

"Experimental effect by use of Iron Dust in Concrete Mix to Improve Its Strength as A Partial Replacement for Aggregates"

Rachit Sarode¹, Kamlesh Kumar Choudhary²

¹M.Tech Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Saraswati Institute of Engineering & Technology, Jabalpur, India. ²HOD & Assistant Professor of Civil Engineering Department, Saraswati Institute of Engineering & Technology, Jabalpur, India. ***

Abstract - This Concrete is generally utilized in the new development industry on account of its effectively accessibility and modest on the lookout. The incomplete supplanting of steel reject with regular aggregate is acted in this trial. Steel deny is the result achieved from steel producing industry, is framed by the partition of liquid steel from contaminations. In this analysis example for testing were ready, the cubes are relieved for 7 14 and 28 days and beams are restored for 7 and 28. Then, at that point properties are dictated by performing various tests like compressive strength and workability. To discover the impact of steel, deny on strength when blended in with substantial example. To contemplate the usefulness of cement on variety in various level of steel deny when blended in with concrete. The aggregate is subbed by steel decline by 0%,10%,15%,20%,25%,30%,35%,40%,45%,50% and afterward contrasted and that of normal aggregate and the best level of steel deny is gotten. It was seen that there is no actual change in new or solidified highlights of cement in presence of steel reject aggregate. The strength of the substantial example is increment when fine aggregate and coarse aggregate is supplanted with steel decline. Finally, there is correlation of workability test and compressive strength test in which we see that compressive test give higher worth than the other test.

Key Words: Steel Refuse, Natural Aggregates, Workability Analysis, Slump Test Value, Compressive Strength, Flexural Strength

1.INTRODUCTION

Concrete assumes an extremely basic part in the plan and development of the country's foundation. Concrete is ready by blending different constituents like concrete, Aggregates, water, and so on which are effectively accessible. Concrete is one of a kind among significant development materials since it is planned explicitly for specific structural designing undertakings. Concrete is blend of material which made out of granulated materials, for example, coarse Aggregates combined and tie as one with concrete or whatever other folio which makes up for the shortfalls between the materials and pastes them together. The Aggregates are gotten from enduring of regular rocks, and its expanded utilize corrupting them gradually. This issue of ecological hardship and need for Aggregates, interest for an elective source.

Steel decline is a result accomplished from the business fabricating steel, is created by the isolating the liquid steel and debasements present in it in steel making heaters. It is framed by the response of calcium oxide and the inorganic nonmetallic mixtures accessible in the steel material. Steel decline contains non-metallic ceramic material and the utilization of it diminishes the necessity of normal rocks which is utilized as constructional material, and consequently rationing our regular stone assets. Greatest use and reutilizing of side-effects and worked on squander materials for productive and natural reasons has prompted fast advancement of reject usage.

2. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The following are the objectives of the study; -

(1) To find the effect of steel deny on strength when mixed in with significant model.

(2) Iron waste is an industrial by-product that is produced as a result of producing steel from the steel factory. This waste material can be applied as partial replacement of sand and coarse aggregates in concrete.

(3) The aim of this study is to evaluate the suitability of using iron waste as a partial replacement of sand and coarse aggregates and to observe that iron waste leads to increase the workability, compressive strength, and flexural strength of concrete, as used in certain proportions.

3. METHODOLOGY

Mix design is defined as a quantity of material (cement, fine aggregate, coarse aggregate) required per cubic meter of concrete. Indian Standard method of mix design (as per IS: 456-2000, IS: 10262-2009) the mix design of plain concrete is carried out as follows.

1. Grade designation (Characteristic Compressive strength)

- 2. Type of grade of cement
- 3. Type of Aggregate
- 4. Maximum nominal size of aggregates
- 5. Minimum water/cement ratio, cement content
- 6. Workability by durability required
- 7. Quality control achieved.

Table 1	- The mix	proportion	per cubic	meter of	concrete
---------	-----------	------------	-----------	----------	----------

Water	Cement	Fine Aggregate	Coarse Aggregate
186	413.3 kg/m ³	712.82 kg/m ³	1123.82 kg/m ³
Liters	_		_
0.45	1	1.73	2.72

4. RESULTS COMPACTION FACTOR TEST

Compaction factor = weight of partially compacted

Concrete/Weight of compacted concrete

COMPACTION FACTOR FOR NORMAL MIX(Mo) = 0.80

I

SJIF Rating: 8.176

ISSN: 2582-3930

Table 2 Compaction Factor Value of M30 Grade of Concretewith Different % Of Steel Refuse Replaced by Fine Aggregate

S no	Mix	Fine aggregate replaced by steel refuse	Compaction factor value
1	F-M1	0%	0.81
2	F-M2	10%	0.83
3	F-M3	15%	0.85
4	F-M4	20%	0.87
5	F-M5	25%	0.89
6	F-M6	30%	0.91
7	F-M7	35%	0.93
8	F-M8	40%	0.89
9	F-M9	45%	0.87
10	F-M10	50%	0.84



Graph 1 Compaction Factor Value of M30 Grade of Concrete with Different % Of Steel Refuse Replaced by Fine Aggregate

Table 3 Compaction Factor Value of M30 Grade of Concrete with Different % of Steel Refuse Replaced by C.A.

S no	Mix	Coarse aggregate replaced by steel refuse	Compaction factor value
1	C-M1	0%	0.83
2	C-M2	10%	0.82
3	C-M3	15%	0.84
4	C-M4	20%	0.88
5	C-M5	25%	0.89
6	C-M6	30%	0.90
7	C-M7	35%	0.90
8	C-M8	40%	0.91
9	C-M9	45%	0.89
10	C-M10	50%	0.90

Compaction factor value 0.95 Factor value 0.90 0.85 0.80 0.75 CAR C-MA C.M.S C.Mb C.149 C.MIO CAN C-M5 CAN C.748 Mix

Graph 2 Compaction Factor Value of M30 Grade of Concrete with Different % Of Steel Refuse Replaced by Coarse Aggregate

COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH TEST

Table 4 Compressive Strength Test Value Of M30 Grade Of Concrete With Different % Of Steel Refuse Replaced By Fine

S no	Mix	Fine aggregate replaced by steel refuse	Compressive strength test value (in Mpa)
1	F-M1	0%	26.55
2	F-M2	10%	26.65
3	F-M3	15%	27.15
4	F-M4	20%	28.68
5	F-M5	25%	29.66
6	F-M6	30%	31.25
7	F-M7	35%	28.60
8	F-M8	40%	27.55
9	F-M9	45%	26.70
10	F-M10	50%	25.30



Graph 3 Compressive Strength Test Value of M30 Grade of Concrete with Different % Of Steel Refuse Replaced by Fine Aggregate For 7 Days

Table 5 Compressive Strength Test Value of M30 Grade of Concrete with Different % Of Steel Refuse Replaced by Coarse Aggregate For 7 Days

S no	Mix	Coarse aggregate replaced by steel refuse	Compressive strength test value (in MPa)
1	C-M1	0%	26.55
2	C-M2	10%	27.40
3	C-M3	15%	27.90
4	C-M4	20%	29.43
5	C-M5	25%	30.41
6	C-M6	30%	32.00
7	C-M7	35%	29.35
8	C-M8	40%	28.30
9	С-М9	45%	27.45
10	C-M10	50%	26.05

I



SJIF Rating: 8.176

ISSN: 2582-3930



Graph 4 Compressive Strength Test Value of M30 Grade of Concrete with Different % Of Steel Refuse Replaced by Coarse Aggregate For 7 Days

 Table 6 Compressive Strength Test Value Of M30 Grade Of

 Concrete With Different % Of Steel Refuse Replaced By Fine

S no	Mix	Fine aggregate replaced by steel refuse	Compressive strength test value (in mpa)
1	F-M1	0%	34.55
2	F-M2	10%	34.78
3	F-M3	15%	35.66
4	F-M4	20%	35.95
5	F-M5	25%	36.85
6	F-M6	30%	37.95
7	F-M7	35%	36.50
8	F-M8	40%	36.10
9	F-M9	45%	35.21
10	F-M10	50%	34.25



Graph 5 Compressive Strength Test Value Of M30 Grade Of Concrete With Different % Of Steel Refuse Replaced By Fine Aggregate For 14 Days

Table 7 Compressive Strength Test Value Of M30 Grade Of Concrete With Different % Of Steel Refuse Replaced By Coarse Aggregate For 14 Days

S no	Mix	Coarse aggregate replaced by steel refuse	Compressive strength test value (in MPa)
1	C-M1	0%	34.55
2	C-M2	10%	35.76
3	C-M3	15%	36.67
4	C-M4	20%	37.15
5	C-M5	25%	37.95
6	C-M6	30%	38.60
7	C-M7	35%	36.55
8	C-M8	40%	35.25
9	С-М9	45%	35.12
10	C-M10	50%	34.92



Graph 6 Compressive Strength Test Value Of M30 Grade Of Concrete With Different % Of Steel Refuse Replaced By Coarse Aggregate For 14 Days

Table 8 Compressive Strength Test Value Of M30 Grade Of Concrete With Different % Of Steel Refuse Replaced By Fine Aggregate For 28 Days

S no	Mix	Fine aggregate replaced by steel refuse	Compressive strength test value (in MPa)
1	F-M1	0%	38.56
2	F-M2	10%	39.42
3	F-M3	15%	40.25
4	F-M4	20%	41.48
5	F-M5	25%	42.64
6	F-M6	30%	44.71
7	F-M7	35%	43.55
8	F-M8	40%	42.10
9	F-M9	45%	41.25
10	F-M10	50%	39.65



SJIF Rating: 8.176

ISSN: 2582-3930



Graph 6.9 Compressive Strength Test Value Of M30 Grade Of Concrete With Different % Of Steel Refuse Replaced By Fine Aggregate For 28 Days

Table 6.15 Compressive Strength Test Value Of M30 Grade Of Concrete With Different % Of Steel Refuse Replaced By

S No	Mix	Coarse Aggregate Replaced By Steel Refuse	Compressive Strength Test Value(in Mpa)
1	C-M1	0%	38.56
2	C-M2	10%	40.67
3	C-M3	15%	41.50
4	C-M4	20%	42.73
5	C-M5	25%	43.89
6	C-M6	30%	45.96
7	C-M7	35%	44.80
8	C-M8	40%	43.35
9	С-М9	45%	42.50
10	C-M10	50%	40.90



Graph 6.10 Compressive Strength Test Value Of M30 Grade Of Concrete With Different % Of Steel Refuse Replaced By Coarse Aggregate For 28 Days.

5. CONCLUSIONS

- 1. It has been seen that as the level of steel refuse expands the compressive strength increments at first, on additional expansion in its rate decreases its compressive strength.
- 2. From the above focuses it tends to be reasoned that steel Refuse is exceptionally compelling for further developing the strength qualities, breaking and functionality of the substantial. Accordingly, the presentation of the substantial will be improved if appropriate plan and development philosophy is embraced.
- 3. Aggregates well impacts the pressure of cement by expanding the surface region for hard holding with concrete glue and diminishing high interior pressure focuses.
- 4. The molecule size dissemination and most extreme size of Aggregates influence the economy of generally speaking substantial combination, as they decide the amount of concrete glue needed for a specific cement. The volume of glue relies upon the volume of void space, which can be changed by fusing distinctive size particles. Sifter investigation is completed for the assurance the reviewing of Aggregates.
- 5. Another property of Aggregates is their capacity to assimilate water because of their porosity. Water can likewise be held on a superficial level as adsorbed water in the method of a slender film. This adsorbed water should be considered in the combination plan, as additional water to be added into the blend should be changed relying on the water/concrete proportion. For the most part, Aggregates are accumulated before use in the development and are in an air-dried state.
- 6. Adequacy is likewise a fundamental property of coarse Aggregates. Aggregates are supposed to be unstable when their volume changes rely on the adjustment of climate for example because of compound responses.

REFERENCES

- Ajay Pandurang Wanode (2018)"Experimental Study on Strength of Concrete Using Industrial Steel Refuse" International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) Volume 6 Issue I, January 2018
- 2 Mr. I. Michael raj and et al (2018)"EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF STEEL REFUSE WITH FINE AGGREGATE IN RCC ELEMENTS" International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) Volume: 05 Issue: 12 | Dec 2018
- 3 Gaurav Desai et al (2018)"Partial Replacement of Fine Aggregate Using Steel Refuse" IJEDR 2018 | Volume 6, Issue 2 | ISSN: 2321-9939
- 4 R. Rajendran (2018)"An Experimental Study on M30 in which the natural coarse aggregate were partially replaced with steel refuse aggregate and fine aggregate is replaced by crumb rubber" International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)Volume 6, Issue 07.
- 5 Harsh Gupta (2017) "Steel Refuse as a Partial Replacement of Fine Aggregate in Terms of High Strength Concrete" International Journal of Advance Research, Ideas and Innovations in Technology(Volume3, Issue6).
- 6 Rahul D. Ghode (2017) "A STUDY ON STRENGTH PARAMETERS OF CONCRETE BY PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF CEMENT WITH QUARRY DUST AND SAND WITH IRON REFUSE"JETIR (ISSN-2349-5162)July 2017, Volume 4, Issue 07.

I

SJIF Rating: 8.176

ISSN: 2582-3930



- 8 Mr. Pruthviraj L. Kadam (2016), "effect of partial replacement of fine aggregate by Steel Refuse and its impact on compressive strength of concrete"International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 7, Issue 2, February-2016.
- 9 Prof.Pankaj Bhausaheb Autade. "Effect of steel refuse as a replacement of fine aggregate in M40 Grade of concrete"-ISSN: 2319-6319. VOL-5 August 2016
- 10 K.Thangaselvi. "Strength and durability of concrete using steel refuse" IJARTET. VOL-2 July 2015
- 11 S.Selvakumar, R.Venkatakrishnaiah. "Strength properties of concrete using crumb rubber with partial replacement of fine aggregate" – IJIRSET ISSN: 2319-8753 March 2015
- 12 P.S.Kothai, Dr.R.Malathy "Utilization Of Steel Refuse In Concrete As A Partial Replacement Material for Fine Aggregates" International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 3, Issue 4, April 2014
- 13 P.S.Kothai, Dr.R.Malathy. April (2014) "Utilization of steel refuse in concrete as a partial replacement material for fine aggregates". IJIRSET, ISSN: 2319 – 8763 An ISO 3291 – 2007Certified Organization Vol. 03 Issue 04,.
- 14 Krishna Prasanna P Venkata Kiranmayi K. October (2014) "Steel refuse as a substitute for fine aggregate in high strength concrete". IJERT ISSN: 2278 – 0181. Vol. 03 Issue 10
- 15 Chinnaraju.K, V.R.Ramkumar, K.Lineesh, S.Nithya and V.Sathish(2013)"study on concrete using steel refuse as coarse aggregate replacement and eco sand as fine aggregate replacement" International Journal of Research in Engineering & Advanced Technology, Volume 1, Issue 3
- 16 Praveen Mathew, Leni Stephen, Jaleen George "Steel Refuse ingredient for concrete pavement" International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology Vol. 2, Issue 3, March 2013
- 17 IS: 456: 2000, Plain and Reinforced Code of practice