International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 08 Issue: 06 | June - 2024 SJIF Rating: 8.448 ISSN: 2582-3930

Humanoid Robotics: A non-human entity with human form or characteristics

Kumud Kundu[1], Tushar Pandey[2] Department of computer science and engineering and Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning, Indraprastha Engineering College, ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

KEYWORDS

Humanoid robots, Behavior, Robotics Engineering, Artificial Intelligence (AI), Human-Robot Interaction, Anthropomorphic Design, Biomechanics, Motion Control, Cognitive Robotics, Social Robotics, Assistive Robotics

A B S T R A C T

Humanoid robots with manufactured insights could be a exceptionally intriguing field for individuals, since robots have been presented. For humanoid robots we are able come up with unused thoughts without any restrictio and imperatives but there are limitations to really actualize them. In this paper we are talking about diverse humanoid robots along side their viable applications.

1. Introduction:

Humanoid mechanical autonomy may be a field that's developing in notoriety day by day. Numerous bunches are working on a few issues such as interaction, learning and control to apply them in human mechanical technology. These employments constrained man to make a device like people that can work within the places we need them to work like a human can work. The human body is normally outlined to operate in any environment, such as stairs, entryways, entryway handles, etc., so a essential centered structure is the premise for building humanoid robots. On the off chance that recently built humanoid robots can grant motions like eye/head development, can analyze dialect, at that point yes communicate with other people or operators.

As a human, he can think around a circumstance in many ways and unravel issues in a routine to urge palatable comes about. In this article, an in-depth discourse of humanoid robots is the essential subject of how they carry on within the real world like people, counting different illustrations. Human robots were portrayed by Leonardo in 1495 Advertisement as a “mechanical knight” (Doors, 2008). Robots are not fair those gadgets that take after people, but they can be the pioneer within the diversion. Humanoid robots can take part in recreations like people, or they can work like humans who have a human-like structure.

A few strategies are utilized to construct humanoid robots, one of them is affordances. It decides the relationship between an specialist and a indicated environment utilizing its sensing parts such as get a handle on, consumable, etc. in this methodology, the properties of the world and objects are included within the concept operations that the robot is able of doing. They are utilized to characterize the impacts of operations and activities performed to attain a particular objective.

Within the field of neuroscience, analysts are inquisitive about executing counterfeit insights in robots. The RobotCub extend in planning humanoid robots is additionally exceptionally imperative for interaction with the environment (Tsagarakis et al., 2007). The fundamental reason is to act additionally to a child matured 1.5 to

2.5 a long time. In this procedure, robots are built and set in an environment where they learn from intelligent with individuals and objects. As a result, a robot was built that was 100 cm tall, formed like a child, weighed around 23 kg, and had 53 degrees of opportunity (DOF). Due to this degree of flexibility, they have less opportunity of development of their hands and body than a child.

Social bots are utilized in different recreations by collaboration with other amusement members to propel them and provide them signals on how to act following. In human-to- human interaction, body development is exceptionally critical for surveying coordination amid joint exercises. Social robots show significant interaction with their clients (Jung and Lee, 2004). It isn't vital that they have a body shape like people, they can be a toy or an educators for instruction, etc. (Fong et al., 2003). Lee et al. however, they performed two tests. (2006) appeared whether the physical body of social robots is more viable or not. He found that in human-robot interaction, it truly things that the robot is physically like a human, in spite of the fact that social robots do not essentially give physical usefulness. Moreover, in an observational consider, individuals scored tall in a arrangement amusement when they associating with a humanoid robot instead of a computer screen.

Different techniques are utilized for humanoid robots to associated with people; material detecting is

beneath discourse within the paper. Material detecting is exceptionally valuable and secure amid interaction whereas in unmannered environment.

Final but not slightest, humanoid robots are built to get it the insights of people and act in like manner. The point of this proposition is to depict the viewpoints of building humanoid robots beneath artificial intelligence, detecting techniques, package , design, etc., which are utilized to sense the environment (i.e. the center portion of humanoid robots).

1.1. History:

The thought for humanoid robots isn't modern. Alexander made human-like robots that were energized utilizing fluid. At that point, in 1495, Leonardo created a robot that may move its mouth and move its arms and neck. At the conclusion of the 18th century, robots were created that were artists or may type in sentences, etc.
After that, numerous analysts and enterprises created their humanoid robots that might conversation, walk, run, etc. The starting of humanoid robot improvement based at Waseda College in Tokyo. The robots they created is Wabot-1, it was like a human, it may walk, play music, hold objects, talk Japanese, etc.

2. Writing survey:

As of late, the field of producing robots has expanded from the mechanical side to human-friendly robots that are able to connected like in healing centers, workplaces, homes, etc. The consider of humanoid mechanical technology is more important due to humanoid attribution, inviting plan, development and behavior with human environment, etc. It is more often than not ideal to construct little robots since they are less destructive to people and easy to control. When the robots get a handle on any protest, the physical properties alter, such as force depending on the protest. So a learning strategy is additionally presented in humanoid robots. A measured control approach was depicted in which two models were utilized, the forward one which communicates the another state from the current state, on the other hand the reverse demonstrate communicates the engine command from both states i.e. anticipated and current. This engine forecast recognizes self- created developments with outside annoyances.
Classic robots are utilized where interaction happens at particular areas, so constrain or torque sensors are utilized to the most extreme. But in cutting edge humanoid robots, the interaction does not happen in foreordained places, and they moreover have hands and feet to get a handle on and control something, so they utilize skin-like sensors for secure intelligent. As with present day robots, not as it were the arms and legs interact with the environment, but moreover the complete body of humanoid robots must associated with the environment, which is to a great extent considered nowadays (Ohmura and Kuniyoshi, 2007). In spite of the fact that there are points of interest to this methodology, but there are too a few drawbacks such as its conveyed nature or huge

A few analysts have detailed that physical exemplification includes a positive impact when humanoid robots connected with people. Social humanoid robots require a set of aptitudes to energize clients amid interaction. One illustration of drumming by humanoid robots is watched in this think about. Drum-mate is an intelligently amusement in which the KASPAR (Energy and Synchronization in Individual Collaborator Mechanical autonomy) robot plays drums with a human accomplice and matches the beat with the human. These robots move their heads and flicker their eyes whereas drumming. As a result, clients were more empowered within the amusement much obliged to motions. Taking after this comparative test, 66 rudimentary school understudies were conducted and the same comes about were obtained.
One illustration of a humanoid robot is the iCub, which includes a head, middle, arms and legs. Since it is utilized for children, the legs are as it were for slithering. For this reason, it is fundamental to completely look at the locomotion environment. For this, they are prepared with a adequate degree of opportunity to be able to distinguish objects lying within the environment or on the floor. At the beat of the robot may be a framework for visual discernment, and the hands are prepared with material detecting to control objects. The arms are outlined to save space as well as taken a toll by diminishing vitality utilization. The whole robot is built with a settled mechanical coupling.

All life forms move their eyes to focus on a particular area and after that get input from the tactile frameworks of the head. It is the portion that bolsters data handling (Lungarella and Sporns, 2006; Pfeifer et al., 2007). An sound methodology is additionally included to humanoid robots to visualized information and utilized for face recognition. The primary time the humanoid robots associated, the history of the interaction is additionally put away within the database. After that, the history is partitioned into the sensor-motor authoritative of the robot, and the activity capabilities also depend on this history. Authentic activities can be chosen and erased, and these activities are the premise for the ontogenetic advancement of humanoid robots. Additionally these organizational operations provide deliberation and forecast (Mirza et al., 2008). In arrange to store information in an interaction history, humanoid robots must to begin with see objects or situations. Material sensors are more often than not utilized for this reason. Agreeing to Hoshi and Shinoda (2006), they displayed the concept of creating material sensors with a little structure of a remote transducer distributed randomly within the frame of silicone rubber and requiring communication and control association. But it was not so attainable, so other thoughts were given which devour less vitality.
One example of a skin framework for a humanoid robot is Taichi et al. (2006) in which sensors distinguish inputs from clients who need to associated with the robot. It contains a moo spatial determination (range is

roughly 25cm2), incorporates a recurrence estimation extend from 10-15Hz.
The contribution of this paper is to decide all the basic strategies and procedures that contribute to building humanoid robots with manufactured insights. Separated from this, the design and computer program of humanoid robots are moreover examined. A few illustrations of humanoid robots are taken to demonstrate the detecting parts of humanoid robots.

2. Characteristics

2.1. Bipedal Movement:

For humanoid robots it is one of a kind include. For people to walk and move is exceptionally simple but for humanoid robots it is troublesome. There are two approaches for this reason which are restricting. One is zero minute point hypothesis concurring to which there's a point on the floor around which the entirety of minute of all the powers is rise to to zero. On the off chance that this point is beneath the arched frame of all relative places between the legs and ground, at that point the robot is erect in energetic way. Another approach middle of mass projection, characterizes the steadiness of robot statically. Robots like Sony Qrio. And Honda Asimo based on ZMP-based control was competent of 6km per hour running. But walk due to twisted knees does not take after humans. Although vitality put away in flexible components isn't recycled a bit like people so it is said that it isn't proficient to protect vitality. In addition Asimo needs level floor to walk and run, can climb a few stairs.
Another procedure to walk is to utilize the robot's flow. McGeer (1990) gave thought that planar strolling through a incline put can be with no actuators and control. Utilizing this thought incited machines or frameworks are built (Collins et al., 2005). They can walk through level floor. Their parts donate back to frameworks; since of this they are way better. They can be taking care of effectively and controllable due to sensors of foot contact. Due to feet shape they cannot stand constantly. One other downside is they cannot begin to walk or stop deliberately additionally are not competent changing of way.
In later humanoid robots the essential property lost is strolling through soak way. These properties are decided by the quadruped (Kim et al., 2007).The humanoids were not reasonable for utilization in house since of combusting apparatus and parts. It was troublesome to mimic these properties due to less workable actuators. But pressure driven actuators and pneumatic actuators are utilized to actualize joint's parts but they cannot walk superior.

2.2. Perception:

Mechanical technology ought to take after the condition and see the surroundings to attain the objective. Due to recognition, robots check their state of joints by utilizing encoders or sensors. Accelerometers and gyroscopes are moreover utilized for assessing the demeanor of robots. Robots like CB2, created at Osaka College, are secured with force-sensitive skins. Numerous humanoid robots utilize faculties like laser rangefinders or ultrasonic remove sensors, and most imperative properties for them are their vision and try out control. Humanoid robots utilize cameras, to dynamic seeing control permitting them to center their consideration towards particular objects. Robots are moreover having a few screens to decipher the pictures.

Essentially when robots ought to distinguish the sound signals, troubles emerge. The essential issue is to division of sound of client with the other clamor within the environment. So turning the mouthpieces towards client and radiating the receivers make simpler to listen client. But this approach as it were makes strides the flag to clamor proportion, still clamor translation is troublesome. Numerous robots like voice recognizing machines have the issue rates.

Since of over issues in seeing, robots take signals and after that those signals are captured and translated by people (e.g. illustration Geminoid (Nishio et al., 2007) by Ishiguro and the Robonaut (Ambrose et al., 2004) by NASA).

2.1. Interaction:

Humanoid robots are built to utilize in put of people or with other people. Here the fundamental thought is that the procedures which are utilized in human-human interaction, they can be utilized as an activity for human-robot interaction. These properties are accessible by birth in people but to construct these modalities in humanoid robots, they ought to be prepared with expressive vivified heads. For case WE-4RII created at Waseda (Miwa et al., 2004). When humanoid robots see at the restricting client, at that point client get it that robot is association with him. Numerous of humanoids also move lips portion when saying something. Same in people, quickening mouth make simple for user to recognize the voice. Numerous of humanoids can grant the facial expressions through development of eyelids, lips etc.

Other than confront expressions, humanoid robots having anthropomorphic hands and arms utilize to form signals. Least 4 joints are there in one arm for case the hands in Joy (Kim et al., 2007). Developments which are more often than not produced by humanoid robots are typical motions like welcome to individuals. Estimation of things can be speaking to through hands. Humanoid robots with full body utilize their total parts for interaction. For illustration, HRP-2 which moves by seeing the human Japanese move (Nakaoka et al., 2006). Children who have disarranges in their development, for them keepon (Kozima et al., 2009) robots were made as given in Fig. 1. Children of more than three a long time ancient were permitted to connected with them for one hour and the comes about appears that they approach the robot and set up social contact with them suddenly. QRIO (Tanaka et al., 2007) were created for children of distinctive age bunches for interaction as

given in Fig. 2. These robots were able to do different

dance movements and mimicking steps.

Fig. 1: Keepon (Kozima et al., 2009)

Fig. 2: QRIO (Tanaka et al., 2007)

2.1.1. Interaction history:

Humanoid robots that utilize interaction history at that point select their following activity based on the history. The interaction history comprises of four essential parts. The primary is the values that are taken from the sensors amid the interaction, it incorporates all the sensors. The moment portion is the estimation of the hole between the interaction arrangement. The third portion is checking the another activity affiliation. The fourth portion is the esteem gotten for the quality of the environment.

2.1.2. Activity choice:

Humanoid robots have the capacity to perform activities more than one at the same time. The activity performed by the robot can be chosen without any grouping or it can be chosen on the premise of past later activities within the history. As the humanoid robots have the capacity to select activity without any grouping so it can be conceivable that it can perform superior or modern activities amid interaction. In begin robots select actions haphazardly but after that they begun to choose activities from past encounters. It gives the affiliation in conduct of the robots.

2.1.3. Case:

Here is the illustration in which human is playing amusement peekaboo and humanoid robots utilize its history of interaction. Player and the machine were put before each other. Firstly the machine has purge interaction history. At that point the amusement begins and human machine framework perform diverse activities. This interaction takes two to three minutes.

Three distinctive criteria were attempted to begin with is that peekaboo energized, moment is support of elective arrangements of activities and third is no support. When comes about were computed, add up to 22 runs from which 16 were for to begin with condition, 3 for moment additionally 3 for third condition. The conclusion of this amusement was that by encouraging robot because it performs arrangement of activities give comes about in those activities which are chosen in inclination from others in same environment.

2.2. Handy control:

People have the capacity to move their hands nearly 30 DOF. In present day humanoid robots shadow arms, made through forty discuss muscles (Behnke, 2008). This property needs moreover collaboration of arms conjointly the visual machinery. If there are numerous joints at that point to control them is additionally troublesome.

A few of the humanoid robots were built which cannot hold the obscure objects from the environment like people. The reason behind this is often need of learning capacity to see and detecting the unused objects. Changes were required so that they might hold the things and sense them by touching.

2.3. Learning conduct:

At whatever point humanoid robots associated with environment, the interaction would be eccentric. So they ought to learn unused concepts from the environment with which they are collaboration. Really they have the capacity that they

can learn from the clients or individuals display within the surroundings, i.e. copying the concepts (Schaal, 1999) or program through rationales (Figure and Halbert, 1993). For this type of learning, signals have been made like moving tennis in discuss (Calinon and Billard, 2007) and for other troublesome developments. Challenge is to see the instructing individual and other challenge is exchanging the developments of individual to humanoid robots. For to begin with one there are sensors which sense the movement of individual. But for other one there are limitations in developments of humanoid robots since of need of adaptability. But DOF is used to maintain a strategic distance from this. One arrangement may be that individual ought to move the joints of robots by them but it isn't acceptable. So for rectify learning the robot ought to learn itself by utilizing different possibilities.

Optimizing the learning prepare may be a extraordinary victory in humanoid robots, for this one of the method is reinforce the robot (Sutton and Barto, 1998). But one issue is that how to extend the positive comes about by diminishing disciplines of robots, when it connected with the environment. For this the most excellent approach is stochastic approach, in its walk capacity is way better (Faber and Behnke, 2007), too learn capacity normally is expanded (Dwindles and Schaal, 2008). We do not assume that the environment itself create the leading environment amid the learning troublesome errands. But center rewards ought to be delivered through humanoid robots whereas completing little assignments. In spite of the fact that they ought to deliver inverse rewards too whereas restricting the confinements, e.g. drop

of the robot. The leading property for learning humanoid robots is to choose up new concepts from the environment. Since it isn't fundamental that the environment is consistent, like rain, or sun, man does his work in each environment. Subsequently humanoid robots must recognize modern concepts, for case in educators robots, they must be able to recognize students' unused words. A few humanoid robots have the capacity to see their environment (eg Gutmann et al. (2005) employments the Sony Qrio).

2.1. Detecting behavior:

Distinctive sorts of sensors are utilized in humanoid robots. But we are centering on sensors utilized to sense objects i.e. hand sensors. Now and then the hands are little so concurring to him, the sensors ought to be little as per the joints. For arm circle signals, each joint ought to be measured. A few approaches are utilized for this but Butterfass et al. (2001) presented the concept of utilizing plastic potentiometers on ever finger joints. Another technique by Chua et al. (2006) given that direct potentiometer within the wrist joint to calculate the joint angles. But the thought floundered due to need of precision due to ligament contact. The foremost valuable thought was given by Behnke (2008).

2. Applications:

Due to the confinements of humanoid robots, they cannot carry on like people. But we have application spaces in schedules, in which they are utilized. A few of them are examined here.

2.1. ICub robot:

Usually a robot outlined for a child up to 1.5 a long time

2.5 a long time. It locks in the child within the amusement and slithers like a child. But the development of the hand moreover has a few restrictions, which in fig. Can't move as a child's arm as portrayed in 3.

2.2. Innovation:

The well known mechanical autonomy Honda Asimo (Chestnutt et al., 2007) and Toyota Accomplice Robots (Ichijo and Kohlbacher, 2008) cannot really give particular usefulness. But it is utilized for showcasing purposes like climbing stairs, playing drums etc. to present modern innovation and draw in gathering of people. In spite of the fact that they are costly but can be balanced by innovation marketing.

2.3. Space purpose:

Another application which isn't costly but as we know, going into space for investigate purposes and after that looking for answers to questions can be destructive to a individual. So sending a humanoid is acceptable

Robots in space. It is appreciated here by creating humanoid robots because they can use the tools that are designed for humans to go into space. An example of RoboNote is shown in Figure 4.

Fig. 3: ICub head (six degrees of freedom tilt, swing and pan for the neck) (Ruesch et al., 2008)

2.1. Fabricating reason:

Robots are utilized in businesses since they have two hands like people. When the Japanese company Yaskawa presented two equipped robots, it said Motoman- SDA10 (Behnke, 2008), which has 2 seven DOF. and the circular joint appeared in Figure 5. One hand is able of lifting a weight of ten kilograms. Those fabricating humanoid robots can hold an protest in one hand whereas working hardware, whereas utilizing the other hand. It is said that it costs less than human assets in fabricating in China.

Fig. 4: Robonaut (Bluethmann et al., 2003)

Fig. 5: Motoman-SDA (Behnke, 2008)

2.1. Family:

One of the family illustrations is the vacuum cleaner robot. ARMAR ROBOTS FIG. 6 appeared in (Asfour et al., 2006) is for family purposes. These robots have particular abilities that are required in homes

E.g. Server robots. For illustration they can mirror people and get it enlightening given by them. He can take things out of the fridge and break eggs etc.

2.1. Competition's robots:

An vital application is utilizing robots in different competitions. They can be in-game or competitors like RoboCup and FIRA. These are robots, which play as restricting groups. In the event that these are fallen, they stand up by themselves and play once more. These sorts of robots give the premise for fake insights. Humanoid robots are moreover utilized as military purposes in war, human lives are more vital than robots (Table 1 and Table 2).

Fig. 6: Armar robots (Asfour et al., 2006)

3. Conclusion:

Human needs are expanding day by day as humanoid robots perform human administrations in numerous areas. For case in fabricating or fabricating it is assessed that the fetched of human assets is higher than humanoid robots in China. But a issue with humanoid robots is amid recognition and elucidation of voice. As time passes, analysts are coming up with modern methodologies to handle this issue. Not as it were the program side but moreover the physical structure needs change. Analysts are creating adaptable actuators comparable to muscles. Investigate within the field of fake insights humanoid robots is very promising, but there's still much to memorize within the regions of discernment, translation and control. A major challenge is the complexity of the framework and taken a toll, which can be a obstruction for humanoid robots engineers. But the huge step has been made by the toy industry which presents less costly humanoid robots for children

.

Table 1: Summary of Applications of different robots

Robot	Capabilities	Design	Num. of Session	Results
Robovie (Kanda et al., 2004)	Interact with users, recognise them and communicate in English	Subjects: 228 Measures: range of interaction, English communication skills Methods: video analysis, English vocabulary tests	9 days	Interaction gradually reduced after 1st week; betterment of English communication skills of those students who constantly took sessions with robots
Wany (Salter et al., 2004)	Hazards avoidance	Subjects: 8 Measures: surroundings of the robots Methods: video analysis, observation of communicated data	5	Students showed lack of interest in later sessions.
QRIO (Tanaka et al., 2007)	Choreography of dance steps and intimating movements of children	Subjects: 11 Measures: quality of communication Methods: Analysis of video and its observation	15	The robot showed different care taking behaviour towards toddlers
Keepon (Kozima, et al., 2009)	Capable of showing non-verbal expressions like gaze, gestures and emotions.	Subjects: 27 Measures: reactions of children Methods: analysis of videos	20	Robot takes the role of social peer; children showed good response over the sessions
iRobiQ (Hyun et al., 2010)	Directing, building relationship	Subjects: 162 Measures: intention of use, curiosity, perceived knowledge, IQ and adequacy of route guidance Methods: questions	2 to 18 sessions	Robot's suggestions of shopping were accepted by observers
Roomba (Sung et al., 2009; Sung et al., 2010)	Vacuum cleaning, Walk around the house	Subjects: 48 (across 30 households) Measures: robots acceptance Methods: observation, surveys, analysis, probing techniques ,cards ,questions	6 months	Many techniques should be acclaimed to perceive people's routines at home
Pleo (Fernaeus et al., 2010)	Animal-like behaviour	Subjects: 6 families Measures: exploratory study Methods: surveys, video analysis and pictures	2–10 months	Initial results of robot were not satisfactory. After some time, family members played with the robot but only occasionally

References

Ambrose RO, Savely RT, Goza SM, Strawser P, Diftler MA, Spain I, and Radford N (2004). Mobile manipulation using NASA's robonaut. In the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA '04), IEEE, New Orleans, USA, 2: 2104- 2109. https://doi.org/10.1109/ROBOT.2004.1308134

Asfour T, Regenstein K, Azad P, Schroder J, Bierbaum A, Vahrenkamp N, and Dillmann R (2006). ARMAR-III: An integrated humanoid platform for sensory-motor control. In the 6th IEEE-RAS International Conference on Humanoid Robots, IEEE: 169-175. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICHR.2006. 321380

Behnke S (2008). Humanoid robots-from fiction to reality?. In the Künstliche Intelligenz Heft, 4, 5–9. Available online at: https://www.ais.uni-bonn.de/papers/KI08_Behnke.pdf

Bluethmann W, Ambrose R, Diftler M, Askew S, Huber E, Goza M, and Magruder D (2003). Robonaut: A robot designed to work with humans in space. Autonomous Robots, 14(2): 179-197.

Butterfass J, Grebenstein M, Liu H, and Hirzinger G (2001). DLR- Hand II: Next generation of a dextrous robot hand. In the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), IEEE, Seoul, South Korea, 1: 109-114. https://doi.org/10. 1109/ROBOT.2001.932538

Calinon S and Billard A (2007). Incremental learning of gestures by imitation in a humanoid robot. In the 2nd ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI), IEEE: 255-262. https://doi.org/10.1145/1228716.1228751

Chestnutt J, Michel P, Kuffner J, and Kanade T (2007). Locomotion among dynamic obstacles for the Honda Asimo. In the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), IEEE, 2572-2573. https://doi.org/10.1109/ IROS.2007.4399431

Chua PY, Caldwell DG, Bezdicek M, Gray JO, and Davis S (2006). Tele-operated high speed anthropomorphic dextrous handswith object shape and texture identification. In the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, IEEE, Beijing, China: 4018-4023. https://doi.org/10.1109/ IROS.2006.281861

Collins S, Ruina A, Tedrake R, and Wisse M (2005). Efficient bipedal robots based on passive-dynamic walkers. Science, 307(5712): 1082-1085.

Cypher A and Halbert DC (1993). Watch what I do: programming by demonstration, MIT press, Cambridge, UK.

Dahiya RS, Valle M, and Metta G (2008). System approach: A paradigm for robotic tactile sensing. In the 10th IEEE International Workshop on Advanced Motion Control (AMC '08), IEEE: 110-115. https://doi.org/10.1109/AMC.2008. 4516050

Faber F and Behnke S (2007). Stochastic optimization of bipedal walking using gyro feedback and phase resetting. In the 7th IEEE-RAS International Conference on Humanoid Robots, IEEE: 203-209. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICHR.2007.4813869

Fernaeus Y, Håkansson M, Jacobsson M, and Ljungblad S (2010). How do you play with a robotic toy animal? a long-term study of Pleo. In the 9th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children (IDC '10), ACM, Barcelona, Spain: 39-48. https://doi.org/10.1145/1810543.1810549

Fong T, Nourbakhsh I, and Dautenhahn K (2003). A survey of socially interactive robots. Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 42(3): 143-166.

Gates B (2008). A robot in every home. Scientific American, 18(1): 4-11.

Gutmann JS, Fukuchi M, and Fujita M (2005). A floor and obstacle height map for 3D navigation of a humanoid robot. In the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), IEEE, Barcelona, Spain: 1066-1071. https://doi.org/10.1109/ ROBOT.2005.1570257

Hoshi T and Shinoda H (2006). Robot skin based on touch-area- sensitive tactile element. In the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), IEEE, Orlando, USA: 3463-3468. https://doi.org/10.1109/ROBOT.2006.1642231

Hyun E, Yoon H, and Son S (2010). Relationships between user experiences and children's perceptions of the education robot. In the 5th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human- Robot Interaction (HRI), IEEE, Osaka, Japan: 199-200. https://doi.org/10.1109/HRI.2010.5453197

Ichijo K and Kohlbacher F (2008). Tapping tacit local knowledge in emerging markets–the Toyota way. Knowledge Management Research and Practice, 6(3): 173-186.

Jung Y and Lee KM (2004). Effects of physical embodiment on social presence of social robots. In the 7th Annual International Workshop on PRESENCE, Valencia, Spain: 80-87.

Kanda T, Hirano T, Eaton D, and Ishiguro H (2004). Interactive robots as social partners and peer tutors for children: A field trial. Human-Computer Interaction, 19(1): 61-84.

Kim HH, Lee HE, Kim YH, Park KH, and Bien ZZ (2007). Automatic generation of conversational robot gestures for human- friendly steward robot. In the 16th IEEE International Symposium on Robot and Human interactive Communication (RO-MAN), IEEE, Jeju, South Korea: 1155-1160. https:// doi.org/10.1109/ROMAN.2007.4415254

Klamer T, Allouch SB, and Heylen D (2010). "Adventures of Harvey"–Use, acceptance of and relationship building with a social robot in a domestic environment. In the International Conference on Human-Robot Personal Relationship, Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 74-82. https://www.doi.org/10.1007/978- 3-642-19385-9_10

Koay KL, Syrdal DS, Walters ML, and Dautenhahn K (2007). Living with robots: Investigating the habituation effect in participants' preferences during a longitudinal human-robot interaction study. In the 16th IEEE International Symposium on Robot and Human interactive Communication (RO-MAN), IEEE, Jeju, South Korea: 564-569. https://doi.org/10.1109 /ROMAN.2007.4415149

Lee KM, Jung Y, Kim J, and Kim SR (2006). Are physically embodied social agents better than disembodied social agents? The effects of physical embodiment, tactile interaction, and people's loneliness in human–robot interaction. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 64(10): 962-973.

Leite I, Martinho C, and Paiva A (2013). Social robots for long- term interaction: a survey. International Journal of Social Robotics, 5(2): 291-308.

Lungarella M and Sporns O (2006). Mapping information flow in sensorimotor networks. PLoS Computational Biology, 2(10): e144. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.0020144

McGeer T (1990). Passive dynamic walking. The International journal of Robotics Research, 9(2): 62-82.

Mirza NA, Nehaniv CL, Dautenhahn K, and Te Boekhorst R (2008). Anticipating future experience using grounded sensorimotor informational relationships. In the Artificial Life XI: Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on the Simulation and Synthesis of Living Systems, MIT Press, Cambridge, UK.

Miwa H, Itoh K, Matsumoto M, Zecca M, Takanobu H, Rocella S, and Takanishi A (2004). Effective emotional expressions with expression humanoid robot WE-4RII: integration of humanoid robot hand rch-1. In the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS '04), IEEE, Sendai, Japan, 3: 2203-2208. https://doi.org/10.1109/IROS.2004.1389736

Nakaoka S, Nakazawa A, Kanehiro F, Kaneko K, Morisawa M, Hirukawa H, and Ikeuchi K (2006). Leg task models for reproducing human dance motions on biped humanoid robots. Journal of the Robotics Society of Japan, 24(3): 388- 399.

Nishio S, Ishiguro H, and Hagita N (2007). Geminoid: Teleoperated android of an existing person. INTECH Open Access Publisher, Vienna, Austria.

Ohmura Y and Kuniyoshi Y (2007). Humanoid robot which can lift a 30kg box by whole body contact and tactile feedback. In the IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), IEEE: 1136-1141. https://doi.org/10.1109/ IROS.2007.4399592

Peters J and Schaal S (2008). Natural actor-critic. Neurocomputing, 71(7): 1180-1190.

Pfeifer R, Lungarella M, Sporns O, and Kuniyoshi Y (2007). On the information theoretic implications of embodiment–principles and methods. In 50 years of artificial intelligence. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Germany.

Ruesch J, Lopes M, Bernardino A, Hornstein J, Santos-Victor J, and Pfeifer R (2008). Multimodal saliency-based bottom-up attention a framework for the humanoid robot iCub. In the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), IEEE: 962-967. https://doi.org/10.1109/ROBOT. 2008.4543329

Salter T, Dautenhahn K, and Bockhorst R (2004). Robots moving out of the laboratory-detecting interaction levels and human contact in noisy school environments. In the 13th IEEE International Workshop on Robot and Human Interactive Communication (ROMAN), IEEE, Kurashiki, Japan: 563-568. https://doi.org/10.1109/ROMAN.2004.1374822

Schaal S (1999). Is imitation learning the route to humanoid robots?. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 3(6): 233-242.

Sung J, Christensen HI, and Grinter RE (2009). Robots in the wild: understanding long-term use. In the 4th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI), IEEE, La Jolla, USA: 45-52.

Sung J, Grinter RE, and Christensen HI (2010). Domestic robot ecology. International Journal of Social Robotics, 2(4): 417- 429.

Sutton RS and Barto AG (1998). Introduction to reinforcement learning. MIT Press, Cambridge, UK.

Taichi T, Takahiro M, Hiroshi I, and Norihiro H (2006). Automatic categorization of haptic interactions-what are the typical haptic interactions between a human and a robot?. In the 6th IEEE-RAS International Conference on Humanoid Robots, IEEE: 490-496. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICHR.2006.321318

Tanaka F, Cicourel A, and Movellan JR (2007). Socialization between toddlers and robots at an early childhood education center. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 104(46): 17954-17958.

Tsagarakis NG, Metta G, Sandini G, Vernon D, Beira R, Becchi F, and Caldwell DG (2007). iCub: the design and realization of an open humanoid platform for cognitive and neuroscience research. Advanced Robotics, 21(10): 1151-1175.