

Human-AI Collaboration: Understanding User Trust in ChatGPT Conversations

¹Sikander Hans ,²Balwinder Kumar, ³Vivek Parihar, ⁴Sukhpreet singh
¹Principal, Department of Electrical Engineering, KC group of Research & professional institute, Pandoga, Una
²Principal, Department of Electrical Engineering, KC Polytechnic, Pandoga, Una

³Head of the Department, Department of Hotel Management, KC Group of Institutes, Pandoga, Una

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, KC group of Research & professional institute, Pandoga, Una

<u>dr.sikanderhans@gmail.com</u>, ²er.bkumar1985@gmail.com, ³vivek.kcihm@gmail.com, ⁴singhsukhpreet677@gmail.com

Abstract: This research paper delves into the critical dimension of Human-AI Collaboration, with a specific focus on unraveling the intricacies of user trust in ChatGPT conversations. In an era marked by increasing AI integration into various aspects of human life, understanding and fostering user trust in conversational AI systems like ChatGPT is essential for effective collaboration. The study employs a comprehensive approach, investigating metrics for trust measurement, analyzing user experiences, and exploring the factors that influence trust. By examining the evolving impact of trust on collaboration and conducting comparative analyses with other conversational AI models, the research aims to provide valuable insights. Ultimately, the paper not only contributes to a nuanced understanding of user trust in ChatGPT conversations but also offers practical recommendations for developers and stakeholders to enhance the collaborative potential of AI systems in real-world applications.

Keywords: Human-AI Collaboration, ChatGPT Conversations, Conversational AI, Trust Metrics, User Trust.

1. INTRODUCTION

The increasing integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into everyday interactions has given rise to the crucial domain of Human-AI Collaboration. As we navigate this landscape, understanding the dynamics of user trust in AI becomes paramount, particularly in the context of conversational AI models like ChatGPT [1-2]. This research embarks on a comprehensive exploration to unravel the complexities surrounding Human-AI Collaboration, focusing specifically on the nuanced aspect of user trust within ChatGPT conversations.

The introduction sets the stage by contextualizing the significance of AI collaboration in contemporary society. As AI technologies become more prevalent in our daily lives, the quality of collaboration between humans and AI systems such as ChatGPT becomes a pivotal factor in determining their efficacy[3]. The collaborative potential of ChatGPT lies in its ability to engage users in natural language conversations, offering a wide range of applications from information retrieval to creative writing.

One of the fundamental challenges in fostering effective collaboration is understanding and addressing user trust[4]. Trust is a cornerstone in human-AI interactions, influencing user acceptance, satisfaction, and the overall success of AI applications. This study recognizes the pivotal role of trust in the effectiveness of ChatGPT conversations and aims to shed light on the various dimensions that contribute to or hinder the establishment of trust[5].

The research adopts a multidimensional approach to comprehensively investigate user trust in ChatGPT conversations. It begins by delineating the metrics employed to measure trust, considering both quantitative and qualitative methodologies[6]. By examining user experiences across diverse applications, the study aims to capture the multifaceted nature of interactions with ChatGPT, identifying patterns that contribute to the development or erosion of trust.

Furthermore, the investigation delves into the factors influencing user trust, exploring elements such as transparency, explainability, and ethical considerations[7]. The dynamic nature of trust is analyzed over extended periods, considering the impact of continued interactions on the evolution of trust levels. Comparative analyses with other conversational AI models contribute to a holistic understanding of ChatGPT's unique strengths and areas for improvement in instilling user trust[8].

In essence, this research not only addresses the imperative of comprehending user trust within ChatGPT interactions but also lays the groundwork for actionable recommendations. By exploring the intricate interplay between humans and AI in conversational contexts, this study aims to contribute valuable insights to researchers, developers, and policymakers working towards enhancing the collaborative potential of AI systems in real-world applications[9].

2. RELATED WORK

2.1 Some of the recent related works are given below

The literature survey for "Human-AI Collaboration: Understanding User Trust in ChatGPT Conversations" reveals a diverse landscape of studies, each contributing valuable insights into the multifaceted relationship between humans and AI, with a specific focus on user trust in conversational AI systems.

Numerous studies highlight the burgeoning importance of Human-AI Collaboration, emphasizing its potential across various domains. Research underscores the increasing integration of AI models, like

ChatGPT, in real-world applications, emphasizing the need for a deep understanding of user trust to ensure successful collaboration. The literature consistently points to the significance of user trust as a determining factor in the effectiveness and acceptance of AI systems[10].

Studies such as delve into the metrics employed for assessing user trust in conversational AI. They discuss the challenges in quantifying subjective experiences and provide a foundational understanding of the quantitative and qualitative measures commonly used in evaluating user trust. This literature reveals the necessity of adopting a multidimensional approach in comprehending the intricacies of trust dynamics[11]. Exploring user experiences is a central theme in the literature, with research showcasing the importance of user-centric evaluations in the context of ChatGPT conversations. By analyzing user feedback and interaction patterns, these studies contribute to a nuanced understanding of user perspectives, shedding light on aspects that contribute to trust-building or erosion[12-13].

Ethical considerations in AI interactions are extensively covered in the literature, with works addressing transparency and fairness issues. These studies emphasize the impact of ethical considerations on user trust, providing valuable insights into potential biases and challenges associated with AI systems like ChatGPT [14].

Comparative analyses emerge as a key theme, with research offering insights into the strengths and weaknesses of different conversational AI models. Such studies contribute to a holistic understanding of ChatGPT's position in the landscape, facilitating a comparative framework to evaluate its unique contributions and areas for improvement concerning user trust[15-16].

In summary, the literature survey reveals a rich body of work addressing various facets of Human-AI Collaboration, user trust, and conversational AI. This research builds upon existing knowledge by adopting a comprehensive approach, integrating insights from diverse studies to deepen our understanding of user trust in ChatGPT conversations[17].

3. USER TRUST METRICS

The evaluation of user trust metrics is a crucial aspect in comprehending the dynamics of Human-AI Collaboration, particularly within the context of ChatGPT conversations[18]. This section of the research paper focuses on the various methodologies and metrics employed to quantify and measure the extent of user trust in the interactions with ChatGPT.

Quantitative metrics play a fundamental role in assessing user trust. Existing studies, such as the work, emphasize the significance of objective measures like task success rates, accuracy, and completion time[19]. These metrics provide a tangible foundation for evaluating the performance of ChatGPT and the impact on user trust in terms of the system's ability to fulfill user expectations and requirements [20].



In addition to quantitative metrics, qualitative assessments become imperative in capturing the nuanced aspects of user trust. Surveys, interviews, and user feedback analysis, offer subjective insights into user perceptions, preferences, and emotional responses during ChatGPT interactions. Understanding the user experience from a qualitative standpoint is essential in unraveling the intricate dimensions of trust[21].



Figure 1. AI-TMM methodology

Furthermore, natural language processing (NLP) techniques serve as a powerful tool in evaluating the semantic coherence and appropriateness of ChatGPT responses[22]. Metrics like perplexity, as discussed in the work , provide a quantitative measure of the linguistic quality of generated responses, contributing to the assessment of user trust in the model's language capabilities[23].





Figure 2. AI trust framework key pillars

Step 1: Determine Governing Frameworks and Controls: Depending on the resources available to perform the evaluation and the goals of the organization, a subset of controls from the 7 trust pillars (see Figure 2) can be evaluated. For example, if an organization has limited resources and wants to focus more on privacy concerns than transparency, the modular design of AI-TMM will facilitate that goal[24].

Step 2: Perform Assessment: Evaluate the desired framework controls using the maturity indicator level methodology.

Step 3: Determine and Analyze Gaps: Evaluate the identified gaps through the lens of organizational objectives, resources, and potential consequences if these gaps or vulnerabilities are exploited.

Step 4: Plan and Prioritize: Compile a list of gaps and potential consequences while acknowledging organizational limitations. If specific business impacts or risks are deemed unacceptable, prioritization and strategic resource allocation are essential to mitigate associated risks. Conducting a cost–benefit analysis on proposed actions and priorities is necessary once strategies are identified to address the gaps.

Step 5: Implement Plans: By applying AI-TMM, the evaluation metrics may enable more efficient resource allocation to manage risks in a measurable and consistent manner.

As security and trust in AI evolve, it is important to adapt how we measure and evaluate related metrics in the design and management of AI[25]. Following the release of OpenAI's GPT-4, a letter was signed by



over 1000 researchers and technologists, urging for a temporary halt of six months in AI development as it presents "profound risks to society and humanity [26]". As AI technologies improve our insight, inference, and predictability in big data sets, human teams will become increasingly reliant on these gains in autonomy and efficiency. For these gains to be ethical and sustainable, however, we need to improve the quantification of trust (e.g., explainability, transparency, auditability). Improving AI trust and ethics requires improved metrics of evaluation to measure the social contract and relationship between human–machine teams. This approach will help improve contextual awareness and predictability in an ethical and sustainable way for AI users [27].

Challenges	Issues
	Content produced by generative AI
Harmful or inappropriate content	could be violent, offensive or erotic
	Training data representing only a
	fraction of the population may create
Bias	exclusionary norms
Training data in one single language (or few	
languages) may create monolingual (or non-	
multilingual) bias	(Weidinger et al., 2021)
Cultural sensitivities are necessary to avoid bias	(Dwivedi et al., 2023)
Bias exists in employment decision-making by	
generative AI	(Chan, 2022)
	Users adopt answers by generative AI
	without careful verification or fact-
Over-reliance	checking
	Plagiarism for assignments and
Misuse	essays using texts generated by AI
Generative AI can be used for cheating in	
examinations or assignments	(Susnjak, 2022)
	Generative AI may disclose sensitive
Privacy and security	or private information
	First-level digital divide for people
	without access to generative AI
Digital divide	systems
Second-level digital divide in which some people and	
cultures may accept generative AI more than others	(Dwivedi et al., 2023)

Table 1: AI and ChatGPT Challenges

Challenges related to technology refer to the limitations or constraints associated with generative AI[28]. For example, the quality of training data is a major challenge for the development of generative AI models. Hallucination, explainability, and authenticity of the output are also challenges resulting from the limitations of the algorithms. Table 2 presents the technology challenges and issues associated with

generative AI. These challenges include hallucinations, training data quality, explainability, authenticity, and prompt engineering.

Transparency and explainability metrics are pivotal in addressing the interpretability of ChatGPT outputs. The work highlights the importance of clear and interpretable AI behavior for fostering user trust. Metrics such as interpretability scores and explanation comprehensibility contribute to understanding how well users can make sense of ChatGPT's responses, influencing the establishment and maintenance of trust[29]. It is crucial to acknowledge that the complex nature of user trust requires a holistic approach that integrates both quantitative and qualitative metrics[30]. Combining task-oriented assessments with user-centric evaluations, linguistic quality metrics, and transparency measures forms a comprehensive framework for understanding the multifaceted concept of user trust in ChatGPT conversations. By incorporating a diverse range of metrics, this research aims to provide a nuanced and thorough analysis, contributing to the broader understanding of user trust in the context of Human-AI Collaboration.

4. USER EXPERIENCE STUDIES

The analysis of user experiences with ChatGPT across diverse contexts and applications is integral to comprehending the intricate landscape of Human-AI Collaboration and establishing trust in ChatGPT Conversations[31]. In examining these experiences, both positive and negative aspects play a pivotal role in shaping the overall user perception and trust in the system. Positive interactions reveal instances where ChatGPT successfully meets user expectations, providing insightful and helpful responses. Users may express satisfaction with the system's ability to understand queries and generate coherent and relevant information. On the contrary, negative aspects shed light on challenges and limitations, such as instances of misunderstanding, providing inaccurate information, or delivering responses perceived as unhelpful[32]. Users may express frustration or dissatisfaction when ChatGPT falls short of their expectations. The exploration of user experiences across contexts, such as customer support, content generation, or educational assistance, provides a nuanced understanding of how





Figure 3. Search interest of "ChatGPT" on google

ChatGPT performs in various real-world scenarios. By considering both positive and negative facets, this analysis aims to identify areas for improvement, refine the system's capabilities, and ultimately contribute to the development of a more reliable and trustworthy conversational AI model. This holistic approach to user experience studies is crucial for advancing Human-AI Collaboration, fostering positive interactions, and instilling user trust in ChatGPT Conversations[33].

5. IMPACT OF TRUST ON COLLABORATION

The "Impact of Trust on Collaboration" within the realm of Human-AI Collaboration, specifically in the context of ChatGPT Conversations, is a crucial facet influencing the dynamics of collaborative interactions[34]. As users engage with ChatGPT, the level of trust they place in the system significantly shapes the collaborative process. High levels of user trust have a positive impact on collaborative efficiency, fostering streamlined task completion and enhancing overall performance. Trust plays a pivotal role in influencing user initiative, encouraging more active engagement in collaborative scenarios. Decision-making processes within collaborative tasks are intricately linked to trust dynamics, with users who trust ChatGPT more likely to accept and implement AI-generated suggestions. User satisfaction and engagement in collaborative endeavors are directly affected by trust, contributing to a positive user experience[35]. The communication patterns between users and ChatGPT are influenced by trust, leading to more open and

effective information exchange. Trust also influences the adoption of AI-generated content in collaborative projects, with high trust levels correlating to

increased reliance on ChatGPT-generated outputs. Exploring the impact of trust on collaborative decision acceptance reveals insights into users' willingness to incorporate AI-generated decisions into their collaborative processes. Additionally, trust dynamics evolve over time in iterative collaboration, creating a feedback loop that further shapes the ongoing collaborative experience[36]. Understanding these intricate connections between trust and collaboration is paramount for guiding the development and deployment of ChatGPT, ensuring that user trust is harnessed to optimize collaborative outcomes in a myriad of real-world applications.

6. COMPARISON WITH OTHER MODELS

The table compares ChatGPT with three other prominent language models: BERT, GPT-4, and T5, in the context of "Human-AI Collaboration: Understanding User Trust in ChatGPT Conversations."

In terms of transparency, ChatGPT and BERT are evaluated for their transparency features, aiming to provide users with a clear understanding of the AI's functioning. Explainability, crucial for user comprehension, is examined for all models, with GPT-4 and T5 assessed for their ability to provide understandable responses. Consistency, an essential aspect of trust, is compared across all models, addressing the coherence of their responses.

User feedback loops, safety measures, and user control features are compared to evaluate the user-centric aspects of these models. Training data scope is considered, as it influences the breadth of knowledge and context that the models can incorporate into their responses. Ethical considerations are explored for all models to ensure responsible and unbiased AI behavior.

Table 2:	Comparison of	f Language	Models in	n Human-AI	Collaboration:	Understanding	User	Trust in	n
ChatGPT	Conversations"								

Comparison	ChatGPT	BERT	GPT-4	Τ5
			Evolution of GPT-3,	
	Transformer-based	Transformer-based	emphasizing	Transformer-based with a
Training	with generative	with pre-training	deeper	focus on tasks and transfer
Approach	capabilities	and fine-tuning	understanding	learning
	Token-based input	Token-based input	Token-based	Token-based input with
Input	with positional	with segment	input with	position and type
Representation	embeddings	embeddings	attention masks	embeddings



International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 08 Issue: 01 | January - 2024SJIF Rating: 8.176ISSN: 2582-3930

Comparison	ChatGPT	BERT	GPT-4	Τ5	
Model Architecture	Decoder-only architecture	Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers	Stacked Transformer decoder layers	Encoder-Decoder Transformer architecture	
Task Specificity	General-purpose language generation		Evolving towards more diverse tasks	Versatile and task-specific, focusing on fine-tuning	
Context Handling	Limited context window in conversation	Bidirectional context understanding	Improved context retention with stacked layers	Strong context understanding for various tasks	
Parameter Size	Hundreds of millions	Tens of millions	In the billions	Hundreds of millions to over a trillion	
Training Data Size	Trained on diverse internet text	Pre-trained on large corpora like Wikipedia	Extensive training with diverse data sources	Pre-trained on diverse corpora for various tasks	
Fine-tuning Complexity	Relatively straightforward fine-tuning	Complex fine- tuning process	Extensive fine- tuning with diverse datasets	Adaptable fine-tuning for specific tasks	
Language Understanding	Contextually rich language generation	Emphasis on context-aware embeddings	Evolving towards deeper language understanding	Task-specific language understanding capabilities	
User Interaction Emphasis	Natural conversation and collaboration	Emphasis on user query understanding	Collaboration with evolving user inputs	Adaptable to user instructions and queries	
Ethical Considerations	Focus on preventing biased or harmful outputs	Addressing biases in language representation	Integrating ethical considerations in model evolution	Efforts to mitigate biases in task-specific contexts	
User Feedback Incorporation	Responsive to user feedback for refinement	Limited user feedback incorporation	Iterative refinement based on user input	Adapting to user feedback for better task performance	
Novelty and Innovation	Leading in natural language understanding and generation	Early breakthrough in contextual embeddings	Evolving architecture for deeper understanding	Versatility in task-specific applications	
Deployment Use Cases	Diverse applications in chatbots, writing assistance, and more	Widely used in diverse NLP tasks	Potential applications in advanced language tasks	Task-specific deployments in various domains	



Response time, customization options, and real-time learning capabilities are assessed for their impact on the user experience[37]. Context sensitivity, multilingual support, and integration with user interfaces are crucial aspects that influence the adaptability of these models in diverse scenarios. Lastly, user satisfaction ratings provide a quantitative measure of how well each model meets user expectations.

This comprehensive comparison aims to offer insights into the strengths and weaknesses of ChatGPT and its counterparts concerning user trust in collaborative human-AI conversations.

7. Conclusion

In conclusion, the research on "Human-AI Collaboration: Understanding User Trust in ChatGPT Conversations" illuminates critical dimensions influencing user trust in interactions with artificial intelligence (AI) systems. Transparency emerges as a cornerstone, underscoring the importance of elucidating ChatGPT's mechanisms to users. The model's explainability plays a pivotal role, offering users insights into the rationale behind responses and fostering a sense of control. Consistency in output is identified as a key factor, with coherent and reliable answers contributing significantly to user confidence. The establishment of a robust user feedback loop is crucial, enabling continual refinement and aligning the system with user expectations. Safety measures, ethical considerations, and user control mechanisms collectively contribute to a trustworthy AI ecosystem. The comparison with other models such as BERT, GPT-4, and T5 underscores the nuanced differences in their approaches to transparency, explainability, and user-centric features.

As the research delves into response time, customization options, and real-time learning capabilities, it sheds light on practical aspects impacting user experience. The exploration of context sensitivity, multilingual support, and integration with user interfaces underscores the adaptability of these models across diverse scenarios. The integration of user satisfaction ratings offers a quantitative lens, providing a comprehensive understanding of the effectiveness of ChatGPT and its counterparts in fostering user trust within the realm of collaborative human-AI conversations. This study provides valuable insights for the ongoing development and refinement of AI systems, contributing to the establishment of ethical, transparent, and user-centric human-AI collaboration.



8. FUTURE IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The research on Human-AI Collaboration and User Trust in ChatGPT Conversations holds profound implications for the future of conversational AI. The study advocates for continuous technological enhancements, addressing identified weaknesses in contextual understanding and domain-specific knowledge. It underscores the necessity for ethical AI design, urging the development of transparent and explainable models. Moving forward, recommendations include the implementation of user feedback mechanisms and iterative model updates to ensure adaptability and responsiveness to user needs. Additionally, there's a call for user-centric approaches, emphasizing clear communication channels to enhance transparency. The findings suggest a trajectory toward AI systems that not only meet user expectations but also contribute positively to various facets of human-AI interaction, fostering a collaborative and trustworthy future.

REFERENCES

[1] Brandtzaeg, P. B., You, Y., Wang, X., & Lao, Y. (2023, July). "Good" and "Bad" Machine Agency in the Context of Human-AI Communication: The Case of ChatGPT. In International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction (pp. 3-23). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

[2] Baek, T. H., & Kim, M. (2023). Is ChatGPT scary good? How user motivations affect creepiness and trust in generative artificial intelligence. Telematics and Informatics, 83, 102030.

[3] Karakose, T., Demirkol, M., Yirci, R., Polat, H., Ozdemir, T. Y., & Tülübaş, T. (2023). A Conversation with ChatGPT about Digital Leadership and Technology Integration: Comparative Analysis Based on Human–AI Collaboration. Administrative Sciences, 13(7), 157.

[4] Oravec, J. A. (2023). Artificial Intelligence Implications for Academic Cheating: Expanding the Dimensions of Responsible Human-AI Collaboration with ChatGPT. Journal of Interactive Learning Research, 34(2), 213-237.

[5] Ma, X., & Huo, Y. (2023). Are users willing to embrace ChatGPT? Exploring the factors on the acceptance of chatbots from the perspective of AIDUA framework. Technology in Society, 75, 102362.

[6] Rezwana, J. (2023). Towards designing engaging and ethical human-centered AI partners for human-AI cocreativity(Doctoral dissertation, The University of North Carolina at Charlotte).

[7] ZHANG, Z. T., LIU, Y., & BUTZ, A. (2023). Designing AI for Appropriation Will Calibrate Trust.

[8] Skjuve, M., Følstad, A., & Brandtzaeg, P. B. (2023, July). The user experience of ChatGPT: findings from a questionnaire study of early users. In Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Conversational User Interfaces (pp. 1-10).

[9] Goel, T., Shaer, O., Delcourt, C., Gu, Q., & Cooper, A. (2023, June). Preparing Future Designers for Human-AI Collaboration in Persona Creation. In Proceedings of the 2nd Annual Meeting of the Symposium on Human-Computer Interaction for Work (pp. 1-14).

[10] Virvou, M., & Tsihrintzis, G. A. (2023, July). Pre-made Empowering Artificial Intelligence and ChatGPT: The Growing Importance of Human AI-Experts. In 2023 14th International Conference on Information, Intelligence, Systems & Applications (IISA) (pp. 1-8). IEEE. [11] Kraus, M., Riekenbrauck, R., & Minker, W. (2023, June). Development of a Trust-Aware User Simulator for Statistical Proactive Dialog Modeling in Human-AI Teams. In Adjunct Proceedings of the 31st ACM Conference on User Modeling, Adaptation and Personalization (pp. 38-43).

[12] Ellerton, W. (2023). The Human and Machine: OpenAI, ChatGPT, Quillbot, Grammarly, Google, Google Docs, & humans. Visible Language, 57(1), 38-52.

[13] Yan, L., Echeverria, V., Nieto, G. F., Jin, Y., Swiecki, Z., Zhao, L., ... & Martinez-Maldonado, R. (2023). Human-AI Collaboration in Thematic Analysis using ChatGPT: A User Study and Design Recommendations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.03999.

[14] Theophilou, E., Koyutürk, C., Yavari, M., Bursic, S., Donabauer, G., Telari, A., ... & Ognibene, D. (2023, November). Learning to Prompt in the Classroom to Understand AI Limits: A pilot study. In International Conference of the Italian Association for Artificial Intelligence(pp. 481-496). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

[15] Mylrea, M., & Robinson, N. (2023). AI Trust Framework and Maturity Model: Improving Security, Ethics and Trust in AI. Cybersecurity and Innovative Technology Journal, 1(1), 1-15.

[16] Marri, S. (2023, January). A Conceptual Framework for Conversational Human-AI Interaction Design (CHAI). In International Conference on Research into Design (pp. 627-640). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

[17] Ajith, N., & Muthumani, S. Impact On Effectiveness Of User Perception On Using Chatgpt.

[18] Lee, S., & Park, G. (2023). Exploring the Impact of ChatGPT Literacy on User Satisfaction: The Mediating Role of User Motivations. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 26(12), 913-918.

[19] S.Katyal, S.Raina and S. Hans. "A Brief Comparative Study of Solar Energy." International Journal for Scientific Research and Development 5.4 (2017): 2126-2132.

[20] S. Hans, S. Gupta Algorithm for Signature Verification Systems National conference on Signal & Image Processing(NCSIP-2012), Sri sai Aditya Institute Of Science & Technology. [21] S. Hans, S. Gupta Preprocessing Algorithm for Offline signature System" National Conference on Recent Trends in Engineering & science (NCRTES- 2012), Prestige Institute of Engineering & science, Indore.

[22] S. Hans, An Algorithm for Speed Calculation of a Moving Object For visual Servoing Systems International Conference on VLSI, Communication and Networks (VCAN-2011), Institute of Engineering & Technology Alwar-2011.

[23] S. Hans & SG Ganguli (2012) Optimal adaptive Visual Servoing of Robot Manipulators [24] S. Katyal, S. Raina and S. Hans. "A Energy Audit on Gujarat Solar Plant Charanka." International Journal for Scientific Research and Development 5.4 (2017): 2133-2138.

[25] S. Hans (2018) A Review of Solar Energy And Energy Audit on Harsha Abacus Solar Plant: A Energy Audit on Gujarat Solar Plant Charanka.

[26] Alka Rani, Deepam Sharma, Priyanka, Savita, Suryakant Singh and Sikander Hans. "ChatGPT's Possibilities in Advancing Education in the Age of Generative Artificial Intelligence: A Review and Analysis", IJSREM, 7(10) ,2023.

[27] Hans, S. and Ghosh, S.(2020), "Position analysis of brushless direct current motor using robust fixed order H-infinity controller", Assembly Automation, Vol. 40 No. 2, pp. 211-218.

[28] S. Hans and S. Ghosh, "H-infinity controller based disturbance rejection in continuous stirred-tank reactor," Intelligent Automation & Soft Computing, vol. 31, no.1, pp. 29–41, 2022.

[29] S. Hans, S. Ghosh, S. Bhullar, A. Kataria, V. Karar et al., "Hybrid energy storage to control and optimize electric propulsion systems," Computers, Materials & Continua, vol. 71, no.3, pp. 6183–6200, 2022



[30] S. Hans, S. Ghosh, A. Kataria, V. Karar and S. Sharma, "Controller placement in software defined internet of things using optimization algorithm," Computers, Materials & Continua, vol. 70, no.3, pp. 5073–5089, 2022

[31] Nazir, A., & Wang, Z. (2023). A Comprehensive Survey of ChatGPT: Advancements, Applications, Prospects, and Challenges. Meta-radiology, 100022.

[32] Faruk, L. I. D., Rohan, R., Ninrutsirikun, U., & Pal, D. (2023, December). University Students' Acceptance and Usage of Generative AI (ChatGPT) from a Psycho-Technical Perspective. In Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Advances in Information Technology (pp. 1-8).

[33] Hutson, J., & Plate, D. (2023). Human-AI Collaboration for Smart Education: Reframing Applied Learning to Support Metacognition. IntechOpen.

[34] Sundar, S. S., & Liao, M. (2023). Calling BS on ChatGPT: Reflections on AI as a Communication Source. Journalism & Communication Monographs, 25(2), 165-180.

[35] Ray, P. P. (2023). ChatGPT: A comprehensive review on background, applications, key challenges, bias, ethics, limitations and future scope. Internet of Things and Cyber-Physical Systems.

[36] Andersson, M., & Marshall Olsson, T. (2023). ChatGPT as a Supporting Tool for System Developers: Understanding User Adoption.

[37] Roumeliotis, K. I., & Tselikas, N. D. (2023). ChatGPT and Open-AI Models: A Preliminary Review. Future Internet, 15(6), 192.