

Investigation of Battery Pack Bracket and its Life under External Force & Vibrational Condition

1. Pramod Ninu Barhate, PG Student, PVPIT, Bavdhan, Savitribai Phule Pune University

2. Dr. P. M. Bagade, Professor of, PVPIT, Bavdhan, Savitribai Phule Pune University

3. Prof. C. A. Chaudhari, Professor of, PVPIT, Bavdhan, Savitribai Phule Pune University

Abstract— Battery-powered automobiles are emerging as a promising alternative to internal combustion engine vehicles in response to the internationally strengthening regulation on carbon dioxide emissions. Due to the heavy weight of the electric drive unit, the weight savings of the electric vehicles are often attempted on body structures by using lightweight materials such as fibre-reinforced composites with traditional metal alloys. In the present study, a new multi-material design of a battery pack structure is proposed, and its performance is evaluated through random vibration fatigue tests. The fatigue tests are virtually performed on a full-scale finite element model of the battery pack. The virtual tests embody boundary and loading conditions required by a real industry specification. The vibration loading is specified in the form of a power spectral density and the fatigue analysis is conducted accordingly in frequency domain. The cumulative fatigue damage and lives of each component composing the batter pack structure are predicted. The present modeling approach could benefit the preliminary design of an automotive body structure because the performance evaluation on various prototypes can be efficiently conducted without a physical model.

Keywords— Battery bracket of vehicle, Design optimization, Mechanical properties, Lightweight Material, Composites, Fatigue test, FEA & Static Structural Analysis.

I. INTRODUCTION

Electric machines are recently used as a power source for automotive vehicles in addition to internal combustion engines. The electric powertrains require heavy batteries, which is an obstacle to improving fuel efficiency. Automobile manufacturers attempt to reduce the vehicle weight by implementing lightweight materials such as fibre-reinforced plastic composites together with conventional metals for the structure protecting battery packs. The multi-material battery pack structure design must satisfy various structural requirements including fatigue safety. The battery pack structure should endure random vibration loads occurring while the car is driving. Such a safe design with minimized weight can be typically achieved by an iterative process of prototyping, fatigue testing, evaluating, and refining the prototype. However, physical fatigue tests are extremely time-consuming and expensive. Alternatively, finite element (FE)-based fatigue analysis can significantly save time and cost in the preliminary design stage.

In the present study, the typical mode-based approach is utilized to evaluate fatigue damage and life of the proposed multi-material battery pack bracket structure. Virtual fatigue tests are performed on the full-scale FE model subjected to random vibration loading specified by frequency-domain data. The fatigue safety of the proposed design is evaluated with the estimation of cumulative damage. This numerical analysis will be performed using commercial software packages, ANSYS, Abaqus or fe-safe. Several studies have applied the FE method for fatigue analysis. Baek et al predicted the fatigue life of the freight car bogie frame by utilizing FE stress analysis and probabilistic S–N curves. They compared the predicted results with the fatigue lives obtained from the strain history measured during in-service loading.

II. MATERIAL SELECTION

The current battery tray material is structural steel, and this has been implicit before. Apart from that, the other common materials that are used in automotive manufacturing industry, especially automotive factories were noted, according to extensive technical research. The material selection is carried out based on application. The structural steel is replacing with the material like glass fibre and Aluminium. The selection of different material is



based on the simple decision matrix with consideration of requirement like light weight, rigidity, corrosion and cheaper in cost. The criteria are made for all three materials with requirement it is found that the glass fibre is best suited with requirement.

| Material | Density [g/cm ³] | Yield Strength [MPa] | Tensile Strength [MPa] | | |
|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Steel | 7.86 | 250 | 300 | | |
| Aluminium | 2.71 | 275 | 295 | | |
| Glass Fibre | 1.5 - 1.57 | 269 - 448 | 280 - 810 | | |

| TABLE 1 |
|-------------------------------------|
| ROPERTIES OF BATTERY TRAY MATERIALS |

р

III. MATERIAL-1: ASTM A36 HOT ROLLED STEEL PLATE

A36 steel product shall have ultimate tensile strengths ranges from 58,000 to 79,800 psi. It is not an exact number for it is affected by its chemical composition and production method. In tensile testing, ASTM A36 steel bar can elongate to around 20% of its original length. It also shows excellent impact strength at room temperature.

| TABLE 2 |
|--|
| MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF ASTM A36 HOT ROLLED STEEL PLATE |

| Properties | Metric | Imperial |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Density | 7.85 g/cm ³ | 0.284 lb/in ³ |
| Tensile strength | 400 - 550 MPa | 58000 - 79800 psi |
| Yield strength | 250 MPa | 36300 psi |
| Elongation at Break (in 200 mm) | 20% | 20% |
| Elongation at Break (in 200 mm) | 23% | 23% |
| Modulus of Elasticity | 200 GPa | 29000 ksi |
| Bulk Modulus (typical for steel) | 140 GPa | 20300 ksi |
| Poisson Ratio | 0.260 | 0.260 |
| Shear Modulus | 79.3 GPa | 11500 ksi |

IV. MATERIAL-2: MATERIAL ALUMINIUM 3003 GRADE

A key benefit of Aluminium is its natural resistance to rust and corrosion. Unlike steel, Aluminium is protected by a layer of Aluminium oxide, which acts to protect the metal from exposure with air and oxygen two elements that are needed for the oxidative effects of corrosion. When it comes to strength properties, Aluminium makes for a very desirable metal, as it is more malleable and elastic than steel. It is also very easy to shape, making it one of the most suitable materials for metal fabrication.

| MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF ALUMINIUM 3003 GRADE | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Properties | Metric | Imperial | | |
| Density | 2.73 g/cm^3 | 0.0939lb/in^3 | | |

 TABLE 3

 MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF ALUMINIUM 3003 GRADE



| Melting point | 644°C | 1190°F |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Tensile strength | 130 MPa | 18855 psi |
| Yield strength | 125 MPa | 18130 psi |
| Shear strength | 83 MPa | 12039 psi |
| Fatigue strength | 55 MPa | 7977 psi |
| Elastic modulus | 70-80 GPa | 10153-11603 ksi |
| Poisson's ratio | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| Elongation | 10% | 10% |
| Hardness | 35 | 35 |

V. MATERIAL-3: GLASS FIBRE

The strength-to weight ratio of Fiberglass Reinforced Plastic compared to metal or wood products can be up to 5 times bigger. The fiberglass strength is maintained since the fibers carry the load while the resin distributes the weight throughout the composite parts as required.

| Glass fibre Type | Description | Density (g/cm ³) | Tensile Strength (GPa) | Young's Modulus (GPa) |
|---------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| E-glass | E for good electrical insulation | 2.54 | 1.7–3.5 | 69–72 |
| S-glass | S for high silica, able to withstand high temperatures | 2.48 | 2.0-4.5 | 85 |
| C-glass | C for corrosion resistant | 2.48 | 1.7–2.8 | 70 |
| Cemfil | Alkali resistant glass fibre | 2.70 | | 80 |

| TABLE 4 | |
|----------------------------------|-----|
| MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF GLASS F | BRE |

VI. STATIC STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

Boundary conditions and mesh configuration has been kept constant throughout the solution, only material & design has been changed. In this iteration modification in design has been made to reduce the Material mass while manufacturing it.





Fig. 1 Overall result at 2 mm thickness for modified design

This iteration is solved, by setting up the optimization strategy to its least stress condition so, that the modified design should not address to the maximum stress concentration factors.

In modified design the stress is inducing 2Mpa more than the hexagonal case with mass of 0.5444 kg. overall, the part body is experiencing 17.975 MPa which is less than the 205 MPa of steel yield stress.

In next iteration we will set the part body to its mass reduction strategy because the stress induced on the bracket is very much lesser, after optimization, the stress factors of brackets must be lower than the yield strength of material.

VII. STATIC STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS – MATERIAL GLASS FIBRE

Using Same boundary Condition & Mesh Configuration on optimized part design 2 the geometry from steel has been changed to E-Glass. This comparison is made because composite makes material more weightless and provides same strength as the low carbon fibre.

| MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF GLASS FIBRE | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Bounding Box | | | |
| Length X 770. mm | | | |
| Length Y | 311. mm | | |
| Length Z | 80. mm | | |
| Properties | | | |
| Volume | 5.822e+005 mm ³ | | |
| Mass | 1.5137 kg | | |
| Scale Factor Value | 1. | | |

| TABLE 5 MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF GLASS FIBRE | |
|---|--|
| | |





Fig. 2 Overall result for Glass Fiber

VIII. STATIC STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS – MATERIAL ALUMINIUM

5052 Aluminium is frequently used for general sheet metal work, heat exchangers, flooring panels, rivets and wires, chemical drums and other equipment, pressure vessels, treadplate, containers, and more.

| MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF ALUMINIUM | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Bounding Box | | | |
| Length X 770. mm | | | |
| Length Y | 311. mm | | |
| Length Z | 80. mm | | |
| Properties | | | |
| Volume | 5.822e+005 mm ³ | | |
| Mass | 1.5603 kg | | |
| Scale Factor Value | 1. | | |

| TABLE 6 | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF ALUMINIUM | |





Fig. 3 Overall result for Aluminium

IX. CONCLUSIONS

FEA Static Structural Analysis had been successfully conducted on battery bracket for the self-load condition, to investigate the stress concentration factor & vibrational modes of frequency for a defined boundary condition. Finally, all the results were observed and noted down.

| Sr. No. | Material | Type of analysis | Deformation in mm | Strain | Stress in MPa | Mass in Kg |
|------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------|
| 1. | Structural steel | Optimization 1 | 0.21760 | 9.772e ⁻⁵ | 17.975 | 5.590 |
| 2. | Structural steel | Optimization 2 | 0.25772 | 9.850e ⁻⁵ | 18.163 | 4.570 |
| 3. | E-Glass | Optimization 2 | 0.68499 | 2.750e ⁻⁵ | 18.480 | 1.513 |
| 4. | Aluminium 5052 | Optimization 2 | 0.07320 | 2.82e ⁻⁵ | 18.050 | 1.560 |

TABLE 7 OVERALL RESULTS

From the above observation table one can conclude that, if the part body has a much larger volume with mass, then the body part mass can be reduced with the help of topology optimization mass reduction strategy. Form the above FEA solution Aluminum & E-glass Material is showing better results with minimum weight condition and stress concentration factors are equal. fabricating E-glass can affect in cost modulation while fabricating it, it will be better to take Aluminum 5052 material for the bracket.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Successful completion of work will never be one man's task. It requires hard work in right direction. There are many who have helped to make my experience as a student a rewarding one. I express my gratitude and



deep regards to my teachers Dr. P. M. Bagade and Prof. C. A. Chaudhari, first for his valuable guidance, constant encouragement, and kind co-operation throughout period of work which has been instrumental in the success.

REFERENCES

- [1] Pritesh Sutar1, Arif Mansuri2, Arvind Ambesange Design of Integrated Battery mounting tray for commercial vehicle. Vol-7 Issue-3 2021 IJARIIE-ISSN(O)-2395-4396 14757 www.ijariie.com 3212
- Mrs. Jostna Ingale Design and Optimization of Car Battery Tray International Journal of Research in Advent Technology, Vol.5, No.2, February 2017 E-ISSN: 2321-9637
- [3] G Prakasham, T Murali Mohan Raju, A Gnan Reddy, Abdul Khadeer, Assessment of Mechanical Properties of Aluminum Alloy 1100 and 3003 Gas Tungsten Arc Welded Joints, 2019 JETIR June 2019, Volume 6, Issue 6 www.jetir.org (ISSN-2349-5162)
- [4] Determination of tensile strength of glass fiber straps Zorislav Soric, Josip Galic, Tatjana Rukavina Received: 19 January 2007 / Accepted: 19 July 2007 / Published online: 20 September 2007
- [5] Modal Analysis of Engine Supporting Bracket using Finite Element Analysis A.S. Adkine, Prof.G.P.Overikar, Prof. S .S. Surwase [Vol-4, Issue-3, Mar-2017]
- [6] Strength analysis and structural optimization of an 1-shaped bracket Muhammad Aisha and Ibrahim Haruna Shanono: December 2018Nigerian Journal of Technological Research 13(2):14-19
- [7] Thermal Management Analysis of a Lithium-Ion Battery Pack using Flow Network Approach G. Karimi, A.R. Dehghan: Volume 1, Issue 2 Year 2012
- [8] Na Yang1,2,3,4, Rui Fang2,3*, Hongliang Li2,3 and Hui Xie1Dynamic and static analysis of the battery box structure of an electric vehicle ICTETS 2019.