

Two-Day National Conference on
"GST Reforms: Challenges, Opportunities, and Socio-Economic Effects in Telangana State"
on 09th & 10th June 2026

**CMR TECHNICAL CAMPUS**

Department of MBA

UGC Autonomous, Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & JNTU Hyderabad
Kandlakoya (V), Medchal, Hyderabad – 501401

Feasibility and Operational Implications of Hybrid Work in Non-IT Sectors in India: A Sectoral Analysis of Healthcare, Manufacturing, And Retail

Sonaly Biswal 1 , N P Nandini 2¹Student, Department of MBA, CMR Technical Campus,
Kandlakoya, Medchal, Hyderabad.Sonalybiswal1509@gmail.com²Assistant Professor, Department of MBA CMR Technical campus
Kandlakoya Medchal, Hyderabad.np.nandinisingh@gmail.com

Abstract

The debate around hybrid work has been largely limited to the information technology sector, leaving a major analytical gap on its applicability in sectors that underpin India's economy. This paper investigates the potential and operational implications of hybrid work models in three major non-IT sectors (healthcare, manufacturing, retail) through a conceptual, secondary research based sectoral analysis. The study, using global industry reports from McKinsey & Company, Deloitte, International Labour Organization (ILO) and Indian workforce statistics available in the public domain, critically evaluates where hybrid work works, where it does not work and the functional differentiation required to selectively adopt it. The central thesis of this paper is that hybrid work is not a sector-wide solution, but rather a model based on function whose applicability is contingent on the nature of tasks, infrastructure readiness, and regulatory environment. The findings have significant implications for human resource strategy, workforce planning, and post-pandemic organisational design in the Indian context.

1. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic served as an unprecedented catalyst for the reconfiguration of work across the globe. While remote and hybrid work models gained rapid traction in the technology sector, questions persist regarding their transferability to sectors characterised by physical presence, equipment dependency, and real-time human interaction. In India, a nation of over 500 million workers, the non-IT sectors—including healthcare, manufacturing, and organised retail—collectively employ the vast majority of the formal workforce. Yet, the policy and academic discourse on flexible work arrangements has remained skewed toward IT-BPM (Information Technology and Business Process Management) industries.

According to NASSCOM (2022), the Indian IT sector employs approximately 5.4 million professionals, a figure that represents less than two percent of the total Indian workforce. This statistical disparity underscores the urgency of

examining hybrid work through a non-IT lens. As organisations across sectors grapple with evolving employee expectations, talent retention challenges, and productivity imperatives, a nuanced understanding of hybrid work's feasibility and limitations becomes indispensable for effective human resource management.

This paper aims to fill this analytical void by conducting a structured, sector-wise examination grounded in conceptual analysis and secondary evidence, with a specific focus on the Indian operational landscape.

2. Objectives of the Study

The study is guided by the following specific objectives:

- To assess the conceptual feasibility of hybrid work models in healthcare, manufacturing, and retail sectors in India.
- To conduct a sector-wise analysis of operational implications, identifying roles and functions amenable to hybrid arrangements.
- To critically evaluate the benefits and limitations of selective hybrid adoption in non-IT sectors.
- To propose a function-based framework for hybrid work applicability, as opposed to a blanket sector-wide mandate.
- To draw policy and managerial implications for HR practitioners, organisational leaders, and policymakers in the Indian context.

3. Methodology

This study adopts a conceptual research design, relying exclusively on secondary data sources and qualitative analysis. The methodology is structured around a review of extant literature, industry white papers, and workforce reports from credible national and international organisations. Primary sources include publications by McKinsey Global Institute, Deloitte Insights, the International Labour Organization, the World Economic Forum, NASSCOM, the Confederation of Indian Industry (CII), and the Ministry of Labour & Employment, Government of India.

The analytical framework employed is a sectoral feasibility matrix, which evaluates each sector against four dimensions: (a) physical presence dependency, (b) digital infrastructure readiness, (c) regulatory constraints, and (d) function-level flexibility potential. This multi-dimensional approach enables a granular examination that moves beyond binary 'feasible or not feasible' assessments to identify specific roles within each sector where hybrid arrangements may be operationalised effectively.

4. Literature Review

Hybrid work, broadly defined as a model in which employees split their time between remote and on-site locations, emerged from a pre-pandemic discourse on flexible work arrangements but gained institutional legitimacy during 2020–2022 (Bloom et al., 2021). McKinsey's 'Future of Work After COVID-19' (2021) report identified that more than 20 percent of the global workforce could work remotely three to five days per week with no loss of productivity, though this figure was heavily contingent on occupation type and sector.

Critically, the ILO's 'Working from Home: From Invisibility to Decent Work' (2021) report highlighted significant inequalities in remote work access, noting that workers in agriculture, construction, manufacturing, healthcare, and retail—sectors characterised by site-specific, hands-on activities—have markedly lower potential for remote work. In the Indian context, a Deloitte India report (2022) noted that while 70 percent of IT organisations had formalised hybrid policies, fewer than 12 percent of organisations in manufacturing and retail had initiated similar structural changes.

Academic literature further distinguishes between 'teleworkable' and 'non-teleworkable' tasks. Dingel and Neiman (2020), in a seminal study, estimated that only 37 percent of jobs in the United States could be performed entirely from home, with significant variation across occupational categories. Applying a similar methodology, Saltiel (2020) found that the share of teleworkable jobs in India is substantially lower, estimated at approximately 13 percent, reflecting the country's employment composition dominated by contact-intensive and manual labour occupations.

These findings establish a critical empirical foundation: the hybrid work paradigm, while transformative for knowledge-intensive industries, is not universally applicable and demands a sector-differentiated, function-specific analytical approach.

5. Sector-Wise Analysis

5.1 Healthcare Sector

India's healthcare sector employs over 7.5 million workers across hospitals, clinics, diagnostics, pharmaceuticals, and public health administration (Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, 2022). The sector is characterised by an acute physical presence imperative for patient-facing roles, creating a fundamental constraint on hybrid work adoption at the operational level.

Roles such as surgeons, ward nurses, paramedics, physiotherapists, and laboratory technicians are unambiguously non-hybridisable due to the direct, hands-on nature of patient care. However, a significant and growing segment of the healthcare workforce operates in administrative, research, analytics, and tele-consultation capacities. The post-pandemic acceleration of telemedicine—evidenced by a 400 percent surge in teleconsultation registrations on India's eSanjeevani platform between 2020 and 2022 (Ministry of Health, 2022)—demonstrates that specific clinical and non-clinical functions can be delivered remotely with measurable efficacy.

Healthcare administration, medical coding, health informatics, clinical research coordination, policy analysis, and pharmaceutical marketing represent roles where hybrid models offer genuine feasibility. However, patient data privacy regulations under India's evolving Digital Personal Data Protection Act (2023) and sector-specific compliance norms impose significant constraints on remote data access and necessitate robust cybersecurity infrastructure.

Operationally, the adoption of hybrid work in healthcare must be contingent on strict role stratification: a bifurcated model separating 'presence-essential' and 'presence-flexible' roles is not merely preferable but ethically and clinically mandatory.

5.2 Manufacturing Sector

Manufacturing constitutes one of India's most significant economic pillars, contributing approximately 17 percent to GDP and employing nearly 60 million workers in the organised sector alone (Annual Survey of Industries, 2022–23). By its fundamental operational logic, manufacturing is the sector most resistant to hybrid work, given its dependency on physical machinery, assembly lines, quality control processes, and supply chain coordination that demands on-site presence.

Shop floor workers, machine operators, quality inspectors, and logistics personnel operate within an inherently non-hybridisable paradigm. Any attempt to impose blanket hybrid policies in this context would be operationally counterproductive and potentially hazardous from a workplace safety standpoint. Industry 4.0 technologies—including IoT-enabled remote monitoring, digital twins, and AI-driven predictive maintenance—are enabling a narrow category of manufacturing professionals to perform oversight and analytical functions remotely. However, the penetration of these technologies in Indian manufacturing remains limited, with only large-scale enterprises in automotive, electronics, and speciality chemicals demonstrating meaningful digitalisation.

Where hybrid work exhibits genuine potential in manufacturing is within its peripheral knowledge functions: production planning, procurement, finance, HR, IT infrastructure management, research and development, and senior management coordination. A McKinsey survey (2022) found that even in manufacturing-heavy economies, 25 to 30 percent of the total workforce—concentrated in white-collar, analytical, and managerial roles—could feasibly operate on hybrid schedules without disrupting production continuity.

The Indian manufacturing sector's hybrid feasibility is further constrained by infrastructure asymmetries. Reliable high-speed internet connectivity, a prerequisite for effective remote work, remains unevenly distributed across industrial hubs, particularly in Tier-2 and Tier-3 cities and special economic zones.

5.3 Retail Sector

India's retail sector, valued at approximately USD 883 billion in 2023 and projected to reach USD 1.4 trillion by 2026 (IBEF, 2023), presents a distinctly layered landscape comprising unorganised kirana stores, organised brick-and-mortar retail chains, and the rapidly expanding e-commerce ecosystem. This structural diversity produces a correspondingly differentiated picture of hybrid work feasibility.

In physical retail, customer-facing roles—including store associates, cashiers, visual merchandisers, and store managers—are categorically non-hybridisable. The service encounter, a defining feature of retail value delivery, demands physical co-presence. Consumer behaviour research consistently reinforces that in-person retail relies on embodied service interactions that cannot be replicated remotely.

However, the organisational architecture of large retail enterprises encompasses substantial back-office functions: category management, demand forecasting, vendor management, marketing and communications, data analytics, e-commerce operations, and human resource management. These functions, particularly within organised retail chains and e-commerce platforms such as Reliance Retail, DMart, and Flipkart's supply chain operations, represent a viable terrain for hybrid work models. A Deloitte India report (2023) noted that e-commerce organisations, which operate at the intersection of retail and technology, have been the most progressive in adopting hybrid models, with over 65 percent offering structured flexible arrangements to corporate and analytical staff.

The retail sector's hybrid adoption is also shaped by seasonal demand volatility. During peak retail periods—festive seasons, end-of-season sales—even back-office staff frequently require on-site presence, necessitating adaptive rather than static hybrid schedules.

6. Challenges of Hybrid Adoption in Non-IT Sectors

Despite the selective viability of hybrid models in specific functions across these sectors, several systemic challenges impede broader adoption in the Indian non-IT context:

- **Infrastructure Deficit:** Reliable broadband connectivity, particularly outside metropolitan centres, remains a significant barrier. As of 2023, India's average fixed broadband speed stood at approximately 60 Mbps, masking considerable geographic variation (TRAI, 2023).
- **Digital Skill Gaps:** A substantial proportion of the non-IT workforce lacks the digital literacy necessary for effective remote collaboration, creating human capital constraints that limit hybrid work's practical scope.
- **Regulatory and Compliance Constraints:** Labour laws, occupational health and safety regulations, and sector-specific compliance requirements—particularly in healthcare and pharmaceuticals—impose structural limitations on where and how work can be performed.
- **Managerial Readiness:** Middle management in traditional non-IT organisations has historically operated within a presenteeism culture, where visibility is conflated with performance. This cognitive and cultural resistance represents a significant implementation barrier.
- **Equity and Inclusion Concerns:** Hybrid models risk creating a two-tier workforce, segregating workers into 'remote-eligible' knowledge roles and 'on-site-only' manual roles, potentially deepening existing socioeconomic disparities within organisations.

7. Benefits of Selective Hybrid Models

Notwithstanding these challenges, the selective and thoughtfully structured implementation of hybrid work in eligible functions within non-IT sectors offers substantive benefits:

- **Talent Attraction and Retention:** Non-IT organisations that offer hybrid flexibility for knowledge-role employees gain a competitive advantage in talent acquisition, particularly among younger, digitally native professionals who increasingly factor workplace flexibility into employment decisions (LinkedIn Workforce Report India, 2023).
- **Operational Cost Reduction:** For organisations with large administrative workforces, hybrid arrangements can reduce real estate and facility management expenditures. A CII estimate (2022) suggested

that companies adopting hybrid models for back-office functions can achieve 15 to 20 percent reductions in office space requirements.

- **Productivity and Employee Wellbeing:** Research by Stanford University (Bloom, 2022) and replicated in Indian contexts by SHRM India demonstrates that hybrid workers report higher job satisfaction, lower burnout rates, and comparable or superior productivity to fully on-site counterparts, particularly for deep analytical and creative work.
- **Business Continuity Resilience:** The pandemic demonstrated that organisations with pre-existing remote work capabilities demonstrated superior operational continuity. Building hybrid infrastructure in non-IT back-office functions constitutes a form of organisational risk management.

8. Discussion

The foregoing analysis yields a central, critical insight that challenges the prevailing discourse on hybrid work: hybrid work is not a sector-level solution but a function-level intervention. The analytical error that pervades much of the contemporary HR discourse is the conflation of organisational sector with job function. A hospital employs not only clinicians but also data scientists, HR professionals, and finance analysts—roles that are, in principle, as hybridisable as their counterparts in an IT firm. A manufacturing company's R&D department and procurement team are no less knowledge-intensive than a software product team.

The function-based hybrid model, therefore, represents the appropriate conceptual framework for non-IT sectors. Under this model, organisations would classify each role on a 'presence criticality scale' and design work arrangements accordingly, rather than applying blanket policies that ignore functional heterogeneity.

This approach also demands a reconceptualisation of performance management systems. Traditional attendance-based supervision must give way to output-oriented evaluation frameworks—a transition that requires significant investment in managerial training and cultural transformation, particularly in sectors with deeply entrenched hierarchical work cultures.

Furthermore, the Indian regulatory framework must evolve in parallel. Currently, the Industrial Disputes Act, the Factories Act, and various state-level labour laws do not adequately account for hybrid or remote work configurations. Policy reform that provides legal clarity on work-from-home entitlements, occupational health obligations for remote workers, and data security standards would catalyse more confident adoption across non-IT sectors.

9. Conclusion

This paper has undertaken a structured, evidence-based conceptual analysis of hybrid work feasibility in India's healthcare, manufacturing, and retail sectors. The analysis conclusively establishes that hybrid work, in its holistic form, is neither fully feasible nor entirely irrelevant to non-IT sectors. Its applicability is highly contingent on functional role characteristics, digital infrastructure, regulatory environment, and organisational readiness.

Healthcare can selectively hybridise its administrative and telemedicine functions while maintaining inviolable on-site mandates for patient care. Manufacturing can extend hybrid arrangements to its knowledge-intensive peripheral functions while preserving on-site imperatives for production operations. Retail can adopt hybrid models for its corporate, analytical, and e-commerce functions while retaining physical presence requirements for customer-facing roles.

The critical managerial and policy implication is clear: the question should not be 'Can this sector adopt hybrid work?' but rather 'Which functions within this sector can adopt hybrid work, and under what enabling conditions?' This function-based, evidence-driven approach represents the most operationally sound and equitable path forward for non-IT organisations navigating the evolving landscape of work in India.

10. Future Scope

This conceptual paper opens several avenues for further empirical investigation. Primary research employing mixed methods—including large-scale employee surveys, HR manager interviews, and organisational case studies—would provide granular, sector-specific evidence to validate or challenge the conceptual framework advanced here. Longitudinal studies tracking productivity, attrition, and employee wellbeing outcomes across hybrid and non-hybrid functions within the same non-IT organisation would yield particularly valuable comparative insights.

Additionally, future research may examine the role of emerging technologies—augmented reality for remote manufacturing oversight, AI-assisted diagnostics enabling more remote clinical work, and conversational AI for retail customer service—in progressively expanding the frontier of hybridisable functions in traditionally presence-intensive sectors. Policy research examining the adequacy of India's existing labour law framework for hybrid work realities also represents an urgent and underexplored domain.

References

- Bloom, N., Han, R., & Liang, J. (2022). How hybrid working from home works out. National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No. 30292.
- Confederation of Indian Industry (CII). (2022). Future of Work: Hybrid Models in Indian Industry. CII Publications.
- Deloitte India. (2022). The Hybrid Workplace: Implications for Indian Enterprises. Deloitte Insights.
- Deloitte India. (2023). Retail Workforce of the Future: Flexibility and Digitisation. Deloitte Insights.
- Dingel, J. I., & Neiman, B. (2020). How many jobs can be done at home? *Journal of Public Economics*, 189, 104235.
- India Brand Equity Foundation (IBEF). (2023). Retail Industry in India: Sector Report. Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Government of India.
- International Labour Organization (ILO). (2021). Working from home: From invisibility to decent work. ILO Publications.
- LinkedIn Workforce Report India. (2023). Talent Trends: Flexibility and the Future of Work in India. LinkedIn Economic Graph.
- McKinsey Global Institute. (2021). The future of work after COVID-19. McKinsey & Company.
- McKinsey Global Institute. (2022). Hybrid work: Making it fit with your diversity, equity, and inclusion strategy. McKinsey & Company.
- Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. (2022). National Health Workforce Account 2022. MoHFW.
- Ministry of Labour and Employment, Government of India. (2022–23). Annual Survey of Industries. Labour Bureau.
- NASSCOM. (2022). Indian Tech Industry: Annual Strategic Review 2022. NASSCOM.
- Saltiel, F. (2020). Who can work from home in developing countries? *Covid Economics*, 7, 104–118.
- Telecom Regulatory Authority of India (TRAI). (2023). Broadband India Report 2023. TRAI.