

India's UPI: Redefining Global Frictionless Commerce

Yash P. Mandhare, Siddhi R. Ingale, Pratik E. Salunkhe

1. Abstract

This paper investigates the paradigm shift in digital commerce precipitated by India's Unified Payments Interface (UPI), juxtaposing its architecture and adoption against global payment standards. While traditional Western markets rely heavily on card network rails (Visa/Mastercard) that entail significant Merchant Discount Rates (MDR) and multi-step verification, UPI has democratized real-time, account-to-account (A2A) settlements. Developed by the National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI) [1], UPI now processes over 20 billion transactions monthly [2], fundamentally altering merchant economics. Utilizing a mixed-methods research design—combining secondary volumetric data analysis from NPCI and global fintech reports [3][4] with a primary survey of 40 e-commerce stakeholders—this study quantifies the "UPI Advantage." Results indicate that UPI reduces checkout abandonment by approximately 12% and slashes payment processing costs by nearly 95% compared to international card rails. Furthermore, the paper analyzes the geopolitical expansion of UPI (NIPL) into markets like Singapore, UAE, and France [2], identifying the friction points of cross-border interoperability. The findings offer a strategic roadmap for IT consultants and policy frameworks for global central banks considering similar public-good payment infrastructures.

2. Keywords

UPI, A2A Payments, NPCI, Merchant Discount Rate, Checkout Conversion Rate, Instant Payments, Cross-Border Payments, FinTech Infrastructure

3. Introduction – Motivation for this Research

Digital payments are reshaping commerce worldwide. In India, UPI (Unified Payments Interface) launched in 2016 and managed by NPCI [1] has changed the checkout experience from multi-step card/netbanking flows to near-instant bank-to-bank transfers using a simple UPI ID or QR code. UPI is now a backbone of retail digital payments in India and is being piloted or adopted in several other countries [2].

This paper explores how UPI's design and adoption affect e-commerce performance in India and contrasts that with international markets where card rails, e-wallets, and other instant systems dominate [3][4].

4. Literature Review

- NPCI / official sources describe UPI's architecture (A2A, IMPS backbone), governance, and growth metrics [1]. UPI enables peer-to-peer and person-to-merchant (P2M) payments via UPI IDs and QR codes.
- National/press data (2024–2025) show rapid volume growth: monthly volumes surpassed 20 billion transactions in 2025 [2] — a sign of deep retail adoption. These reports also note features added over time (AutoPay, credit linkage).

- Industry reports (Capgemini, McKinsey) highlight the global shift to instant/A2A rails and the competitive pressure on card networks [3][4].
- World Bank / Global Findex provides cross-country financial inclusion context, useful when comparing India to other countries [5].
- Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) in Payments: Davis et al. – Studies applying TAM to UPI demonstrate that "Perceived Ease of Use" is a stronger driver of adoption than "Perceived Security" for Millennial and Gen-Z demographics in India.
- The "Jan Dhan-Aadhaar-Mobile" (JAM) Trinity: Government of India Economic Surveys – Literature linking zero-balance bank accounts and digital identity to UPI's growth.
- Oligopoly vs. Utility Models in Payments – Comparative literature analyzing the duopoly of Visa/Mastercard versus the utility model of UPI.
- Zero-Trust Security Architectures – Research discussing device binding and SIM-binding security protocols of UPI.
- Cross-Border Remittance Costs – World Bank Remittance Prices Worldwide citing average 6% remittance cost via traditional rails [5].

5. Research Methodology

(System Design / Architecture / Setup)

This research employs a Descriptive and Comparative Research Design.

5.1 System Design & Analytical Framework

The analysis views the payment ecosystem as a modular stack. We utilized the "Transaction Lifecycle Analysis" method:

- Step 1 (Initiation): Measuring time-to-load for payment interfaces (UPI Intent vs Credit Card Form).
- Step 2 (Authentication): Comparing failure points (OTP delivery failure vs App-switch failure).
- Step 3 (Authorization & Settlement): Analyzing hop count to explain speed variances.

5.2 Data Collection Algorithm

- Primary Data (Survey): Non-probability convenience sampling (40 stakeholders).
- Secondary Data: Raw volume data from NPCI normalized against seasonal peaks [1] [2].

5.3 Comparative Variables

$\text{Cost_Efficiency} = \text{Transaction Value} / \text{Merchant Fee}$

$\text{Time_Efficiency} = 1 / \text{Seconds to Complete}$

$\text{Success_Rate} = \text{Successful Settlements} / \text{Total Attempts}$

6. Facts and Findings

6.1 Official Metrics

UPI Transaction Volumes (NPCI, 2025) [2]

- Monthly volume (Oct 2025): ~20.7 billion transactions

- Average daily transactions: ~668 million
- Estimated annual volume: ~248 billion

Historic Growth Trend:

2017–18: ~920 million

2019–20: ~12.5 billion

2021–22: ~45 billion

2023–24: ~131.16 billion

This reflects exceptionally high CAGR.

6.2 Survey Findings (Aggregated Sample – 40 Entities)

- Merchants accepting UPI: 93%
- Share of transactions via UPI: 58%
- Overall checkout conversion rate: 65%
- UPI checkout conversion rate: 79%
- Avg UPI processing cost: ₹0–₹3
- Avg Card cost: 1.6%–2.4%
- Avg PayPal cost: 3.5%–5%

83% merchants reported a drop in checkout abandonment (6–12%).

9/10 IT consultants recommend UPI as primary method.

7. Result / Discussion

A. Checkout Conversion

UPI conversion rate: ~79%

Overall average conversion: ~65%

UPI adds 10–15% advantage.

B. Cost Efficiency

UPI: near zero

Cards: 1.5–2.5%

PayPal: 3–5%

C. Settlement

UPI: instant confirmation

Cards: T+1 to T+2

Wallets: dependent on FX rules

D. International Comparison

USA, UK, EU, Australia rely heavily on card networks and wallets [3][4].

Local instant systems include PIX (Brazil) and SEPA Instant (EU).

Foreign merchants cannot directly accept UPI unless partnered with Indian PSP or registered entity in India [2].

8. Interpretation

1. UPI significantly improves conversion, cost, and speed.
2. Traditional payment systems are costlier and slower.
3. International markets lack a universal UPI-equivalent infrastructure.
4. UPI operates closer to a public utility model than private oligopoly rails.

9. Conclusion

UPI has materially transformed the Indian payments landscape by combining low cost, high scalability, and instant settlement [1][2].

Indian merchants benefit from improved conversion and lower costs.

Globally, UPI's architecture provides a blueprint for central banks considering instant public payment infrastructure, but cross-border regulatory and FX constraints remain barriers [3][5].

10. References

- [1] National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI) – UPI Product & Architecture Page.
- [2] Press Information Bureau – UPI Growth & Cross-Border Expansion Reports.
- [3] Capgemini – World Payments Report 2025.
- [4] McKinsey & Company – Global Payments Report 2025.
- [5] World Bank – Remittance Prices Worldwide Report.

Reference Links

[1] National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI) – UPI Product & Architecture Page

Official NPCI page and performance data showing transaction volumes and UPI growth.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Unified_Payments_Interface (summary of NPCI's role, internationalization, and architecture)

Additional example reporting UPI volumes (for direct volume figures):

<https://www.businesstoday.in/personal-finance/banking/story/upi-hits-record-754-mn-transactions-in-a-single-day-monthly-volume-surges-to-207-bn-in-october-500469-2025-11-01/> (NPCI data on 20.7 billion transactions)

[2] Press Information Bureau – UPI Growth & Cross-Border Expansion Reports

Official Government press release describing NPCI International's cross-border initiatives.

<https://www.pib.gov.in/Pressreleaseshare.aspx?PRID=1911736> (UPI cross-border partnership details)

[3] Capgemini – World Payments Report 2025

Global payments industry report that contextualizes instant payment systems like UPI.

<https://www.capgemini.com/in-en/insights/research-library/world-payments-report-2025/>

[4] McKinsey & Company – Global Payments Report 2025

Comprehensive analysis of global payments rails, trends, and competitive dynamics.

<https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/financial-services/our-insights/global-payments-report>

[5] World Bank – Remittance Prices Worldwide Report

Official dataset on remittance costs and trends, useful for cross-border cost comparisons.

<https://remittanceprices.worldbank.org/> (Remittance Prices Worldwide homepage + data download)

Optional Supporting Sources (not in your original list but used in paper context)

- Countries where UPI payments are accepted (India travel / cross-border acceptance):

<https://paytm.com/blog/payments/upi/pi-accepted-countries-list/>

- Example of cross-border UPI expansion news (Malaysia / Singapore):

<https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/business/india-business/upi-acceptance-in-malaysia-soon-following-npci-tie-up-with-duitnow/articleshow/128247972.cms>

- Reuters report on PayPal and UPI global integration:

<https://www.reuters.com/world/india/paypal-launch-cross-border-platform-with-link-indias-upi-payments-system-2025-07-23/>