
	Employee Welfare	Average Training Hours Per Employee
	Trade Unions	% of Permanent Employees in Trade Unions
Governance	Board Composition and Independence	% Independent Directors % Women on Board % Non-Executive Directors on Board % Independent Directors on Audit Committee % Independent Directors on Compensation Committee

	Board Meeting Attendance	- Board Meeting Attendance % - Audit Committee Meeting
		- Attendance Percentage % - Compensation Committee Meeting Attendance % - CSR Sustainability Committee Attendance
	Board Tenure	Number of Independent Directors with tenure over 10 yrs.

Source: Dolat Capital and Decimal Point Analytics Research

Further, the table below shows the ESG combined Scores of these companies for three consecutive years.

S. No	Companies Name	Sectors	ESG Implemented from (FY)	ESG Score 2018	ESG Score 2019	2020	2021
1	Larsen & Turbo	Construction	2018-19	NA	77	75	74
2	Adani Ports & SEZ	Transport & Mining	2016-17	NA	69	71	74
3	Dr. Reddy's Laboratories	Pharmaceuticals & Biotechnology	2019-2020	NA	67	67	67
4	Infosys	IT-Software	2007-08	NA	68	67	65
5	Bharti Airtel	Telecommunication	2017-18	NA	37	36	39
6	Indian Oil Corp.	Petroleum Refineries	2018-19	NA	48	62	60
7	Cipla	Pharmaceuticals & Biotechnology	2018-19	NA	65	60	60
8	UPL	Fertilizers & Agrochemicals	2017-18	NA	48	49	54
9	Hindustan Lever	Diversified FMCG	2017-18	NA	50	59	56

10	ITC	Diversified FMCG	2008-09	NA	50	55	55
----	-----	------------------	---------	----	----	----	----

By using the Secondary data, the analysis has been done. It was conducted considering India's top 10 ESG rating listed companies in NIFTY 50 based on their ESG Scoring from 2014-21 (FY). It checks the overlap of the ESG disclosures by the companies as per the mandated rules by SEBI (BRSR report, 2021) in India in the past years. The period between 2013-14 to 2021-2022 is chosen for analysis of ESG Disclosure policies. The content analysis approach is used to derive conclusions. To analyze the disclosure pattern by these companies, a textual analysis of the top 10 listed companies across different sectors will be taken in their Annual report. ESG-related keywords were inspected in the annual report to recognize what influence ESG Disclosure in the strategic communications of corporates.

This research compared the ESG reports for the FY 2013-14 to 2021-22 of the top ten companies in India and their ESG Scores. It was also observed that most leading companies follow many material factors for ESG scoring mandated by SEBI. This study found that the company whose Environment score was good but worst in social and governance score and vice-versa. So, by taking the ESG combined scores of different industries, it was found the Top sector of ESG Score is the Industrial sector, with a 75 score, and the worst is Consumer discretionary, with a 42 score.

Conclusion- In India, ESG has come a long way but still has to go as far as compared to other countries. ESG contribution has drawn more critical consideration from the two financial investors and clients. These speculations intensely follow a triple-bottom-line approach combining monetary gains with regular environmental practices. Numerous Volatile examples, expanding ecological and deterioration of the environment, developing civilized issues, and establishing the financial system on a manageable course, have been proven convincing in speeding up ESG speculations. Likewise, organizations are striving to adjust their objectives to the assertions. In this unique situation, the paper has embraced nuts-bolts evaluation of the ESG improvement ways in our nation, prepared the institutional and judicial backdrop for Environmental and social rumors, and directed a maintainability evaluation of an example of organizations. A blended strategies approach was utilized for the review.

The outcomes are significant. From the study, the premium in ESG-coordinated ventures is filling in India. These are demonstrated by the increasing profits of many companies operating speculation stores and by potential backers and sponsors of such endeavors. The nation's display of ESG-coordinated interests surpasses that of its partners. Predictable pre-heading was additionally seen during the Coronavirus - 19 pandemic. Organizations performed marginally better on strategy exposure and

corporate administration boundaries than the biological and social parameters. Social forces received the least amount of attention among the many variables.

Examining policy and legal claims about ESG investing in India reveals some interesting examples and advancements. First, the plan's scope has grown over time, a trend consistent with the emphasis on ESG contributions. Second, the evolution of approaches has changed from voluntary to obligatory. Third, the announcement and disclosure of data have received more attention recently. The evaluation of chosen organizations' manageability drives showed that key natural maintainability objectives, for example, lessening ozone-harming substance discharges, further developing energy proficiency, obtaining environmentally friendly power energy, proficient water use, squandering the board, and waste reusing, overwhelm their aspirations and that they don't give equivalent need to the social part of ESG ventures. This also plainly follows the discoveries of different examinations, like those of the NSE (2020). To improve ESG scores, companies have to focus on aspects like-

1. Performance Analysis of Materiality Assessment- It shows that to improve the ESG score, ESG elements and the factors relevant to the company's business must improve.
2. Set Purposes and Objectives- To improve the business, Company has to sets goals and targets to improve the performances of the factors directly or indirectly affect the company's reputation in the market.
3. Making of Policies and Procedures- It's imperative and foremost for every company to make a few policies and procedures to improve ESG scores and earn the goodwill of stakeholders.
4. Keep an eye on Progress- To stay focused and to gain more profit in an upcoming financial year. Each company should keep a record of its sustainable practices, which shows commitment and responsible business practices.
5. Stakeholders Engagement- ESG issues should be discussed with stakeholders for clear communication between the company and stakeholders. It will help increase a company's faith and engage its stakeholders.

Moreover, the reception of manageability rehearses shows some apparent advancement, accomplishing energy proficiency targets and powerful waste administration.

At last, this research paper catches the powerful idea of corporate manageability ways of behaving and drivers mentioned in the company's ESG materiality factors disclosures. It shows that corporate manageability execution is an element of various interior and outer variables. The strength of these elements shapes and describes corporate supportability.

References

1. Clarkson, P., Overell, M. A., & Chapple, L. (2011). Environmental Reporting and its Relation to Corporate Environmental Performance. *Abacus*, 47(1), 27–60.
2. Dutta, S. (2011a). Triple Bottom Line Reporting: An Innovative Accounting Initiative. *Social Science Research Network*.
3. Dutta, S. (2011b). Triple Bottom Line Reporting: An Innovative Accounting Initiative. *Social Science Research Network*.
4. Etzion, D., & Ferraro, F. (2010). The Role of Analogy in the Institutionalization of Sustainability Reporting. *Organization Science*, 21(5), 1092–1107.
5. Frost, T., Tsang, A., & Cao, H. (2022). Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Disclosure: A Literature Review. *Social Science Research Network*.
6. Galani, D., Gravas, E., & Stavropoulos, A. (2012). Company Characteristics and Environmental Policy. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 21(4), 236–247.
7. Guenther, E., & Hoppe, H. (2007). Environmental Corporate Social Responsibility of Firms in the Mining and Oil and Gas Industries: Current Status Quo of Reporting Following GRI Guidelines. *Greener Management International*, 53, 7–25.
8. Gupta, Dr., & Motwani, A. (2023). ESG Reporting in India: Current Scenario. *Corporate Governance Insight*, 4(2), 2582–0834.
9. Gupta, E., & Rangaswamy, A. (n.d.). THE IMPORTANCE OF “ESG” AND ITS APPLICATION IN INDIA. *LEXFORTI LEGAL JOURNAL*, 2(4), 2582:2942.
10. Guthrie, J., & Farneti, F. (2008). GRI Sustainability Reporting by Australian Public Sector Organizations. *Public Money & Management*, 28(6), 361–366.
11. Inamdar, M. A., & Rachchh, M. A. (2022). The advent of ESG Ecosystem in India. *The Management Accountant*, 57(3), 36.
12. Pareekh, M. (2022). Making ESG Work: Examining the Changing ESG Regulations in India.

RESEARCH TRENDS IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT, 2, 978-93-95456-29–6.

13. Rahman, R. A., & Alsayegh, M. F. (2021). Determinants of Corporate Environment, Social and Governance (ESG) Reporting among Asian Firms. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 14(4), 167.
14. Seth, R. S., Gupta, S., & Gupta, H. (2021). ESG Investing: A Critical Overview. *Hans Shodh Sudha*, 2(2), 2582–9777.
15. Shah, V., & Saraogi, A. (1 C.E.). ESG Disclosures and Investing in India- An Overview. *The Management Accountant Journal*.
16. Sharma, P., Panday, P., & Dangwal, R. C. (2020a). Determinants of environmental, social and corporate governance (ESG) disclosure: a study of Indian companies. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, 17(4), 208–217.
17. Sharma, P., Panday, P., & Dangwal, R. C. (2020b). Determinants of environmental, social and corporate governance (ESG) disclosure: a study of Indian companies. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, 17(4), 208–217,
18. Singh, R. (2011). CORPORATE SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES: THE INDIAN BANKING EXPERIENCE. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 1(8), 2231 5780.
19. V. Shah, R., & Rastogi, S. (n.d.). ESG Disclosures and Investing in India- An Overview. *The Management Accountant Journal*.
20. Bernow, S., Klempner, B., & Magnin, C. (2017). From ‘why’ to ‘why not’: Sustainable investing as the new normal. McKinsey & Company
21. Hayat, U., & Orsagh, M. (2015). Environmental, social, and governance issues in investing. Codes, Standards and Position Papers, 11.
22. Yu, E.-y, Van Luu, B., & Chen, C. H. (2020). Greenwashing in environmental, social, and governance disclosures. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 52, 10119
23. Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of corporate sustainability on

organizational processes and performance. *Management Science*, 60(11), 2835–2857.

24. Tamimi, N., & Sebastianelli, R. (2017). Transparency among S&P 500 companies: An analysis of ESG disclosure scores. *Management Decision*.
25. Rodríguez, L. C., Montiel, I., & Ozuna, T. (2014). A conceptualization of how firms engage in corporate responsibility based on country risk. *Business & Society*, 53(5), 625–651
26. Husted, B. W., & de Sousa-Filho, J. M. (2017). The impact of sustainability governance, country stakeholder orientation, and country risk on environmental, social, and governance performance. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 155, 93–102.
27. Nosratabadi, S., Mosavi, A., Shamshirband, S., Kazimieras Zavadskas, E., Rakotonirainy, A., & Chau, K. W. (2019). Sustainable business models: A review. *Sustainability*, 11(6), 1663.
28. Hayat, U., & Orsagh, M. (2015). Environmental, social, and governance issues in investing. *Codes, Standards, and Position Papers*, 11
29. Bernow, S., Klempner, B., & Magnin, C. (2017). From ‘why’ to ‘why not’: Sustainable investing as the new normal. *McKinsey & Company*
30. Husted, B. W., & de Sousa-Filho, J. M. (2017). The impact of sustainability governance, country stakeholder orientation, and country risk on environmental, social, and governance performance. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 155, 93–102.
31. Kluza, K., Ziolo, M., & Spoz, A. (2021). Innovation and environmental, social, and governance factors influencing sustainable business models-meta-analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 303, 12.
32. Buallay, A. M., Wadi, R. M. A., Kukreja, G., & Hassan, A. A. (2020). Evaluating ESG disclosures of Islamic banks: Evidence from the organization of Islamic cooperation members. *International Journal of Innovation and Sustainable Development*, 14(3), 266–287
33. Garcia-Sanchez, I. M., Cuadrado-Ballesteros, B., & Sepulveda, C. (2014). Does media pressure moderate CSR disclosures by external directors? *Management Decision*.
34. Jizi, M. I., & Nehme, R. (2017). Board gender diversity and firms’ equity risk. *Equality*,

Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal.

35. Mohammad, W. M. W., & Wasiuzzaman, S. (2021). Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) disclosure, competitive advantage and performance of firms in Malaysia. *Cleaner Environmental Systems*, 2, 100015.
36. Sila, V., Gonzalez, A., & Hagendorf, J. (2016). Women on board: Does boardroom gender diversity affect firm risk? *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 36, 26–53.
37. Perryman, A. A., Fernando, G. D., & Tripathy, A. (2016). Do gender differences persist? An examination of gender diversity on firm performance, risk, and executive compensation. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(2), 579–586
38. Bernardi, C., & Stark, A. W. (2018). Environmental, social, and governance disclosure, integrated reporting, and the accuracy of analyst forecasts. *The British Accounting Review*, 50(1), 16–31.
39. Del Bosco, B., & Misani, N. (2016). The effect of cross-listing on the environmental, social, and governance performance of firms. *Journal of World Business*, 51(6), 977–990.
40. Bassen, A., & Kovács, A. M. (2020). Environmental, social, and governance key performance indicators from a capital market perspective. *Wirtschafts-und unternehmensethik* (pp. 809–820). Springer.
41. Hummel, K., & Schlick, C. (2016). The relationship between sustainability performance and sustainability disclosure—reconciling voluntary disclosure theory and legitimacy theory. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 35(5), 455–476.
42. Azmi, W., Ng, A., Dewandaru, G., & Nagayev, R. (2019). Doing well while doing good: the case of Islamic and sustainability equity investing. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 19(3), 207–218.
43. Chowdhury, M. A. F., & Masih, M. (2015). Socially responsible investment and Shariah-compliant investment compared: Can investors benefit from diversification? An ARDL approach
44. Sila, I., & Cek, K. (2017). The impact of environmental, social and governance

dimensions of corporate social responsibility on economic performance: Australian evidence. *Procedia Computer Science*, 120, 797–804.

45. Shao, B. B. M., & Lin, W. T. (2016). Assessing output performance of information technology service industries: Productivity, innovation, and catch-up. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 172, 43–53

46. Adams, C. A., & Abhayawansa, S. (2021). Connecting the COVID-19 pandemic, environmental, social, and governance ESG investing and calls for ‘harmonization of sustainability reporting. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 82: 10

47. Dolat Capital. (March 10, 2022). NIFTY 50 companies with the highest environmental, social and governance (ESG) composite score in India as of financial year 2021 [Graph].

In Statista. Retrieved May 17, 2023, from

<https://www.statista.com/statistics/1356337/india-companies-with-the-highest-esg-score/>