

Fire Safety Performance of Facade Systems in High-Rise Buildings: An Analytical Investigation

Kamlesh Chore¹, Dr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Fire Technology & Safety Engineering,
School of Engineering and Technology Vikrant University, Gwalior, (M.P.), India

²Ph.D. Guide, Department of Fire Technology & Safety Engineering,
School of Engineering and Technology Vikrant University, Gwalior, (M.P.), India

Abstract

The rapid growth of high-rise buildings has increased dependence on lightweight façade systems, rainscreen assemblies, aluminium composite panels, insulation materials, glazing systems, and other advanced envelope technologies. Although façade systems provide architectural, thermal, acoustic, and environmental benefits, the incorporation of combustible materials can significantly increase the potential for rapid external fire spread. The configuration of the façade, including combustible cladding, insulation, air cavities, joints, openings, cavity barriers, and fixing systems, can create conditions for vertical and horizontal flame propagation. The chimney effect generated within ventilated façade cavities may further accelerate fire development and threaten multiple floors within a short period.

This research presents a systematic review of façade fire safety in high-rise buildings, with particular emphasis on material combustibility, façade configuration, insulation, cavity geometry, fire barriers, external fire exposure, and smoke production. The study examines relevant research findings and fire-safety principles associated with combustible aluminium composite panels, polymeric insulation, rainscreen façades, and non-combustible cladding systems. The study also considers the regulatory context of the National Building Code of India (NBC 2016), together with international approaches including NFPA and BS 8414-based façade assessment. Previous experimental research indicates that the chimney effect can substantially increase burning rate, flame height, and temperature within façade cavities, while numerical investigations demonstrate that cavity width, cavity barriers, panel joints, and material combustibility strongly influence fire propagation.

Based on the reviewed literature, a risk-based façade fire safety framework is proposed consisting of material selection, system-level fire testing, cavity-barrier design, compartmentation, ignition prevention, inspection and maintenance, fire-service access, and computational fire modelling. The study concludes that façade fire safety cannot be evaluated solely from the combustibility classification of an individual material; the complete façade assembly and its interaction with fire exposure, geometry, ventilation, and building systems must be assessed. The proposed framework can support safer façade design and improve fire-risk management in high-rise buildings, particularly in the Indian context.

Keywords: High-rise buildings, façade fire safety, combustible cladding, aluminium composite panels, insulation, cavity barrier, chimney effect, fire spread, NBC 2016, CFD, FDS.

Introduction

Rapid urbanisation and increasing land values have encouraged vertical development in metropolitan areas. High-rise buildings are now widely used for residential, commercial, institutional, hospitality, and mixed-use purposes. Modern high-rise architecture increasingly incorporates lightweight external wall systems, curtain walls, rainscreen façades, aluminium composite panels, insulated sandwich panels, polymeric insulation, glass systems, and decorative cladding.

Façades perform several important functions. They provide weather protection, thermal insulation, acoustic performance, architectural appearance, energy efficiency, and environmental separation between internal and external spaces. However, façade systems may also represent an important pathway for fire propagation when combustible materials are incorporated into the external wall assembly.

The fire performance of a façade depends not only on the external cladding but also on the complete system comprising the cladding, insulation, supporting structure, air cavity, membranes, joints, fixings, fire stops, cavity barriers and connections to windows and other openings. The National Building Code of India 2016 identifies fire and life safety as a fundamental component of building design and includes provisions applicable to high-rise buildings.

International fire investigations have demonstrated that combustible façade assemblies can facilitate rapid fire spread over several storeys. Research following the Grenfell Tower fire demonstrated substantial differences in heat-release behaviour between combustible façade products and less combustible alternatives. Polyethylene-aluminium composite panels showed considerably higher peak and total heat release than the least flammable panels examined in the study.

Consequently, façade fire safety has evolved from a material-selection issue into a broader building-system and risk-management problem.

Problem Statement

The use of lightweight and energy-efficient façade systems creates several fire-safety challenges. In particular, combustible cladding and insulation can increase the available fuel load on the exterior of a building. A ventilated cavity can act as a vertical pathway through which flames and hot gases move rapidly.

Research on rainscreen façades has shown that pressure differences and heat transfer within façade cavities can substantially increase burning characteristics. Experimental investigations reported increases of approximately three to six times in mass burning rate, flame height and temperature under chimney-effect conditions compared with corresponding configurations without the chimney effect.

Another numerical investigation of aluminium composite panels identified cavity barriers, air-cavity gaps, panel mounting, panel joints and material combustibility as important factors affecting rapid fire spread. The study identified a critical range of cavity dimensions in which rapid propagation was particularly significant.

Therefore, a façade that appears safe when individual materials are assessed separately may present a substantially different fire risk when those materials are combined into a complete façade assembly.

Objectives

The specific objectives are:

1. To examine the fire behaviour of commonly used façade materials.
2. To analyse the contribution of combustible cladding and insulation to external fire spread.
3. To investigate the influence of façade cavities and chimney effects.
4. To examine the importance of cavity barriers and fire stopping.
5. To compare combustible and non-combustible façade systems from a fire-safety perspective.
6. To review relevant Indian and international fire-safety approaches.
7. To identify gaps in conventional façade fire-risk assessment.
8. To propose a risk-based framework for safer façade design in high-rise buildings.
9. To identify opportunities for CFD/FDS modelling and experimental validation of façade fire behaviour.

Research Questions

The study addresses the following research questions:

RQ1: How do façade materials influence fire initiation and external fire spread in high-rise buildings?

RQ2: How does the configuration of a façade cavity influence vertical flame propagation?

RQ3: What role do combustible insulation and aluminium composite panels play in façade fire development?

RQ4: How effective are cavity barriers and compartmentation in limiting external fire spread?

RQ5: Is material-level combustibility classification sufficient for evaluating the fire safety of a complete façade assembly?

RQ6: How can computational fire modelling support risk-based façade fire assessment?

Literature Review

Façade Materials and Fire Behaviour

Façade systems may include metals, concrete, masonry, glass, stone, ceramic panels, cement boards, timber, aluminium composite panels and polymer-based materials. Some of these materials are inherently non-combustible or have limited combustible content, while others can contribute substantially to the fire load.

The NFPA Research Foundation identifies aluminium composite panels, timber cladding and glass-reinforced polymers among combustible façade materials, while ceramic, stone, terracotta, glass-reinforced concrete, cement board, concrete panels, brick and precast concrete are examples of commonly used non-combustible or less combustible façade materials.

However, classification of the outer cladding alone does not necessarily establish the fire performance of the entire façade. Insulation, membranes, adhesives and cavity components may introduce additional combustible materials.

Aluminium Composite Panels

Aluminium composite panels (ACPs) are widely used because of their lightweight construction, architectural appearance, durability and ease of installation. Some ACP systems contain polymeric cores that can contribute substantially to fire development.

Research into combustible ACP systems has shown that the core material can significantly influence heat release and flame propagation. Experimental studies following major façade fires have demonstrated substantially higher heat-release characteristics for polyethylene-containing aluminium composites than for less combustible panels.

The fire risk therefore depends not merely on the presence of aluminium but on the complete panel construction, including the core, surface treatment, joints, fixing system and cavity configuration.

Combustible Insulation

Insulation is an important component of modern façade systems because it improves energy efficiency and thermal performance. However, polymeric insulation products can represent a significant combustible component of the façade.

Materials such as expanded polystyrene (EPS), polyurethane/polyisocyanurate-type foams and phenolic foams can exhibit different ignition, heat-release and smoke-production characteristics.

Research examining façade products found substantial differences between polymeric insulation and mineral wool, including differences in heat release and smoke toxicity.

Therefore, energy-efficiency objectives must be integrated with fire-safety requirements during façade design.

Façade Cavity and Chimney Effect

One of the most important mechanisms of façade fire spread is the chimney effect.

A typical rainscreen façade may contain:

- External cladding
- Ventilated air cavity
- Insulation
- Structural wall
- Fixing brackets
- Membranes
- Horizontal and vertical joints
- Cavity barriers

When flames enter the cavity, heating of the enclosed air causes buoyant upward flow. The resulting pressure difference draws additional air into the cavity. This can increase the rate of combustion and transport flames and hot gases upward.

The process may be simplified as:

Fire ignition → heating of cavity → buoyancy → upward airflow → increased oxygen supply → increased burning → upward flame propagation

Experimental research has demonstrated that the chimney effect can substantially increase mass burning rate, flame height and temperature.

Thus, the cavity must be treated as an active component of the fire system rather than simply an architectural void.

Influence of Cavity Width

Cavity dimensions can significantly influence façade fire behaviour.

A narrow cavity may restrict airflow, whereas a suitably ventilated cavity can support strong buoyancy-driven flow. Numerical and experimental research has identified cavity width as an important parameter controlling fire spread. One numerical study of combustible ACP systems reported significant effects associated with cavity dimensions and identified a range of approximately 50–100 mm in its investigated configurations where maximum fire spread occurred.

Other experimental research on rainscreen systems identified a critical cavity-width range of approximately 13–50 mm under its specific experimental conditions.

These findings should not be interpreted as a universal safe or unsafe cavity dimension because façade geometry, materials, ventilation, fire source and boundary conditions differ between buildings. Instead, they demonstrate that **cavity geometry must be explicitly considered during façade fire assessment**.

Cavity Barriers and Fire Stopping

Cavity barriers are intended to interrupt the movement of flames, hot gases and smoke through concealed spaces.

Effective cavity-barrier design should consider:

- Horizontal compartmentation
- Vertical compartmentation
- Connections around windows
- Service penetrations
- Façade joints
- Interfaces between façade and floor slabs
- Interfaces around balconies
- Movement joints
- Construction tolerances
- Long-term durability

Numerical research has identified cavity barriers as an important parameter affecting fire propagation in combustible ACP façade systems.

A major challenge is that a cavity barrier may perform adequately in isolation but fail to provide the intended protection if it is incorrectly positioned, discontinuous, damaged during construction, or incompatible with the movement of the façade.

Fire Spread Mechanisms Through Façade Systems

Façade fire spread can occur through several mechanisms.

External Surface Flame Spread

Flames from a compartment fire may emerge through windows and directly expose the external façade.

Cavity Flame Spread

Flames may enter an air cavity and propagate vertically through buoyancy-driven flow.

Material-to-Material Ignition

Burning cladding or insulation may ignite adjacent components.

Flaming Droplets

Some polymeric materials can produce burning droplets that may ignite lower portions of the façade or materials at ground level.

Radiant Heat Transfer

Hot façade components can transfer heat to adjacent combustible materials.

Wind-Assisted Spread

Wind can influence flame shape, oxygen supply and horizontal flame movement. Recent numerical research indicates that strong winds can alter horizontal and vertical flame-spread behaviour in high-rise façades.

Smoke and Toxicity

Façade fire safety is not limited to flame spread. Smoke production is equally important.

Combustion of polymeric façade components can generate toxic gases and smoke. Research associated with modern façade materials has reported substantial differences in smoke toxicity between polymeric insulation products and mineral wool.

Smoke can enter occupied spaces through:

- Broken windows
- Ventilation openings
- Mechanical systems
- Balcony doors
- Façade leakage
- Cracks and construction joints

Consequently, façade fire assessment should include smoke movement and toxic-product generation where appropriate.

Fire-Resistant and Non-Combustible Façade Materials

Safer façade design can involve materials with reduced combustibility and appropriate fire-performance characteristics.

Potential material categories include:

Material	General Fire-Safety Characteristic	Key Consideration
Concrete panels	Non-combustible	Weight and structural support
Cement boards	Generally low combustibility	Joints and fixing details
Terracotta	Non-combustible	System connections
Stone	Generally non-combustible	Anchoring and falling hazards
Mineral wool	Non-combustible/low combustibility depending on product	Moisture and installation
Ceramic panels	Non-combustible	Fixing system

Material	General Fire-Safety Characteristic	Key Consideration
Glass	Non-combustible but can fail under thermal shock	Frame and edge details
Aluminium panels	Metal itself is non-combustible, but coatings/cores matter	Complete system assessment
ACP with polymer core	Potentially combustible	Core and system fire performance
EPS/PIR/polymeric insulation	Combustible to varying degrees	Protection and system configuration
Timber	Combustible	Treatment, thickness and system design

A critical principle is that **material selection must be based on the complete façade assembly rather than the outer surface alone.**

Indian Regulatory Context

The National Building Code of India 2016 provides a comprehensive framework covering fire prevention, life safety and fire protection. Part 4 addresses Fire and Life Safety and applies to high-rise buildings and several categories of special buildings.

NBC 2016 is intended as a model code for construction and building regulation in India and includes provisions relating to fire safety, building materials, construction and building services.

For high-rise façade design, compliance should therefore be considered alongside:

1. Building height.
2. Occupancy classification.
3. Fire resistance of external walls.
4. Combustibility of façade materials.
5. Fire compartmentation.
6. Fire stopping.
7. Automatic sprinkler protection.
8. Fire detection and alarm systems.
9. Fire-service access.
10. Emergency evacuation provisions.
11. Building maintenance and inspection.

The precise requirements should be verified against the edition of NBC and applicable local/state fire regulations adopted by the relevant authority.

International Fire-Safety Testing Approaches

Several countries use large-scale or system-level approaches to assess façade fire performance.

BS 8414 is associated with large-scale façade fire testing, while BR 135 has historically been used in the UK to evaluate façade system performance against defined criteria. Research has also highlighted limitations of relying solely

on standardized tests and has emphasised the importance of understanding the relationship between test configuration and real building performance.

The NFPA Research Foundation has also developed approaches for assessing high-rise buildings with combustible exterior façade systems, reflecting the need to consider façade configuration and building-level risk rather than relying only on individual material classifications.

Therefore, façade fire assessment should ideally combine:

Material testing + component testing + system testing + building-level risk assessment.

Research Methodology

This research adopts a **systematic literature-review and risk-framework methodology**.

Proposed Risk Assessment Model

A façade fire-risk score can be represented conceptually as:

$$\text{FRI} = P \times C \times E$$

Where:

FRI = Façade Fire Risk Index

P = Probability of fire initiation

C = Consequence of façade fire spread

E = Exposure/susceptibility factor

A more detailed model may be expressed as:

$$\text{FRI} = f(M, I, G, B, W, S, H)$$

where:

- **M** = material combustibility
- **I** = insulation fire performance
- **G** = cavity geometry
- **B** = barrier effectiveness
- **W** = wind condition
- **S** = suppression-system effectiveness
- **H** = building height

A qualitative five-level risk scale can be developed:

Risk Level Description Recommended Action

1	Very Low	Standard inspection
---	----------	---------------------

Risk Level Description Recommended Action

2	Low	Routine monitoring
3	Moderate	Additional design review
4	High	System-level fire assessment
5	Very High	Redesign/replace combustible components

This framework can subsequently be converted into a quantitative model using experimental data or FDS simulation outputs.

CFD and Fire Dynamics Simulation

Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) provides an opportunity to study façade fire behaviour under controlled numerical conditions.

The Fire Dynamics Simulator (FDS) can be used to model:

- Flame development
- Temperature distribution
- Heat flux
- Smoke movement
- Airflow
- Fire spread
- Wind interaction
- Façade cavity flow
- Effectiveness of cavity barriers

Previous research has used FDS to model full-scale façade fire-test configurations based on BS 8414 procedures. The models examined the effects of cavity barriers, air gaps, panel mounting arrangements and material combustibility.

A future simulation study could compare the following scenarios:

Case 1: Non-combustible cladding + mineral wool

Case 2: Aluminium panel + mineral wool

Case 3: ACP + mineral wool

Case 4: ACP + combustible insulation

Case 5: ACP + combustible insulation + cavity barrier

Case 6: ACP + combustible insulation without cavity barrier

The principal output variables could include:

- Maximum temperature
- Flame height

- Vertical flame-spread rate
- Heat release rate
- Heat flux
- Smoke concentration
- Time to upper-floor ignition
- Cavity temperature
- Fire-barrier effectiveness

Proposed Experimental Programme

For future experimental validation, a representative façade specimen may be constructed using a modular test wall.

The test specimen could include:

- Structural wall
- Insulation layer
- Air cavity
- External cladding
- Cavity barriers
- Window opening
- Representative panel joints

The experiment could use a controlled compartment fire to represent a post-flashover window plume.

Measurements could include:

1. Surface temperature.
2. Cavity temperature.
3. Heat flux.
4. Flame height.
5. Flame-spread distance.
6. Mass loss.
7. Smoke concentration.
8. Time to ignition of secondary components.

The experimental data could subsequently be compared with FDS predictions.

Discussion

The reviewed evidence demonstrates that façade fire behaviour is controlled by the interaction of materials, geometry and environmental conditions.

First, combustible materials increase the available fuel load. However, material combustibility alone does not explain all façade-fire behaviour. A combustible material enclosed within a properly designed and tested system may behave differently from the same material installed in an open or poorly compartmented cavity.

Second, the cavity can become an important fire-transport mechanism. The chimney effect increases buoyancy-driven airflow and can substantially intensify burning behaviour.

Third, insulation can substantially influence the duration and intensity of façade fire development. Polymeric insulation may contribute both to heat release and smoke production.

Fourth, cavity barriers represent a critical mitigation measure, but their performance depends on correct design, installation, continuity and maintenance.

Fifth, high-rise buildings create a special consequence environment because a façade fire can expose numerous floors, complicate evacuation and exceed the effective reach of conventional firefighting operations.

The evidence therefore supports a **system-based approach** rather than a simple product-based approach.

Conclusion

The fire safety of façades is a critical component of high-rise building safety. Modern façade systems provide important architectural and environmental benefits, but the incorporation of combustible cladding, polymeric insulation and ventilated cavities can create pathways for rapid external fire spread.

The reviewed literature indicates that façade fire behaviour results from the interaction between material properties, cavity geometry, ventilation, fire exposure, wind, façade openings, joints and fire barriers. Experimental studies have demonstrated that chimney effects can significantly increase burning characteristics, while numerical investigations have highlighted the influence of cavity dimensions, barrier arrangements and material combustibility.

The central conclusion of this research is that **façade fire safety should be assessed at the system level rather than solely at the individual-material level**. A façade may contain a non-combustible external surface while still incorporating combustible insulation, membranes or adhesives. Conversely, a carefully engineered system can incorporate materials with limited combustible content while maintaining acceptable fire performance when designed, tested and installed appropriately.

For Indian high-rise construction, NBC 2016 provides an essential regulatory framework, but effective fire safety also requires detailed engineering evaluation, appropriate material selection, system-level testing, effective cavity barriers, active fire protection and continuous inspection.

Future research should combine **experimental façade fire testing, FDS/CFD modelling, machine-learning-based risk prediction and field inspection data** to develop a quantitative façade fire-risk model specifically applicable to Indian climatic, construction and regulatory conditions.

References

1. Bureau of Indian Standards. *National Building Code of India 2016 (NBC 2016), Part 4: Fire and Life Safety*. BIS, New Delhi.
2. McKenna, S. T., Jones, N., Peck, G., Dickens, K., Pawelec, W., Oradei, S., Harris, S., Stec, A. A., & Hull, T. R. (2019). Fire behaviour of modern façade materials – Understanding the Grenfell Tower fire. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 368, 115–123.
3. Hassan, M. K., Hossain, M. D., Gilvonio, M., Rahnamayiezekavat, P., Douglas, G., Pathirana, S., & Saha, S. (2022). Numerical investigations on the influencing factors of rapid fire spread of flammable cladding in a high-rise building. *Fire*, 5(5), 149.
4. Hassan, M. K., et al. (2021). Experimental investigations on the influence of chimney-effect on fire response of rainscreen façades in high-rise buildings. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 44, 103257.

5. Hasnat, M. R., Hassan, M. K., & Saha, S. (2026). Fire behaviour and thermal characterisation of different types of non-combustible cladding panels used in high-rise buildings. *Fire Technology*, 62, Article 31.
6. NFPA Research Foundation. *High Rise Buildings with Combustible Exterior Façade Systems: Fire Risk Assessment Tool – Background and Development of the Tool*.
7. NFPA Research Foundation. *Fire Safety Challenges of Green Buildings and Attributes*.
8. Choudhary, S., Kurmi, D., Choure, K. K., & Yadav, S. K. *An Analytical Study of Fire Spread Mechanisms in High-Rise Buildings Considering Facade Materials*.
9. Renganathan, R., & Judson, L. *Facade System and Material Selection Choices to Prevent Fire Spreading in the Commercial Building*.
10. *A Critical Appraisal of the UK's Regulatory Regime for Combustible Façades*. *Fire Technology*.