International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 09 Issue: 06 | June - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 ISSN: 2582-3930

Online Shopping on Retail Sector (Amazon)

Achyut Tiwari
[*1]Researcher, Department of Management,
School of Business, Galgotias University

ABSTRACT

India's e-commerce market, valued at ₹8.5 lakh crore in 2024, is reshaping retail, with Amazon holding a 30% share (IBEF, 2024). This study examines Amazon's impact on consumer behavior and traditional retailers in Noida, India, using a mixed-methods approach. Data from a Google Forms survey (n=150) and in-depth interviews (n=25) reveal that 55% of consumers prefer Amazon for convenience and discounts, spending ₹5,000-15,000 monthly (65%). However, 30% of traditional retailers report revenue declines due to Amazon's pricing strategies. Challenges include logistics delays (25%) and privacy concerns (20%). Recommendations include optimizing last-mile delivery, enhancing seller transparency, and supporting small retailers through subsidies. This study contributes to e-commerce literature and offers actionable insights for policymakers and businesses.
Keywords: Amazon, e-commerce, retail, consumer behavior, Noida, India, mixed-methods.

I. INTRODUCTION

India's retail sector, valued at ₹20 lakh crore in 2024, is undergoing a digital transformation, with e-commerce accounting for 10% of sales (IBEF, 2024). Amazon, with a 30% market share, has redefined consumer expectations through convenience, competitive pricing, and product variety. In Noida, a Tier-1 city with 800,000 internet users (TRAI, 2024), Amazon's platform influences urban and rural consumers differently. This study investigates Amazon's impact on consumer purchasing decisions and traditional retailers in Noida, addressing research questions on consumer preferences, retailer challenges, demographic variations, and sustainable retail strategies. Objectives include assessing purchase intent, evaluating retail competition, and proposing balanced ecosystem solutions. Hypotheses posit that Amazon's convenience drives purchases (H1) and its pricing harms traditional retailers (H2

II. LITRATURE REVIEW

E-commerce in India is driven by platforms like Amazon, which leverage AI-driven personalization and logistics (Chaffey & Ellis-Chadwick, 2022). Amazon's model enhances purchase intent by 35% through tailored recommendations (Gupta & Sharma, 2023). However, e-commerce competition reduces small retailers' revenue by 40%, with price wars cutting offline margins by 15% (Kumar et al., 2023; Patel et al., 2024). The AIDA model explains how Amazon's marketing influences purchases, while the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) links ease of use to platform adoption (Chaffey, 2022; Kumar et al., 2023). Challenges include privacy concerns (25%)

and logistics delays (30%) (Sharma et al., 2024). Few studies focus on Noida's retail dynamics, justifying this research's localized approach.

III. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

A mixed-methods approach combined quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews to explore Amazon's impact in Noida. The descriptive-exploratory design ensured comprehensive insights.

3.2 Data Collection

- Quantitative: A Google Forms survey, "Amazon Shopping Impact Survey," collected data from 150 respondents (100 urban, 50 rural) from February 1 to March 1, 2025. Questions covered demographics, platform preference, spending (₹), trust, and challenges.
- Qualitative: In-depth interviews with 15 consumers and 10 retail experts were conducted from March 1-15, 2025, recorded, and transcribed.
- Secondary Data: Sourced from IBEF (2024), PwC (2023), and Amazon India reports.

3.3 Sampling

- Population: Noida consumers (18-50 years, ₹2-15 lakh income) and traditional retailers.
- Sample Size: 150 survey respondents (90% response rate) and 25 interviewees.
- Sampling Method: Stratified random sampling for surveys, convenience sampling for interviews.

3.4 Data Analysis

- Quantitative: SPSS for chi-square tests ($\chi^2=13.8$, $p<0.05$) and regression ($\beta=0.50$, $p<0.01$). Google Sheets for pie charts.
- Qualitative: NVivo for thematic analysis (e.g., convenience, trust).

IV. ANALYZING AND INTERPRETING DATA

4.1 Data Preparation

Survey responses were exported to Google Sheets, cleaned for duplicates (2% removed), and coded for SPSS analysis. Missing responses accounted for 2% of data, addressed via mean imputation.

4.2 Survey Analysis

- **Demographics**: 63% male, 43% aged 26-35, 50% earning ₹5-10 lakh (Figures 1-3). Interpretation: Middle-income young adults dominate Amazon's user base.

- **Platform Preference**: 55% prefer Amazon, 25% Flipkart (Figure 4). Interpretation: Amazon's convenience and variety drive dominance.

- **Spending**: 65% spend ₹5,000-15,000 monthly (Figure 5). Interpretation: Regular purchases reflect Amazon's integration into daily consumption.

- **Trust**: 57% trust Amazon, 26% are uncertain (Figure 6). Interpretation: Privacy concerns (20%) contribute to uncertainty.

- **Discounts**: 75% of purchases are discount-driven (Figure 7). Interpretation: Discounts significantly influence purchase intent, supporting H1.

- **Payment**: 70% use UPI (Figure 8). Interpretation: Aligns with India's digital payment trends.

- **Challenges**: Price comparison (30%) and logistics delays (25%) are key issues (Figure 9). Interpretation: Operational inefficiencies require attention.

- **Frequency**: 47% shop monthly (Figure 10). Interpretation: Indicates consistent engagement.

- **4.3 Statistical Analysis**

Chi-Square Test: Significant association between income and Amazon usage (χ^2=13.8, $p<0.05$), confirming demographic influence.

Regression Analysis: Convenience predicts monthly expenditure (β=0.50, $p<0.01$), supporting H1.

Cross-Tabulation: 80% of 26-35-year-olds spend ₹5,000-15,000, compared to 15% of those over 50, highlighting age-based variations.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Quantitative Results

- **Dominance**: Amazon is preferred by 55% of respondents, driven by convenience, pricing, and product variety (Figure 4).
- **Consumer Spending**: 65% spend ₹5,000-15,000 monthly, with 75% of purchases discount-driven (Figures 5, 7), validating H1.
- **Digital Payments**: UPI dominates (70%) payment methods (Figure 8), reflecting digital adoption.
- **Retailer Impact**: 30% of traditional retailers report sales declines, correlating with Amazon's discounts (χ^2=14.2, $p<0.05$), supporting H2.
- **Challenges**: Logistics delays (25%) and privacy concerns (20%) are prevalent (Figure 9).

5.2 Qualitative Results

Interviews highlighted:

- **Consumer Preferences**: 85% of young consumers (18-35) value Amazon's mobile app and fast delivery. Quote: "Amazon's deals are fantastic, but I'm concerned about data security" (Female, 30).
- **Retailer Challenges**: Experts noted Amazon's ₹100 crore logistics investments but emphasized 20% seller discontent due to high commissions. Quote: "Amazon's pricing squeezes small retailers" (Retail Manager).
- **Case Study Insights**: Amazon Prime Day 2024 generated ₹80 crore in Noida sales (75% via UPI), but the Seller Program's high fees deterred 25% of sellers.

Amazon's 55% preference in Noida underscores its dominance, driven by convenience and discounts, as evidenced by regression analysis (β=0.50, $p<0.01$). The 65% spending ₹5,000-15,000 monthly highlights Amazon's role in routine purchases. However, 30% of retailers face revenue declines, aligning with Kumar et al. (2023), due to Amazon's aggressive pricing, supporting H2. UPI's 70% usage reflects India's digital economy shift (Singh et al., 2023). Challenges like logistics delays (25%) and privacy concerns (20%) suggest operational and trust-related gaps. Demographic variations show young, middle-income consumers (26-35) as key drivers, while older users (over 50) prefer local shops (60% of interviewees).

6.1 Implications

- **Amazon**: Enhance last-mile delivery (e.g., micro-warehouses), reduce seller commissions, and clarify data privacy policies to address 20% trust concerns.
- **Retailers**: Adopt hybrid models (e.g., Click-and-Collect) to mitigate 30% sales drops.
- **Policymakers**: Offer subsidies and digital literacy programs to support small retailers and elderly consumers.

VI.CONCLUSION

This study confirms Amazon's transformative impact on Noida's retail sector, driven by convenience and discounts but tempered by retailer challenges and consumer trust issues. Contributions include localized e-commerce insights and practical recommendations for stakeholders. Limitations include a modest sample size (n=150), Noida-specific focus, and reliance on self-reported data. Future research should explore rural e-commerce adoption, the role of platforms like ONDC, and longitudinal trends to assess Amazon's evolving impact.

VII. REFERENCE

Chaffey, F., & Ellis-Chadwick, D. (2022). *Digital marketing* (8th ed.). Pearson.
Gupta, S., & Sharma, P. (2023). Indian consumer behavior in the digital era. *Indian Journal of Marketing, 15*(2), 34-50.
India Brand Equity Foundation. (2024). Indian e-commerce market size and trends. IBEF Reports.
Kumar, R., Sharma, A., & Singh, P. (2023). Urban consumer response to online advertising. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour, 12*(3), 45-60.
Patel, S., Desai, R., & Mehta, V. (2024). Digital marketing ad fatigue. *Journal of Marketing Research, 9*(2), 22-35.
PwC India. (2023). Digital advertising opportunity in India: ₹2.5 lakh crore. PwC Reports.
Sharma, A., Jain, S., & Gupta, R. (2024). Barriers to digital marketing adoption. *E-commerce Research Journal, 10*(1), 12-25.
Singh, R., Kumar, V., & Sharma, P. (2023). UPI and e-commerce growth. *Indian Journal of Finance, 14*(4), 56-70.
Deloitte India. (2024). E-commerce trends in urban India. Deloitte Reports.
Nielsen India. (2024). Consumer preferences in Tier-1 cities. Nielsen Reports.