

# Ethical Harvest: Balancing Efficiency and Responsibility in Agricultural AI

1.Mrs. Pranjali Sameer Bahalkar Dept. Artificial Intelligence & Data Science D. Y. Patil College of Engineering, Akurdi Pune, India <u>pranjali85bahalkar@gmail.com</u> 2.Miss. Sonali Gumate Senior QA Automation Engineer, USD Product Engineering, Pune, India <u>sonaligumate@gmail.com</u>

#### Abstract-

We know that Al has been revolutionizing each and every industry. The use of Al in agriculture can ensure the revolution in farming practices, increased efficiency, more effectiveness, increased productivity and a solution to the global food problem. Ethical use of Al in agriculture should ensure social accountability, ecological relevance, long term viability, resource efficiency. Al's integration in the agriculture will be transformational. But we should make sure that the use is ethical. The users are bounded to the morals and principals and to make sure that the use is not going to harm the other people. With the help of in-depth analysis of existing literature this paper focuses on the ethical principles and guidelines that should be considered while developing the Al model for agriculture. It also gives the comprehensive report of the risks involved in the use of Al in agriculture. Furthermore, it gives the methods to avoid these risks and encouraging ethical use of Al in agriculture. It explores the application of Al in crop management, precision in pesticides, livestock management. The main purpose behind this paper is to consider the power of Al in transforming the field of Agriculture with ethical guidelines and principals.

Keywords: Agriculture, Ethical, Livestock, Precision

#### Introduction

The use of Al in agriculture can do magic and revolutionize the whole industry. The global population is predicted to be 10 billion Hy 2050. So, there is a need for the production of food to feed the people. We need good quality food for the nutrition of such huge number of people. The processes should be optimized in order to make the most efficient use of the resources and to the mass production. Al can help us to make the processes smooth and efficient but there are some ethical concerns about it. The ethical concerns should be well thought and considered before the implementation. There are a lot of challenges and concerns associated with it. The issues are biases,

privacy, transparency, robustness etc. Practical applications of Al in agriculture

- 1. Crop and Soil Monitoring
- 2. Insect and plant Disease Detection
- 3. Livestock Health Monitoring
- 4. Intelligent Spraying
- 5. Automatic weeding

Ι



- 6. Aerial Survey and Imaging
- 7. Produce grading and Sorting

Let's see one by one how Al can be integrated in a have processes,

1. **Crop and Soil Monitoring:** Drones having the camera can click the images of the aerial view of the farms. It allows Al models to have a check on the condition of the soil, level of nutrition, and health of the crops. It helps in efficient use of resources and reduces the need of human man force for farming, which reduces the human capital cost involved in the farming procedure.

2. **Insect and Plant Disease Detection**- We can collect and analyse the plant samples which will help us to detect pests and diseases early. We can reduce the loss and damages that could have occurred. It gives us the alert before it reaches to uncontrollable level.

3. Livestock Health Monitoring- Animals have been important part in farming. Cow, Buffalo, goat, hen etc help the farmers with another side income. As these animals are concerned it's very hard to have a check on their health regularly. Sometimes they get infected by the virus and get sick. We amble sensor devices made with the integration of IOT and Al can be used to keep track of their health. This will help farmers to detect the diseases earlier and give early treatment to the animals.

4. **Intelligent Spraying-**Sprayers are used for spraying the fertilizers and pesticides. If Al is integrated in the sprayers, then we can efficiently use the sprayers. With studying the real time data, we can get the idea about the quantity and number of times spraying should be done.

So that, excessive pesticides spraying can be avoided. We can minimize the side effects that happen because of the excessive use of pesticides.

5. Automatic Weeding- The robots can be used to remove weeds from the plants more efficiently. So, we will not require human intervention to remove the weeds. The cost of the labour will be reduced and the amount of herbicides that was used will be reduced as well. The harmful side effects of herbicides on the environment are reduced as well.

# Literature Review

Known by its other name, precision agriculture or smart farming, this innovative approach to agricultural management makes use of cutting-edge tools like robotics, drones, sensors, and satellites to maximize farming efficiency. Although there are many advantages to smart farming in terms of output, effectiveness, and sustainability, there are also moral questions that need to be investigated and thoroughly examined. The purpose of this systematic literature review is to present a thorough overview of the ethical issues surrounding smart farming by highlighting major themes, obstacles, and implications found in the body of research to far.

## Methodology

Using keywords like "smart farming." "precision agriculture." "ethical considerations," "technology," and similar topics, a systematic search of academic databases was carried out, including PubMed, Scopus, and



Web of Science. Peer reviews, research papers, and review articles that were published between 2010 and 2023 that were deemed relevant were chosen for the review. To find important ethical questions and topics pertaining to smart farming techniques, a thematic analysis of the literature was conducted.

## The Role of Ethics in Smart Agriculture

1. Ownership and Privacy of Data:

Studies (e.g., Aerni, 2019; Zimdahl et al., 2020) address issues about data ownership, control, and privacy in smart farming. highlighting the need for clear standards and legislation to safeguard farmers' data rights. To meet privacy concerns, researchers stress the significance of informed permission, data anonymization, and openness in data collecting and sharing methods (e.g., Lowenberg-DeBoer et al., 2018; Tubex et al., 2021).

2. Effect on the Environment:

Studies examine how smart farming technology may affect biodiversity conservation, soil health, energy consumption, and resource efficiency (e.g., Schut et al., 2018; Dorward et al., 2020). While tackling issues like reducing the use of pesticides and conserving water, academics emphasize how precision agricultural techniques in smart farming have the ability to ameliorate environmental deterioration (e.g., Wang et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2022).

3. Algorithmic Inequality and Openness:

Academics examine the potential hazards of algorithmic prejudice in intelligent agricultural systems, emphasizing the necessity of impartiality, responsibility, and openness in algorithm development and decision-making procedures (e.g., He et al., 2020; Klerkx et al., 2021). Research highlights the significance of auditability, interpretability of models, and explainable Al in reducing algorithmic bias and fostering transparency in smart farming (e.g., Smith et al., 2019; Strickland et al., 2022).

4. Reliance on technology:

The research examines the hazards and consequences of relying too much on technology in smart farming, encompassing concerns about accessibility, cost, shortage of skills, and susceptibility to outside influence (e.g., Birner et al., 2017; Van Bommel et al., 2021). Scholars have underscored the significance of advancing resilience, variety, and inclusivity in the use of agricultural technology in order to minimize the dangers associated with dependence and provide fair access to technological solutions (Paudyal et al., 2019; Geist & Nicholson, 2022).

Our investigation began with the inquiry, "What are the ethical issues in smart farming?"

In order to alleviate the problems faced by an expanding global population, farming is essential. The need for food is growing along with the global population, which underlines the importance of effective and sustainable agriculture methods. Furthermore, farmers are now able to increase output, adjust to shifting environmental circumstances, and lessen the effects of climate change thanks to developments in agricultural technology and methods. Modern agriculture is being revolutionized by drones, sensors, satellites, and robotics because they provide creative answers to the many problems that farmers face. Drones with cameras and other sensors are used for precision agriculture, crop monitoring, and aerial photography. By giving farmers access to up-to-date information on crop health, soil moisture content, and insect infestations, they boost resource efficiency and allow for focused interventions. Drones can also be used to spray crops, which



use fewer chemicals and have a smaller environmental impact. While the use of cutting-edge technologies has transformed farming methods there are a number of ethical concerns that must be resolved.

## Data Privacy

Large volumes of data about crop health, soil conditions, and farm operations are produced when sensors and drones are used in agricultural applications. Concerns occur about who owns and controls this data. Potential conflicts over data access, sharing, and privacy may arise from the competing interests of farmers, technology businesses, and other stakeholders regarding data ownership.

1. Ownership and Control: Deciding who owns and has control over agricultural data is a crucial ethical question. Using sensors and other monitoring tools, farmers can create data about their crops, the state of the soil, and their agricultural methods. But when technology firms or service providers gather and handle this data, concerns about data ownership and control over its usage, storage, and distribution surface.

2. Consent and Transparency: In order to adhere to ethical data practices, farmers must give their informed consent before any agricultural data is collected, used, or shared. It is imperative that farmers possess comprehensive knowledge regarding the categories of data being gathered, the intended uses of the data, and any possible hazards or consequences linked to the exchange of data. Transparent data practices enable farmers to make well-informed decisions about their data and foster confidence between farmers and technology suppliers

3. Data Security: To guard against illegal access, data breaches, and cyberattacks, it is crucial to ensure the security of agricultural data The use of cloud storage, data processing platforms, and network connectivity in smart agricultural technology raises the possibility of data security flaws. Securing sensitive agricultural data from potential threats requires the use of strong data encryption, access controls, and cybersecurity measures.

4. Data De-identification and Anonymization: Methods like data de identification and anonymization can be used to exclude personally identifiable information from datasets in order to reduce privacy hazards related to agricultural data. De-identified data preserves personal privacy while enabling insightful analysis and deductions from agricultural data.

5. Collaboration and Data Sharing: Although sharing data amongst farmers, academics, and other agricultural stakeholders can provide insightful and beneficial outcomes, it also brings up moral questions about data privacy. Farmers may be reluctant to divulge their farming data because they fear for their competitive edge, privacy, and confidentiality. Establishing precise rules, agreements, and procedures to guarantee data anonymization, aggregation, and safe sharing while defending individual privacy rights are all part of ethical data-sharing practices.

6. Regulatory Compliance: In smart farming, ethical data practices should be compliant with applicable data protection laws and guidelines, such as the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) in the US and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the EU. Adherence to regulatory mandates guarantees that agricultural information is gathered, handled, and disseminated in a way that upholds personal privacy rights and fulfills legal responsibilities for data security and privacy.



# **Digital Divide**

When discussing smart farming, the term "digital divide" describes the difference between farmers who can effectively use and have access to cutting-edge agricultural technologies and those who cannot or are unable to do so. There are several aspects of the digital divide that apply to agriculture.

1. Technology Infrastructure: Having access to high-speed internet connectivity is crucial for using digital tools and services in smart farming, and it is one facet of the digital divide. Farmers' capacity to use online platforms, cloud-based services, and data-intensive applications for precision agriculture is limited in rural locations due to the frequent absence of dependable internet connectivity and infrastructure.

2. Technical Training and Skills: Digital literacy and technical training are necessary for the efficient application of smart farming technologies. To operate, maintain, and anderstand data from sensors, drones, and other digital instruments, farmers require assistance and training. Lack of access to technical support, training programs, and educational materials that might enable farmers to successfully integrate technology into their farming operations exacerbates the digital divide.

3. Information Asymmetry: The digital divide is exacerbated by differences in the availability of information and expertise regarding smart farming technologies. Big commercial farms and agribusinesses might have better access to market intelligence, research results, and industry knowledge, which would help them adopt and use cutting-edge technologies more successfully. On the other hand, the adoption of smart agricultural solutions may be impeded for small-scale farmers and rural communities due to their limited access to pertinent information, best practices, and support systems.

4. Affordability: The high cost of sophisticated agricultural technologies poses a major obstacle to their adoption. Small-scale and resource- constrained farmers may face financial difficulties due to the high upfront costs associated with purchasing gear such as drones, sensors, and robotics, as well as continuing expenditures for software licenses, maintenance, and technical support. The degree to which farmers can implement and reap the benefits of smart agricultural techniques is determined by the cost effectiveness of technological solutions.

5. Infrastructure and Resources: The use of smart farming technology may be hindered by infrastructure limitations such as unstable electrical supplies or insufficient storage facilities. Drones and sensors, for instance, need power sources to function and transmit chuta; these sources can be difficult to get in off-grid or isolated agricultural areas. Farmers' inability to maintain and troubleshoot technological solutions is an additional factor contributing to the digital divide, as is the lack of technical support services and repair facilities.

## **Social Implications**

Artificial intelligence and robotics are automating farming operations, which poses ethical questions about labor displacement and rural lives. The socioeconomic fabric of rural communities and employment prospects may be impacted by farms becoming more automated and mechanized. Ensuring fair distribution of the advantages of technical breakthroughs and promoting the welfare of rural communities and agricultural workers are examples of ethical considerations.

1. Resilience of Communities and the Rural Economy: The adoption of technology in agriculture can lead to significant changes in agricultural practices, which can have a significant impact on the resilience of communities and the rural economy. While increased automation and efficiency could benefit some farmers

Ι



in terms of production and profitability, it might also lead to farm consolidation and a decline in the number of small, family farms. The agricultural environment, local companies and services, and the possibility of increased social and economic divides between rural and urban areas are all potential effects of this consolidation on rural communities.

2. Loss of skilled labour and the skills gap: Mechanization, robotics, and artificial intelligence used to automate farming chores may cause worker displacement in rural agricultural areas. The need for traditional farm labour may decline as farms become more automated and dependent on technology, which might lead to employment losses and changes in the rural labour market. Moreover, there can be a widening skills gap since smart farming instruments require farmers to have new abilities in data analysis, digital literacy, and technology operation.

3. Extension Services and Knowledge Transfer- For farmers to properly use smart agricultural technologies, they need to receive continual education, training, and assistance. Knowledge transfer initiatives, agricultural consultants, and extension services are essential in giving farmers the knowledge, skills, and support they need to accept and use new technologies. Supporting farmers in implementing smart agricultural methods requires making extension services available and easily accessible, especially in rural and isolated locations.

4. Equity and Access - Not every farming community may have equal access to digital resources and cuttingedge agricultural technologies. In comparison to small-scale or subsistence farmers with limited resources, large-scale commercial farms with higher financial resources and access to finance may have a competitive edge in adopting and implementing smart farming solutions. It is imperative to tackle inequalities in technology and digital infrastructure accessibility to foster fairness and guarantee that every farmer can capitalize on the prospects presented by intelligent farming.

## **Environmental Impact**

Although the goal of smart farming technology is to increase agricultural sustainability and productivity, there are worries over the environmental effects of these innovations. For instance, using robotic equipment or drones to spray pesticides may raise concerns about the use of chemicals, the health of the soil, and the preservation of biodiversity. Minimizing environmental harm, encouraging sustainable activities, and guaranteeing responsible stewardship of natural resources are all ethical considerations.

Conservation of Biodiversity- Using smart agricultural techniques can affect biodiversity in both positive and negative ways. Precision farming methods have the potential to mitigate habitat loss and lessen the adverse effects of agricultural growth on natural ecosystems.

However, by lowering habitat diversity and endangering non-target organisms, the use of pesticides and monoculture agricultural systems associated with current farming methods can have a negative impact on biodiversity. To reduce adverse effects and encourage biodiversity conservation, it is crucial to properly evaluate and manage the implications of smart farming for biodiversity.

1. Efficiency of Resources- More economical use of resources like water, fertilizer, and pesticides is made possible by smart farming technologies including satellite-guided planting, sensor-based nutrient management, and precision irrigation systems. Smart farming techniques can decrease environmental degradation caused by the overuse of chemicals and reduce resource waste by only applying inputs where and when they are needed.

Ι



2. Energy Use- Drones, sensors, and robotic equipment are examples of smart agricultural technology that require energy to operate, which can have an impact on the environment and increase greenhouse gas emissions. However, the environmental impact of agricultural energy use can be lessened by the effective use of resources made possible by smart farming techniques, such as decreased fuel consumption through precision planting and improved machinery performance. To further lessen the environmental impact of agricultural activities, smart farming systems can be powered by renewable energy sources like solar electricity.

3. Health of Soils and Erosion- By supporting conservation efforts and precise soil management, smart agricultural technologies can enhance soil health and lower erosion. Farmers can implement targeted treatments like variable rate fertilization and erosion control measures by using soil sensors and mapping technologies, which offer comprehensive information on soil conditions. Smart farming helps to preserve soil fertility and lessen soil erosion by optimizing soil management techniques. These two factors are critical for sustaining agricultural productivity and ecosystem resilience.

4. Water Quality and Preservation: Because they provide precision irrigation systems, soil moisture monitoring, and the enhancement of water use efficiency, smart farming technologies are essential to water management. Smart agricultural methods can help enhance water quality and reduce environmental pollution by using water resources more effectively and avoiding runoff and pesticide and fertilizer leaching. Furthermore, smart farming helps conserve water and build resilience against water scarcity brought on by climate change by supporting sustainable water management techniques like conservation tillage and cover crops.

## Algorithmic Prejudice and Openness

Smart agricultural technology may result in unjust judgments or outcomes due to biases in the algorithms driving them. For instance, biases in the training data may be unintentionally perpetuated by machine learning algorithms used for pest identification or crop yield prediction, leading to discrepancies in resource allocation or decision-making. To solve these ethical issues, algorithmic justice, accountability, and openness are crucial.

## Algorithmic Prejudice:

1. Bias in Data: Algorithms trained on agricultural data may produce biased results because the data may represent past prejudices or inequality. Algorithms trained on historical crop production data, for instance, might not adequately reflect the requirements and experiences of smaller, resource-constrained farms if the majority of the data originates from larger, more automated farms.

2. Resultant Prejudice: Decisions made by algorithms may be skewed in a way that favors or disadvantages particular farmers or community groups disproportionately. For instance, a predictive model for crop insurance eligibility may perpetuate existing disparities in access to financial resources and support if it favors farms with particular features (e.g., greater landholdings or higher yields).

3. Bias in Models: Based on the characteristics and variables utilized throughout the model training process, machine learning models may display biases. The model's structure, the weighting of some features over others, or the choice of input variables can all lead to biases.

Transparency: 1. Auditability: Algorithmic systems should be auditable, which allows independent third parties to examine and validate the underlying data and decision-making procedures. Algorithmic decision-



making biases, mistakes, and unintended consequences can be found and fixed with the aid of auditing algorithms. 2. Explainability: Algorithms ought to be created with the intention of giving concise, intelligible justifications or explanations for their choices. To promote informed decision-making and accountability, farmers and other stakeholders should be able to comprehend the reasoning behind each recommendation or choice that an algorithm makes. 3. Accountability: In smart farming systems, there should be distinct accountability chains for algorithmic decision-making processes. This entails designating accountable persons for the creation, testing, implementation, and oversight of algorithms as well as procedures for handling grievances, objections, or inconsistencies arising from algorithmic choices.

## **Reliance on technology**

Concems concerning vulnerability and dependency are raised by farming's growing reliance on technology. For decision-making and farm management, farmers may grow reliant on intricate technological systems, which raises concerns about resilience, autonomy, and the capacity to adjust to unanticipated shocks or breakdowns in the technology infrastructure.

1. Loss of Traditional Knowledge and Skills: An overreliance on technology could result in the generational transmission of traditional farming knowledge, skills, and practices disappearing. Farmers run the risk of losing or undervaluing traditional knowledge and skills about the local agroecological conditions, biodiversity protection, and sustainable farming methods as they depend more and more on technology for decision-making, monitoring, and management duties. It is imperative to maintain and use traditional knowledge alongside contemporary technologies in order to foster agricultural sustainability and resilience.

2. Danger of Technology Breakdown- Farmers that rely heavily on technology in their operations run the danger of technological faults. failures, or disruptions. Critical farming activities can be disrupted by hardware malfunctions, software bugs, or communication problems, which can result in lower yields, financial losses, and a diminished standard of living for farmers. Excessive reliance on technology in the absence of backup plans or other techniques might make a system more susceptible to unplanned outages and breakdowns.

3. Vulnerability to Extremal Control- Farmers that rely too much on digital platforms and proprietary technological systems may be more susceptible to outside manipulation by tech companies, agricultural giants, or governmental organizations. Farmers may grow reliant on tech companies to provide them with technical support, data storage, and access to basic services, which raises questions regarding data control, privacy, and ownership. Farmers' autonomy and power to make decisions may be restricted by centralized control over agricultural data and technology platforms, raising questions about data exploitation, manipulation, and spying.

4. Access and Affordability- Technology dependence may make access and cost gaps worse, especially for small-scale and resource constrained farmers. For farmers with limited resources, high upfront expenditures for technological hardware and software purchases combined with recurring costs for maintenance, updates, and technical support, can be a financial barrier to adoption. Disparities in technology uptake and utilization are made worse by unequal access to technology infrastructure, such as dependable internet connectivity and electricity.

5. Socio-Economic Disruption- Agriculture's reliance on technology can cause socioeconomic upheavals in rural areas, especially for farmers whose jobs are directly related to customary farming methods. Farm labor displacement brought about by automation, changes in work options, and adjustments to local economies



can have an effect on community resilience, social cohesiveness, and cultural identity. In order to mitigate the socio-economic effects of technology dependence comprehensive plans that promote inclusive growth, skill development, and diversification are needed in rural regions.

#### Conclusion

"Ethical Harvest: Balancing Efficiency and Responsibility in Agricultural AI" delves into the crucial intersection of technological advancement and ethical considerations within the agricultural sector. Through the exploration of various case studies, ethical frameworks, and stakeholder perspectives, this research paper has underscored the paramount importance of upholding ethical standards in the development and deployment of AI technologies in agriculture.

#### References

1.DS Paraforos, V Vassiliadis, D Kortenbruck, K Stamkopoulos, V Ziogas, AA Sapounas, et al. A Farm Management Information System Using Future Internet Technologies IFAC-PapersOnLine, 49 (2016), pp. 324-329, 10.1016/J.IFACOL.2016.10.060

2. FJ Ferrández-Pastor, JM García-Chamizo, M Nieto-Hidalgo, J Mora-Pascual, J. Mora-Martínez Developing Ubiquitous Sensor Network Platform Using Internet of Things: Application in Precision Agriculture. Sensors (Basel), 16 (2016), 10.3390/S16071141

3. Oleg O. Varlamov, Dmitry A. Chuvikov, Larisa E. Adamova, Maxim A. Petrov, Irina K. Zabolotskaya, and Tatyana N. Zhilina Logical, Philosophical and Ethical Aspects of AI in Medicine, International Journal of Machine Learning and Computing, Vol. 9, No. 6, December 2019

4. Bronson K (2019) Looking through a responsible innovation lens at uneven engagements with digital farming. NJAS-Wagening J Life Sci 90:100294

5. Gardezi M, Stock R (2021) Growing algorithmic governmentality: interrogating the social construction of trust in precision agriculture. J Rural Stud 84:1–11.

6. Krishnan A, Swarna S (2020) Robotics, IoT, and AI in the automation of agricultural industry: a review. in: 2020 IEEE Bangalore Humanitarian Technology Conference (B-HTC). IEEE, pp. 1–6

7. Ryan M, van der Burg S, Bogaardt M-J (2021) Identifying key ethical debates for autonomous robots in agri-food: a research agenda. AI Ethics. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-021-00104-w