

Implementation of Process Safety Management Practices in Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient Manufacturing

Mitesh Ashapure¹, Prof. Vishal Tiwari²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Fire Technology & Safety Engineering,

School of Engineering and Technology Vikrant University, Gwalior, (M.P.), India

²M.Tech Guide, Department of Fire Technology & Safety Engineering,

School of Engineering and Technology Vikrant University, Gwalior, (M.P.), India

Abstract

Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient (API) manufacturing involves complex chemical processes, hazardous substances, flammable solvents, high temperatures and pressures, reactive intermediates, toxic materials, and specialized process equipment. These characteristics create the potential for fire, explosion, toxic release, chemical exposure, equipment failure and other major incidents. Effective Process Safety Management (PSM) is therefore essential for controlling process-related hazards and protecting workers, assets, the environment and pharmaceutical production.

This research examines the implementation of Process Safety Management practices in API manufacturing facilities. The study proposes an integrated framework incorporating process safety information, process hazard analysis, Hazard and Operability Study (HAZOP), operating procedures, management of change, mechanical integrity, permit-to-work systems, emergency preparedness, incident investigation, employee participation and safety performance monitoring. Pharmaceutical quality-risk-management principles are also relevant because ICH Q9(R1) provides a structured approach to risk assessment, control, communication and review across the pharmaceutical lifecycle, including drug-substance manufacturing. European Medicines Agency (EMA)+1

The proposed methodology combines literature review, site observation, employee questionnaires, interviews, document review and HAZOP/HIRA analysis. A risk-based framework is developed to identify gaps in process safety management and recommend appropriate corrective measures. The research emphasizes that effective PSM should be treated as a continuous management system rather than as a collection of individual safety procedures.

Keywords: Process Safety Management, API manufacturing, pharmaceutical industry, HAZOP, HIRA, risk assessment, management of change, mechanical integrity, chemical safety, process safety.

Introduction

The pharmaceutical industry plays an important role in public health by producing medicines and active pharmaceutical ingredients used in drug products. API manufacturing can involve multiple chemical reactions, solvent handling, heating and cooling operations, pressurized systems, filtration, drying, crystallization, centrifugation and material transfer.

Although pharmaceutical manufacturing is subject to extensive quality requirements, **process safety** is a separate but interconnected concern. A process can meet product-quality requirements while still presenting significant hazards to workers and facilities.

Potential hazards in API manufacturing include:

- Flammable solvents and vapors.
- Toxic or corrosive chemicals.
- Exothermic chemical reactions.
- High pressure and vacuum.
- High or low temperatures.
- Static electricity.
- Dust and combustible powders.
- Chemical incompatibility.
- Loss of cooling or agitation.
- Equipment failure.
- Incorrect material addition.
- Utility failure.
- Human and organizational errors.

The purpose of Process Safety Management is to prevent or minimize the consequences of catastrophic releases of toxic, reactive, flammable or explosive chemicals. OSHA's PSM framework includes process safety information, process hazard analysis, operating procedures, training, mechanical integrity, management of change, pre-startup safety review, emergency planning and compliance auditing. OSHA

For pharmaceutical manufacturing, risk management also needs to consider product quality and patient protection. ICH Q9(R1) describes quality risk management as a systematic process for assessing, controlling, communicating and reviewing risks across the pharmaceutical lifecycle, including drug-substance manufacturing. European Medicines Agency (EMA)+1

Problem Statement

API manufacturing processes may involve hazardous chemicals and complex process conditions. A relatively small deviation in temperature, pressure, addition rate, concentration or equipment condition can potentially produce an undesirable outcome.

For example:

Loss of cooling → temperature increase → reaction acceleration → pressure increase → containment failure → chemical release/fire/explosion

Similarly:

Incorrect chemical addition → incompatible reaction → heat generation/gas formation → pressure increase → emergency situation

Therefore, relying only on general occupational safety procedures may not adequately address process-related hazards.

The research problem is:

Aim of the Study

The primary aim of this study is:

To evaluate and develop an integrated Process Safety Management framework for identifying, assessing and controlling major process hazards in Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient manufacturing.

Objectives

The objectives of the study are:

1. To identify major process safety hazards associated with API manufacturing.
2. To examine existing Process Safety Management practices in API manufacturing.
3. To evaluate the application of HAZOP and HIRA techniques for process-risk identification.
4. To assess the effectiveness of operating procedures and safety controls.
5. To examine management-of-change practices.

Research Questions

The research can address the following questions:

1. What are the major process hazards associated with API manufacturing?
2. How effectively are process hazards identified and assessed?
3. How can HAZOP improve identification of deviations and process risks?
4. How effectively are management-of-change procedures implemented?
5. What role does mechanical integrity play in preventing process incidents?

Literature Review

Process Safety Management

Process Safety Management is a systematic approach to preventing major incidents involving hazardous processes.

OSHA's PSM standard requires covered facilities to address areas including:

- Employee participation.
- Process safety information.
- Process hazard analysis.
- Operating procedures.
- Training.
- Contractor management.
- Pre-startup safety review.
- Mechanical integrity.
- Hot-work permits.
- Management of change.
- Incident investigation.
- Emergency planning.
- Compliance audits. OSHA

The PSM approach is particularly relevant to API manufacturing where several operations can involve flammable, toxic, reactive or otherwise hazardous chemicals.

Pharmaceutical Quality Risk Management

Pharmaceutical manufacturing also operates within a quality-risk-management framework.

ICH Q9(R1) states that quality risk management should be based on scientific knowledge and that the level of effort, formality and documentation should be proportional to the level of risk. European Medicines Agency (EMA)

ICH's quality guidelines include Q7 for Good Manufacturing Practice for active pharmaceutical ingredients and Q9 for Quality Risk Management. ICH

This provides an important connection between:

Process Safety → Process Risk → Product Quality → Patient Protection

However, quality risk management should not be treated as a substitute for occupational or process-safety requirements. ICH Q9 itself notes that quality risk management does not remove the obligation to comply with applicable regulations and guidance. European Medicines Agency (EMA)

Process Hazard Analysis and HAZOP

A major component of PSM is systematic process hazard analysis.

OSHA describes PHA as a structured approach for identifying, evaluating and controlling process hazards and requires covered PHA teams to include appropriate engineering, process-operation and methodology expertise. OSHA

HAZOP

HAZOP examines deviations from intended process conditions by combining:

Parameter + Guideword = Deviation

Typical guidewords include:

- No.
- More.
- Less.
- Reverse.
- As well as.
- Part of.
- Other than.

HIRA in API Manufacturing

A complementary HIRA process can be applied to individual activities.

Risk equation

Risk = Likelihood × Severity

A five-by-five matrix may be used.

Likelihood	Score
------------	-------

Rare	1
------	---

Unlikely	2
----------	---

Possible	3
----------	---

Likely	4
--------	---

Almost certain	5
----------------	---

Severity	Score
Minor	1
Moderate	2
Serious	3
Major	4
Catastrophic	5

The organization should define its own risk categories and acceptance criteria.

Sample HIRA for API Manufacturing

Activity	Hazard	Potential consequence	Initial risk	Key controls
Solvent transfer	Flammable vapor/static	Fire/explosion	High	Bonding, grounding, closed transfer
Reactor charging	Incorrect addition	Exothermic reaction	High	SOP, interlock, controlled addition
Reactor operation	Cooling failure	Runaway reaction	High	Temperature monitoring, alarm, emergency cooling
Pressure operation	Overpressure	Equipment rupture	High	Relief system, alarms, inspection
Chemical handling	Toxic exposure	Illness/injury	High	Containment, ventilation, PPE
Maintenance	Unexpected energy release	Serious injury	High	LOTO, isolation, permit
Cleaning	Chemical exposure	Burns/poisoning	Medium/High	SOP, PPE, ventilation
Sampling	Chemical contact	Exposure	Medium	Closed sampling system
Material charging	Dust generation	Inhalation/fire risk	Medium/High	Containment, dust control
Equipment modification	Unidentified new hazard	Process incident	High	Management of change

These are **illustrative examples**, not actual plant risk ratings.

Key Components of the Proposed PSM Framework

Process Safety Information

A comprehensive process-safety information system should provide personnel with information necessary to understand process hazards.

Information can include:

- Chemical properties.
- Process chemistry.
- Process flow diagrams.
- Equipment specifications.
- Operating limits.
- Safety systems.
- Relief-system information.
- Material compatibility.
- Instrumentation.
- Hazardous-area classification.

OSHA's PSM framework requires process-safety information to support understanding of chemical, process-technology and equipment hazards. OSHA

Process Hazard Analysis

PHA/HAZOP should systematically examine:

- Chemical hazards.
- Process deviations.
- Equipment failure.
- Utility failure.
- Human factors.
- Existing safeguards.
- Consequences of safeguard failure.
- Emergency scenarios.

PHA recommendations should be assigned to responsible persons and tracked until closure.

The organization should define its own risk categories and acceptance criteria.

Key Components of the Proposed PSM Framework

Process Safety Information

A comprehensive process-safety information system should provide personnel with information necessary to understand process hazards.

Information can include:

- Chemical properties.
- Process chemistry.
- Process flow diagrams.
- Equipment specifications.
- Operating limits.
- Safety systems.
- Relief-system information.
- Material compatibility.
- Instrumentation.
- Hazardous-area classification.

OSHA's PSM framework requires process-safety information to support understanding of chemical, process-technology and equipment hazards.

Process Hazard Analysis

PHA/HAZOP should systematically examine:

- Chemical hazards.
- Process deviations.
- Equipment failure.
- Utility failure.
- Human factors.
- Existing safeguards.
- Consequences of safeguard failure.
- Emergency scenarios.

PHA recommendations should be assigned to responsible persons and tracked until closure.

Standard Operating Procedures

- Startup.
- Normal operation.
- Temporary operation.
- Shutdown.
- Emergency shutdown.
- Emergency operation.
- Restart after shutdown.
- Operating limits.
- Safety precautions.

OSHA's PSM standard similarly requires written procedures for covered processes and specifies operating phases and operating limits. OSHA

Management of Change

Management of Change (MOC) is one of the most important elements of PSM.

Changes can involve:

- Raw materials.
- Chemicals.
- Equipment.
- Process conditions.
- Process technology.
- Instrumentation.
- Software/control systems.
- Procedures.
- Facility layout.

Before implementing a significant change, the organization should determine:

1. Why is the change required?
2. What hazards could the change introduce?
3. Does the change affect operating limits?
4. Does it require a new HAZOP?

5. Does it affect emergency procedures?
6. Does it require worker training?
7. Does it require updating drawings and SOPs?

A proper MOC system prevents temporary modifications from becoming uncontrolled permanent changes.

Mechanical Integrity

Mechanical integrity ensures that safety-critical equipment remains suitable for its intended function.

Equipment can include:

- Reactors.
- Pressure vessels.
- Storage tanks.
- Piping.
- Pumps.
- Valves.
- Relief devices.
- Emergency shutdown systems.
- Sensors.
- Alarms.
- Interlocks.

OSHA's PSM standard requires written procedures, employee training and inspection/testing programs for covered process equipment. OSHA

Permit-to-Work System

A permit-to-work system can control non-routine and high-risk activities.

Examples include:

- Hot work.
- Confined-space entry.
- Electrical work.
- Line breaking.
- Work at height.
- Excavation.
- Maintenance on hazardous systems.

The permit should identify:

Job → Hazard → Isolation → Controls → Authorization → Completion

Lockout/Tagout and Energy Isolation

Maintenance activities can expose workers to:

- Electrical energy.
- Pressure.

- Steam.
- Hydraulic energy.
- Pneumatic energy.
- Chemical energy.
- Mechanical energy.
- Thermal energy.

A robust isolation procedure should include:

1. Identify energy sources.
2. Shut down equipment.
3. Isolate energy.
4. Apply locks/tags.
5. Release stored energy.
6. Verify zero-energy state.
7. Perform work.
8. Restore equipment safely.

Emergency Preparedness

API manufacturing facilities should prepare for scenarios such as:

- Chemical release.
- Solvent fire.
- Explosion.
- Toxic-gas release.
- Reactor runaway.
- Loss of cooling.
- Loss of power.
- Major equipment failure.
- Spill.
- Natural hazards.

Emergency plans should define:

- Alarm systems.
- Evacuation routes.
- Emergency shutdown.
- Muster points.
- Fire response.
- Spill response.
- First aid.
- Communication.
- External emergency services.
- Incident command responsibilities.

OSHA's PSM standard includes emergency planning and response requirements for covered processes. OSHA

Employee Participation

Process safety should not be managed exclusively by senior management or the safety department.

Operators, maintenance personnel, engineers and other employees have practical knowledge of process conditions and recurring problems.

OSHA's PSM framework explicitly requires employee participation and consultation in process hazard analysis and other PSM elements. OSHA

Useful mechanisms include:

- Toolbox meetings.
- Safety observations.
- Near-miss reporting.
- HAZOP participation.
- Safety committees.
- Suggestion systems.
- Pre-job meetings.

Proposed Research Methodology

Research design

A **mixed-method research design** is recommended.

Primary data

Data can be obtained through:

- Employee questionnaires.
- Interviews.
- Site observations.
- HAZOP sessions.
- HIRA assessments.
- Safety audits.

Secondary data

Possible sources include:

- SOPs.
- HAZOP reports.
- Incident records.
- Near-miss reports.
- Training records.
- Maintenance records.
- Inspection reports.
- MOC records.
- Emergency-drill reports.
- Applicable regulations and standards.

Example statements:

1. Employees receive adequate process-safety training.
2. HAZOP recommendations are effectively implemented.
3. Operating procedures are readily available.

4. Process changes are reviewed through MOC.
5. Safety-critical equipment is inspected regularly.
6. Employees can report near misses without difficulty.
7. Emergency drills are conducted regularly.
8. Process hazards are communicated effectively.
9. Permit-to-work procedures are properly implemented.
10. Management demonstrates commitment to process safety.

Data Analysis

Questionnaire data can be analyzed using:

- Frequency.
- Percentage.
- Mean.
- Standard deviation.
- Safety-performance index.
- Correlation analysis.
- Chi-square testing, where appropriate.

A **Process Safety Implementation Index (PSII)** could be developed as:

$$\text{PSII} = \text{Obtained score} / \text{Maximum possible score} \times 100$$

Separate scores could be calculated for:

- PHA/HAZOP.
- MOC.
- Mechanical integrity.
- Training.
- Emergency preparedness.
- Operating procedures.
- Employee participation.

The index should be presented as a research metric developed for the study, not as

References

1. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). **Demolition – Overview**. U.S. Department of Labor. OSHA
2. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). **Silica, Crystalline – Construction**. U.S. Department of Labor. OSHA
3. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). **Silica, Crystalline – Overview**. U.S. Department of Labor. OSHA
4. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). **Respirable Crystalline Silica, 29 CFR 1926.1153**. OSHA
5. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). **Lead, 29 CFR 1926.62**. OSHA
6. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). **Control of Silica Dust in Construction: Heavy Equipment and Utility Vehicles Used During Demolition Activities**. OSHA
7. World Health Organization. **Throwing away our health: the impact of solid waste on human health – evidence, knowledge gaps, and health sector responses**. 2025. World Health Organization