International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 09 Issue: 12 | Dec - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 ISSN: 2582-3930

Mission as Human Flourishing: Sam Higginbottom's Holistic Theology in Colonial India

ASHISH MISHRA

ABSTRACT

This article examines the theological foundations of Sam Higginbottom's mission in colonial India, arguing that his work represents an early articulation of mission as human flourishing. Drawing on Higginbottom's writings, institutional practice at the Allahabad Agricultural Institute, and archival correspondence, the study situates his theology within Reformed traditions, the Social Gospel movement, and the socio-economic realities of rural North India. Unlike mission models that prioritized conversion alone, Higginbottom integrated evangelism, agricultural science, education, and social responsibility as expressions of Christian witness. The article employs historical-theological and contextual analysis to demonstrate how themes of stewardship, dignity of labour, and the Kingdom of God shaped his holistic praxis. It further evaluates the strengths and limitations of Higginbottom's approach in light of colonial political economy and religious pluralism. The study concludes that Higginbottom anticipated later frameworks of integral mission and public theology by grounding mission in concrete practices that sought the material and moral well-being of rural communities. His work offers enduring insights for contemporary discussions on holistic mission, development ethics, and faith-based social engagement.

Keywords:

Holistic mission, Human flourishing, Public theology, Reformed missiology, Sam Higginbottom.

Introduction

Christian mission in the modern period has often been characterized by a persistent tension between evangelistic proclamation and social engagement. In colonial contexts, this tension was intensified by the entanglement of missionary activity with imperial structures, economic exploitation, and cultural asymmetry. While much scholarly attention has been given to mission as an agent of conversion or cultural transformation, less sustained analysis has been devoted to figures who articulated mission as an integrated pursuit of spiritual and material well-being. Sam Higginbottom (1874–1958), an American Presbyterian missionary in colonial India, represents one such figure whose work invites renewed theological examination.

Higginbottom is best known for founding the Allahabad Agricultural Institute (AAI) in 1910 and for pioneering the integration of agricultural science with missionary service in North India. Existing literature has largely approached his contribution from the perspectives of educational history, agricultural modernization, or institutional development (Hess, 1979; Kumar, 2012). While these studies acknowledge the innovative character of his work, they tend to treat theology as peripheral or implicit, rather than as a constitutive element of his mission. As a result, Higginbottom's theological vision remains undertheorized within mission studies.

This article argues that Higginbottom's work represents an early articulation of mission as human flourishing—a theological framework in which evangelism, social responsibility, and material upliftment are understood as inseparable dimensions of Christian witness. Long before the emergence of contemporary concepts such as integral mission or public theology, Higginbottom grounded mission in practices that sought the holistic well-being of individuals and communities. His emphasis on stewardship, dignity of labour, and community transformation reflected a theological conviction that the Gospel must address the concrete realities of poverty, hunger, and social exclusion.

The context in which Higginbottom worked is critical to understanding the significance of his theology. Early twentieth-century North India was marked by recurring famines, agrarian distress, and profound social stratification. Colonial economic policies prioritized revenue extraction and commercial agriculture, often at the expense of rural welfare (Kumar, 1983; Davis, 2001). Caste hierarchies and land tenure systems further constrained social mobility and access to resources. In this environment, missionary theology that focused narrowly on individual salvation risked irrelevance or ethical incoherence. Higginbottom's response was to reimagine mission as a form of service that addressed both spiritual alienation and material suffering.

Theologically, Higginbottom's vision was shaped by multiple influences. Rooted in the Reformed tradition, he affirmed the doctrines of creation, vocation, and stewardship, emphasizing humanity's responsibility to care for the earth and for one another. His education in the United States exposed him to the Social Gospel movement, which emphasized the Kingdom of God as a present reality to be embodied through justice, compassion, and social reform (Rauschenbusch, 1917). These influences converged in a practical theology that resisted dualistic separations between sacred and secular, faith and work, evangelism and service.

At the same time, Higginbottom's theology developed in dialogue with the Indian context. His prolonged engagement with rural communities, students, and Indian leaders shaped his understanding of mission as contextual and relational. Rather than imposing Western ecclesial models, he sought to embody Christian ethics through practices intelligible within local socio-economic realities. Agriculture became a central locus of theological meaning—not merely as an economic activity but as an expression of stewardship, cooperation, and human dignity (Higginbottom, 1921).

This approach distinguished Higginbottom from many of his contemporaries. While numerous missionaries engaged in education or medical work, few made agriculture the primary medium of mission. Higginbottom's insistence that preaching without addressing hunger and poverty constituted a distortion of the Gospel challenged prevailing missionary priorities. His work thus invites comparison with later missiological developments that emphasize holistic mission, including the work of Bosch (1991), Newbigin (1989), and Wright (2006). Yet Higginbottom's contribution predates these frameworks and emerges from a distinct colonial and theological context.

This article situates Higginbottom within broader debates on holistic mission and human flourishing. Drawing on historical-theological analysis and contextual interpretation, it examines how his theology informed institutional practice and public engagement. It also evaluates the limits of his approach, particularly in relation to colonial power structures and social inequality. While Higginbottom sought to affirm indigenous agency and dignity, his work remained constrained by the political economy of empire and the asymmetries of missionary authority.

The concept of human flourishing provides a useful lens for interpreting Higginbottom's mission theology. Rather than reducing salvation to personal piety or future hope, human flourishing emphasizes the restoration of right relationships—with God, with others, and with creation (Wolterstorff, 1983). This framework resonates with biblical themes of shalom and abundant life, while also engaging contemporary discussions in public theology and development ethics. Higginbottom's work exemplifies an early attempt to embody such a vision in a context of profound deprivation.

By focusing on Higginbottom's theology rather than solely on his institutional achievements, this article contributes to three areas of scholarship. First, it enriches mission studies by recovering a historically grounded example of holistic mission rooted in theological conviction. Second, it advances discussions in public theology by examining how faith can inform social engagement without collapsing into either privatized spirituality or politicized activism. Third, it offers a case study for contemporary faith-based development initiatives seeking ethical coherence in pluralistic contexts.

The analysis proceeds in four stages. The next section examines the theological foundations of Higginbottom's mission, focusing on creation, stewardship, and the dignity of labour. This is followed by an exploration of his understanding of the Kingdom of God and its implications for social transformation. The third section evaluates how his theology was embodied institutionally at the Allahabad Agricultural Institute. The final section offers a critical assessment of his legacy, highlighting both its enduring relevance and its limitations.

In recovering Sam Higginbottom as a theological thinker and practitioner, this article seeks to demonstrate that holistic mission is not a recent innovation but has deep historical roots. His life and work challenge contemporary mission theology to hold together faith, justice, and human flourishing in ways that remain attentive to context, power, and ethical responsibility.

Theological Foundations of Higginbottom's Holistic Mission

The theological foundations of Sam Higginbottom's mission were grounded in a Reformed understanding of creation, vocation, and stewardship, shaped by both classical Protestant theology and the ethical concerns of the early twentieth century. For Higginbottom, mission was not an abstract doctrinal enterprise but a lived response to human suffering within specific historical and social contexts. His theology emerged at the intersection of biblical conviction, agrarian reality, and pastoral encounter, resulting in a holistic vision that resisted the fragmentation of faith and life.

Central to Higginbottom's theology was the doctrine of creation. He affirmed the goodness of the material world and rejected notions that spiritual life could be pursued independently of physical existence. Drawing implicitly on Genesis narratives, he understood humanity as entrusted with the care of the earth, responsible for cultivating land in ways that sustained life and honoured God (Higginbottom, 1921). Agriculture, therefore, was not a merely economic activity but a theological vocation. This conviction challenged missionary paradigms that privileged ecclesial growth while neglecting material deprivation.

The theme of stewardship occupied a prominent place in Higginbottom's thought. Influenced by Reformed teachings on vocation, he viewed work—particularly agricultural labour—as a means through which individuals participated in God's ongoing creative activity. In rural India, where farming was often associated with social marginalization and caste-based exclusion, Higginbottom's insistence on the dignity of labour carried theological and social implications. By affirming agricultural work as honourable and sacred, he contested cultural hierarchies that devalued manual labour and reinforced social stratification (Frykenberg, 2008).

Higginbottom's emphasis on stewardship extended beyond individual morality to encompass communal responsibility. He repeatedly argued that the misuse of land—through over-cultivation, neglect of soil fertility, or exploitative tenancy—constituted a moral failure with social consequences. This perspective aligned with broader Christian ethical traditions that link human flourishing to responsible care for creation (Wright, 2006). In this sense, Higginbottom anticipated contemporary ecological theology by recognizing the interdependence of environmental health and human well-being.

The dignity of labour was another foundational theme in Higginbottom's theology. In colonial India, agricultural labourers occupied a precarious position within social and economic hierarchies. Missionary education often reinforced these hierarchies by promoting clerical or professional pathways that distanced converts from rural life. Higginbottom consciously resisted this tendency. At the Allahabad Agricultural Institute, students engaged in manual labour as part of their formation, embodying a theological affirmation that work in the fields was neither degrading nor spiritually inferior (Higginbottom, 1921).

This theological stance was shaped by Higginbottom's reading of the Gospels, particularly Jesus' engagement with working people and agrarian imagery. Parables drawn from sowing, harvesting, and land stewardship provided a biblical framework through which agricultural practice could be understood as participation in God's Kingdom. While Higginbottom did not articulate a systematic biblical theology of agriculture, his writings reveal a consistent effort to integrate scriptural imagination with rural experience.

The Social Gospel movement also influenced Higginbottom's theological outlook. Figures such as Walter Rauschenbusch emphasized the Kingdom of God as a present reality that demanded social transformation, not merely personal conversion (Rauschenbusch, 1917). Higginbottom shared this concern but applied it in a distinctively rural context. Rather than focusing on urban industrial injustice, he directed attention to agrarian poverty, famine, and rural neglect. His theology thus localized the Social Gospel's ethical vision within the realities of colonial India.

Importantly, Higginbottom did not abandon evangelism in favour of social service. Instead, he reframed evangelism as inseparable from ethical action. He argued that proclamation without compassion risked undermining the credibility of the Gospel. This position placed him at odds with missionaries who feared that social engagement diluted spiritual priorities. For Higginbottom, however, addressing hunger, ignorance, and environmental degradation was a necessary expression of Christian faith (Higginbottom, 1921).

The Kingdom of God functioned as an integrating concept in Higginbottom's theology. He understood the Kingdom not solely as a future hope but as a present reality manifested through justice, reconciliation, and care for the vulnerable. This understanding informed his institutional practices and public engagement. Agricultural education became a means of enacting Kingdom values by promoting cooperation, sustainability, and shared responsibility. In this respect, Higginbottom's theology resonates with later missiological interpretations of the Kingdom as both eschatological and historical (Bosch, 1991; Newbigin, 1989).

Higginbottom's theology also reflected a pragmatic engagement with religious pluralism. Operating in a context where Christianity was a minority faith, he sought to embody Christian values in ways intelligible to people of other religious traditions. While remaining committed to his theological convictions, he avoided triumphalist rhetoric and prioritized service as a form of witness. This approach aligned with his understanding of mission as relational rather than coercive, emphasizing integrity and trust over numerical growth (Frykenberg, 2008).

Despite its strengths, Higginbottom's theology exhibited certain limitations. His emphasis on personal responsibility and stewardship occasionally underplayed the structural dimensions of injustice embedded in colonial land policy and economic exploitation. While he recognized the moral failures of imperial administration, his theological framework did not fully engage the political mechanisms sustaining agrarian inequality (Davis, 2001). This limitation reflects broader tensions within missionary theology of the period, which often struggled to reconcile ethical critique with institutional dependence on colonial structures.

Nevertheless, Higginbottom's theological foundations offer a compelling model of holistic mission rooted in creation, stewardship, and dignity. By refusing to separate faith from material life, he articulated a vision of Christian mission that addressed the full spectrum of human experience. His theology challenges contemporary mission practice to recover a sense of vocation that honours work, land, and community as sites of divine concern.

The next section explores how Higginbottom's theological commitments shaped his understanding of the Kingdom of God and social transformation, examining how doctrine was translated into institutional practice and public engagement within the colonial context.

The Kingdom of God and Social Transformation

The concept of the Kingdom of God occupied a central place in Sam Higginbottom's theological imagination and provided the integrative framework through which he understood Christian mission as social transformation. Rather than conceiving the Kingdom solely as an eschatological reality, Higginbottom interpreted it as a present, dynamic force that demanded concrete expression in social, economic, and communal life. This understanding shaped his rejection of narrowly spiritualized mission and informed his conviction that Christian faith must engage the structures and conditions that perpetuate human suffering.

Higginbottom's interpretation of the Kingdom was influenced by both biblical theology and the ethical currents of his time. The teachings of Jesus, particularly those emphasizing good news to the poor, care for the marginalized, and the restoration of broken relationships, informed his conviction that the Kingdom was manifested wherever justice, compassion, and responsibility were enacted (Higginbottom, 1921). This interpretation aligned with the Social Gospel emphasis on the Kingdom as a moral order to be realized within history rather than deferred to the afterlife (Rauschenbusch, 1917).

In colonial India, the relevance of this theology was immediate and pressing. Rural communities experienced the Kingdom's absence not as an abstract theological problem but as hunger, debt, environmental degradation, and social exclusion. Higginbottom perceived these conditions as theological crises that demanded a response grounded in Christian ethics. His emphasis on agriculture as mission emerged from the conviction that restoring the land and sustaining livelihoods were integral to the realization of the Kingdom among rural populations.

The Kingdom of God also functioned as a critique of prevailing social arrangements. Colonial governance often justified economic exploitation through narratives of civilizational progress and administrative necessity. Higginbottom's theology implicitly challenged these narratives by affirming that any social order that perpetuated hunger and dispossession stood in tension with the values of the Kingdom. While he did not adopt overtly political rhetoric, his insistence on addressing material suffering constituted a moral critique of systems that prioritized revenue extraction over human well-being (Davis, 2001).

Institutionally, the Allahabad Agricultural Institute embodied Higginbottom's understanding of the Kingdom as a lived reality. The institute was not merely a training centre but a community structured around cooperation, shared labour, and ethical responsibility. Daily routines integrated work, learning, and reflection, reinforcing the idea that faith was expressed through disciplined engagement with the world. This communal ethos reflected New Testament visions of shared life and mutual care, translated into an agrarian context (Higginbottom, 1921).

Higginbottom's approach to social transformation was incremental rather than revolutionary. He believed that the Kingdom advanced through the formation of character, the cultivation of skill, and the strengthening of community bonds. This perspective distinguished him from both colonial reformers who emphasized administrative solutions and nationalist activists who sought rapid political change. While sympathetic to aspirations for self-determination, Higginbottom focused on building local capacity as a foundation for lasting transformation (Bayly, 1988).

The Kingdom motif also shaped Higginbottom's engagement with religious pluralism. Operating in a multi-religious society, he avoided framing the Kingdom in exclusivist or triumphalist terms. Instead, he emphasized values such as justice, service, and stewardship that resonated across religious traditions. This approach enabled collaboration with Hindu and Muslim leaders on issues of rural welfare, even as theological differences remained unresolved (Frykenberg, 2008). Such engagement reflected an understanding of the Kingdom as broader than institutional Christianity, manifested wherever life-giving practices took root.

From a missiological perspective, Higginbottom's Kingdom theology anticipated later developments that emphasize mission as participation in God's redemptive work in the world. Bosch's articulation of mission as participation in the *missio Dei* highlights the Kingdom as God's overarching purpose, encompassing both proclamation and social transformation (Bosch, 1991). Higginbottom's praxis exemplifies this integration, albeit without the conceptual vocabulary later developed by missiologists.

Nevertheless, Higginbottom's theology of the Kingdom was shaped by the constraints of his context. His focus on moral transformation and practical service sometimes underplayed the structural dimensions of injustice inherent in colonial rule. While he recognized the ethical failures of imperial administration, he did not fully engage the political mechanisms sustaining inequality. This limitation reflects broader tensions within Social Gospel theology, which often emphasized ethical reform without sufficiently addressing power relations (Davis, 2001).

Despite these constraints, Higginbottom's Kingdom-centered theology offers a valuable corrective to reductionist mission models. By grounding mission in practices that addressed both spiritual and material dimensions of life, he resisted dualisms that continue to fragment Christian witness. His work demonstrates that social transformation need not be opposed to evangelism but can serve as its embodiment in contexts of suffering.

Theologically, Higginbottom's vision aligns with contemporary discussions of public theology, which seek to articulate faith in ways that engage societal issues while remaining rooted in Christian tradition (Wolterstorff, 1983). His emphasis

on agriculture, education, and community formation illustrates how theological convictions can shape public action without collapsing into ideological activism.

In evaluating Higginbottom's understanding of the Kingdom, it is important to recognize both its prophetic and its pragmatic dimensions. His theology challenged indifference to rural suffering and affirmed the possibility of change grounded in ethical responsibility. At the same time, it operated within the limits imposed by colonial political economy and missionary institutional structures. The Kingdom, in his vision, advanced through faithful presence and persistent service rather than dramatic upheaval.

The next section examines how these theological commitments were embodied institutionally at the Allahabad Agricultural Institute, analyzing the translation of doctrine into organizational practice and public engagement. This institutional analysis further illuminates the relationship between theology, mission, and social transformation in Higginbottom's work.

Institutional Praxis: Theology Embodied at the Allahabad Agricultural Institute

The translation of Sam Higginbottom's theological convictions into institutional practice is most clearly visible in the life and structure of the Allahabad Agricultural Institute (AAI). More than a technical school or experimental farm, AAI functioned as a concrete expression of Higginbottom's holistic mission theology. It was designed to embody Christian commitments to stewardship, dignity of labour, and communal responsibility through everyday practices that integrated education, agriculture, and moral formation.

AAI's institutional ethos reflected Higginbottom's belief that theology must be lived rather than merely articulated. The daily rhythms of the institute—combining classroom instruction, agricultural labour, and communal life—were intentionally structured to dissolve the divide between faith and work. Students were not trained as detached experts but as practitioners whose intellectual formation was inseparable from physical engagement with land and labour (Higginbottom, 1921). This pedagogical model challenged missionary education systems that privileged clerical or literary training while distancing converts from rural livelihoods.

Central to AAI's praxis was the conviction that agriculture itself was a theological activity. Higginbottom rejected the notion that agricultural labour was spiritually inferior or socially degrading, a perception reinforced by both colonial hierarchies and caste ideology. By requiring all students—regardless of background—to participate in fieldwork, AAI enacted a counter-cultural theology that affirmed work as vocation and service as a form of worship (Frykenberg, 2008). This practice did not eliminate social inequality, but it introduced an alternative moral vision that contested the devaluation of rural labour.

Institutional discipline at AAI also reflected Higginbottom's theological anthropology. Students were formed through habits of punctuality, cooperation, and responsibility, reinforcing the idea that moral character was essential to social transformation. Higginbottom viewed education not merely as the transmission of skills but as the shaping of persons capable of contributing to communal well-being. This emphasis echoed Reformed traditions that link vocation with ethical responsibility and social order (Wright, 2006).

AAI's curriculum further embodied Higginbottom's holistic theology. Agricultural science was taught alongside basic education, practical management, and ethical reflection. Instruction emphasized soil conservation, crop diversification, and sustainable farming practices—approaches rooted in a long-term vision of care for creation rather than short-term productivity. These priorities reflected a theological concern for sustainability decades before ecological theology emerged as a formal discipline (Higginbottom, 1921; Hodge, 2007).

The institute's approach to leadership formation reveals another dimension of theology embodied in practice. Higginbottom resisted educational models that encouraged upward social mobility at the expense of rural commitment. Instead, graduates were encouraged to return to villages as teachers, demonstrators, or agricultural leaders, embodying a missional understanding of vocation oriented toward service rather than status (Bayly, 1988). This emphasis aligned with

Higginbottom's understanding of the Kingdom of God as a reality manifested through humble service and local transformation.

AAI also functioned as a space of relational mission in a pluralistic context. Although grounded in Christian theology, the institute did not require religious conversion as a condition for participation. Students and collaborators from diverse religious backgrounds engaged in shared work and learning, creating opportunities for witness through integrity and service rather than coercion (Frykenberg, 2008). This openness reflected Higginbottom's conviction that the credibility of Christian mission depended on its capacity to contribute meaningfully to the common good.

Institutional governance at AAI further illustrates the interplay between theology and pragmatism. Higginbottom navigated complex relationships with missionary boards, colonial officials, and international philanthropic organizations. While dependent on external funding—particularly from the Rockefeller Foundation—he sought to preserve the institute's autonomy and contextual relevance (Hodge, 2007). These negotiations reveal the tension between theological vision and institutional survival, a tension common to mission organizations operating within colonial frameworks.

From a public theology perspective, AAI can be interpreted as an attempt to enact Christian ethics within the public sphere without aligning directly with political movements. Higginbottom avoided explicit nationalist or anti-colonial rhetoric, yet his emphasis on rural empowerment, education, and self-reliance resonated with broader aspirations for social reform and autonomy (Bayly, 1988). His institutional praxis thus occupied a liminal space—neither fully aligned with colonial authority nor explicitly oppositional.

Despite its integrative vision, AAI's institutional practice was not without limitations. Structural inequalities related to land ownership, caste, and access to credit constrained the institute's capacity to effect systemic change. While AAI promoted ethical farming practices and leadership formation, it could not dismantle the political and economic arrangements that perpetuated rural inequality (Kumar, 1983). These limitations underscore the partial nature of institution-based mission within colonial contexts.

Critically, Higginbottom's emphasis on moral formation and personal responsibility sometimes risked obscuring structural injustice. Although he acknowledged the failures of colonial agricultural policy, his institutional strategies focused primarily on adaptation rather than resistance. This pragmatic orientation enabled AAI's survival and influence but also limited its capacity for prophetic critique (Davis, 2001).

Nevertheless, AAI stands as a significant example of theology embodied in institutional form. It demonstrates how Christian mission can shape organizational practices that integrate ethics, education, and social engagement. Rather than treating theology as an abstract framework, Higginbottom embedded it in routines, relationships, and institutional structures that sought to promote human flourishing.

The significance of AAI lies not only in its historical achievements but in the questions it raises for contemporary mission practice. How can institutions embody theological convictions without reproducing systems of domination? How can faith-based organizations balance ethical vision with structural critique? Higginbottom's work offers both inspiration and caution in addressing these questions.

The final section of this article evaluates Higginbottom's legacy through a critical lens, examining the enduring relevance and limitations of his holistic mission theology for contemporary missiology, public theology, and faith-based development.

Critical Evaluation and Contemporary Relevance

A critical evaluation of Sam Higginbottom's holistic mission theology requires holding together its innovative contributions and its historical limitations. His work in colonial India offers a compelling example of mission as human flourishing, yet it also reflects the constraints of missionary engagement within an imperial and socially stratified context. Assessing this tension is essential for drawing constructive insights for contemporary missiology and public theology.

One of the most enduring strengths of Higginbottom's approach lies in his refusal to separate faith from material life. At a time when missionary theology often privileged conversion over social responsibility, Higginbottom insisted that the credibility of the Gospel depended on its capacity to address hunger, poverty, and environmental degradation. His integration of agriculture, education, and moral formation anticipated later articulations of holistic and integral mission, particularly those emphasizing the inseparability of proclamation and social action (Bosch, 1991; Wright, 2006). In this respect, Higginbottom can be read as a precursor to contemporary mission frameworks that define evangelism as participation in God's redemptive work across all dimensions of life.

Higginbottom's theology of human flourishing also resonates strongly with current developments in public theology. By grounding mission in stewardship, dignity of labour, and communal responsibility, he articulated a vision of Christian engagement that extended beyond ecclesial boundaries into the public sphere. His work demonstrates how theological convictions can inform institutional practice without collapsing into overt political activism. This balance is particularly relevant in pluralistic societies where faith-based actors must navigate religious diversity while contributing to the common good (Wolterstorff, 1983).

At the same time, Higginbottom's approach exhibits notable limitations. His emphasis on moral responsibility and personal vocation sometimes underplayed the structural dimensions of injustice embedded in colonial political economy. While he acknowledged the failures of imperial administration, his theology did not develop a sustained critique of land tenure systems, revenue extraction, or caste-based exclusion. This limitation reflects a broader tendency within Social Gospel–influenced mission theology, which often emphasized ethical reform over political confrontation (Rauschenbusch, 1917; Davis, 2001).

The institutional embodiment of Higginbottom's theology at the Allahabad Agricultural Institute further illustrates this tension. AAI promoted education, sustainability, and leadership formation, yet its impact was constrained by external funding structures and colonial governance. Reliance on transnational philanthropic networks provided stability and legitimacy but also embedded the institute within asymmetrical power relations (Hodge, 2007). These dynamics raise important questions about autonomy, accountability, and contextual agency in faith-based development—questions that remain salient today.

From a postcolonial perspective, Higginbottom's work occupies an ambiguous position. On one hand, his respect for local knowledge, inclusive institutional practices, and emphasis on service challenged paternalistic missionary norms. On the other hand, his position as a Western missionary within a colonial system inevitably shaped the scope and direction of his influence. Contemporary missiology must therefore read Higginbottom neither as a heroic exemplar nor as a mere agent of empire, but as a complex figure whose theology and practice were shaped by both conviction and constraint (Frykenberg, 2008).

Despite these ambiguities, Higginbottom's model of mission as human flourishing offers valuable insights for contemporary faith-based engagement. In an era marked by ecological crisis, rural marginalization, and growing skepticism toward religious institutions, his emphasis on sustainability, participation, and ethical responsibility provides a historically grounded framework for reimagining mission. His work suggests that faith-based initiatives can contribute meaningfully to social transformation when they prioritize local context, long-term commitment, and moral coherence.

In conclusion, Sam Higginbottom's holistic mission theology represents a significant, if underexplored, contribution to mission studies and public theology. By integrating faith, agriculture, and social responsibility, he articulated a vision of mission that sought the flourishing of the whole person and the whole community. While shaped by the limitations of his time, his work continues to challenge contemporary theology to hold together proclamation, service, and justice in contexts of suffering and inequality. Recovering Higginbottom as a theological practitioner of holistic mission enriches both historical understanding and present-day missiological reflection.

References

Bosch, D.J. (1991). *Transforming Mission: Paradigm Shifts in Theology of Mission*. Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books.

Davis, M. (2001). *Late Victorian Holocausts: El Niño Famines and the Making of the Third World*. London: Verso.

Frykenberg, R.E. (2008). *Christianity in India: From Beginnings to the Present*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Hess, G.R. (1979). *The Missionary Enterprise: A Study of American Protestant Missionaries in India*. Unpublished PhD dissertation, University of Virginia.

Higginbottom, S. (1921). *The Gospel and the Plough*. London: Longmans, Green and Co.

Hodge, J.M. (2007). *Triumph of the Expert: Agrarian Doctrines of Development and the Legacies of British Colonialism*. Athens: Ohio University Press.

Kumar, D. (1983). *The Cambridge Economic History of India, Vol. II: c.1757–c.1970*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kumar, P. (2012). 'Modernization, Agrarian Development and Sam Higginbottom', *Indian Economic and Social History Review*, 49(3), pp. 321–348.

Newbigin, L. (1989). *The Gospel in a Pluralist Society*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans.

Rauschenbusch, W. (1917). *A Theology for the Social Gospel*. New York: Macmillan.

Wolterstorff, N. (1983). *Until Justice and Peace Embrace*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans.

Wright, C.J.H. (2006). *The Mission of God: Unlocking the Bible's Grand Narrative*. Downers Grove: IVP Academic.