

On a Subclasses of generalized Janowski Type Functions using Salagean Operator

Attique Ur Rahman¹ and Dr. Rabia Fayyaz²

¹Department of Mathematics, COMSATS University Islamabad, Islamabad, Pakistan

²Department of Mathematics, COMSATS University Islamabad, Islamabad, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we present the class $D^{b,\beta}(a, \beta, \hat{\rho}, A, B)$ of generalized Janowski type functions of complex order defined by Salagean derivative Operator in the open unit disk. A few outcomes of our principle hypotheses are same as the out-comes got in the previous classes.

Keywords: Analytic functions, subordination, λ -spirallike functions, λ -Robertson function, λ -close-to-spirallike function, λ -close-to-Robertson function, Salagean derivative Operator

Introduction and definition

let A denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \tag{1}$$

and $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ which are analytic in the open unit disk. Let S denote the subclass of A which are univalent in D . The Hadamard product or convolution of two functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ denoted by, $f * g$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k$$

for $z \in D$. In 1983, Salagean [10] introduced a differential and integral operator

$$D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^k a_n z^n \tag{2}$$

It is easy to see that the series $D^n f(z)$ is convergent in the unit disc for each $k \in N$. Further, we have the following differential operator.

$$D^1 f(z) = z f'(z), \quad D^{-1} f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} a_n z^n$$

$$D^{-n} f(z) = D^{-1} (D^{-(n-1)} f(z)) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^n} a_n z^n$$

In recent years several authors obtained many interesting results for various subclasses of analytic functions defined by using the Salagean derivative operator.

Given two functions f and F which are analytic in unit disk D , we say that the function f is subordinated to F and write $f \prec F$ or $f(z) \prec F(z)$, if there exist a function ω analytic in D such that $|\omega(z)| < 1$ and $\omega(0) = 0$, with $f(z) = F(\omega(z))$ in D .

In particular, if F is univalent in D , then $f(z) \prec F(z)$ if and only if $f(0) = F(0)$ and $f(D) \subseteq F(D)$.

Let P denote the class of all functions of the form $P(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n z^n$ that are analytic in D and for which $\text{Re}(P(z)) > 0$ in D .

For arbitrary fixed numbers A and B with $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, Janowski introduced the class $P(A, B)$, defined by the subordination principle as follows

[Type here]

$$P(A,B) = \left\{ P : P(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, P(z) = 1 + P_1z + P_2z^2 + \dots \right\}$$

Also, if we take $A = 1$ and $B = 1$, we obtain the well known class P of functions with positive realpart. In 2006, Polatoglu [8] introduced the class $P(A,B,\delta)$ of the generalization of Janowski functions as follows:

$$P(A,B,\delta) = \left\{ P : P(z) \prec (1-\delta) \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \delta, P(z) = 1 + P_1z + P_2z^2 + \dots \right\} \quad (3)$$

for arbitrary fixed numbers A and B with $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, 0 \leq \delta < 1, z \in D$.

Let S^* and C be the subclasses of S of all starlike functions and convex functions of order α and the classes of convex function of order α , where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, respectively.

In particular, we note that $S^* := S^*(0)$ and $C^* = C^*(0)$.

In [9] Reade introduced the class CS^* of close-to-star function as follows

$$CS^* = \left\{ f \in A : \frac{f(z)'}{g(z)'} > 0 \right\}$$

for all $z \in D$. Also, we denote by $CS^*(\beta)$ the class of close-to-star functions of order β where $0 \leq \beta < 1$. (See Goodman [3]).

In [6], Kaplan introduced the class CC of close-to-convex functions as follows:

$$CC = \left\{ f \in A : \frac{f(z)'}{g(z)'} > 0 \right\}$$

for all $z \in D$. Also, we denote by $CC(\beta)$ the class of close-to-convex functions of order β where $0 \leq \beta < 1$. (See Goodman [2]).

Clearly, we note that $CS^* := CS^*(0)$ and $CC := CC(0)$.

$f \in A$ is an λ -spirallike function, SP^λ , if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i\lambda} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0$$

for some $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, z \in D$. The class of λ -spirallike functions was introduced by Spacek in [11].

Also, $f \in SP^\lambda$ if and only if there exists a function $p \in P$ such that

$$f(z) = z \exp \left\{ \cos \lambda \int_0^z \frac{p(t)-1}{t} dt \right\}$$

We note that the extremal function for the class of SP^λ

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2s}} \text{ where } s = e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda$$

the λ -spiral koebe function.

$f \in A$ is an λ -Robertson function, R^λ , if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} > 0$$

for some $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, z \in D$.

Lemma 1.1. $f \in R^\lambda$ if and only if there exist $p \in P$ such that

$$f(z) = z \exp \left\{ \frac{e^{-i\lambda} \int_0^z p(t) \cos \lambda - e^{-i\lambda}}{t \cos \lambda} dt \right\}$$

for some $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, z \in D$.

Proof. Suppose that $f \in R^\lambda$. Since it is λ -Robertson function, there exist a function $p \in P$ such that

$$e^{i\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) = p(z) \cos \lambda$$

From this equality we can easily obtain the result 4.

Conversely, suppose that suppose result holds, then if we take logarithmic derivative then $f \in R^\lambda$. So that, the proof is completed.

We note that $f \in R^\lambda$ if and only if $zf' \in SP^\lambda$.

$f \in \{A$ is a λ -close-to-spirallike function, $\{CS\{P^\lambda$, if there exist a function $g \in SP^\lambda$ such that

$$\left\{ \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} > 0 \right.$$

for some $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $z \in D$.

We note that the extremal function for the class $CS P^\lambda$

$$f(z) = \frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^{2s+1}}, \text{ where } s = e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda.$$

the λ -close-to-spirallike koebe function.

$f \in A$ is a λ -close to Robertson function, CR^λ , if there exist a function $g \in R^\lambda$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} > 0$$

for some $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $z \in D$.

We have introduced the class $g \in SP^\lambda(b)$ of λ -spirallike functions of complex order b as follows

$$SP^\lambda(b) = \left\{ f \in A : \operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{e^{i\lambda}(D^n f)D^n f'}{b \cos \lambda} - 1 \right] < (1-\delta) \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \delta \right\}$$

for some $b \in \{C-0, z \in D$.

On the same way we have defined the class R^λ follows (b) of λ -Robertson function of complex order b as

$$R^\lambda(b) = \left\{ f \in A : \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{e^{i\lambda} z(D^n f)'}{b \cos \lambda D^n f'} - 1 \right] < (1-\delta) \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \delta \right\} \right.$$

Now, respectively, we introduce the classes of λ -close-to-spirallike functions of complex order b and λ -close-to-Robertson function of complex order b , denoted by $CS P^\lambda(b)$ and $CR^\lambda(b)$, as follows:

$$CS P^\lambda(b) = \left\{ f \in A : \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{D^n f}{D^n g} - 1 \right] < (1-\delta) \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \delta, g \in SP^\lambda \right\} \right.$$

and

$$CR^\lambda(b) = \left\{ f \in A : \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{(D^n f)'}{(D^n g)'} - 1 \right] < (1-\delta) \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \delta, g \in R^\lambda \right\} \right.$$

for some $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $z \in D$.

Definition 1.2. The class of generalized Janowski functions which are defined by Salagean derivative operator in $z \in D$, denoted by $D^{b,\beta}(\alpha, \beta, \delta, A, B)$, is defined as

$$D^{b,\beta}(\alpha, \beta, \delta, A, B) = \left\{ f \in A : 1 + e^{i\beta} \frac{\operatorname{Re} \frac{D_R f(z)}{D_R g(z)} - 1}{b \cos \beta} < (1-\delta) \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \delta, g \in SP^\lambda \right\}$$

for some for some $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $z \in D$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$.

Nothing that the class $D^{b,\beta}(\alpha, \beta, \delta, A, B)$, includes several subclasses which have important role in the analytic and geometric function theory.

By specializing the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \delta, A, B$ we obtained the following subclasses studied earlier:

- (1) $CS_b^*(\delta, A, B) := D^0(0, 0, \delta, A, B)$ is the class of the generalized Janowski type close-to-star functions of complex order b ,
- (2) $CS_b^*(A, B) := D^0(0, 0, 0, A, B)$ is the class of the generalized Janowski type close-to-star functions of complex order b ,
- (3) $CS^*(A, B) := D^0(0, 0, 0, A, B)$ is the class of the generalized Janowski type close-to-star functions,
- (4) $CS^*(\delta, A, B) := D^0(0, 0, 0, 1 - 2\eta, -1)$ is the class of the close-to-star functions of order η ,
- (5) $CS^*(\delta, A, B) := D^0(0, 0, \beta, 1, -1)$ is the class of the close-to-star functions

- (6) $CC(\delta, A, B) := D^0(1, 0, \delta, A, B)$ is the class of the generalized Janowski type close-to-convex functions of complex order b ,
- (7) $CC(\delta, A, B) := D^0(1, 0, 0, A, B)$ is the class of the generalized Janowski type close-to-convex functions of complex order b ,
- (8) $CC(A, B) := D^0(1, 0, 0, A, B)$ is the class of the generalized Janowski type close-to-convex functions,
- (9) $CC(\eta) := D^0(0, 0, 0, 1 - 2\eta, -1)$ is the class of the close-to-star functions of order η ,
- (10) $CC(B) := D^0(0, 0, 0, 1, -1)$ is the class of the close-to-convex functions

Lemma 1.3. [1] if the function $p(z)$ of the form

$$P(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k$$

is analytic in D and

$$P(z) < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

then $|p_k| \leq A - B$, for $k \in \mathbb{N} - 1 \leq B < A \leq 1$.

Theorem 1.4. [3] $f \in SP^\lambda$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - |k+2s-1|)$$

where $s = e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda, |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}, z \in D$.

Subordination result and their Consequences

Theorem 2.1. $f(z) \in D^{b,\lambda}(a, \beta, \delta, A, B)$ if and only if

$$\frac{D_R^\alpha f(z) - 1}{D_R^\beta g(z) + Bz} < \frac{(1-\delta)(A-B)e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda z}{1+Bz} \tag{4}$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in S^*D^{b,\lambda}(a, \beta, \delta, A, B)$. Using Subordination principle, we write

$$1 + \frac{e^{i\lambda} D_R^\alpha f(z)}{b \cos \lambda D_R^\beta g(z)} = \frac{1 + A\omega(z)}{1 + B\omega(z)} \tag{5}$$

After simple calculations, we get

$$\frac{e^{i\lambda} D_R^\alpha f(z) - 1}{b \cos \lambda D_R^\beta g(z) + Bz} = \frac{(1-\delta)(A-B)\omega(z)}{1+Bz}$$

Thus, this equality completes the proof. Similarly, the other side is proved.

In this Theorem, if we choose special values $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \lambda, b$ and A, B we get following corollaries.

Corollary 2.2. $f \in CSS^\lambda(b)$ if and only if

$$\frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 < \frac{2be^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda z}{1-z}$$

and this result is as sharp as the function

$$\frac{2be^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda z}{(1-z)^{2s+1}}, \text{ where } s = e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda$$

Proof. We let $\alpha = \beta = \delta = 0$ and $A = 1, B = -1$ in the Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.3. $f(z) \in CSS^*(A, B)$ if and only if

$$\frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \frac{(A-B)z}{1+Bz} \in I$$

and this result is as sharp as the function

$$\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz(1-z)^2}$$

Proof. We let $\lambda = \alpha = \beta = \delta = 0$ and $b = 1$ in Theorem 2.1. **Corollary 2.4.** $f(z) \in CS^*$ if and only if

$$\frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \prec z \frac{f'(z)}{1-z}$$

and the result is as sharp as the function

$$\frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

Proof. We let $\lambda = \alpha = \beta = \delta = 0$ and $A = 1, B = -1$ in Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.5. $f(z) \in R^\lambda$ (b) if and only if

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \prec \frac{2be^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda z}{1-z}$$

Proof. We let $\alpha = 1, \beta = \delta = 0$ and $A = 1, B = -1$ in Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.6. $f(z) \in CC(A, B)$ if and only if

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \prec \frac{(A-B)z}{1+Bz} \quad I$$

Proof. We let $\lambda = \beta = \delta = 0$ and $\alpha = 1, b = 1$ in Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.7. $f(z) \in CC$ if and only if

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \prec 2 \frac{z}{1-z}$$

and this result is as sharp as the function

$$\frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

Proof. We let $\lambda = \beta = \delta = 0$ and $\alpha = 1, b = 1, A = 1, B = -1$.

Coefficient estimates and their Consequences

Lemma 3.1. If the function $\varphi(z)$ of the form

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k z^k$$

is analytic in D and

$$\varphi(z) \prec (1-\delta) \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \delta$$

then

$$|\varphi_k| \leq (A-B)(1-\delta) \tag{6}$$

for $0 \leq \delta < 1, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, z \in D$.

Proof. Suppose that $\varphi(z)$ we write

$$\prec (1-\delta) \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \delta \text{ for } \varphi(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k z^k. \text{ Using subordination principle,}$$

$$\varphi(z) = (1-\delta) \frac{1+A\omega(z)}{1+B\omega(z)} + \delta \tag{7}$$

From (3.2.), we get

$$\kappa(z) = \frac{\varphi(z) - \delta \frac{1+A\omega(z)}{1+B\omega(z)}}{(1-\delta) \frac{1+A\omega(z)}{1+B\omega(z)}}$$

By using Lemma 1.3. for the above $\kappa(z)$, we get

$$|\varphi_k| \leq (A-B)$$

This inequality is equivalent to required result.

Theorem 3.2. If the function $f \in A$ be in the class $D^{b,\lambda}(a, \beta, \delta, A, B)$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{|b|} \times |b| n^k (\beta) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{|k+2s-1|}{k} + (A-B)(1-\delta) \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} n^k (\beta) \prod_{k=1}^{n-(m+1)} \frac{|k+2s-1|}{k} \quad (8)$$

where $s = e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda$, $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $b \in \mathbb{C} - 0$, $a, \beta > -1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$.

Proof. Since $f(z) \in D^{b,\lambda}(a, \beta, \delta, A, B)$ there are analytic functions $g, \varphi : D \rightarrow D$ such that

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in S P^\lambda, \varphi(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k z^k \quad \text{and } \omega(z) \text{ is a schwarz function as in Lemma 3.1}$$

$$1 + \frac{e^{i\lambda} D^\alpha f(z)}{b \cos \lambda D^\beta g(z)} = \left(\frac{1-\delta}{1+B\omega(z)} + \frac{\delta}{1+B\omega(z)} \right) \varphi(z) \quad (9)$$

Now, As in subordination principle for $\varphi(z)$

$$(1-\delta) \frac{1+A\omega(z)}{1+B\omega(z)} + \delta = \varphi(z)$$

So,

$$1 + \frac{e^{i\lambda} D^\alpha f(z)}{b \cos \lambda D^\beta g(z)} - 1 = \varphi(z)$$

$f(z) D^\beta g(z) - 1 = b e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda (\varphi(z) - 1)$ as $s = e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda$, So we can write

$$\frac{D^\alpha f(z)}{D^\beta g(z)} - 1 = s b (\varphi(z) - 1)$$

Now for Salagean operator, we can write

$$z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} n^k a_k z^k = \{ 1 + s b (\varphi(z) - 1) \} z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} n^k b_k z^k$$

equating the co-efficient for the power of z , we get

$$n^2(\alpha) a_2 = n^2(\beta) b_2 + s b \varphi_1$$

$$n^3(\alpha) a_3 = n^3(\beta) b_3 + s b \varphi_1 b_2 n^2(\beta) + \varphi_2$$

Similarly

$$n^n(\alpha) a_n = n^n(\beta) b_n + s b n^{n-1}(\beta) b_{n-1} \varphi_1 + n^{n-2}(\beta) b_{n-2} \varphi_2 + \dots + \varphi_{n-1}$$

by using Lemma 3.1. and Theorem 1.4 we get

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{|b|} \times |b| n^k (\beta) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{|k+2s-1|}{k} + (A-B)(1-\delta) \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} n^k (\beta) \prod_{k=1}^{n-(m+1)} \frac{|k+2s-1|}{k}$$

This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.3. Let $f \in A$ be in the class $CS P^\lambda$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{|b|} |b| \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{|k+2s-1|}{k} + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n-(m+1)} \frac{|k+2s-1|}{k}$$

where $s = e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda$, $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $b \in \mathbb{C} - 0$. **Proof.** We let $\alpha = \beta = \delta = 0$ and $A = 1, B = -1$ in Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.4. [7] Let $f \in A$ be in the class $CS^*(A, B)$, then

$$|a_n| \leq n + \frac{(A-B)(n-1)n}{2}$$

where $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. **Proof.** We let $\alpha = \beta = \delta = \lambda = 0$ and $b = 1$ in Theorem 3.2. **Corollary 3.5.**

[7] Let $f \in A$ be in the class CS^* , then

$$|a_n| \leq n^2.$$

Proof. We let $\alpha = \beta = \delta = \lambda = 0$ and $b = 1$ in Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.6. Let $f \in A$ be in the class R^s , then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{|b|^n} |b| \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{|k+2s-1|}{k} + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n-(m+1)} \frac{|k+2s-1|}{k}.$$

where $s = e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda$, $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $b \in \mathbb{C} - 0$.

Proof. We let $\alpha = 1, \beta = \delta = 0$ and $A = 1, B = -1$ in Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.7. Let $f \in A$ be in the class $CC(A, B)$, then

$$|a_n| \leq 1 + \frac{(A-B)(n-1)}{2}, \text{ where } -1 \leq B < A \leq 1.$$

Proof. We let $\alpha = 1, \beta = \delta = 0$ and $b = 1$ in Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.8. Let $f \in A$ be in the class CC , then

$$|a_n| \leq n.$$

Proof. We let $\alpha = 1, \beta = \delta = 0$ and $A = 1, B = -1, b = 1$ in Theorem 3.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.M. Goel and B.C. Mehrok, A subclass of univalent functions, *Houston J. Math.* 8, 343-357, 1982.
- [2] A.W. Goodman, On close-to-convex functions of higher order, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math.* 15, 177-30, 1972.
- [3] A.W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions, Vol II.* Somerset, NJ, USA Mariner, 1983.
- [4] M.M. Haidan and F.M. Al-Oboudi, Spirallike functions of complex order, *J. Natural Geom.* 19, 537-72, 2000.
- [5] W. Janowski, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 28, 297-326, 1973.
- [6] W. Kaplan, Close-to-convex schlicht functions, *Michigan Math. J.* 1, 169-185, 1952.
- [7] Ö.Ö. Kızılcı, Coefficient Inequalities for Janowski type close-to-convex functions associated with Ruscheweyh Derivative Operator, *Sakarya Uni. J. Sci.* 23 (5), 714-717, 2019.
- [8] Y. Polatoğlu, M. Bolcal, A. Şen and E. Yavuz, A study on the generalization of Janowski functions in the unit disc, *Acta Math. Aca. Paed.* 22, 27-31, 2006.
- [9] M.O. Reade, On close-to-convex univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.* 3, 59-62, 1955. 1734 Ö.Ö. Kızılcı, N. Eroğlu
- [10] A.W. Goodman, *Univalent function Vol. I Vol. II* polygonal Publishing House, Washington FI, (1983)
- [11] L. Paek, Prispěvek k teorii funkci prostých, *Casopis Pest. Mat. a Fys.* 62, 12-19, 193.