

# **Insider Threat Detection Methodologies**

## SHREYA SREEKUMAR

Department of Computer Science and Engineering (Cyber Security) Vimal Jyothi Engineering College, Chemperi, Kannur shreyasreekumar6@gmail.com

SARANG C

Department of Computer Science and Engineering (Cyber Security) Vimal Jyothi Engineering College, Chemperi, Kannur sarangc438@gmail.com

ALKA SAJEEVAN P

Department of Computer Science and Engineering (Cyber Security) Vimal Jyothi Engineering College, Chemperi, Kannur alkasajeevan12@gmail.com

## VARNA O V

Department of Computer Science and Engineering (Cyber Security) Vimal Jyothi Engineering College, Chemperi, Kannur varnaov04@gmail.com

ASWATHI V Assistant Professor Department of Computer Science and Engineering (Cyber Security) Vimal Jyothi Engineering College, Chemperi, Kannur aswathiv2016@gmail.com

with legitimate access to sensitive systems and data, represent a significant cybersecurity challenge, unlike external attacks, insider threats are harder to detect, as they often exploit legitimate credentials to bypass conventional security measures. These threats can result in severe consequences such as data breaches, financial losses, and system disruptions. Traditional detection methods, such as rule-based approaches and classical ma- chine learning models, struggle to identify evolving and sophisticated insider behaviors due to their reliance on predefined patterns and static detection criteria. Recent advancements in artificial intelligence (AI), deep learning, cryptographic security and hybrid detection frame- works have significantly enhanced the ability to detect and mitigate insider threats. Deep learning models, such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks and Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), excel at identifying subtle behavioral anomalies, while cryptographic techniques, such as blockchain-based authentication and data encryption, reinforce security by preventing unauthorized access. Hybrid approaches that combine AI-driven anomaly detection with structured security control mechanisms have emerged as the most effective solution, offering multi-layered protection against insider attacks. The primary objective of this paper is to present a comprehensive review of insider threat detection methodologies, comparing traditional and AI- based approaches, including specification-based detection, behavioral monitoring, anomaly-based models and cryptographic security measures. The study highlights the strengths and limitations of each method and explores future research directions, including the development of self-supervised learning models, explainable AI and optimized real-time detection systems. A holistic security strategy, integrating AI, cryptographic security and policy-driven risk mitigation is necessary to enhance organizational resilience against insider threats.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

In today's digital landscape, organizations face an increasing risk of insider threats, which arise from

Abstract-Insider threats, originating from individuals employees, contractors, or partners misusing their legitimate access to sensitive data and systems. Unlike external cyberattacks, which rely on exploiting vulnerabilities from outside an organization's network, insider threats originate from within, making them more difficult to detect and prevent. Security threats can arise from both deliberate actions, like data theft, sabotage, or fraud, and unintentional errors, such as accidental data leaks or misconfigured security settings. Traditional security measures such as firewalls, intrusion detection systems (IDS), and access control policies are primarily designed to counter external threats, often leaving organizations vulnerable to insider attacks. Rule-based security approaches rely on predefined conditions, making them ineffective against evolving attack patterns. Classical ma- chine learning models, while improving detection accuracy often struggle with high-dimensional data, require extensive feature engineering, and are prone to false positives. The emergence of AI and deep learning has revolutionized insider threat detection by introducing models capable of autonomously identifying suspicious activities and learning behavioral patterns over time. Techniques such as LSTMs, Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) have demonstrated promising capabilities in analyzing sequential data and identifying insider behaviors. Additionally. cryptographic advancements, such as blockchain-based access control and secure encryption techniques, provide enhanced security against unauthorized data access. This paper aims to provide a detailed analysis of insider threat detection methodologies, comparing traditional approaches with modern AI-based techniques. The study examines various models, including behavioral anomaly detection, cryptographic security solutions and



hybrid works that integrate multiple detection they may suffer from vanishing gradient issues. strategies. By identifying existing challenges and limitations, this re- search explores future directions for relational data to identify patterns of malicious behavior improving detection accuracy, reducing false positives, within an organization's structure. While highly and optimizing real-time threat prevention mechanisms. The ultimate goal is to establish a comprehensive, multi-layered defense system that effectively mitigates insider threats while maintaining organizational efficiency and security compliance.

Traditional Machine Learning Approaches: 1) Traditional machine learning techniques focus on detecting anomalies and deviations in user behavior. These methods have been widely researched and II. applied in cybersecurity for their interpretability and efficiency in structured environments.

Support Vector Machines (SVM): SVMs have been effective in classifying normal and malicious behaviors based on predefined features. However, their reliance on manual feature engineering limits their adaptability to evolving insider threats.

Hidden Markov Models (HMMs): HMMs analyze sequential data patterns, making them useful in detecting behavior-based threats. However, they require significant computational resources and struggle with unseen patterns.

Decision Trees and Random Forests: These models are effective for classification tasks, providing interpretable decision rules. However, they tend to struggle with high-dimensional data and often require additional preprocessing techniques to re- main effective.

Logistic Regression: This technique is simple and interpretable but performs poorly in capturing complex behaviors associated with insider threats.

Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique (SMOTE): Used to address class imbalance in datasets, SMOTE generates synthetic samples to improve model learning. However, it can intro- duce noise and overfitting, making it less effective in real-world applications.

2) Deep Learning-Based Approaches: Deep learning models have revolutionized insider threat detection by leveraging automated feature learning, reducing reliance on manual feature engineering. These models adapt dynamically to new threats and provide superior pattern recognition capabilities.

**D**eep Feedforward Neural Networks (DNNs): DNNs learn intricate representations of insider threat behaviors but require large labeled datasets for optimal performance. Their application is limited by their need for significant computational power.

Short-Term Memory (LSTMs): These models are detection by analyzing user behavior on endpoints and particularly effective in processing sequential data, networks. However, ML models often require manual making them valuable in detecting anomalous user feature engineering and fail to capture long-term behavior over time. However, training RNNs and LSTMs can be expensive, and

Graph Neural Networks (GNNs): GNNs leverage effective, they require graph-based data representations, which are not always available.

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs): GANs generate synthetic insider threat scenarios to augment training data, improving model generalize ability. However, training GANs is complex and prone to mode collapse, limiting their widespread adoption.

## LITERATURE SURVEY

Insider threats pose a significant risk to organizations as traditional security measures often fail to detect malicious activities from authorized users. Re- cent research has focused on behavioral and anomaly detection methods using ML and DL techniques. In this paper [1] user behavior analytics plays a key role, with deep learning models like LSTMs, CNNs, and Autoencoders effectively identifying deviations in activity patterns. LSTM-based models, including hybrid LSTM-CNN and LSTM-RNN approaches, have shown high accuracy in detecting anomalous behaviors. ML models such as Random Forest, SVM, and XG Boost are also widely used for feature-based classification of user activity data. Graph-based methods analyze relationships and interactions within an organization, utilizing techniques like Gaussian Mixture Models (GMM) and Structural Anomaly Detection. Other approaches, including network-based anomaly detection and psychological profiling, provide additional insights. Despite advancements, challenges re- main in computational costs, dataset limitations, and model interpretability. The proposed study introduces an LSTM Autoencoder approach with session-based feature extraction, achieving high accuracy on the CMU CERT dataset. Future research should focus on realworld datasets and hybrid models integrating behavioral, psychological, and contextual analysis for enhanced security.

Unlike external attackers, insiders-employees, contractors, or business associates-blend malicious actions with routine activities. The 2019 Insider Threat Re- port found that 60 percentage of organizations faced insiderrelated incidents, with associated costs rising by 34 percentage in a year refer [2]. Traditional rule- based detection methods, relying on thresholds and known patterns, struggle with adaptability and high false positives. Machine learning (ML) approaches, such as Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) And Long Naive Bayes, SVMs, and decision trees, improve patterns. Deep learning methods like LSTMs, CNNs and graph neural network. enhance



detection but suffer from computational inefficiencies manifested as data exfiltration, sabotage, fraud and and complexity. Transformers, such as BERT and GPT- privilege abuse, leading to severe financial and 2, revolutionize insider threat detection by processing reputational long-term dependencies and improving contextual strategies include security frameworks, behavioral awareness. Digital Twin technology further strengthens analytics, and machine learning-based models. Studies monitoring by creating real-time behavioral models from Carnegie Mellon's CERT Insider Threat Center of employees. Data augmentation techniques, like highlight [4] best practices such as log-based BERT-based modifications and GPT-2-generated syn- monitoring, anomaly detection, and policy enforcement, thetic data, help address imbalanced datasets. The while regulatory guidelines like ISO/IEC 27002:2013 introduction of Distilled Trans, a streamlined trans- and NIST SP 800-53 advocate for structured security former model, enhances accuracy, reduces training governance. time, and outperforms traditional approaches. These though effective in enforcing policies, suffer from high advancements-self-attention-based deep Digital Twin integration, and scalable AI solutions- patterns. Mod- ern approaches, including User and offer organizations real-time, effective, and explainable Entity Behavior Analytics (UEBA), leverage social insider threat detection, improving cybersecurity network analysis, semantic analysis, and role-based resilience.

Insiders-including employees, contractors, or partnerscan misuse data, disrupt operations, or compromise system integrity. In the paper [3], research has evolved from theoretical discussions to empirical detection techniques. Cappelli et al. (CERT) define insiders as those who intentionally exceed or misuse access, while Pfleeger et al. extend this to include act unintentional threats. Malicious insiders deliberately for financial gain, ideology, or revenge, while accidental insiders expose data due to negligence. Industry reports highlight the growing impact of insider threats. The Ponemon Institute estimates annual losses at 8.76 million per organization, and IBM X-Force attributes 60 percentage of cyberattacks to insiders. Notable cases, such as Robert Hanssen's espionage and Societe Generale's 7 billion fraud, underscore the risks. Detection techniques include rule-based, machine learning (ML), and deep learning approaches. Rule- based systems rely on predefined thresholds but generate false positives. ML models like SVMs and Decision Trees analyze user behavior but require extensive feature engineering and struggle with imbalanced datasets. Deep learning, especially transformers and Digital Twin Technology, improves detection by modeling complex behaviors but raises privacy concerns. Future efforts should focus on hybrid approaches combining anomaly-based and signature-based detection while addressing dataset limitations, false positives, and ethical concerns.

A systematic approach to mitigating insider threats by mapping security controls to specific characteristics. Insider threats, involving individuals Purification and Joint Optimization Scheme (CPJOS), with legitimate access misusing their privileges, pose a which refines anomaly detection using cascaded significant challenge as they operate within an autoencoders (CAEs) for data purification and a joint organization's trusted boundaries, making detection and optimization network for improved accuracy. A hyperprevention complex. According to the 2019 Insider graph correction module further enhances precision by Threat Report, 90% of enterprises feel vulnerable to distinguishing malicious activities from benign insider threats and 53% have experienced insider-related anomalies. Additionally, a Bidirectional Long Shortsecurity incidents. These threats have

consequences. Existing mitigation Traditional rule-based approaches, learning, false positive rates and fail to detect evolving attack assessments to detect suspicious activities. However, these techniques require significant computational resources and raise privacy concerns. The study proposes а formalized threat-control mapping methodology, categorizing in- sider threats based on their impact, affected com- ponents, and security properties while aligning them with relevant security controls such as role-based access control, multi-factor authentication, and data loss prevention. By integrating these controls into Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) systems, organizations can automate threat mitigation. Future research should focus on expanding threat- control knowledge bases, AI-driven behavioral modeling, and Digital Twin Technology to enhance real- time monitoring and response capabilities, ensuring a proactive and structured approach to insider threat mitigation.

In Forensic Investigation, existing research on in- sider threat detection, highlighting gaps and contributions. Insider threats pose significant risks as they involve authorized individuals engaging in malicious activities. Traditional forensic investigations follow a reactive approach, analyzing evidence post-incident, but this fails to prevent damage. Proactive forensic solutions using AI and ML have gained attention for real-time threat detection. Existing methods include statistical models, rule-based systems, and supervised learning techniques, but they require large labeled datasets, which are often unavailable. Unsupervised methods like Deep Autoencoding Gaussian Mixture Models (DAGMM) show promise but suffer from data purification challenges and high false positive rates. threat The study introduces the Cascaded Autoencoder Term Memory (BiLSTM) network automates feature

extraction, capturing temporal dependencies in user To behavior. Empirical evaluations on benchmark datasets hyperparameter tuning and dimensionality reduction show CPJOS outperforms state-of-the-art models, techniques like PCA with k-means clustering have been achieving high precision and recall were discussed in integrated. These hybrid models improve accuracy and the [5]. Future research aims to extend the model to interpretability. Future research should focus on spatial data and integrate transformer-based techniques scalability, computational efficiency, and real-time for improved feature learning.

Insider Threat Mitigation, analyzes prevention strategies, classifying insider threats into malicious (e.g., lone wolves, third-party collaborators) and negligent (human error, lack of awareness). Attack types include data breaches, sabotage, espionage, Advanced Persistent Threat (APT), credential theft, and privilege escalation, violating confidentiality, integrity, and availability (CIA triad).Detection and prevention techniques fall into six categories: network-based methods (blockchain access control, centralized detection). behavior-based methods (ML-driven deterrence, adversarial detection), anomaly-based methods (AI and pattern recognition), analysis-based methods (semantic analysis, cryptographic security), access-based methods (biometric security, risk-based authentication), and intention-based methods (eyetracking, behavioral assessments). Despite these advancements, existing frameworks remain reactive, struggling against emerging threats like deepfake fraud and AI-powered insider attacks. The study proposes [6] a multi-tiered activity monitoring model: Tier I (SIEM, XDR for real-time intelligence), Tier II (IAM for access control), and Tier III (security training to prevent accidental breaches). Future research should explore AI-driven threat intelligence, real-time monitoring, and adaptive access control to strengthen security. A multi-layered approach integrating proactive. behavioral analytics, anomaly detection, and access regulation is essential for mitigating evolving insider risks.

In [7] the expansion of the Internet of Things (IoT) has introduced significant cybersecurity risks, particularly insider threats, which are difficult to detect due to their authorized access. Recent advancements in artificial intelligence (AI), particularly deep learning and data augmentation, have shown promise in mitigating these threats. Insider Threat Detection (ITD) techniques have evolved from machine learning models like decision trees, random forests, and logistic regression to deep learning approaches such as Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) and Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs). However, machine learning models suffer from class imbalance, leading to high false positives. Oversampling techniques like SMOTE and ADASYN have been introduced but often generate redundant samples. GAN-based augmentation methods, including Conditional GANs and Wasserstein GANs, improve robustness but face mode collapse issues. Enhanced Bidirectional GANs (EBiGANs) address these limitations by improving data diversity.

optimize deep learning models, Bayesian adaptability to enhance ITD performance in IoTenabled environments.

The use of deep learning techniques for insider threat detection has gained significant attention due to the challenges faced. Traditional machine learning methods struggle with capturing such complexities, but deep learning, with its ability to learn end-to- end representations, offers promising solutions. Key datasets like the CERT dataset are used to simulate insider threats in synthetic environments, facilitating model training. Deep learning models, including deep feedforward neural networks (DFNNs), recurrent neural networks (RNNs), convolutional neural networks (CNNs), and graph neural networks (GNNs), have outperformed conventional techniques in detecting suspicious behaviors from various data sources such as user activity logs and network interactions from [8]. Despite their advantages, challenges remain, such as the scarcity of labeled insider threat data, the subtlety of malicious activities, and the lack of model explain ability. Adaptive insider attacks further complicate detection. Future research directions include few- shot and self-supervised learning, multi-modal learning, deep survival analysis, and deep reinforcement learning, which can improve model robustness, prediction accuracy, and adaptability. Overcoming these challenges will enable deep learning to effectively safeguard organizations from insider threats, ensuring both security and operational efficiency.

Insider threat detection in Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) has become a critical area of research as these systems integrate physical and digital infrastructures, introducing unique security challenges as we can see from the paper [9]. A systematic literature review (SLR) of 69 research papers highlights increasing research focus since 2016, with major contributions from the USA, China, and India, and a dominance of journal publications. The study categorizes in- sider threat detection methodologies into five key approaches: Specification-Based Methods, which rely mathematical models, trust-based models, on simulations, and security frameworks; Cryptographic Methods, including blockchain authentication, time synchronization, group key management, and anonymous authentication; Machine Learning and Deep Learning approaches, which leverage AI for threat detection and prediction; Game-Theoretic Approaches, which model strategic attacker-defender interactions; and Re- view and Survey Studies, summarizing CPS security literature. Insider threat impact multiple industries. including healthcare.



TABLE I Comparison Table

Reference	Methodologies	Strengths	Limitations
[1]	LSTM Autoencoder	<ul> <li>High detection accuracy with sequential dependency modeling.</li> <li>Handles large-scale session-based datasets effectively.</li> <li>Capable of detecting complex insider threat patterns</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Computationally expensive for large datasets and real-time monitoring.</li> <li>Lacks interpretability due to deep learning complexity.</li> <li>Dataset dependency may affect generalization to new threats.</li> </ul>
[2]	Distilled Trans (Transformer-based model)	<ul> <li>Captures long-term dependencies for insider risk detection.</li> <li>Real-time monitoring with high adaptability.</li> <li>Improves contextual awareness of threats.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Computationally expensive due to transformer-based architecture.</li> <li>Privacy concerns when monitoring user behaviors.</li> <li>Requires large-scale labeled data for training.</li> </ul>
[3]		<ul> <li>Captures both statistical and deep-learning-based threat signals.</li> <li>Highly adaptable to evolving attack patterns.</li> <li>Ensures robust insider attack mitigation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Struggles with dataset imbalance affecting model fairness.</li> <li>Potential for false positives leading to unnecessary alerts.</li> <li>Privacy concerns in real-world applications.</li> </ul>
[4]	Structured Threat-Control Map- ping	<ul> <li>Automated SIEM threat mitigation enhances security.</li> <li>Adaptive response to insider threats</li> <li>Detects anomalous access pat- terns.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High computational resource demands for real-time processing.</li> <li>Privacy and ethical challenges in workplace monitoring.</li> <li>Difficult to implement across multiple security platforms.</li> </ul>
[5]	CPJOS (Cascaded Autoencoder Purification and Joint Optimization Scheme)	<ul> <li>High precision and recall with anomaly identification.</li> <li>Reduces false positives with error correction.</li> <li>Ensures fine-tuned risk assessment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Challenges in data purification impact accuracy.</li> <li>Difficulties in modeling spatial data.</li> <li>Scalability concerns for enterprise applications.</li> </ul>
[6]	Multi-Tiered Activity Monitoring	<ul> <li>Provides real-time and multi- layered security.</li> <li>Detects sophisticated insider at- tacks.</li> <li>Enhances organizational cybersecurity resilience.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Struggles with deepfake-based fraud risks.</li> <li>Emerging AI-powered threats challenge detection accuracy.</li> <li>Requires extensive cybersecurity infrastructure.</li> </ul>
[7]	Enhanced Bidirectional GANs (EBiGANs)	<ul> <li>Improves diversity of training datasets.</li> <li>Reduces class imbalance issues significantly.</li> <li>Enhances robustness against adversarial attacks.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Prone to mode collapse issues in GAN training.</li> <li>Challenges in ensuring model stability.</li> <li>Computationally expensive for large-scale datasets.</li> </ul>
[8]		<ul> <li>Improves detection robustness in real-world applications.</li> <li>Reduces reliance on extensive labeled datasets.</li> <li>Enhances explain ability in AI-driven security models.</li> <li>Enhances Anomaly Detection and Behavioral Analysis.</li> <li>Reduces False Positives and Improves Accuracy.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Limited availability of high- quality labeled data.</li> <li>Challenges in making AI models interpretable.</li> <li>Struggles with handling zero-day attacks.</li> <li>Difficulty in Capturing Contextual Insider Threats.</li> <li>Potential Privacy and Ethical Concerns.</li> </ul>

nternational Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM) Volume: 09 Issue: 03 | March - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 ISSN: 2582-3930

TABLE II	
COMPARISON	TABLE

Reference	Description	Strengths	Limitations
[9]	CPS Insider Threat Detection	<ul><li>Physical Systems (CPS).</li><li>Detects sophisticated insider threat strategies.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Scalability issues in real-world deployment.</li> <li>Risk of adversarial ML-based evasion attacks.</li> <li>Requires real-world datasets for improved accuracy.</li> </ul>
[10]	Multi-Model Inference Enterpri Modeling (MIEM)	<ul> <li>High accuracy in behavioral risk detection.</li> <li>Applicable to cross-sector enterprise security.</li> <li>Adapts well to evolving threats.</li> </ul>	enterprise-wide deployment.

unauthorized access; transportation, particularly air behavioral indicators, and using these indicators to traffic control and public transport security; nuclear enhance detection algorithms. The research incorporates facilities, which face sabotage and espionage risks; oil Bayesian Networks, Machine Learning Algorithms, and gas, where remote system exploitation poses Stochastic Optimization, Markov Models, and Monte security threats; energy and smart grids, which are Carlo Simulations, each independently assessing risks vulnerable to power grid hacking; water systems, where before their results are fused for improved accuracy. critical infrastructure faces cyber- physical attacks; The SCITE competition tested various detection smart cities, with risks to public infrastructure; and models, with Innovative Decisions, Inc. (IDI) industrial automation, where IoT- based cyber threats outperforming competitors by leveraging MIEM, endanger manufacturing processes. To enhance CPS excelling in key performance metrics such as Mean security research, various datasets and simulation tools Squared Error (MSE), Certainty Interval Calibration are used, including the CERT dataset for insider threat (CIC), and Interval Scoring Rule (ISR). The MIEM behavior, the TWOS dataset for SCADA system approach effectively detects insider threats by analyzing attacks, Network Simulator 2 (NS2) for attack scenario behavioral deviations, physical and digital activity modeling, and Digital Twin Technology for real-time correlations, and unusual workplace behaviors. Its infrastructure modeling. However, several challenges applications extend across cybersecurity, enterprise remain, including the lack of real- world datasets, security, immigration screening, and public safety, yet scalability issues in cryptographic and AI models, challenges remain, including data privacy concerns, adversarial machine learning risks, and the absence of a scalability issues, and adversarial evasion risks. Future standardized framework for insider threat detection. research should focus on developing AI-driven adaptive Future research should focus on developing real-time, security models, refining behavioral risk indicators, and adaptive security models, creating benchmark datasets, establishing cross-sector standardization. Ultimately, and enhancing AI resilience against adversarial attacks. MIEM proves superior to single-model methods, In conclusion, insider threats in CPS remain a offering enhanced accuracy and reliability in detecting significant cybersecurity challenge, and while various high-risk individuals, with broader applications in methodologies have been explored, real-world border security, public safety, and enterprise risk applicability, scalability, and AI security vulnerabilities management. continue to be critical issues that require urgent attention to fortify CPS against emerging insider III. threats.

security challenge across industries, involving fraud, security measures, insider threats exploit legitimate data theft, sabotage, workplace violence, data access privileges, making them difficult to detect and exfiltration and shadow IT with the 2016 Ponemon prevent. Deep learning techniques, particularly LSTMs, Institute study estimating an annual loss of 4.3 million RNNs and GANs, have significantly improved insider per company. To address this, Multi-Model Inference threat detection by capturing complex behavioral Enterprise Modelling (MIEM) integrates multiple in- patterns and identifying deviations in real-time. dependent models to improve insider threat detection. Cryptographic The Inference Enterprise (IE) framework underpins this approach by monitoring and collecting various

where medical devices and patient data face organizational activity data, processing it to generate

## CONCLUSION

Insider threats remain one of the most persistent and complex challenges in cybersecurity. Unlike ex- ternal The paper [10], insider threats pose a significant attacks, which can often be mitigated through perimeter methods, such as blockchain authentication and secure encryption, strengthen access control mechanisms and prevent



data breaches. Hybrid models that integrate AI-driven [9] anomaly detection with structured security control frameworks offer a multi-layered approach, enhancing detection accuracy and reducing false positives. However, several challenges persist in insider threat detection. Data imbalance remains a key issue, as insider attacks are relatively rare compared to normal user activities, making it difficult to train accurate models. Real- time computational constraints pose another limitation, particularly for large-scale enterprise networks and cyber-physical systems. Additionally, privacy concerns related to employee monitoring create ethical and regulatory challenges, limiting the extent to which behavioral analysis can be implemented. Future research should focus on developing self-supervised learning techniques to minimize reliance on labeled datasets, improving explainable AI to enhance trust and interpretability, and optimizing real-time processing for large-scale threat detection. Furthermore, organizations should adopt a holistic security strategy that combines AI, cryptographic security, behavioral monitoring, and structured mitigation policies. Regulatory frameworks should also be established to standardize insider threat detection methodologies while ensuring compliance with data protection laws. By implementing a multifaceted defense strategy that leverages AI, cryptographic security, and structured risk mitigation, organizations can build a more resilient and adaptive security posture. This approach will not only enhance insider threat detection capabilities but also reduce security risks, improve response times, and strengthen overall organizational cybersecurity resilience.

### REFERENCES

[1] Rida Nasir, Mehreen Afzal, Rabia Latif, and Waseem Iqbal. Behavioral based insider threat detection using deep learning. *IEEE Access*, 9:143266–143274, 2021.

[2] Zhi Qiang Wang and Abdulmotaleb El Saddik. Dtitd: An intelligent insider threat detection framework based on digital twin and self-attention based deep learning models. *IEEE Access*, 2023.

[3] Rakan A Alsowail and Taher Al-Shehari. Empirical detection techniques of insider threat incidents. *IEEE Access*, 8:78385–78402, 2020.

[4] Puloma Roy, Anirban Sengupta, and Chandan Mazumdar. A structured control selection methodology for insider threat mitigation. *Procedia Computer Science*, 181:1187–1195, 2021.

[5] Yichen Wei, Kam-Pui Chow, and Siu-Ming Yiu. Insider threat prediction based on unsupervised anomaly detection scheme for proactive forensic investigation. *Forensic Science International: Digital Investigation*, 38:301126, 2021.

[6] Usman Inayat, Mashaim Farzan, Sajid Mahmood, Muhammad Fahad Zia, Shahid Hussain, and Fabiano Pallonetto. Insider threat mitigation: Systematic literature review. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, page 103068, 2024.

[7] P Lavanya, H Anila Glory, and VS Shankar Sriram. Mitigating insider threat: A neural network approach for enhanced security. *IEEE Access*, 2024.

[8] Shuhan Yuan and Xintao Wu. Deep learning for insider threat detection: Review, challenges and opportunities. *Computers & Security*, 104:102221, 2021.

[9] Mohammed Nasser Al-Mhiqani, Tariq Alsboui, Taher Al-Shehari, Karrar hameed Abdulkareem, Rabiah Ahmad, and Mazin Abed Mohammed. Insider threat detection in cyber- physical systems: a systematic literature review. *Computers and Electrical Engineering*, 119:109489, 2024.

[10] David P Brown, Dennis Buede, and Sean D Vermillion. Improving insider threat detection through multi-modelling/data fusion. *Procedia Computer Science*, 153:100–107, 2019.