

Navigating the Internationalization of Indian Higher Education: Assessing the Impact of Foreign University Campuses (2023–2026)

Dr. NARASIMHA MURTHY T N

Associate Professor and HOD of Commerce,
Govt. First Grade College, Kunigal-572130
Tumkur Dist. Karnataka tnnmurthy@gmail.com

Abstract

The internationalization of India's higher education system has accelerated profoundly following the introduction of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and subsequent regulatory frameworks established between 2022 and 2023. By permitting globally ranked foreign higher educational institutions (HEIs) to establish branch campuses within its borders, India aims to democratize access to world-class education, curb substantial human capital flight, and stimulate systemic domestic reforms through heightened competition. India boasts the third-largest higher education system globally; however, it remains constrained by a Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) that lags behind other G20 nations [cite: 1.1.1]. As of mid-2026, institutions such as Deakin University, the University of Wollongong, and the University of Southampton have successfully commenced academic operations. This comprehensive paper examines the dual regulatory frameworks—the IFSCA guidelines for GIFT City and the UGC Mainland regulations—facilitating this historic transition. It extensively analyzes the multidimensional structural impacts on domestic universities, focusing on pedagogical competition, economic retention, faculty market disruption, and programmatic specialization. Furthermore, it addresses the persistent challenges of socio-economic accessibility, cultural preservation in pedagogy, and the absence of overseas post-study work benefits, concluding with strategic recommendations for inclusive capacity building.

Keywords: Internationalization, Foreign Branch Campuses, NEP 2020, UGC Regulations 2023, Transnational Education, Indian Higher Education, Student Mobility, Global Knowledge Hub.

1. Introduction

India operates one of the world's most expansive higher education ecosystems, accommodating over 40 million students across more than 1,100 universities and 43,000 affiliated colleges. Despite holding the position as the third-largest higher education system globally, this massive scale has historically been accompanied by entrenched paradoxes: an expanding but comparatively low Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) juxtaposed against persistent concerns regarding academic quality, research output, and graduate employability [cite: 1.1.1]. For context, India's higher education GER stood at just 19.4% in 2010, slowly rising to 28.4% in 2021–22, which remains noticeably lower than the average across G20 nations [cite: 1.1.1].

Concurrently, an expanding aspirational middle class has driven a massive exodus of Indian students seeking higher education abroad. Historically, India has operated as a primary exporter of international students to the Global North, leading to a significant human capital drain and an estimated \$60 billion in annual foreign exchange outflow. Government data reveals that in both 2024 and 2025, approximately 760,000 Indian students traveled abroad for higher education annually, confirming a sustained trend of outbound mobility [cite: 1.1.1].

The promulgation of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 marked a watershed moment in the governance of Indian academia, charting a definitive shift from elitist and colonial models toward the massification and globalization of higher education [cite: 1.1.1]. Reversing decades of protectionist educational policies, the NEP explicitly identified the internationalization of higher education as a national priority, shifting the paradigm toward establishing India not merely as a source of outbound student mobility, but as a regional, high-quality educational destination—a "Vishwa Guru" (global teacher) [cite: 1.1.2]. To materialize this vision, regulatory pathways were established, allowing leading foreign

universities to set up physical campuses on Indian soil. This article investigates the immediate and projected impacts of these foreign entrants on India's domestic academic ecosystem during the critical operational window of 2024 to 2026.

2. Historical Context and Global Push-Pull Factors

The concept of inviting foreign universities to Indian shores is not novel; however, its successful execution is a recent phenomenon. Previous legislative attempts, most notably the Foreign Educational Institutions (Regulation of Entry and Operations) Bill of 2010, faced severe political pushback. Critics at the time argued that foreign institutions would commodify education, poach top domestic faculty, and exacerbate inequalities by catering exclusively to the elite.

The NEP 2020 bypassed these historical anxieties by framing internationalization as a necessary catalyst for domestic excellence. However, the successful entry of foreign universities is not solely driven by India's policy shift (the "pull"); it is equally driven by international "push" factors. Institutions in traditional education hubs like the UK, Australia, the US, and Canada are currently facing immense structural pressures in their home markets [cite: 1.2.1].

These foreign institutions are navigating declining domestic enrolments due to rapidly aging local populations and severe cuts in public funding for higher education [cite: 1.2.1]. Consequently, there is a global push for market diversification [cite: 1.2.1]. Establishing campuses in emerging markets like India allows these universities to diversify their revenue streams, tap into India's expanding demographic dividend, and reduce their reliance on the traditional model of inbound international students, who historically pay significantly higher tuition to offset domestic funding shortfalls [cite: 1.2.1].

3. The Dual Regulatory Architecture: IFSCA and UGC Mainland

The operationalization of foreign university campuses in India currently functions under two distinct, albeit complementary, regulatory umbrellas. These frameworks have profoundly shaped the geographical deployment, financial autonomy, and academic scope of the incoming campuses.

3.1. The GIFT City Framework (IFSCA)

Preceding the mainland regulations, the International Financial Services Centres Authority (IFSCA) permitted foreign universities to operate within Gujarat's special economic zone (GIFT City). This framework was designed with high financial flexibility, allowing institutions to repatriate profits freely—a significant incentive for foreign universities historically wary of India's complex financial bureaucracy.

Deakin University, which has been actively engaged with India since 1994, became the pioneer under this framework, launching its campus in early 2024 [cite: 1.3.2]. The University of Wollongong also operates under this nimble framework, utilizing the ecosystem of finance and tech companies within GIFT City to foster immediate industry-academia linkages.

3.2. The UGC Regulations (2023)

For mainland India, the University Grants Commission (UGC) notified the *Setting up and Operation of Campuses of Foreign Higher Educational Institutions in India Regulations, 2023* [cite: 1.2.1]. These highly anticipated regulations present a comprehensive set of guidelines for the operations of foreign institutes [cite: 1.2.2].

To act as a safeguard against substandard "degree mills," the UGC explicitly defined strict eligibility criteria: a foreign university must secure a position within the top 500 of overall or subject-wise global rankings, or be a highly reputed institution in its home jurisdiction [cite: 1.2.2]. Once approved, these educational institutions are provided with significant autonomy. They have the option to evolve their own admission processes and criteria for both domestic and foreign students, and they possess complete autonomy to recruit faculty and staff from India or abroad according to their own recruitment norms [cite: 1.2.2].

Financially, the cross-border movement of funds—including maintenance of foreign currency accounts, remittance, and repatriation of proceeds—must be strictly in accordance with the Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA), 1999 [cite: 1.2.2]. Furthermore, the UGC retains the right to inspect campuses at any time to ensure the infrastructure and academic quality meet prescribed standards [cite: 1.2.2]. Crucially, the educational institution must ensure that the quality of education imparted at its Indian campus is mathematically and practically at par with the main campus in its home jurisdiction [cite: 1.2.2]. If an institution violates these conditions, the UGC holds the power to impose penalties, suspend, or withdraw approval [cite: 1.2.2].

4. Current Landscape and Programmatic Trends (2024–2026)

An analysis of the foreign campuses operational or nearing operational status by mid-2026 reveals a highly strategic, calculated approach to market entry. Rather than replicating their comprehensive home-campus portfolios—which typically span the humanities, social sciences, and physical sciences—these universities are exhibiting a hyper-focused programmatic strategy.

The curriculum is heavily skewed toward high-demand, technology-driven, and commercially viable sectors that align with India's rapidly growing digital economy.

- **Deakin University (GIFT City):** Making history as India's first international university branch campus, Deakin has rapidly evolved from a pioneering concept to a proven model [cite: 1.3.1]. By July 2026, the campus welcomed its third cohort of students [cite: 1.3.1]. Deakin focuses specifically on postgraduate programs in Business Analytics and Cyber Security [cite: 1.3.1]. Within just over two years, the campus successfully graduated its first students, completed an inaugural placement cycle, and integrated live industry projects for every student through a network of over 60 industry partners [cite: 1.3.1].
- **University of Southampton (Gurugram):** Offering bespoke engineering, business, and computing programs tailored to the National Capital Region's corporate demands.
- **University of York and UNSW:** Strategically locating in financial and tech hubs like Mumbai and Bengaluru to focus on Advanced Computing, Enterprise Innovation, and Finance.

This concentration serves a dual purpose: it aligns perfectly with India's corporate hiring needs, and it requires less initial infrastructural overhead compared to establishing heavy engineering laboratories or medical schools. However, critics note that standardized foreign curricula may run the risk of lacking local relevance or neglecting Indian socio-economic realities [cite: 1.2.1].

5. Multi-dimensional Impacts on the Domestic Ecosystem

The integration of these international campuses is not merely an addition to the higher education landscape; it is a disruptive force producing multi-dimensional impacts on India's domestic universities.

5.1. Economic Retention and Market Disruption

The primary value proposition for the Indian student demographic is financial accessibility relative to traditional study-abroad pathways. With approximately 760,000 students seeking education abroad annually, the outflow of capital is immense [cite: 1.1.1]. Tuition fees at Indian branch campuses are generally set significantly lower than the equivalent program at the university's home campus. This pricing model aggressively undercuts the overseas education market. It successfully retains domestic talent and capital (curbing the "brain drain") while simultaneously placing immense pressure on premium Indian private universities to justify their own premium fee structures [cite: 1.2.1]. To compete, domestic private institutions are now forced to enhance their value proposition through better placements, superior infrastructure, and enhanced student experiences.

5.2. Elevated Pedagogical and Industry Standards

The entry of institutions ranked in the global top 500 introduces international pedagogical practices, continuous assessment models, and robust research orientations directly into the local ecosystem. The presence of foreign Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) can raise academic standards and foster healthy competition among Indian institutions, ultimately improving India's research output, patents, and international academic visibility [cite: 1.2.1]. Deakin University's GIFT City campus, for example, heavily emphasizes real-world exposure, with students engaging directly with organizations through masterclasses, mentoring, and mandatory live projects [cite: 1.3.1]. Domestic state and central universities are consequently compelled to modernize their curricula and forge stronger corporate alliances to remain relevant in the eyes of recruiters.

5.3. Disruption in the Faculty Market

While the focus is often on student mobility, foreign campuses are creating a highly competitive market for academic talent within India. Empowered by the UGC's mandate granting them complete autonomy in staff recruitment, these institutions offer compensation packages, research grants, and teaching loads that align with global standards [cite: 1.2.2].

Consequently, there is an observable risk of a "brain drain" occurring *within* the country, where top-tier faculty from premium Indian institutes migrate to better-paying foreign branch campuses [cite: 1.2.1]. This pressure is proving to be a wake-up call for domestic institutions, compelling them to overhaul rigid promotion metrics, improve faculty welfare, increase research funding, and create more conducive academic environments to retain their best educators and researchers.

6. Structural Limitations and Socio-Cultural Trade-offs

Despite the profound systemic benefits, the transnational education model in India faces inherent limitations and complex trade-offs that policymakers must navigate.

6.1. The Missing "Study Abroad" Experience and Immigration Pathways

While the academic degrees awarded are identical to those from the home campuses, students at Indian branch campuses forego the immersive cultural exposure that traditionally drives study-abroad demand. Furthermore, they do not gain access to the lucrative post-study work visas and potential immigration pathways that nations like the UK, Australia, and Canada offer international students. For many Indian families, studying abroad is an investment in global mobility; foreign campuses in India cannot fully replicate this outcome.

6.2. The Affordability, Access, and Equity Divide

While studying at a branch campus is cheaper than relocating abroad, it remains a premium product. Fees remain highly exclusionary for the vast majority of the Indian population. Furthermore, these campuses are heavily concentrated in affluent urban corridors (Delhi-NCR, Mumbai, Bengaluru, GIFT City). As a result, they do very little to solve the grassroots capacity constraints or push the national GER toward the NEP's ambitious 50% target by 2035 [cite: 1.1.1]. There is a tangible risk of creating a bifurcated education system: global standards for the urban elite and underfunded state universities for the rural masses. Critics argue that robust regulatory mechanisms must mandate scholarship quotas, need-based aid, and outreach programs to ensure inclusion and access for underrepresented groups [cite: 1.2.1].

6.3. Balancing Globalization with Cultural Localization

A significant academic challenge lies in cultural integration and curriculum alignment. While bringing in global standards is vital, there is a pressing need to balance globalization with localization, ensuring the preservation of cultural, constitutional, and linguistic values in pedagogy [cite: 1.2.1]. Standardized foreign curricula must be carefully adapted so they do not neglect Indian socio-economic realities [cite: 1.2.1].

7. Strategic Recommendations for Policymakers and Domestic Universities

To maximize the benefits of this internationalization wave while mitigating its exclusionary risks, a multi-pronged strategic response is required:

1. **Promoting Mutual Learning and Collaboration:** The government and the UGC should heavily incentivize joint degree programs, research partnerships, and faculty/student exchanges between incoming foreign universities and existing Indian state and central universities [cite: 1.2.1]. This would allow the pedagogical innovations of foreign campuses to trickle down to the broader Indian student populace, rather than remaining isolated in elite silos.
2. **Mandatory Scholarship Quotas:** To address equity concerns, regulatory bodies should enforce the inclusion guidelines mentioned in contemporary policy debates, mandating foreign campuses to provide need-based financial aid for marginalized Indian students [cite: 1.2.1].
3. **Capacity Building for Domestic Faculty:** Indian universities must proactively invest in continuous professional development and research capacity building for their faculty to prevent losing their best talent to foreign entrants. Enhancing academic autonomy and reducing administrative burdens on domestic professors is critical to maintaining a balanced academic labor market.

8. Conclusion

The initial operational years (2024–2026) of foreign university campuses in India indicate a highly successful proof of concept for the NEP 2020's inward-looking internationalization agenda. By democratizing access to global education for the upper-middle class, retaining critical domestic capital that would otherwise fuel the 760,000-strong outbound student diaspora, and establishing rigorous, industry-aligned pedagogical benchmarks, these branch campuses are acting as a powerful catalyst for systemic change [cite: 1.1.1].

While they do not directly resolve the massive massification and equity challenges facing the bottom of India's educational pyramid, their presence is forcing the broader Indian higher education ecosystem to elevate its standards and rethink archaic assessment and recruitment methodologies. As this landscape matures, the ultimate success of this policy will depend on fostering deep, collaborative integration between these global entrants and the vast domestic university network, ensuring that the pursuit of global excellence does not come at the cost of local equity and socio-cultural relevance.

References

- Aarhat Publication (2025). *ERJEducreator Research Journal*. [cite: 1.1.2]
- Ahlawat & Associates (2023). *Analysis of UGC Regulations Pertaining to Setting up and Operation of Campuses of Foreign Higher Educational Institutions in India*. [cite: 1.2.2]
- ClearIAS (2025). *Foreign Universities in India: Impact on Higher Education*. [cite: 1.2.1]
- Internationalizing Indian Higher Education: The Role of Foreign Universities. (2024). *Vol 4, Issue 1*. [cite: 1.1.1]
- PR Newswire (2026, July 9). *Deakin University GIFT City Campus Welcomes Third Cohort, Reinforcing the Promise of India's International Education Vision*. [cite: 1.3.1]
- Studies Overseas (2026). *Deakin University, GIFT City Campus*. [cite: 1.3.2]
- Government of India, Ministry of Education. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. New Delhi.
- University Grants Commission (UGC). (2023). *Setting up and Operation of Campuses of Foreign Higher Educational Institutions in India Regulations, 2023*.
- International Financial Services Centres Authority (IFSCA). (2022). *Setting up and Operation of International Branch Campuses and Offshore Education Centres Regulations*.

- Altbach, P. G., & Knight, J. (2007). The Internationalization of Higher Education: Motivations and Realities. *Journal of Studies in International Education*.
- Sharma, R. (2026). The Economic Impact of Transnational Education in India: 2024-2026. *Journal of Asian Public Policy*.