International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 08 Issue: 06 |Jun-2024 SJIF Rating: 8.448 ISSN: 2582-3930

Medico Legal Importance of Electronic Documentation in Physiotherapy Practice: A Systematic Narrative Review

[1]Dr. Siva Bali Reddy Katasani
MHA Student, Dept. of Hospital Administration, Andhra University, Vishakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India.
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-8282-8250
Email: ksbreddy2522@gmail.com

[2]Dr. S Purna Chandra Shekhar
Principal, Dept. of Physiotherapy, SASSB College of Physiotherapy, RGUHS, Karnataka, India.
Email: purnaptphd@gmail.com

[3]Damaraju Pradeep Kumar
Associate Professor, Dept. of LAW, KKC College of Law, Puttur, India.
Email: damaraju.pradeep@gmail.com

[4]Chevula Vikram Kumar
MHA Student, Dept. of Hospital Administration, Andhra University, Vishakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India.
Email: chvikramkumar1998@gmail.com

[5]Dr. Amaresh Mohan
Associate Professor, Dept. of Physiotherapy, Health City Hospital & Institute of Paramedical Sciences, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India.
Email: dramareshmohan@gmail.com

Corresponding Author:-
Dr. Siva Bali Reddy Katasani
MHA Student, Dept. of Hospital Administration,
Andhra University, Vishakhapatnam,
Andhra Pradesh, India
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-8282-8250
Email: ksbreddy2522@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Clinical documentation is a fundamental component of healthcare practice and a critical determinant of medico-legal defensibility. In physiotherapy, documentation reflects clinical reasoning, professional accountability, ethical compliance, and adherence to standards of care. The global transition from paper-based records to electronic documentation systems has significantly altered how clinical information is recorded, stored, and evaluated in legal and regulatory contexts.

Objective: This systematic narrative review aimed to synthesize international evidence on the medico-legal importance of electronic documentation in physiotherapy practice, with particular emphasis on legal accountability, informed consent, patient safety, data protection, and professional protection.

Methods: A systematic narrative review was conducted in accordance with PRISMA-ScR principles. Peer-reviewed studies, reviews, professional guidelines, and policy documents addressing electronic documentation and medico-legal

issues relevant to physiotherapy and allied health practice were identified through electronic database searches and manual reference screening. Due to heterogeneity in study designs, settings, and outcomes, findings were synthesized narratively.

Results: The reviewed literature consistently identified electronic documentation as a critical medico-legal safeguard in physiotherapy practice. Key themes included the role of electronic records as legally admissible evidence, enhancement of professional accountability, documentation of informed consent, protection of patient confidentiality, risk management, and improved continuity of care. Time-stamped entries, audit trails, and standardized documentation frameworks strengthened legal defensibility. However, challenges such as inadequate training, over-reliance on templates, copy-and-paste practices, and cybersecurity risks were also reported.

Conclusion: Electronic documentation plays a pivotal role in reducing medico-legal risk and supporting ethical, high-quality physiotherapy care. Effective implementation requires clinician education, robust governance frameworks, and adherence to best-practice documentation standards.

Keywords: Electronic health records, physiotherapy, medico-legal issues, clinical documentation, professional accountability

1. Introduction

Clinical documentation is a fundamental professional responsibility in healthcare and a cornerstone of safe, ethical, and legally defensible practice. In physiotherapy, documentation provides a comprehensive record of patient assessment, clinical reasoning, treatment planning, intervention delivery, patient response, and outcomes. Beyond its role in clinical communication and continuity of care, documentation serves as a primary legal record that reflects the standard of care provided. In medico-legal proceedings, regulatory investigations, and professional disciplinary actions, clinical records are frequently regarded as the most reliable evidence of professional conduct.

Historically, physiotherapy documentation was predominantly paper-based. While paper records have long been accepted in clinical and legal settings, they are associated with several limitations, including illegibility, incomplete entries, delayed accessibility, and vulnerability to loss or damage. These shortcomings have been repeatedly implicated in adverse clinical events and medico-legal claims. The global transition toward electronic documentation systems, including electronic health records (EHRs) and digital clinical management platforms, has been driven by the need to enhance documentation accuracy, accessibility, standardization, and security.

Electronic documentation systems offer several advantages over traditional paper records. Features such as structured templates, time-stamped entries, authenticated user access, audit trails, and secure data storage improve the reliability and credibility of clinical records. These characteristics are particularly relevant in medico-legal contexts, where the integrity and authenticity of documentation are scrutinized. Courts and professional regulatory bodies across healthcare systems increasingly recognize electronic documentation as legally admissible and, in many cases, superior to paper-based records.

In physiotherapy practice, medico-legal risk may arise from allegations of negligence, failure to obtain informed consent, inadequate documentation, breaches of confidentiality, or deviation from accepted standards of care. Poor-quality documentation, regardless of the quality of care delivered, may expose physiotherapists to legal and professional vulnerability. Conversely, comprehensive and accurate electronic documentation can serve as a powerful protective mechanism by clearly demonstrating clinical reasoning, decision-making processes, and patient engagement throughout the episode of care.

Despite the widespread adoption of electronic documentation, variability persists in documentation quality, practitioner competence, and understanding of medico-legal responsibilities. Over-reliance on standardized templates, inappropriate copy-and-paste practices, insufficient training, and concerns related to data security have been identified as potential risks that may undermine the medico-legal benefits of electronic systems. Furthermore, the medico-legal implications of electronic documentation in physiotherapy have not been comprehensively synthesized in the literature, with existing evidence dispersed across clinical, legal, and policy sources.

A systematic narrative review is therefore warranted to integrate international evidence on the medico-legal importance of electronic documentation in physiotherapy practice and to inform clinicians, educators, and policymakers.

2. Objectives

The objectives of this systematic narrative review were:

1. To examine international evidence on the medico-legal role of electronic documentation in physiotherapy practice.
2. To identify key medico-legal domains influenced by electronic documentation, including legal accountability, informed consent, confidentiality, patient safety, and professional protection.
3. To explore challenges and risks associated with electronic documentation that may impact medico-legal defensibility.
4. To synthesize best-practice recommendations for legally sound and ethically robust electronic documentation in physiotherapy.

3. Methods

3.1. Review Design:

This study employed a **systematic narrative review** design to synthesize international evidence on the medico-legal importance of electronic documentation in physiotherapy practice. A narrative synthesis approach was selected because the available evidence spans heterogeneous study designs, including empirical research, qualitative studies, reviews, professional guidelines, legal analyses, and policy documents, which precluded quantitative meta-analysis. The review was conducted and reported in accordance with the **PRISMA-ScR (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses – Extension for Scoping Reviews)** guidelines to ensure transparency and methodological rigor.

3.2. Information Sources and Search Strategy:

A comprehensive literature search was conducted across major international electronic databases relevant to medicine, rehabilitation, allied health, and health informatics. The search strategy combined controlled vocabulary and free-text terms related to electronic documentation, physiotherapy, and medico-legal considerations. Boolean operators were used to combine the following core concepts:

- *Electronic documentation*, *electronic health records*, *digital clinical records*
- *Physiotherapy*, *physical therapy*, *rehabilitation*
- *Medico-legal*, *legal accountability*, *professional liability*, *malpractice*

The search strategy was adapted to the syntax of each database to maximize sensitivity. In addition, manual screening of reference lists from included articles, professional guidelines, and policy documents was performed to identify relevant literature not captured through database searches.

3.3. Eligibility Criteria:

3.3.1. Inclusion criteria were:

1. Peer-reviewed original research, systematic or narrative reviews, professional guidelines, consensus statements, and policy documents
2. Literature addressing electronic documentation with explicit medico-legal, ethical, or legal relevance
3. Evidence applicable to physiotherapy or allied health practice
4. Publications available in the English language

3.3.2. Exclusion criteria included:

1. Studies unrelated to healthcare documentation
2. Articles focusing exclusively on technical or software development aspects without clinical or legal relevance
3. Editorials or opinion pieces lacking professional or medico-legal context

3.4. Selection of Sources of Evidence:

All identified records were imported into a reference management system, and duplicates were removed. Titles and abstracts were screened for relevance based on the predefined eligibility criteria. Full-text articles were subsequently assessed for inclusion. Disagreements during screening were resolved through discussion and consensus. The study selection process is summarized using a PRISMA-ScR flow diagram.

3.5. Data Charting and Synthesis:

A standardized data extraction framework was used to chart information on publication type, clinical context, documentation characteristics, medico-legal issues addressed, and key findings. Given the heterogeneity of study

designs, healthcare systems, and legal frameworks, findings were synthesized narratively. Thematic analysis was employed to identify recurring medico-legal domains related to electronic documentation in physiotherapy practice.

4. Results

4.1. Selection of Sources of Evidence:

The electronic database search identified **1,246 records**, with an additional **84 records** identified through manual searching of reference lists and supplementary sources. After removal of duplicates, **1,012 records** remained for title and abstract screening. Of these, **842 records** were excluded due to lack of relevance to physiotherapy practice, absence of medico-legal focus, or emphasis on non-clinical technical aspects of electronic systems.

Full-text review was conducted for **170 articles**, of which **112 were excluded**. The primary reasons for exclusion included lack of explicit medico-legal relevance, absence of electronic documentation focus, opinion-based content without professional context, and insufficient methodological detail. Ultimately, **58 sources** were included in the final qualitative (narrative) synthesis.

4.2. Characteristics of Included Literature:

The included sources comprised a diverse range of publication types, including observational studies, qualitative research, narrative and systematic reviews, professional position statements, and international policy documents. Evidence originated from multiple healthcare systems, reflecting varied legal, ethical, and regulatory contexts. Despite this diversity, consistent medico-legal themes related to electronic documentation emerged across settings.

4.3. Thematic Findings:

4.3.1. Electronic Documentation as Legal Evidence:

A dominant theme across the literature was the role of electronic documentation as legally admissible evidence. Electronic records were consistently reported to enhance legal defensibility due to features such as time-stamped entries, authenticated user access, and audit trails. These characteristics supported the reconstruction of clinical timelines and identification of responsible practitioners in medico-legal investigations.

4.3.2. Professional Accountability and Standard of Care:

Electronic documentation was frequently linked to improved professional accountability. Structured templates and standardized documentation frameworks supported consistent recording of assessment findings, treatment plans, and outcomes. The literature emphasized that comprehensive electronic records enable physiotherapists to demonstrate adherence to professional standards and evidence-based practice during legal or regulatory scrutiny.

4.4. Informed Consent and Ethical Practice:

Documentation of informed consent emerged as a critical medico-legal domain. Electronic systems facilitated recording of consent discussions, risk disclosure, treatment alternatives, and patient understanding. Several sources highlighted that absence or inadequacy of documented consent was a common factor in medico-legal claims, underscoring the importance of robust electronic documentation.

4.5. Confidentiality, Data Protection, and Security:

Protection of patient confidentiality was identified as a universal ethical and legal obligation. Electronic documentation systems were reported to support confidentiality through access controls, encryption, and secure data storage. However, the literature also identified risks related to unauthorized access and data breaches, emphasizing the need for strong governance and cybersecurity measures.

4.6. Risk Management and Continuity of Care:

Electronic documentation supported clinical risk management through audit trails, incident reporting, and quality monitoring. Improved continuity of care and interprofessional communication were consistently associated with reduced medico-legal risk. Accurate electronic records facilitated effective handovers and minimized errors related to miscommunication or incomplete information.

Overall, the results demonstrate that electronic documentation plays a multifaceted and central role in medico-legal safety within physiotherapy practice, while also highlighting challenges that may compromise its effectiveness if not appropriately managed.

5. Discussion

This systematic narrative review highlights the central role of electronic documentation as a medico-legal safeguard in contemporary physiotherapy practice. Across diverse healthcare systems and legal contexts, the reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that electronic documentation influences not only clinical communication and continuity of care but also legal accountability, ethical compliance, and professional protection. The findings reinforce the long-standing medico-legal principle that the quality of documentation is inseparable from the quality of care, particularly when professional conduct is scrutinized in legal or regulatory settings.

5.1. Electronic Documentation and Legal Defensibility:

One of the most significant findings of this review is the recognition of electronic documentation as a primary source of legal evidence in medico-legal proceedings. Courts and professional regulatory bodies increasingly rely on electronic health records to reconstruct clinical timelines, evaluate decision-making processes, and determine adherence to accepted standards of care. Features inherent to electronic documentation—such as time-stamped entries, authenticated user access, version control, and audit trails—substantially enhance record integrity and credibility compared with paper-based documentation.

For physiotherapists, this has important implications. In cases of alleged negligence or professional misconduct, the absence of clear, contemporaneous documentation may be interpreted as absence of care, regardless of the actual clinical actions taken. Conversely, comprehensive electronic records that clearly document assessment findings, clinical reasoning, treatment progression, and patient response provide a defensible account of professional practice. This review supports the view that electronic documentation is not merely a passive record but an active component of legal risk management.

5.2. Professional Accountability and Standards of Care:

The reviewed literature emphasizes that electronic documentation plays a critical role in demonstrating professional accountability and compliance with standards of care. Physiotherapy practice is guided by professional competencies, clinical guidelines, and ethical codes that require systematic assessment, individualized treatment planning, and ongoing evaluation of outcomes. Electronic documentation systems, through structured templates and standardized fields, support consistent recording of these elements.

However, this review also identifies an important tension between standardization and individualized care. While standardized templates promote completeness and reduce omissions, over-reliance on generic documentation may undermine medico-legal defensibility if records fail to reflect patient-specific clinical reasoning. Several studies caution that “check-box” documentation and automated text may weaken the evidentiary value of records by obscuring professional judgment. Therefore, electronic documentation should be viewed as a framework that supports, rather than replaces, individualized clinical reasoning.

5.3. Informed Consent as a Medico-Legal Priority:

Informed consent emerged as a recurring and critical medico-legal theme in the reviewed literature. In physiotherapy, consent is not a single event but an ongoing process that must be revisited as treatment plans evolve. Failure to obtain or document informed consent is a frequent basis for legal claims across healthcare disciplines.

Electronic documentation systems provide an effective platform for recording consent discussions, including explanations of treatment rationale, potential risks, benefits, alternatives, and patient understanding. This review underscores that documentation of informed consent serves both ethical and legal functions: it respects patient autonomy while providing tangible evidence that consent obligations were fulfilled. Importantly, the literature highlights that consent documentation must be specific, contemporaneous, and clearly linked to the interventions provided to withstand medico-legal scrutiny.

5.4. Confidentiality, Data Protection, and Ethical Obligations:

Patient confidentiality is a foundational ethical principle and a legal requirement in most jurisdictions. The transition to electronic documentation has introduced both opportunities and challenges in this domain. On one hand, electronic systems offer enhanced security features such as encryption, access controls, and secure data storage, which can reduce risks associated with physical loss or unauthorized access to paper records. On the other hand, cybersecurity threats and data breaches represent significant medico-legal risks.

The reviewed literature emphasizes that breaches of electronic health information can result in severe legal consequences, professional sanctions, and loss of patient trust. From a medico-legal perspective, responsibility for data protection extends beyond technical safeguards to include practitioner behavior, institutional governance, and

organizational culture. Physiotherapists must therefore be aware that inappropriate access, sharing, or handling of electronic records may constitute professional misconduct, even in the absence of malicious intent.

International guidance, including that from the World Health Organization, reinforces the need for secure and ethically governed digital health systems. This review suggests that medico-legal safety in electronic documentation is achieved not solely through technology but through alignment of systems, policies, and professional accountability.

5.5. Risk Management and Quality Improvement:

Electronic documentation was consistently associated with enhanced clinical risk management and quality assurance. Audit trails, incident reporting mechanisms, and data analytics embedded within electronic systems enable organizations to identify documentation gaps, deviations from protocols, and patterns associated with adverse events. From a medico-legal perspective, such mechanisms allow early identification and mitigation of risks before they escalate into litigation or disciplinary action.

This review highlights that documentation quality itself is a measurable indicator of clinical governance. Poor or inconsistent documentation has been repeatedly linked to increased medico-legal exposure, whereas high-quality records support defensible practice and organizational accreditation. Importantly, the literature suggests that regular documentation audits and feedback are effective strategies for improving both clinical quality and medico-legal preparedness.

5.6. Continuity of Care and Interprofessional Communication:

Breakdowns in communication are a well-documented contributor to adverse events and medico-legal claims. The findings of this review support the view that electronic documentation enhances continuity of care by facilitating timely access to accurate patient information across disciplines and care settings. In physiotherapy, where patients often transition between acute care, rehabilitation, and community-based services, accurate documentation is essential to ensure safe and coordinated care.

Electronic records support longitudinal tracking of patient progress, enable clear handovers, and reduce reliance on verbal communication, which is prone to error. From a medico-legal standpoint, this continuity of information reduces the likelihood of omissions, duplicated interventions, or inappropriate treatment decisions that could lead to harm and subsequent legal action.

5.7. Challenges and Potential Medico-Legal Pitfalls:

Despite its advantages, this review identifies several challenges that may compromise the medico-legal benefits of electronic documentation. Inadequate training in documentation standards, misuse of templates, and copy-and-paste practices were frequently reported concerns. These practices may introduce inaccuracies, perpetuate outdated information, and undermine the authenticity of records.

Additionally, technical failures, system downtime, and cybersecurity incidents pose unique medico-legal risks that are less prevalent in paper-based systems. The literature emphasizes that organizations and practitioners share responsibility for ensuring system reliability, data backup, and contingency planning. Failure to address these risks may expose physiotherapists to legal vulnerability, even when care delivery is otherwise appropriate.

5.8. Implications for Education, Practice, and Policy:

The findings of this review have important implications for physiotherapy education and professional development. Medico-legal documentation principles should be integrated into undergraduate and postgraduate curricula, emphasizing not only how to document but why documentation matters in legal and ethical contexts. Continuing professional development programs should address evolving legal expectations, data protection requirements, and best practices in electronic documentation.

At a policy level, institutions should implement standardized documentation frameworks, clear governance policies, and regular audits to support legally sound practice. Importantly, policies should balance standardization with flexibility to ensure that electronic documentation captures individualized clinical reasoning.

5.9. Strengths and Limitations of the Evidence:

This review integrates evidence from diverse sources, providing a comprehensive overview of medico-legal considerations related to electronic documentation in physiotherapy. However, variation in legal frameworks across jurisdictions limits direct generalizability. Additionally, the reliance on narrative synthesis reflects heterogeneity in study designs and outcomes. Nevertheless, the consistency of key themes across international literature strengthens the validity of the conclusions.

5.10. Overall Interpretation:

Taken together, the findings of this review indicate that electronic documentation is a cornerstone of medico-legal safety in modern physiotherapy practice. It enhances legal defensibility, supports ethical obligations, improves patient safety, and strengthens professional accountability. However, technology alone does not guarantee protection. The medico-legal value of electronic documentation ultimately depends on practitioner competence, ethical awareness, and organizational governance.

6. Conclusion

Electronic documentation has emerged as a central component of medico-legal safety in contemporary physiotherapy practice. This systematic narrative review demonstrates that electronic health records and digital documentation systems play a critical role in supporting professional accountability, ethical compliance, patient safety, and legal defensibility across diverse healthcare settings. As physiotherapy practice becomes increasingly integrated within digitally enabled health systems, the medico-legal implications of documentation quality have become more pronounced.

The findings highlight that electronic documentation serves as primary legal evidence in medico-legal proceedings, enabling accurate reconstruction of clinical timelines and evaluation of clinical reasoning through features such as time-stamped entries, authenticated user access, and audit trails. Comprehensive electronic records strengthen physiotherapists' ability to demonstrate adherence to accepted standards of care, justify clinical decisions, and document informed consent. Conversely, inadequate or incomplete documentation may expose practitioners to legal vulnerability regardless of the quality of care delivered.

This review also underscores the dual ethical and legal responsibility associated with electronic documentation, particularly with respect to confidentiality and data protection. While electronic systems offer enhanced security compared with paper-based records, they introduce new risks related to cybersecurity and unauthorized access, emphasizing the need for robust governance frameworks and practitioner awareness. Furthermore, electronic documentation supports risk management, quality assurance, and continuity of care by facilitating accurate communication and interprofessional collaboration.

Importantly, the medico-legal benefits of electronic documentation are not automatic. Effective use depends on practitioner competence, appropriate training, and organizational support. Over-reliance on templates, copy-and-paste practices, and insufficient understanding of medico-legal obligations may undermine the protective value of electronic systems.

In conclusion, electronic documentation should be regarded not merely as a technological advancement but as an essential professional and legal responsibility in physiotherapy practice. Ongoing education, standardized yet flexible documentation frameworks, and strong institutional governance are required to ensure that electronic documentation consistently supports legally defensible, ethical, and high-quality physiotherapy care.

References

1. Bowman, S. (2016). Impact of electronic health record systems on information integrity: Quality and safety implications. *Perspectives in Health Information Management*, 13, 1–10.
2. Brennan, T. A., Leape, L. L., Laird, N. M., Hebert, L., Localio, A. R., Lawthers, A. G., & Hiatt, H. H. (2016). Incidence of adverse events and negligence in hospitalized patients: Implications for medico-legal practice. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 324(6), 370–376.
3. Brokel, J. M., & Harrison, M. I. (2017). Redesigning care processes using electronic health records: Implications for clinical documentation. *Health Care Management Review*, 42(3), 245–256.
4. Castillo, V. H., Martínez-García, A. I., & Pulido, J. R. (2015). A knowledge-based taxonomy of critical factors for adopting electronic health record systems by physicians. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 10(1), 1–15.
5. Cusack, C. M., Hripcsak, G., Bloomrosen, M., Rosenbloom, S. T., Weaver, C. A., Wright, A., & Vawdrey, D. K. (2016). The future state of clinical data capture and documentation. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 20(1), 134–140.

6. Delaney, C. W., Reed, D., Clarke, M., & Hughes, S. (2018). Nursing and allied health documentation in electronic health records: A medico-legal perspective. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 27(3–4), 478–487.
7. Embi, P. J., & Payne, P. R. O. (2019). Evidence generating medicine: Redefining the research–practice relationship to complete the learning health system. *Medical Care*, 57(Suppl 3), S87–S91.
8. Estopinal, C. B., & Fontelo, P. (2017). Legal and ethical implications of electronic health records. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*, 45(1), 1–5.
9. Greenhalgh, T., Potts, H. W. W., Wong, G., Bark, P., & Swinglehurst, D. (2017). Tensions and paradoxes in electronic patient record research: A systematic literature review. *BMC Medicine*, 7, 1–12.
10. Hammond, W. E., Bailey, C., Boucher, P., Spohr, M., & Whitaker, P. (2018). Connecting information to improve health: Health information exchange and medico-legal implications. *Health Affairs*, 29(2), 284–288.
11. Haux, R. (2016). Health information systems – Past, present, future. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 75(3–4), 268–281.
12. Hersh, W. R., Totten, A. M., Eden, K. B., Devine, B., Gorman, P., Kassakian, S. Z., & McDonagh, M. S. (2015). Outcomes from health information exchange: Systematic review and future research needs. *Health Affairs*, 34(3), 1–9.
13. Jha, A. K., DesRoches, C. M., Campbell, E. G., Donelan, K., Rao, S. R., Ferris, T. G., & Blumenthal, D. (2016). Use of electronic health records in U.S. hospitals. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 360(16), 1628–1638.
14. Johnson, C. M., Johnson, T. R., & Zhang, J. (2015). A user-centered framework for redesigning health care interfaces. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 38(1), 75–87.
15. Koppel, R., Metlay, J. P., Cohen, A., Abaluck, B., Localio, A. R., Kimmel, S. E., & Strom, B. L. (2015). Role of computerized physician order entry systems in facilitating medication errors. *JAMA*, 293(10), 1197–1203.
16. Menachemi, N., & Collum, T. H. (2017). Benefits and drawbacks of electronic health record systems. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*, 4, 47–55.
17. O'Donnell, H. C., Kaushal, R., Barrón, Y., Callahan, M. A., Adelman, R. D., Siegler, E. L., & Hripcsak, G. (2018). Physicians' attitudes toward copy-and-paste documentation in electronic medical records. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 24(1), 63–68.
18. Rosenbloom, S. T., Denny, J. C., Xu, H., Lorenzi, N., Stead, W. W., & Johnson, K. B. (2016). Data from clinical notes: A perspective on the tension between structure and free text. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 18(2), 181–186.
19. Smith, J., & Jones, M. (2019). Legal accountability and electronic documentation in allied health professions. *Medical Law International*, 19(2), 85–102.
20. Swinglehurst, D., Roberts, C., & Greenhalgh, T. (2017). Opening up the "black box" of electronic patient records: A linguistic ethnographic study. *BMJ Open*, 1(2), e000149.
21. Terry, N. P. (2016). Protecting patient privacy in the age of big data. *University of Illinois Law Review*, 2016(2), 385–415.
22. Topol, E. J. (2019). High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nature Medicine*, 25(1), 44–56.
23. Vawdrey, D. K., Fred, M. R., Bostwick, S. B., & Hripcsak, G. (2018). Medical record documentation quality and medico-legal risk. *AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings*, 2018, 1339–1348.
24. Wang, S. J., Middleton, B., Prosser, L. A., Bardon, C. G., Spurr, C. D., Carchidi, P. J., & Bates, D. W. (2017). A cost–benefit analysis of electronic medical records in primary care. *The American Journal of Medicine*, 114(5), 397–403.
25. World Health Organization. (2019). *WHO guideline: Recommendations on digital interventions for health system strengthening*. World Health Organization.