

No Detention Policy in Indian Schools: Successes, Challenges, and Its Repeal (2019)

Manish Kumar

Assistant professor

Teachers' Training College, Bhagalpur (Bihar)

Email: manishkhg13@gmail.com

Abstract

Many arguments are being forwarded in favour of and against the No Detention Policy (NDP) in elementary education. Before going to debate these arguments, we should consider two other important provisions of the Right to Education Act (RTE) which are directly related to the NDP: Age-Appropriate Class, Continuous & Comprehensive Evaluation (CCE). All these three provisions need to be considered together when we argue for/or against the NDP. Indeed, the removal of NDP due to low learning achievements of the students is the result of a lack of teachers and infrastructure in the school, which seems to be shifted onto the students. The punishment for the weakness of the system, as well as the poor economic and social backwardness of parents, should not be transferred upon the student by adopting the removal of no detention policy.

Introduction

The **No Detention Policy** in India was implemented to promote inclusive education and ensure that no child is left behind in the educational system. This policy has been a significant feature of India's school education system, especially at the primary (Grades I to V) and elementary (Grades I to VIII) levels of education. It came into prominence with the passage of the historical **Right to Education (RTE) Act, 2009**, which mandated that no child in the age group of 6 to 14 years be held back (failed) in any grade till the completion of elementary education. This policy aimed to reduce the dropout rate, prevent stigmatisation of students failing exams, and focus more on learning outcomes rather than merely passing examinations.

Historical Background of NDP

The No Detention Policy (NDP) can be traced back to the early 2000s, when the education system in India faced challenges related to high dropout rates, particularly among disadvantaged and marginalised groups. The issues of retention and academic failure have been contributing factors to these high dropout rates. Educational surveys, such as the National Achievement Survey of the NCERT, revealed that many students, especially from rural and economically backward sections of society (Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, Other Backward Classes, Muslim Minority, etc.), could not clear examinations due to language barriers, lack of adequate learning resources, and personal challenges.

The RTE Act 2009 was a groundbreaking step in response to these concerns. Section 16 of the Act explicitly prohibited detention, expulsion, or punishment of children in elementary schools for failing exams. The No Detention Policy became a central element of India's efforts to achieve the goal of universal elementary education and to meet the targets of the **Millennium Development Goals (MDGs)** and later the **Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)**. It also aimed to reduce disparities in educational access and quality.

When and Why the Policy Was Initiated?

The **No Detention Policy** was formalised and widely implemented after enacting the **Right to Education Act (RTE) in 2009**. The RTE Act laid down the framework for free and compulsory education for all children between the ages of 6 to 14 years. Before this, several states had implemented similar policies at the local level, but the national implementation of the No Detention Policy through the RTE was a step toward ensuring consistency across the country.

The rationale behind this policy was to prevent children from dropping out of school due to exam failure and shift the focus from rote learning and exams to holistic development and continuous assessment of students' progress. In addition, the policy aimed to reduce the pressure on children and encourage a more inclusive and supportive learning environment.

In a nutshell, the following were the main objectives behind the implementation of NDP:

- Reducing dropout rates in elementary education
- Minimising psychological pressure on young learners
- Ensuring universal enrollment and retention in schools
- Promoting continuous and comprehensive evaluation
- Supporting disadvantaged groups in completing basic education

Benefits of the No Detention Policy

No Detention Policy has educational and social benefits, a few of which are described below:

1. **Reduced Dropout Rates:** One of the significant benefits of the No Detention Policy has been the reduction in dropout rates at the primary and elementary school levels. Children, particularly those from marginalized backgrounds, were more likely to stay in school by eliminating the risk of failure.
2. **Inclusive Education:** The policy helped make the education system more inclusive by allowing children with different learning speeds and styles to progress at their own pace: this was especially significant for children with learning disabilities or those who faced language or cultural barriers.
3. **Focus on Continuous Assessment:** Rather than focusing solely on final exams, schools began to use **Formative Assessments** to track children's progress; this allowed teachers to identify and address learning gaps before they became significant problems.
4. **Encouraging Attendance and Participation:** By removing the fear of detention, students were more likely to attend school regularly, participate in classroom activities, and develop a positive attitude toward education.
5. **Improvement in Teacher-Student Relationship:** With the emphasis on learning rather than exams, teachers could work more closely with students, improving the teacher-student relationship and creating a more supportive learning environment.

Social Benefits

- Increased access to education for disadvantaged groups
- Reduced social stigma associated with academic failure
- Better integration of first-generation learners
- Improved gender parity in school education
- Enhanced social mobility opportunities

Drawbacks of the No Detention Policy

In addition to the benefits of the NDP, a few states and critics highlighted a few limitations, which are briefly presented below:

1. **Compromised Learning Standards:** One of the criticisms of the No Detention Policy was that it sometimes led to a **lack of accountability** in students. Since there were no consequences for failure, some students showed minimal effort, negatively impacting their learning outcomes.
2. **Pressure on Teachers:** Teachers faced challenges in effectively managing classrooms where some students may not have kept up with their peers. This situation sometimes leads to increased frustration and burnout among educators, especially when meeting individual learning needs.

3. **Quality of Education:** While the policy had positive social implications, there were concerns that it did not guarantee an improvement in the quality of education. Without the pressure of examinations, the focus on academic excellence and rigorous learning was sometimes diluted.
4. **Reduced Parental Involvement:** The absence of failure could have led to decreasing parents' involvement in their children's academic progress. Parents often saw no need to intervene when students were not being held accountable for their performance.
5. **Ineffective Remediation:** Although the policy aimed to provide additional support, it sometimes resulted in a lack of structured remedial interventions for underperforming students. As a result, many students moved to higher grades without mastering the foundational knowledge of earlier ones.

No Detention Policy and Universalisation of Primary and Elementary Education

The No Detention Policy played a crucial role in **achieving the universalisation of elementary education** as envisioned in the **National Policy on Education (NPE) 1986** and later in the **National Education Policy (NEP) 2020**. By ensuring that no child was detained or expelled from school, the policy helped reduce the number of dropouts, especially among marginalised groups; this made primary education more accessible and promoted the idea of a **Right to Education** for every child, regardless of their socio-economic status or academic performance. Despite the NDP, it is also a fact that students used to repeat an elementary grade for various reasons, which is evident from the UDISE data, but the same over time has consistently shown a decline in the number of repeaters across the elementary grades.

Furthermore, by focusing on continuous and comprehensive evaluation, the policy supported a shift toward **learning outcomes** rather than just exam performance; this helped enhance the inclusive nature of the education system, a cornerstone of both the RTE Act and the NEP 2020.

Impact on Quality of Education

The No Detention Policy's impact on education quality has been debated. On one hand, it contributed to increased enrollment and reduced dropout rates, crucial indicators of educational access. However, it has been argued that without rigorous assessments, the policy may have allowed children to advance without necessarily acquiring the required knowledge and skills, thus affecting the overall quality of education. Teachers explicitly teaching Upper Primary Grades VI to VIII have raised concerns about the low quality of Primary school Graduates who approached admission in Grade VI.

Withdrawal of the No Detention Policy

In recent years, the **No Detention Policy** has been under scrutiny, and several states have started withdrawing it. Here are five details related to the withdrawal:

1. **Amendment to the RTE Act (2019):** In 2019, the Ministry of Education, Government of India, amended the RTE Act to allow states to introduce exams in Grades 5 and 8, reintroducing a detention system for students who fail to meet the required standards.
2. **Reasons for Withdrawal:** The primary reason for withdrawing the policy was the growing concern that **academic standards** were declining, with many students passing through grades without acquiring the necessary knowledge or skills. The policy's critics argued that it led to a culture of mediocrity.
 - Declining learning outcomes, as reported in various surveys
 - Concerns raised by states and educational experts
 - Teachers' feedback about classroom discipline
 - Parents' concerns about academic standards
 - Need for accountability in the education system

1. **Impact on Teachers' Performance:** Teachers were pressured to ensure students passed, leading to compromised educational standards. With the reintroduction of examinations, teachers are now more accountable for student performance.
2. **Consequences on Quality of Education:** The withdrawal of the policy is expected to push students to work harder and focus on mastering key skills. However, it has also raised concerns about the risk of increased dropout rates, especially among disadvantaged students who may not perform well in exams. NSSO 75th data reveals that repetitive failures and lack of interest in education are the main reasons behind dropout.
3. **Implications for Universal School Education under NEP 2020:** The NEP 2020 emphasises the need for quality education and learning outcomes. The withdrawal of the No Detention Policy may align with the NEP's focus on assessments. However, it also requires systemic support for remedial education and teacher training to ensure that students, especially from marginalised backgrounds, are not excluded from education due to failure.

No Detention Policy and NEP 2020

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 stresses a shift towards holistic, competency-based education and assessment reforms. It recognises the need for **universal access to education** and quality by ensuring foundational literacy and numeracy. While NEP does not explicitly advocate for or against the No Detention Policy, it emphasises the need for continuous assessment and formative evaluation of students to improve learning outcomes.

The policy encourages remedial measures for students who fall behind, highlighting the importance of inclusive education. Therefore, the withdrawal of the No Detention Policy could be seen as a shift toward more structured evaluation systems, but it must be implemented so as not to impact the goals of universal education and equity negatively.

Concluding Observations

While initially a significant step toward ensuring education for all, the No Detention Policy or the NDP has been a subject of debate due to concerns about its impact on academic standards. The withdrawal of this policy, especially in light of the **NEP 2020**, is likely to lead to a more structured educational framework, but it must be carefully managed to avoid alienating disadvantaged students. For the policy to contribute positively to the goals of universal education and quality learning outcomes, it is essential that **remedial interventions**, **teacher training**, and **continuous evaluation** mechanisms are put in place to support all students in their academic journey.

References

1. Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009 – Ministry of Education, Government of India [<https://www.education.gov.in/rte>]
2. National Education Policy 2020 – Ministry of Education, Government of India [<https://www.education.gov.in/nep-2020>]
3. Annual Status of Education Report (ASER) 2019 – ASER Centre [<https://www.asercentre.org>]
4. RTE Amendment Act, 2019 – Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India [<https://legislative.gov.in>]
5. National Achievement Survey 2017 – NCERT [<https://www.ncert.nic.in>]