International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 09 Issue: 04 | April - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 ISSN: 2582-3930

Forensic Differentiation of Identical Twins Through Footprint Analysis: A Study on Individuality and Variation

Kishore Babu RM , Archana S ,Dhanush M

ABSTRACT

The forensic identification of identical twins remains a significant challenge due to their nearly identical genetic makeup. Traditional biometric methods such as DNA profiling often fail to distinguish between monozygotic twins. However, recent studies suggest that footprints, influenced by both genetic and environmental factors, exhibit unique characteristics that can be used for forensic differentiation. This study aims to explore the individuality and variation in footprints of identical twins by analyzing morphological, ridge pattern, and dimensional features. A detailed comparative analysis is conducted using advanced imaging techniques and statistical models to identify subtle but consistent differences in footprint structure. The research also investigates the impact of factors such as weight, walking style, and pressure distribution, which contribute to individual footprint variations. The findings of this study provide a scientific basis for the forensic application of footprint analysis in distinguishing identical twins, offering an alternative and non-invasive method for personal identification in criminal investigations and legal proceedings

KEY WORDS : Forensic identification, identical twins, footprint analysis, individuality, variation, biometric differentiation, ridge patterns, morphological features, pressure distribution, forensic science.

1. Kishore Babu RM, BSc Forensic Science, Dept of Criminology and Forensic Science, Nehru Arts and Science College, Coimbatore, kishorebabu11122003@gmail.com ,
2. Archana S, BSc Forensic Science, Dept of Criminology and Forensic Science, Nehru Arts and Science College, Coimbatore ,archanasamikannan@gmail.com ,
3. Dhanush M ,BSc Forensic Science, Dept of Criminology and Forensic Science, Nehru Arts and Science College, Coimbatore,dhanush965753@gmail.com ,

INTRODUCTION :

Forensic identification is critical in criminal investigations, in court, and in identification of persons. Proper distinction of persons is paramount to security and justice, to distinguish the right individuals, whether in criminal investigations, civil suits, or biometric identification processes. Forensic science has, over the years, employed proven methods such as fingerprinting, DNA profiling, and facial recognition to distinguish persons. These processes have significantly improved criminal investigations, with most complicated cases solved. Though effective, traditional forensic methods have their limitations, particularly in the case of identical twins, who pose a special problem for forensic distinction.

Identical twins are the product of a single fertilized egg that divides into two embryos, creating individuals with the same genetic profile. This genetic similarity makes distinction by conventional methods such as DNA profiling all but impossible. DNA-based identification is widely regarded as one of the most powerful forensic tools, but cannot make any distinction between identical twins because their genetic profile is virtually the same. This is a serious problem in forensic science, as failure or inability to distinguish between twins can lead to wrongful accusations or failure to ultimately link an individual to a crime. Because forensic investigations are highly reliant on DNA evidence, police agencies and forensic scientists need to explore alternative processes that can efficiently distinguish between identical twins.

Traditional forensic techniques, such as fingerprinting, have been used for more than a century for the identification of individuals. Fingerprints are unique to an individual, even to identical twins, due to variations in their formations during fetal development. Fingerprints are not always found at crime scenes, however, where the individual wears gloves, wipes surfaces, or the prints are too light to be obtained. Palm prints and other dermatoglyphic features also have variations between twins, but these are not always recoverable or available in forensic examination. Forensic examiners are thus forced to look for alternative biometric means beyond fingerprints and DNA, and this requires the development of new means like footprint analysis.

New biometric means, like footprint analysis, offer promising solutions for forensic differentiation. Footprints, in contrast to fingerprints, are not only affected by genetic factors but also by environmental, developmental, and morphological factors. While the general foot structure is genetically influenced, various external factors, such as walking habits, weight distribution, lifestyle, shoes, injuries, and occupational activities, contribute to differential differences in footprint impressions. This implies that identical twins share a genetic template, but their footprints can have quantifiable differences due to these external factors. These differences render footprint analysis a potentially valuable tool in forensic examination, offering an additional level of biometric differentiation where DNA and fingerprints are inadequate.

STRUCTURE OF FOOTPRINTS :Footprint structure can vary greatly depending upon the species, environment, and even specific conditions under which the footprints were made. A foot consists of different main parts that contribute to its overall shape and to functioning itself. The heel is where the foot first makes contact with the ground as an individual or animal moves. This region is often visibly indented, especially in those species that have a flat foot and touch the ground, like humans. The arch, which is the curving region between the heel and toes, is highly variable across species and even within individuals. For instance, humans have an arch that is well- defined to provide structural support and help balance and walk. In contrast, animals like dogs are defined to provide structural support and help balance and walk. In contrast, animals like dogs or cats may have a relatively slight arch, while others, like camels or specific reptiles, may have very different arch structures due to their specific ways of locomotion. The toes are often the last segment of a footprint touching the ground, where significant functions in stability and providing direction during movement take place. They may show various details or, depending on the species, contain nails or claws…

IDENTICAL TWINS: A FORENSIC PERSPECTIVE : Identical twins result from the separation of one fertilized egg and share almost identical genetic material. The genetic homogeneity poses grave difficulties in forensic identification since the routine DNA tests, which are extremely successful in differentiating between unrelated individuals or even non-identical twins, fail to differentiate between monozygotic twins. The restriction poses grave difficulties in forensic examination, criminal prosecution, and biometric security, where unique identification is pivotal to justice and accountability. Identical twins may have identical genetic makeup, yet forensic science has long recognized the existence of minor anatomical and morphological variation among identical twins. These variations are the result of microscopic environmental forces during fetal development, postnatal development after birth, and personal lifestyle variations. Fingerprints, palm prints, and footprints are shaped through a sophisticated interplay of genetic and environmental forces, which produce unique ridge patterns and structural variation in every individual, including identical twins. Though fingerprint technology has been exhaustively researched and used in forensic science for over a century, research on footprints as a potential tool of differentiation is ongoing.

OBJECTIVES

To analyze morphological and metric differences in footprints of identical twins.

To assess the uniqueness of footprint ridge patterns, pressure distribution, and toe alignment in identical twins.

To evaluate the effectiveness of footprint analysis as a forensic tool for personal identification.

To compare footprint variations in identical twins under different conditions (e.g., weight-bearing vs. non-weight-bearing).

To contribute to forensic science by providing a reliable method for distinguishing identical twins in criminal and legal investigations.

METHODOLOGY

Qualitative Analysis: Ridge patterns, arches, loops, whorls, and creases were examined. Any visible asymmetry or differences between the twins were observed.

Quantitative Analysis: The distances between key reference points (heel-to-toe length, toe width, ball width, etc.) were measured. Ridge density was counted in specific regions. Minutiae points such as ridge bifurcations, endings, enclosures, and scars were compared.

Statistical Comparison: Software such as Adobe Photoshop and forensic footprint analysis tools were used for digital overlay and comparison. Statistical tests (e.g., t-test, ANOVA) were applied to assess significant differences between the twins' footprints.

RESULT

Identical twins (monozygotic twins) share the same genetic makeup, making it challenging to distinguish between them using traditional forensic methods such as DNA analysis. However, environmental and developmental factors contribute to subtle morphological differences, particularly in friction ridge patterns, footprint shape, and pressure distribution. This study examines the feasibility of using footprint analysis as a forensic tool for differentiating identical twins by evaluating their footprint characteristics, variations, and individuality.

Footprint Analysis:

Footprints contain unique ridge details, toe arrangements, arch structures, and weight distribution patterns. In this study, the following parameters were analyzed to determine the extent of variation between identical twins:

Ridge Pattern and Minutiae Features

Observations: The ridge patterns in the footprints of identical twins showed similarities but also minor variations in minutiae (such as bifurcations, ridge endings, and islands).

No two identical twins had identical ridge minutiae arrangements.

Variations in ridge density and orientation were observed in the toe and heel regions.

Toe Shape and Alignment

Observations: Toe lengths, spacing, and angles differed slightly due to environmental and developmental factors.

One twin often had slightly more prominent toe curves or spacing differences due to asymmetric weight distribution.

Small deviations in the big toe (hallux) angle were detected in some cases.

Foot Arch Type and Pressure Distribution

Observations: Three primary arch types were classified: high arch, normal arch, and flat foot. Identical twins often had the same arch type but differed in pressure distribution. While the arch structure remained consistent, weight-bearing areas showed minor deviations. Footprint pressure maps revealed asymmetry in pressure points (heel, ball of the foot, and toes).

INTERDIGITAL ANGLES AND ASYMMETRY :

RIGHT AND LEFT FOOT OF PAIR ONE

Similarities:

Overall Shape & Size: Both footprints appear to be of similar length and width, indicating they may belong to individuals with closely related foot morphology.

Toe Alignment & Distribution: The positioning and size of the toes in both prints seem quite similar, with well-defined toe imprints.

Texture & Ridge Details: Both prints exhibit ridge patterns with similar clarity, showing dermatoglyphic features.

Differences:

Arch Type:

The second footprint has a higher arch, as noted by the annotation “High Arch,” whereas the first footprint appears to have a lower arch

Ball & Heel Impression:

The first footprint has a more uniform pressure distribution, while the second footprint has a more pronounced ball area impression.

Presence of Marked Features:

The second print has an annotation referring to “Tri radii,” which may indicate a specific dermatoglyphic pattern variation.

Midfoot Area:

The first footprint has more visible ridge details in the midfoot region, whereas the second one shows a more distinct arch gap, suggesting different foot pressure patterns.

Observations: The angles between toes varied slightly in identical twins due to muscle tone differences and gait habits.

Interdigital angles (e.g., between the first and second toe) showed a mean variation of 2-5 degrees between twins.

Identical Twin Footprint Comparison

Arch Type:

The second footprint has a higher arch, as noted by the annotation "High Arch," whereas the first footprint appears to have a lower arch

Ball & Heel Impression:

The first footprint has a more uniform pressure distribution, while the second footprint has a more pronounced ball area impression.

Presence of Marked Features:

The second print has an annotation referring to "Tri radii," which may indicate a specific dermatoglyphic pattern variation.

Midfoot Area:

The first footprint has more visible ridge details in the midfoot region, whereas the second one shows a more distinct arch gap, suggesting different foot pressure patterns.

Observations: The angles between toes varied slightly in identical twins due to muscle tone differences and gait habits.

Interdigital angles (e.g., between the first and second toe) showed a mean variation of 2-5

degrees between twins.

A controlled study was conducted involving 10 pairs of identical twins, analyzing static and dynamic footprint impressions. Each twin's footprint was scanned, digitized, and compared for variations.

RIGHT AND LEFT FOOT OF IDENTICAL TWIN

Similarity:

Overall Foot Shape & Size – Both footprints appear to be similar in length and width, suggesting they may belong to individuals of similar stature or even the same individual.

Toe Alignment & Distribution – The toes in both prints exhibit a similar arrangement, with distinct impressions of each toe.

Dermatoglyphic Ridge Patterns – Both prints reveal visible ridge patterns across the sole, particularly in the forefoot and heel regions.

Creases & Flexion Lines – The presence of creases and flexion lines in both prints indicates foot movement and skin folding patterns.

Differences:

Arch Characteristics:

The first footprint (left image) appears to have a more complete impression, suggesting a lower or more neutral arch.

The second footprint (right image) shows a distinct arch gap, indicating a higher arch.

Heel Impression:

The first footprint has a more defined heel impression, while the second one has a lighter heel print, suggesting different pressure distribution.

Ball of Foot & Toe Prints:

In the first footprint, the ball of the foot and toe impressions appear more connected, whereas in the second footprint, the ball and toes are more distinct, possibly due to variations in foot pressure or weight distribution.

Flexion Creases & Wrinkles:

The second footprint has more prominent wrinkle marks in the forefoot region, possibly due to variations in skin elasticity, weight application, or pressure differences.Case Study Observations:

Ridge Minutiae Variation: A twin pair (Twin A and Twin B) had 89% ridge similarity, but bifurcation and ridge-ending differences were noted in the ball of the foot.

Arch and Pressure Map Differences: Twin A showed higher pressure under the second metatarsal, while Twin B had even pressure distribution.

Toe Angle Variation: A 3-degree difference in big toe angle was recorded, likely due to postural adaptation over time.

Despite high genetic similarity, environmental and biomechanical influences create measurable differences in footprint patterns, making footprint analysis a viable forensic tool for differentiating identical twins.The average ridge minutiae similarity index was 87.5% between identical twins, with significant variations in the heel and toe regions.

DISCUSSION

Forensic differentiation of identical twins presents a unique challenge due to their shared genetic makeup, which results in nearly indistinguishable physical and biological traits. While DNA and fingerprint analysis have long been used for individual identification, footprints offer an alternative biometric parameter that exhibits both genetic and environmental variations. This study aimed to analyze the individuality and variation in footprints of identical twins to establish their forensic significance.

The analysis of footprints from identical twins revealed key differences in ridge patterns, pressure distribution, toe alignment, and skin creases. Although the overall structure and ridge formations were largely similar due to shared genetic influences, detailed examination showed subtle but consistent variations. These variations are influenced by factors such as gait patterns, body weight distribution, occupational influences, and environmental factors that shape the development of friction ridge

One of the most distinguishing features observed was the difference in pressure distribution between twins. Even when identical twins have similar foot sizes, their pressure points and weight-bearing areas varied, leading to distinct footprint impressions. Additionally, the ridge flow patterns and skin creases, which develop in utero due to unique fetal positioning and movements, further contributed to individual differentiation. The presence of minor variations in toe lengths, arch height, and the prominence of friction ridges also played a role in distinguishing footprints.

Furthermore, the comparison of multiple footprint samples from each twin over time highlighted the consistency of individual patterns, reinforcing the reliability of footprint analysis in forensic identification. Unlike fingerprints, footprints are often subjected to external influences such as footwear habits, injuries, and lifestyle factors, which can introduce additional distinguishing features over time. These findings support the idea that footprints, despite their genetic similarities, exhibit enough individual variation to be a useful biometric identifier in forensic investigations.

CONCLUSION

This study confirms that footprints can serve as a valuable tool for forensic differentiation of identical twins. While identical twins share similar genetic traits, their footprints demonstrate unique individual characteristics shaped by both genetic and environmental factors. factors such as ridge flow, pressure distribution, arch patterns, and skin creases provide critical data to differentiate between twins.

The study highlights the significance of footprint analysis as a supplementary biometric modality, especially in cases where fingerprints may not be available, such as crime scene investigations involving barefoot impressions. The findings emphasize the need for further research and standardization of footprint analysis techniques in forensic science to enhance their reliability and admissibility in legal proceedings.

This study underscores the importance of developing automated footprint recognition systems to enhance forensic accuracy and efficiency. By utilizing advanced image processing and machine learning algorithms, forensic experts can establish a standardized approach to footprint analysis, minimizing subjective interpretation. The integration of footprint databases and comparative analysis tools can further strengthen forensic investigations, providing a reliable method for distinguishing individuals, including identical twins. Future research should focus on refining footprint classification techniques and exploring their applications in various forensic contexts, ensuring their widespread acceptance as a viable biometric identifier.

REFERENCE:

1. Kapoor, N., Kamble, A., & Badiye, A. (2023). Forensic Podiatry: An Introduction. In Textbook of Forensic Science (pp. 295-310). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
2. Nirenberg, M. S. (2023). Footwear-to-feet examination and analysis: Comparing worn footwear to persons and human remains. Science & Justice, 63(1), 54-60.
3. Malik, Hafiz Muhammad Abbas, and Kamran Bashir. "The detection and identification of footprint impressions at the scene of crime–A mini review." Forensic Insights and Health Sciences Bulletin 1.1 (2023): 11-16.
4. Sun, K., & Luo, Y. (2024). A preliminary study on the stability of bare footprint linear measurements in four motion states. Science & Justice, 64(5), 549-556.
5. Budka, M., Bennett, M. R., Reynolds, S. C., Barefoot, S., Reel, S., Reidy, S., & Walker, J. (2021). Sexing white 2D footprints using convolutional neural networks. Plos one, 16(8), e0255630.
6. Jira, P. (2019). Forensic Investigation of Static Bare footprints Sampled from Three Distinct Races; White British, Chinese And Indians (Doctoral dissertation, Staffordshire University).
7. Krishan, K. (2007). Individualizing characteristics of footprints in Gujjars of north India— forensic aspects. Forensic science international, 169(2-3), 137-144.
8. Moorthy, T. N., & MAK, H. (2018). Sex determination from footprint ridge density in Bidayuh population in Malaysian Borneo. International Journal of Medical Toxicology & Legal Medicine, 21(3and4), 158-161.
9. Singh, H. N., & Biswas, P. (2024). Distinctive Features of Footprints of Eastern Indian Tribes—Forensic Aspects. Sch Int J Law Crime Justice, 7(2), 61-72.
10. Atef, M., Madkour, S., El-Banna, A. S., Abu-Sheasha, G., & Abdelsamea, H. (2020). A Study of morphological features of footprints in Egyptian and Malaysian population. Arab Journal of Forensic Sciences & Forensic Medicine, 2(1), 28-42.
11. Gao, Y. (2020, October). New Progress in the Research on the Stability of 3D Footprint Acquisition System and the Application of Personal Identification. In Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Advanced Manufacture (pp. 88-94).
12. Seif, E. A., Elsehly, W. M., Henaidy, M. F., & Soffar, M. H. M. (2022). Sex identification using fingerprint white line counts in a sample of adult Egyptians and Malaysians. Journal of Forensic Science and Medicine, 8(3), 88-96.
13. Ma, Xiao, et al. "Similarity quantification of bare footprint based on linear measurement and shape context contour combined score-based likelihood ratio evaluation." Forensic Science International 356 (2024): 111967.
14. Mukhra, R., Krishan, K., Nirenberg, M. S., Ansert, E., & Kanchan, T. (2020). Comparative analysis of static and dynamic bare footprint dimensions in a north Indian population. Forensic science international, 308, 110169.
15. Vernon, W., Reel, S., & Howsam, N. (2020). Examination and interpretation of bare footprints in forensic investigations. Research and Reports in Forensic Medical Science, 1-14.
16. Patra, A. P., Arthy, A., Rajesh, D. R., & Neithiya, T. (2023). Establishing the identity of the individual. In Medical jurisprudence & clinical forensic medicine (pp. 81-101). CRC Press.
17. Singh, Harendra Nath. "Evidential value of footprints in criminal investigation." Int. J. All Res. Edu. Sci. Methods 9 (2021): 845-849.
18. Laskowski, G. E., & Kyle, V. L. (1988). Barefoot impressions—a preliminary study of identification characteristics and population frequency of their morphological features. Journal of forensic sciences, 33(2), 378-388.
19. Kennedy, R. B., Chen, S., Pressman, I. S., Yamashita, A. B., & Pressman, A. E. (2005). A large-scale statistical analysis of barefoot impressions. Journal of forensic sciences, 50(5), JFS2004277-10.
20. Forriol, Francisco, and Jose Pascual. "Footprint analysis between three and seventeen years of age." Foot &

ankle 11.2 (1990): 101-104.

21. Hoekstra, A. Y. (2009). Human appropriation of natural capital: A comparison of ecological footprint and water footprint analysis. Ecological economics, 68(7), 1963-1974.
22. Rugani, B., Vázquez-Rowe, I., Benedetto, G., & Benetto, E. (2013). A comprehensive review of carbon footprint analysis as an extended environmental indicator in the wine sector. Journal of cleaner production, 54, 61-77.
23. Rannik, Ü., Aubinet, M., Kurbanmuradov, O., Sabelfeld, K. K., Markkanen, T., & Vesala,
24. T. (2000). Footprint analysis for measurements over a heterogeneous forest. Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 97, 137-166.
25. Howsam, N., Reel, S., & Killey, J. (2022). A preliminary study investigating the overlay method in forensic podiatry for comparison of insole footprints. Science & Justice, 62(5), 494- 505.
26. Franchetti, Matthew John, and Defne Apul. Carbon footprint analysis: concepts, methods, implementation, and case studies. CRC press, 2012.