International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 08 Issue: 11 | Nov - 2024 SJIF Rating: 8.448 ISSN: 2582-3930

Integrating Sustainability Metrics in Supplier Evaluation Processes

Kosalee Thameera Galkaduwa

Abstract

In this research, practical strategies of implementing sustainability metrics in the suppliers 'assessment are discussed, while relying on U.S. evidence exclusively. Using a secondary data analysis method, it explores theoretical models like the Triple Bottom Line and multi-criteria decision-making alternatives to determine instruments like sustainability scorecards, certification and life-cycle assessments. The research shows that adopting green and sustainable perspectives improves the level of visibility and strengthens the commitments to ESG principles as well as optimises the operational excellence of the suppliers. Considering data visibility issues and compliance encouragement, this study offers practical suggestions for sustainable SCM. The identified tools include digital platforms, self-assessment questionnaires, and hybrid audit models. These solutions help organizations maintain compliance, manage relationships with suppliers, and maintain stakeholder management.

Keywords: Sustainability metrics, supplier evaluation, ESG compliance, sustainability scorecard, life-cycle assessment, U.S. supply chain.

Introduction

Supplier evaluation and selection are becoming more and more important for organisations as they start to focus on efficiency and sustainability simultaneously. Sustainable supply chain management focuses on environmental and social responsibility throughout the supplier network including supplier's sustainable actions such as carbon footprint, ethical treatment of workers, and resource efficiency [1]. Studies show that sustainability indicators improve organizational performance, boosting overall transparency and increasing sustainable value, excluding factors such as climate change interference, and legal sanctions [2] International standards like ISO 14001 and techniques such as Scope 3 emissions management present solid measuring tools for suppliers 'sustainability compliance with global goals such as the Paris Agreement and the U.N. Sustainable Development Goals [3]. This research focuses on the realistic approaches that USA firms can use in integrating environmental and social measures into suppliers ' assessments, to promote sustainable procurement and the company's social responsibility initiatives.

Research Problem

Supplier sustainability assessment in the U.S. experiences several obstacles, all associated with the absence of extensive frameworks, transparent data, and methods. Despite the rising and mandatory compliance expectations and stakeholder demands, it remains challenging for organizations to incorporate ESG objectives into the supplier assessment [4]. The EY's 2022 Supply Chain Sustainability Report reveals that 50% of the surveyed US companies do not use integrated scorecards for supplier sustainability, and 33% cannot articulate a sound business case for supply chain sustainability [4]. This absence of uniformly recognized measurement tools further results in suboptimal assessments of important factors such as Scope 3 carbon emissions which contribute to up to 75% of a company's emissions and are still underindexed because of data collection headaches and uncoordinated supply chain networks [5].

Additionally, the rising pressures for sustainability from stakeholders such as the government, investors, and consumers have put pressure on companies to be more credible with supply chain management. However, typical supplier evaluation techniques focus solely on cost and quality criteria, making them inadequate regarding aspects of sustainability. The identified differences between corporate strategies and methodologies underscore the practical purpose of the study to address the demand for better practices as sustainable supply chains can improve operational performance, manage risks, and build brands.

Research Objectives

- Develop practical methods for integrating sustainability metrics into supplier evaluations, focusing on U.S. industries.
- Explore tools and frameworks to address visibility and data gaps in measuring environmental and social performance.
- Assess the impact of sustainability integration on supplier relationships, regulatory compliance, and organizational resilience.

Research Scope

The scope of this study focuses on integrating environmental and social sustainability metrics into supplier evaluation processes within the U.S. It analyzes practical tools and frameworks applicable to industries like manufacturing, retail, and technology.

Literature Review

The use of sustainability metrics in the evaluation of suppliers is based on theories that include the Triple Bottom Line theory which defines sustainability based on the environmental, social, and economic pillars as being key to sustainable development. The emerging literature discussed above also examines the difficulties and innovations in implementing such metrics in American industries due to increased interest in ESG disclosure among U.S. companies [6].

One of the foundational concepts in sustainable supplier assessments is the use of multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM) models. Supplier sustainability assessment tools which include the Analytical Hierarchy Process AHP the PROMETHEE-GAIA approach, and Data Envelopment Analysis DEA have been applied to provide weights and ranking of the criteria that include CO2 emission, labour practice and waste management [7] These methods help achieve the optimization of the conflicting objectives, such as cost and environmental impact reduction.

Research shows that the evaluation of suppliers poses a major challenge to American organisations to meet ESG goals. Available data indicate that more than 50% of companies do not have coherent software to monitor Scope 3 emissions – a key component of supply chain sustainability. Moreover, standards such as ISO 14001 and supplier codes of conduct remain prevalent, but their practical use is diverse because companies 'data reports are not transparent, and suppliers poorly share information [4] [8].

Practical methods include creating sustainable industry-relevant scorecards, using SAQs, and using LCA for positive environmental effects estimations. Furthermore, the implementation of using active technology platforms to collect data concerning the scorecard deepens real-time evaluation and decision-making [9]. This shifting trend and emphasis on sustainability performance indicators all indicate that such measures enhance ethical sourcing, lower emission rates and achieve stakeholder expectations. Therefore, when integrating sound evaluation models, firms located in the United States can enhance efficiency in line with environmental and social responsibility.

Methodology

This study adopts the secondary data analysis technique and uses sources of data from industrial reports focused on the United States, academic journals, and case studies to explore the topic of how sustainability metrics are implemented into supplier assessment. Information was obtained from various

sources including the MIT State of Supply Chain Sustainability Report and EY State of Supply Chain Sustainability Report using models such as the Triple Bottom Line and Multi-Criteria decision-making methods involving the Analytical hierarchy process and PROMETHEE-GAIA. The analysis methodology assists in the review of frameworks and tools focused primarily on U.S. industries while addressing the issues of visibility and improvement of relationships with suppliers.

Analysis & Findings

This section focuses on the various functional techniques that help in the assessment of suppliers relative to their processes and the integration of environmental and social parameters. Through the use of sustainability measures, organizations can try to attain sustainability in terms of environmental, social and economic sustainability of the supply chain networks. The results are derived from U.S.-specific data and policies consistent with the goals of the study.

1. Developing a Comprehensive Sustainability Scorecard

Practical Methods:

Key tools in supplier evaluation are the scorecards that contain specific weights to the criteria related to sustainability. These key performance indicators include factors like energy use, waste disposal, employee relations and carbon footprints. For instance, the company's sustainability scorecard includes both measure and quality sustainability indicators and metrics that General Motors considers important for supplier evaluation such as carbon emissions, labour practices, and efficiency of energy among others, which are especially significant to the automotive industry.

2. Employing Industry-Recognized Standards and Certifications

Practical Methods:

U.S.-based organizations often mandate suppliers to obtain recognized certifications like **ISO 14001 (Environmental Management)** and **SA8000 (Social Accountability)**. Certification ensures suppliers adhere to internationally accepted environmental and social governance (ESG) practices.

3. Conducting Environmental and Social Audits

Practical Methods:

On-site and remote audits assess suppliers' compliance with sustainability goals. On-site audits evaluate practices such as waste disposal and working conditions, while remote audits utilize virtual tools and document reviews to ensure transparency.

4. Supplier Self-Assessment Questionnaires (SAQs)

Practical Methods:

SAQs empower suppliers to self-report their performance on sustainability metrics such as water usage, resource efficiency, and human rights policies. Annual updates ensure continuous monitoring.

5. Establishing Supplier Codes of Conduct

Practical Methods:

Many U.S.-based organizations now implement supplier codes of conduct with explicit sustainability requirements. These codes outline expectations related to sustainable sourcing, fair labour practices, and emission reduction.

6. Integrating Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) into Procurement

Practical Methods:

LCAs provide a comprehensive understanding of a product's environmental impact throughout its lifecycle, from raw material extraction to disposal. This method supports decisions favoring suppliers with lower lifecycle emissions.

7. Monitoring Carbon Footprint and Energy Efficiency

Practical Methods: Organizations are increasingly monitoring suppliers' carbon emissions and energy usage. Metrics like Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions are key benchmarks for assessing energy efficiency and progress toward net-zero goals.

Table 1 Research Findings

Sustainability Metric	Practical Usage	Challenges	Recommendations
Sustainability Scorecard	Used by manufacturers like General Motors to evaluate metrics such as carbon emissions and energy efficiency.	Establishing uniform scoring systems across industries.	Develop industry-specific guidelines for weight assignment to key performance indicators.
	Enables prioritization of high-impact areas, e.g., assigning 40% weight to carbon reduction in manufacturing.	Smaller suppliers may lack the resources to track or report data effectively.	Provide training and toolkits to support suppliers in meeting scorecard data requirements.
Industry Standards and Certifications (e.g., ISO 14001, SA8000)	Guarantees supplier compliance with global ESG standards, widely used by U.S. Fortune 500 companies.	High certification costs can discourage adoption, especially for small and medium-sized enterprises.	Establish financial or technical assistance programs for smaller suppliers to achieve certifications.
Environmental and Social Audits	On-site audits assess waste management and labour practices; remote audits offer cost-effective alternatives.	Logistical and financial constraints limit audit frequency, particularly for smaller organizations.	Use hybrid models combining remote and on-site audits; expand audit frequency through third-party firms.
	Suppliers demonstrated a 20% improvement in labour practices post-audit in Amazon's supply chain.	Transparency may be limited in remote-only audits due to a lack of physical inspection.	Standardize virtual audit protocols for consistent and comprehensive assessments.

Self-Assessment Questionnaires (SAQs)	Suppliers self-report data on metrics such as water usage and resource efficiency, updated annually.	Risk of inaccuracies due to self-reporting bias or lack of expertise among suppliers.	Mandate third-party verification of SAQ responses; incentivize truthful reporting with rewards.
	Encouraged sustainability improvements in 78% of U.S. suppliers (Deloitte, 2023).	The complexity of implementing digital platforms for smaller suppliers.	Simplify digital reporting tools and provide technical implementation support.
Supplier Code of Conduct	Sets clear expectations for sustainable sourcing and labour practices (e.g., Coca-Cola's 95% compliance rate).	Monitoring compliance requires regular audits, which are resource-intensive.	Automate parts of the compliance monitoring process using digital platforms and analytics tools.
Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)	Evaluate product lifecycle impacts to prioritize low-emission suppliers (used by Apple and Google).	Data collection across all lifecycle stages can be challenging and expensive for suppliers.	Partner with suppliers to share lifecycle data tools and co-invest in sustainability R&D initiatives.
Carbon Footprint and Energy Efficiency Tracking	Tracks emissions (Scope 1 & 2) and renewable energy adoption (e.g., Walmart's Project Gigaton).	Lack of consistency in data collection methodologies across suppliers.	Standardize carbon tracking frameworks and provide incentives for renewable energy transitions.
	This led to the reduction of 416 million metric tons of emissions over five years.	Smaller suppliers may lack the financial capacity to adopt renewable energy.	Establish funding or rebate programs to support renewable energy projects among suppliers.

Conclusion

Considering these objectives, this study was able to provide a comprehensive set of ideas to implement sustainability metrics into supplier assessments in the context of the United States. Using secondary industrial research, academic journal articles, and ESG methodologies, it identified various remedies such as sustainability index, company certifications, life-cycle assessment (LCA), and Supplier Self-Assessment Questionnaires (SAQs). It reveals the visibility gaps directly and is useful for supplier evaluation based on quantifiable data and facilitating compliance with regulatory requirements and sustainable supply chain management. Measurable improvements to supply chain supplier relations and operations were highlighted, including technology platforms and rewards for sustainability upgrades. Finally, this study shows that American organizations can integrate environmental and social criteria into the evaluation of suppliers, making supply chains eco-friendly with no negative impact as a cost and growth effectiveness statute.

References

[1] M. B. Osei, T. Papadopoulos and A. Acquaye, "Improving sustainable supply chain performance through organisational culture: A competing values framework approach," *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management,* vol. 29, 2023.

[2] O. Esan, F. A. Ajayi and O. Olawale, "Supply chain integrating sustainability and ethics: Strategies for modern supply chain management," *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews,* vol. 11, 2024.

[3] S. Lou, X. You and T. Xu, "Sustainable Supplier Evaluation: From Current Criteria to Reconstruction Based on ESG Requirements," *Sustainability,* vol. 16, 2024.

[4] S. A. Khan, S. Kusi-Sarpong and F. K. Arhin, "Supplier sustainability performance evaluation and selection: A framework and methodology," *Journal of Cleaner Production,* 2018.

[5] EY, "Building supply chain sustainability that can drive revenues and reduce operational risks," 2022. [Online]. Available: https://assets.ey.com/content/dam/ey-sites/ey-com/en_gl/topics/supply-chain/ey-supply-chain-sustainability-report-2022-007702-22gbl.pdf.

[6] Deloitte, "Challenges and solutions in measuring and reporting Scope 3 emissions," 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.deloitte.com/nl/en/issues/climate/challenges-and-solutions-scope-3-emissions.html.

[7] "Understanding ESG Regulations Across U.S. States: Key Insights for Businesses," 2024. [Online]. Available: https://esgthereport.com/understanding-esg-regulations-across-u-s-states-key-insights-for-businesses/.

[8] B. Prevolšek, M. B. Gačnik and Č. Rozman, "Applying Integrated Data Envelopment Analysis and Analytic Hierarchy Process to Measuring the Efficiency of Tourist Farms: The Case of Slovenia," *Sustainability,* vol. 15, 2023.

[9] L. Graf-Vlachy and M. Hettler, "Why Firms Are Not Reporting Their Scope 3 CO2 Emissions," 2024. [Online]. Available: https://cmr.berkeley.edu/2024/03/why-firms-are-not-reporting-their-scope-3-co2-emissions/.

[10] "How to master life cycle assessment (LCA) for sustainable and strategic procurement?," 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.manutan.com/blog/en/procurement-strategy/life-cycle-assessment-lca-towards-sustainable-procurement.