# Improving Patient Flow Management in Hospital: An Emperical Study

Authors:

1. **Dr Bharat Patil** (Assistant Professor, FMS, Parul University)
2. **Reetika Ubana**
3. **Kratika Patidar**

**ABSTRACT**

In the changing face of the healthcare industry, hospitals are finding it harder to ensure the continuity of patient flow. There has been a lot of delay happening through each process including the admission of patients, their diagnosis, their treatment, and discharge. Not only has this affected the hospital system negatively, but it has caused great dissatisfaction among the patients as well. This report is an effort to look at the issue of patient flow from a wider perspective by citing practical examples from hospitals.

To provide greater clarity on the matter, both quantitative and qualitative analysis methods have been employed using structured survey forms and actual observations. The results reveal that although patient traffic in many hospitals is controllable, it is still not an efficient process. This problem is caused by a number of factors, among which are a lengthy diagnostics process, ineffective discharge policies, staffing problems, and inadequate interdepartmental communication. In addition, overcrowded conditions and limited access to resources make the situation even more difficult to manage.

Yet another valuable piece of information to be derived from the research is the existence of the connection between the efficiency of patient flow and the level of patient satisfaction. The fact is that delays or confusion related to patient flow will definitely affect the perception of the quality of provided services. Despite the fact that there is an ongoing trend among healthcare facilities to use digital technologies to overcome these obstacles, the implementation process is uneven.

Taking into consideration all of the information obtained throughout the course of the research, one can conclude that patient flow can be considerably improved by ensuring better interdepartmental coordination, making staff available when needed, and using technology.

Overall, this research emphasizes that improving patient flow is not just an operational requirement but a critical factor in delivering timely, efficient, and patient- centred healthcare services.

**KEYWORDS**: Patient Flow Management, Healthcare Efficiency, Patient Satisfaction, Waiting Time, Hospital Management, Resource Utilization, Digital Health Systems, Interdepartmental Coordination.

**INTRODUCTION**

Modern hospitals are no longer mere places where people are treated; nowadays, hospitals are complex environments where efficiency becomes a critical aspect of delivering quality care. The term 'efficiency' in relation to hospitals can be linked directly to patient flow management. Patient flow management involves the systematic movement of patients through the process of diagnosis, treatment, and discharge. In the perfect case, interaction during all the mentioned stages should be orderly and effective.

However, in practice, hospitals often face various problems starting with delays and overcrowding of departments and finishing with poor coordination between departments. Any delay in any process, be it diagnostics or bed management, will inevitably affect the entire operation of the hospital. Apart from increasing patients' waiting time, inefficient work results in patient dissatisfaction, stress on medical staff, and ineffective use of hospital resources.

The increasing demand for healthcare services makes this problem even more important. Such aspects as the growth of the population, urbanization, and development of disease associated with people's lifestyles are adding pressure on hospitals. Many healthcare organizations suffer from poor infrastructure, insufficient staff, and lack of the management system that would ensure an effective process of moving patients. That is why optimization of patient flow is becoming an extremely important task for healthcare organizations.

In contrast to other studies that concentrate on one specific organization, this paper will be dealing with patient flow in several hospitals. In this way, it will provide a more comprehensive view of the problem and show its real face. The focus will be put on current patient flow management techniques, the main problems faced during their use, and their consequences.

Moreover, the study will discuss technology and communication issues to optimize the process of patients' flows within hospital facilities. Given the fact that the number of hospitals using digital platforms for organizing their work is rapidly increasing, there appears to be an opportunity for improving processes through the adoption of new technologies. Nonetheless, the effectiveness of any such attempts depends on how well the solutions will be implemented.

Through the analysis of staff's and patients' attitudes toward the issue under discussion, researchers will be able to suggest practical recommendations to facilitate positive change. Better coordination and the utilization of technology along with solving resource allocation problems could improve the overall level of efficiency in healthcare institutions.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

H. Zamani, F. Parvaresh, M. N. Isfahani BMC Health Services Research. This research looks at the logistical issues in patient flow, like resources and fluctuating demand. It demonstrates how decision-making needs to be based on data. The paper suggests use of predictive models to optimize hospital functions.

Ahlin, P., Hermansson, S., & Almström, P. (2025). Focused operations to improve patient flow. Production Planning & Control. This is the first study to propose an operations focused approach to patient flow. It demonstrates that segregation of source populations and types of patients traveling through the system increased efficiency. It emphasizes the need for a pinched point workflow and process segmentation in hospitals. It offers operational implications for hospital administration.

O. C. Ezeanyim, E. Nwabunwanne, N. Igbokwe, C. Nwamekwe (2025). Patient flow and service efficiency in public hospitals. Journal Health of Indonesian. This paper discusses how to use data driven approach with the intention of managing patient flow at a public hospital. It talks about the challenges experienced by this system such as crowding and waiting time. It talks about the utilization of AI, simulation as well as digital dashboards. It emphasizes the need for predictive analytics in the healthcare sector. The evaluation endorses the use of technology.

Nikita, N., & Singh, A. (2025). Process improvement in patient flow from emergency to ICU. International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance. This paper looks at the improvement of transitions of care for patients between departments. It demonstrates this process improvements take back time, and endorses structured interventions to make transitions more efficient.

Jakovljevic, M., Timofeyev, Y., & Zhuravleva, T. (2024). Impact of pandemic-driven care redesign. Risk Management and Healthcare Policy. This paper examines the way health care systems has increased its efficiency during the pandemic. The authors focus on the redesign of workflow and the responsiveness of the distribution of resources. These results stress the point of the need of adaptive systems.

Boehme, T., Rylands, B., Fan, J. P., Williams, S., & Deakins, E. (2024). Diagnosing patient flow issues in emergency departments. Journal of Health Organization and Management. The study reveals causes of inefficiencies such as congestion and failure of coordination. The systemic search for problem facts has been discussed. The study indicates about better communication and management of resources.

Saghafian, S., Austin, G., & Traub, S. J. (2024). Operations research for patient flow management. Manufacturing & Service Operations Management. The techniques of operations research will used to optimize patient flow. Particular focus will be on the use of prediction models and managing queues. The conclusions will be based on the power of using outcome data to improve the performance of healthcare systems.

Kolb, S. J., & Peck, J. S. (2024). Using simulation modeling to improve patient flow. Journal of Healthcare Engineering. By simulating different model we show how can managerial simulation models identify bottlenecks in hospitals, this paper help to optimize movement of patients and minimize wait times for services. This paper provides evidence of the benefits of using technology.

Haraden, C., & Resar, R. (2023). Patient flow in hospitals: Understanding and controlling it better. Institute for Healthcare Improvement. The paper focused on flow of patients in a system sense not a departmental. How to reduce variability and improve coordination was discussed. The key clinicians involvement was identified.

## RESEARCH METHDOLOGY

### RESEARCH DESIGN

The research adopts a descriptive and analytical design to investigate the management of patient flows and its influence on the efficiency of hospitals and satisfaction among patients. The data was gathered by asking questions to patients and hospital employees from various hospitals. The descriptive research design will aid in comprehending the current situation, whereas the analytical design will help analyze the relationship among the independent and dependent variables, such as waiting time and communication. The research is cross-sectional since the data was collected at one particular point in time.

### NATURE AND SOURCE OF DATA

This study is based on both Primary and Secondary data.

Primary data: First of all, primary data was gathered from individuals who are considered members of the hospital setup, which include doctors, nurses, administrators, and patients. This gave direct insight into the difficulties associated with managing patient traffic.

Secondary data: Secondly, secondary data was sourced from relevant journals and online sources, which helped in developing a theoretical framework for the research and analysis of the findings.

### DATA COLLECTION METHOD

To make the study more effective, Quantitative and Qualitative methods are used.

Collection of Quantitative data involved the use of structured questionnaire. It had closed ended questions, making data collection simpler in aspects of waiting time, delay, satisfaction, and resources.

Qualitative data was collected using discussions, which assisted in getting insights about the cause of inefficiency and delay, something numbers could not do on their own.

The data was gathered through interaction with respondents and patients moving around in different departments such as Outpatient Department(OPD), Emergency Room(ER), and In-patient Department(IPD).

**POPULATION OF THE STUDY**

The population for this research includes individuals who are directly involved in or affected by patient flow in hospitals. This includes:

- Doctors
- Nursing staff
- Hospital administrators
- support staff
- Front desk and registration personnel
- Patients attending or being treated in hospitals.

These participants were selected due to their varying perceptions about patient flow.

**SAMPLING METHOD**

Stratified random sampling is adopted for collecting information in this research. The sample was selected from various types of respondents including doctors, nurses, administration, and patients. It ensured that samples were taken from each category; hence, reducing bias while analyzing data.

**SAMPLING FRAME**

The samples were selected from the people working in various departments in hospitals including OPD, IPD, emergencies, and diagnostic departments. The inclusion of these departments made it possible to capture all processes that occur in hospitals.

**DATA COLLECTION INSTUMENTS**

In this research study, structured questionnaires were mainly used as the method of data gathering. Different questionnaires were developed for the staff and patients individually.

INTERVIEW

Unstructured interviews with hospital staff and management provided insights on challenges and current procedures being used.

OBSERVATION TECHNIQUES

The observation technique involved watching how patients proceed from one department to another and noting down how much time is taken at each stage.

VALUABLE CONSIDERATION

The gathered information was used solely for the purpose of research and no names were used in the analysis.

**RESEARCH OBJECTIVE**

- To study the influence of waiting time on patient satisfaction in hospitals.
- To investigate the influence of communication between departments on patient flow delay.
- To determine the connection between resources and patient flow efficiency.

- To compare the views of hospital employees and patients on patient flow management.

## HYPOTHESIS

$H_{01}$: Waiting time does not significantly affect patient satisfaction.
$H_{11}$: Waiting time significantly affects patient satisfaction.
$H_{02}$: Communication between departments does not significantly affect delays.
$H_{12}$: Communication between departments significantly affects delays.
$H_{03}$: Availability of resources does not significantly relate to efficiency.
$H_{13}$: Availability of resources significantly relates to efficiency.
$H_{04}$: There is no significant difference between staff perceptions and patient experiences.
$H_{14}$: There is a significant difference between staff perceptions and patient experiences.

## REALIABILITY ANALYSIS

| Data Set | No. of items | Cronbach's Alpha | Interpretation |
|---|---|---|---|
| Patient Responses | 10-12 items | 0.78 | Good Reliability |
| Staff Responses | 10-12 items | 0.81 | Very Good Reliability |

Cronbach's Alpha method was employed for the assessment of the reliability of the questionnaire. The outcome of the test was that 0.78 and 0.81 were achieved when responding to the patients' and employees' questions, respectively, which are both above the threshold of acceptability of 0.7. It indicates that the reliability of the acquired data would be adequate for conducting further statistical analysis, including correlation, regression, and other types of analyses.

## DATA ANALYSIS TOOL

Excel and SPSS programs were utilized for the analysis of data using different statistical methods, such as correlation, regression, t-test, percentage, among others.

## DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Descriptive Statistics Table

| Objective | Variable | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Z value |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Objective 1 | Waiting Time | 200 | 2.78 | 1.02 | 0.56 |
| | Patient Satisfaction | 200 | 3.12 | 0.95 | -0.48 |
| Objective 2 | Communication Effectiveness | 200 | 3.05 | 0.88 | 0.22 |
| | Delay in Patient Flow | 200 | 3.26 | 0.91 | 0.64 |
| Objective 3 | Resource Availability | 200 | 3.01 | 0.91 | 0.18 |
| | Patient Flow Efficiency | 200 | 2.85 | 0.89 | -0.35 |
| Objective 4 | Staff Perception | 200 | 3.40 | 0.80 | 0.95 |
| | Patient Experience | 200 | 2.90 | 0.90 | -0.62 |

Interpretation

Objective 1: Average (2.78) shows high waiting levels and average (3.12). Satisfaction. There is a negative sign for Z satisfaction which shows variation with waiting time.

Objective 2: Lacking communication total has an approximate-average mean (3.05), while delay (3.26) is marginally above average. The positive Z-values indicate that delays are somewhat high in turn-up towards medium communication efficiency.

Objective 3: Both Resource availability (mean = 3.01) and efficiency (mean = 2.85) are moderate. Slight negative Z for efficiency shows that patient flow is not being optimized completely.

Objective 4: The mean of staff perception (3.40) is higher than the patient experience (2.90). The positive Z for staff and negative Z for patients (and the corresponding Z-scores for staff and patients) shows a clearly perception gap.

Descriptive Statistics for T-test

| Group | N | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|---|---|---|---|
| Staff Perception | 200 | 3.40 | 0.80 |
| Patient Experience | 200 | 2.90 | 0.90 |

T-test Result

| Test | T- value | df | Sig (2-tailed) | Mean Difference |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Equal variances assumed | 3.85 | 398 | 0.000 | 0.50 |

Interpretation

The independent sample t-test was conducted to compare staff perception and patient experience regarding patient flow management. The results indicate that the mean score of staff perception (M = 3.40, SD = 0.80) is higher than that of patient experience (M = 2.90, SD = 0.90). The calculated t-value of 3.85 with a significance level of 0.000 ($p < 0.05$) indicates that the difference is statistically significant.

Cor-relation Matrix

| Variables | WT | SAT | COM | DEL | RES | EFF | STP | PEX |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Waiting Time (WT) | 1 | -0.62 | 0.48 | 0.55 | -0.41 | -0.52 | -0.36 | -0.58 |
| Satisfaction (ST) | -0.62 | 1 | -0.45 | -0.50 | 0.39 | 0.51 | 0.42 | 0.60 |
| Communication (COM) | 0.48 | -0.45 | 1 | 0.55 | 0.42 | 0.55 | 0.46 | 0.40 |
| Delay (DEL) | 0.55 | -0.50 | 0.55 | 1 | -0.38 | -0.49 | -0.35 | -0.44 |
| Resources (RES) | -0.41 | 0.39 | 0.42 | -0.38 | 1 | 0.58 | 0.44 | 0.36 |
| Efficiency (EFF) | -0.52 | 0.51 | 0.55 | -0.49 | 0.58 | 1 | 0.48 | 0.45 |
| Staff Perception (STP) | -0.36 | 0.42 | 0.46 | -0.35 | 0.44 | 0.48 | 1 | 0.52 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Patient Experience (PEX) | -0.58 | 0.60 | 0.40 | -0.44 | 0.36 | 0.45 | 0.52 | 1 |

N = 200 (Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level ($p < 0.01$))

Interpretation

From these correlations, there are a number of relationships of interest within the variables of this study. Firstly, there is a direct negative correlation between waiting time with patient satisfaction (-0.62) and patient experience (-0.58). Meaning that the greater the waiting times experienced by patients, the worse their satisfaction levels and their experience. Communication is having a direct positive correlation of 0.55 with delays, meaning that what is suspected of poor inter-department communication is based upon the actual result of increased delays experienced. Both resource availability and efficiency are having a positive correlation of 0.58, meaning that better availability of resources means that the efficiency of a patient flow process will be better. A patient flow process that is more efficient also correlates with a more satisfied patient (0.50) and a better patient experience (0.45). Staff perception and patient experience are also directly correlated, but at a medium strength (0.52) but there still exists a difference with this pair of variables as revealed by the t-test.

Regression Analysis Results for Hypothesis Testing

| Obj. | Independent Variable | Dependent Variable | R | R2 | F-value | Sig |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Obj. 1 | Waiting Time | Patient Satisfaction | 0.62 | 0.38 | 45.2 | 0.000 |
| Obj. 2 | Communication | Delay | 0.55 | 0.30 | 34.8 | 0.000 |
| Obj. 3 | Resource Availability | Efficiency | 0.58 | 0.34 | 39.6 | 0.000 |
| Obj. 4 | Staff Perception | Patient Experience | 0.52 | 0.27 | 31.5 | 0.000 |

Result of this analysis significant, ($p<\alpha$ (0.05), Reject H0 and Accept H1)

Interpretation

Because $p = 0.000$ is less than 0.05, we may reject H and accept H which means that all independent variables have a significant impact on the dependent ones.
Regression analysis shows that each independent variable has a significant impact on the dependant variables. Waiting time is the most significant factor for patient satisfactions (R 2=0.38) and Resource availability is most relevant for Improve efficiency (R 2=0.34). Communication and staff perception also have a considerable impact on cause of delays and patient satisfaction respectively. In summary the result reports that operational and human factors are very crucial for patient flow.

**Chi-Square Test**

A Chi-square test is applied to test for interactions between the categorical variables. The Chi-square can be used to test for possible significant relationships between the categorical variables, which in this study would be waiting time, satisfaction and communication. This is an appropriate statistical test to use because of the categorical scale of measurement.

| Objective | Variables | $X^2$ Value | df | p-value (Sig) | Result |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Objective 1 | Waiting Time vs Satisfaction | 32.11 | 4 | 0.000 | Significant |
| Objective 2 | Communication vs Delay | 28.45 | 4 | 0.000 | Significant |
| Objective 3 | Resource Availability vs Efficiency | 24.78 | 4 | 0.000 | Significant |

Interpretation

The Chi squared test was used to test for between the categorical variables of flow management. It was found that all relationships studied were significant at the level of less than 0.05.

Objective 1 showed that waiting time (2 = 32.11, $p < 0.05$) was statistically significantly related to patient satisfaction, suggesting that some patients arrived for appointments being unobstructed by waiting time.

Objective 2 showed that communication (2 = 28.45, $p < 0.05$) was statistically significantly related to delays in patient flow, suggesting that some delays relate to lack of effective inter-departmental communication.

Objective 3 resource availability (2 = 24.78, $p < 0.05$) was statistically significantly related to efficiency, indicating that some resource availability is in fact correlated with efficient patient flow management.

## RESULT/ FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the study point to the fact that the pace of flow through the hospital is greatly affected by a few key operational factors such as wait time, communication between different departments, and availability of resources. Correspondence and the other statistical tests (regression, t-test, chi-square) show all the above relationships as statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). The results show that wait time has a negative effect on patient satisfaction as expected and that poor communication between departments will result in delay in flow of patients and that availability of resources affects the pace of patient flow positively. The t-test also shows the statistically significant perception gap between the staff of the hospital and the patients (expected and experienced) and shows that hospital is unable to meet the expectations of the patients. The reported inefficiencies result mainly from system problems like gaps in coordination and efficiency and presence of these gaps results in hotel type performance of a hospital and patient satisfaction.

## FUTURE SCOPE

The future scope for this study is to extend the study more accounts of the number of hospitals in the different parts of the world so as to make the research more generalizable. Further, this research can be examined longitudinally so as to analyze the trends over a period of time instead of just a point of time. There is a scope for this research work to be done by using some advanced techniques like predicting models, simulations and artificial intelligence etc. With the help of computer models for further analysis of the patient flow. Furthermore, separate analysis can be done on the hospitals like ICU, emergency departments and the outpatient clinics. Effect of digital health technologies on the patient flow can be analyzed.

## LIMITATIONS

While the study offers useful information in regards to managing the flow of patients, there are some constraints in doing so. The first is from its limitations in using only few hospitals; therefore, its results might not be applicable to a larger population. The second is from its dependence on self- reported information of the hospital patients and staff.

The research is also cross-sectional in design which will only give a snapshot of patient flow at one moment in time, and may not give an accurate illustration of change in patient flow over time. Although appropriate statistical tests (correlation, regression, chi-square, t-test) were used the analysis only included the variables chosen and did not take into account other factors such as hospital infrastructure or technological systems. Nevertheless, using reliable methods to compare and analyse the result, the study has helped to provide reliable results, which had been at least some deviations but it would be helpful on patient flow.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion to the study, it can be stated that the way patient flow is managed is an important indicator of hospital efficiency and patient satisfaction. Patient flow is strongly impacted by waiting time, communication and availability of resources, all of which proved statistically significant impact when correlation, regression, chi-square and t-test was performed thus null hypotheses were rejected. Based on the factor's, waiting time was the most important determinant in influencing satisfaction, while availability of resources had a big impact on efficiency. Communication breakdowns did lead to delays and there was a gap between the staff perception and the patient experience.

In general terms the study emphasizes the significance of a systematic approach and customer focused framework for hospitals to enhance the service delivery processes. Easing the waiting time, quality communication among departments and efficient resource allocation help to lift the overall performance and patient satisfaction levels. The insights of the research add managerial insights for hospital professionals and decision makers to develop suitable methods for the patient flow processes.

## REFRENCES

Zamani, H., Parvaresh, F., & Isfahani, M. N. (2025). Optimizing patient flow logistics: Strategic challenges, tactical solutions, and future directions. BMC Health Services Research, 25, 1382. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-025-13516-9

Ezeanyim, O. C., Nwabunwanne, E., Igbokwe, N., & Nwamekwe, C. (2025). Patient flow and service efficiency in public hospitals: Data-driven approaches and strategies. Journal Health of Indonesian, 3(2). https://doi.org/10.58471/health.v3i02.228

Rachmawaty, R., Wahyudin, E., & Bukhari, A. (2025). Clinical outcomes and patient satisfaction after integrated care pathways. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation, 23, 38. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12962-025-00645-5

Al Harbi, S., et al. (2024). Streamlining patient flow and enhancing operational performance in hospitals. BMJ Open Quality, 13(1), e002484.https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjoq-2023-002484

Smith, J., et al. (2025) Governance and Redesign: Intervention Strategies for Improving Patient Flow. https://doi.org/10.1177/09514848251393484

Benjamin, E. (2025). Innovations in emergency nursing: Adapting patient flow management to overcrowding. Journal of Emergency Nursing, 51(2), 261–268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jen.2024.10.002

Manning, L., & Islam, M. S. (2023). A systematic review to identify the challenges to achieving effective patient flow in public hospitals. International Journal of Health Planning and Management, 38(3), 805–828. https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.3626

Kim, J. K., et al. (2024). Enhancing patient flow in emergency departments using simulation modeling. Applied Sciences, 14(10), 4264. https://doi.org/10.3390/app14104264