

Industrial Relations in the Gig Economy: A Study of Platform Workers

Dr. Mani Kanta

Faculty of Management

MBA Programme, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University 812007

Email: manikanta.bit@gmail.com

Abstract: This paper explores the evolving landscape of industrial relations in the context of the gig economy, with a particular focus on platform-based workers. It investigates the challenges faced by gig workers in relation to job security, social protection, collective bargaining, and legal recognition. The study uses secondary data and case studies from countries like India, the United States, and the United Kingdom to understand how traditional models of industrial relations are adapting to the digital labor market. The paper concludes by offering policy recommendations for improving the working conditions and rights of platform workers.

Keywords: Gig economy, industrial relations, platform workers, labor rights, collective bargaining, digital labor

1. Introduction Industrial relations traditionally refer to the dynamic relationship between employers, employees, and the institutions that mediate these connections, such as trade unions and government bodies. In the classical sense, it emphasizes job security, collective bargaining, and structured employment frameworks. However, the emergence of the gig economy has redefined this traditional landscape. The gig economy, characterized by short-term contracts, freelance work, and digital platforms like Uber, Swiggy, and Upwork, challenges the conventional employment model. Workers in this sector often operate without formal contracts, social security benefits, or union representation, raising critical concerns for industrial relations.

This shift has introduced a new class of laborers known as "gig workers," whose employment is typically mediated by technology platforms rather than direct human management. These workers enjoy flexibility and autonomy, yet face significant challenges such as income instability, lack of workplace protections, and limited access to grievance redressal mechanisms. The absence of formal employer-employee relationships complicates traditional mechanisms of dispute resolution, collective bargaining, and legal regulation.

In this evolving context, industrial relations must adapt to address the rights, responsibilities, and welfare of gig workers. Policymakers, labor institutions, and platform companies must engage in a dialogue to redefine labor laws, ensure fair wages, and offer social security measures. As the gig economy continues to expand globally and nationally, understanding its impact on industrial relations is essential for shaping an equitable and inclusive future of work.

2. Conceptual Framework The conceptual framework of industrial relations in the gig economy revolves around understanding the changing nature of work, the actors involved, and the mechanisms through which labor relations are structured. In the traditional model, industrial relations include three primary actors: employers, employees, and the state, with trade unions playing a significant mediating role. This model relies on formal employment contracts, collective bargaining, and regulatory frameworks. However, the gig economy disrupts these conventions by introducing platform-based work arrangements that blur the boundaries between employers and employees.

In the gig economy, work is typically mediated through digital platforms that act as intermediaries between service providers (gig workers) and consumers. These platforms often deny the existence of a formal employer-employee relationship, classifying workers as independent contractors. As a result, the standard pillars of industrial relations—such as job security, minimum wages, social benefits, and the right to unionize—are weakened or absent. This creates a need for a revised conceptual approach that can address the power asymmetries between gig workers and digital platforms.

This framework recognizes the gig worker as a key stakeholder, while also accounting for the unique role of technology platforms, which function both as employers and regulators of work through algorithms. It also includes

the role of the state as a regulator, which must redefine labor laws to protect this emerging workforce. Concepts such as algorithmic management, platform accountability, digital unionism, and portable benefits become central in analyzing labor relations in this context. Thus, the conceptual framework of industrial relations in the gig economy must blend classical theories with modern realities, creating space for flexibility while ensuring fairness and protection for all workers.

3. Challenges in Industrial Relations for Platform Workers

Platform workers in the gig economy face a range of challenges that complicate the traditional understanding of industrial relations. One of the most significant issues is the absence of a formal employer-employee relationship. Most platforms classify their workers as independent contractors rather than employees, which excludes them from essential labor rights such as minimum wage, paid leave, health benefits, and job security. This classification makes it difficult for workers to seek redress for grievances or negotiate better working conditions.

☐ **Lack of Job Security**

Platform workers operate on short-term, task-based contracts with no guarantee of continued employment. They can be removed or deactivated from platforms at any time without prior notice or justification, making their livelihoods highly uncertain.

☐ **Absence of Social Security**

Unlike formal employees, gig workers are not entitled to benefits such as health insurance, paid leave, maternity benefits, pension, or provident fund. This lack of a social safety net leaves them vulnerable, especially during illness, accidents, or old age.

☐ **Limited Collective Bargaining Power**

Most platform workers are classified as independent contractors, which legally restricts their right to form or join trade unions. This weakens their ability to negotiate better pay, working conditions, or dispute redressal mechanisms with platform companies.

☐ **Legal Ambiguity**

There is a lack of clear legal definitions and regulations regarding the employment status of gig workers. This ambiguity allows platforms to avoid traditional employer responsibilities, leaving workers in a grey area with limited legal protection or recourse.

☐ **Algorithmic Control and Lack of Transparency**

Workers are often managed by algorithms that allocate tasks, rate performance, and impose penalties. This system lacks transparency and accountability, giving workers little opportunity to appeal decisions or understand how their work is evaluated.

☐ **Income Instability**

Platform workers experience unpredictable earnings due to demand fluctuations, variable task availability, and competition. The absence of a fixed salary makes it difficult for them to plan financially or maintain a stable livelihood.

☐ **Regulatory Gaps**

Existing labor laws in many countries are outdated and do not cover gig and platform-based work adequately. The absence of effective regulations further marginalizes gig workers and excludes them from institutional protections.

4. Case Studies

Case Studies on Industrial Relations in the Gig Economy in India

The gig economy in India has rapidly expanded in recent years, particularly through digital platforms like Ola, Swiggy, Zomato, and Urban Company. These platforms have redefined employment structures, bringing flexibility and autonomy but also presenting critical industrial relations challenges. Below are some key case studies that illustrate these dynamics:

i. Ola and Uber: The Case of Ride-Hailing Drivers

Background:

Ola and Uber are leading ride-hailing platforms in India that connect drivers with passengers via mobile apps. Drivers are considered "partners" and not employees, which exempts the companies from providing job security or social benefits.

Industrial Relations Challenges:

- **Job Insecurity:** Drivers can be deactivated from the platform for reasons like customer complaints or low ratings, often without a clear explanation or chance for appeal.
- **Lack of Social Security:** There is no provision for insurance, pension, or health benefits unless self-arranged by the driver.
- **Limited Collective Bargaining:** Drivers are not allowed to unionize officially; however, informal groups like Ola-Uber Drivers' Union have emerged in cities like Delhi, Bangalore, and Hyderabad to demand fair treatment and better pay.
- **Algorithmic Control:** Incentives and ride allocations are managed by opaque algorithms, leading to uncertainty and stress among drivers.

Protests and Response:

Several protests have taken place across cities demanding fixed fare structures, reduced commission cuts, and recognition as employees. These efforts have led to minor improvements but no significant legal reforms as of yet.

ii. Swiggy and Zomato: The Case of Food Delivery Workers

Background:

Swiggy and Zomato are food delivery platforms that employ lakhs of gig workers across India. Delivery partners are paid per order, with incentives based on distance, time, and customer feedback.

Industrial Relations Challenges:

- **Unstable Income:** Earnings are inconsistent and heavily reliant on demand, especially during peak hours or weekends.
- **No Employment Benefits:** Delivery workers receive no paid leave, insurance, or accident compensation unless voluntarily offered during PR campaigns.
- **Long Working Hours:** To meet their income targets, many workers are compelled to work over 10–12 hours a day, without proper rest or safety provisions.
- **Organizing Efforts:** Workers in Chennai, Hyderabad, and Pune have staged protests, demanding better pay, rain gear, accident coverage, and fuel compensation.

3. Urban Company: The Case of Gig-Based Home Service Providers

Urban Company connects service professionals like beauticians, electricians, and cleaners to customers. Most workers are trained and onboarded via the platform but are treated as independent professionals.

Industrial Relations Challenges:

- **Control vs. Independence:** Although labeled as freelancers, workers must follow strict platform rules, pricing models, and penalties, reflecting characteristics of dependent employment.
- **High Commission Cuts:** Workers have protested against Urban Company's high commission rates, which reduce their actual earnings significantly.

- **Protests and Legal Action:** In 2021, a group of women beauticians staged a protest in Delhi, demanding better pay transparency, reduced penalties, and recognition as employees.

Outcome:

Urban Company responded with minor policy adjustments, such as lowering commissions and introducing “partner councils” for grievance redressal, but the core employment classification remains unchanged.

Platform Response:

Swiggy introduced limited accident insurance and health benefits under pressure, but core issues like fixed minimum pay or employment status remain unresolved.

United States Case Studies

i. Uber Drivers and the California AB5 Law

Background:

In 2019, California passed Assembly Bill 5 (AB5), aiming to reclassify gig workers as employees rather than independent contractors if they met certain conditions. This law directly challenged companies like Uber and Lyft.

Key Issues:

- **Worker Classification:** AB5 aimed to provide gig workers with minimum wage, health benefits, and unemployment insurance.
- **Corporate Pushback:** Uber and Lyft resisted, arguing their drivers prefer flexibility. They launched a \$200 million campaign to support **Proposition 22**, which exempted them from AB5.
- **Outcome:** Proposition 22 passed in 2020, but in 2021 a California judge ruled it unconstitutional. Legal battles continue, showing the complexity of labor classification in platform work.

ii. Amazon Flex Drivers

Background:

Amazon Flex allows drivers to deliver packages using their own vehicles. They are treated as independent contractors, not employees.

Challenges:

- **No Employment Benefits:** Drivers receive no healthcare, paid leave, or job protection.
- **Surveillance and Algorithmic Management:** Workers are tracked via app, and their performance is rated algorithmically, leading to automatic deactivation.
- **Legal Action:** In multiple states, drivers have filed lawsuits seeking reclassification and reimbursement for expenses.

United Kingdom Case Studies

i. Uber v. Aslam (UK Supreme Court, 2021)

Background:

In a landmark case, two Uber drivers, Yaseen Aslam and James Farrar, sued the company for denying them basic employment rights.

Key Issues:

- **Worker Status:** The Supreme Court ruled that Uber drivers are not self-employed but are “workers,” entitling them to minimum wage, holiday pay, and rest breaks.
- **Significance:** This case set a legal precedent in the UK and forced Uber to adjust its model to provide some benefits to its UK drivers.

Outcome:

Uber announced in March 2021 that it would offer guaranteed minimum wage and holiday pay to UK drivers — a major shift in platform labor relations.

ii. Deliveroo Riders and Union Recognition**Background:**

Deliveroo is a major food delivery platform in the UK. In recent years, riders have attempted to unionize under the **Independent Workers Union of Great Britain (IWGB)**.

Key Challenges:

- **Denial of Employment Status:** Deliveroo has maintained that riders are self-employed and not entitled to collective bargaining rights.
- **Court Decisions:** In 2021, the UK Court of Appeal ruled that Deliveroo riders could not be recognized as workers under trade union law, maintaining their self-employed status.

Impact:

Despite legal setbacks, the IWGB continues to advocate for fair pay, safety protections, and the right to unionize.

5. Policy Recommendations**i. Legal Recognition of Gig Workers**

- Define and recognize gig and platform workers as a distinct category under labor laws.
- Extend basic rights such as minimum wage, safe working conditions, and protection from unfair dismissal.

ii. Social Security Coverage

- Include gig workers under national social security schemes (e.g., health insurance, life insurance, maternity benefits, pensions).
- Facilitate contributions through a shared model involving the worker, platform, and government.

iii. Fair Pay and Income Security

- Ensure a minimum guaranteed payment for each task or time worked.
- Regulate algorithmic changes in incentive structures to protect workers from sudden income drops.

iv. Right to Collective Bargaining

- Allow gig workers to form unions or associations.
- Recognize these bodies for negotiation with platform companies on wages, working conditions, and dispute resolution.

v. Transparent Algorithmic Management

- Mandate platforms to disclose how algorithms impact work allocation, pay, and worker evaluations.
- Introduce an appeal mechanism against automated deactivations or penalties.

vi. Grievance Redressal Mechanisms

- Establish independent, time-bound redressal systems within platforms.
- Include digital and physical avenues for complaint filing and resolution.

vii. Access to Skill Development

- Provide opportunities for training and upskilling to enhance employability and income potential.
- Encourage public-private partnerships for vocational and digital education programs.

viii. Occupational Safety and Health Standards

- Formulate guidelines for physical and mental health protection of gig workers, especially in delivery, driving, and personal services.
- Provide safety kits, insurance against accidents, and access to wellness programs.

ix. Platform Accountability and Regulation

- Set up a regulatory body or framework to oversee platform compliance with labor norms.
- Mandate platforms to report on labor practices, working hours, and income patterns.

x. Financial Inclusion and Support

- Facilitate access to credit, loans, and savings schemes for gig workers.
- Encourage banks and fintech platforms to create tailored financial products for this segment.

These policy recommendations aim to balance flexibility with security in gig work, ensuring that the growing digital workforce is treated with dignity and fairness. A collaborative approach involving the government, platform companies, and workers themselves is essential to build an inclusive and sustainable gig economy.

6. Conclusion

The gig economy has transformed the world of work, offering flexibility, innovation, and new income opportunities. However, it also poses significant challenges to traditional industrial relations frameworks, especially in areas such as job security, social protection, collective bargaining, and legal clarity. Gig workers, who form the backbone of this digital labor model, often operate in vulnerable conditions without the safeguards enjoyed by formal employees.

To address these challenges, it is essential to reimagine industrial relations to suit the realities of platform-based work. This includes redefining worker classifications, ensuring algorithmic transparency, enabling collective voice, and extending social security and labor rights to all workers, regardless of their employment status. Governments, platform companies, trade unions, and civil society must work collaboratively to develop inclusive policies and regulatory mechanisms.

Only by creating a fair and equitable ecosystem can we ensure that the gig economy grows in a way that respects the dignity, rights, and well-being of its workers. A balanced industrial relations framework is not just a necessity for justice—it is a foundation for sustainable economic development in the digital age.

References:

- De Stefano, V. (2016). The Rise of the "Just-in-Time Workforce": On-Demand Work, Crowd Work and Labour Protection in the "Gig-Economy".
- ILO (2021). World Employment and Social Outlook: The role of digital labour platforms in transforming the world of work.

- Harris, S. D., & Krueger, A. B. (2015). A Proposal for Modernizing Labor Laws for Twenty-First-Century Work: The "Independent Worker".
- Indian Federation of App-based Transport Workers (IFAT) Reports
- UK Supreme Court (2021). Uber BV v Aslam