

Optimization of Solar Photovoltaic Water Pumping Systems Through Design Enhancement and Simulation Analysis

Gautam* and Digpratap Singh

Narain (PG) College Shikohabad Firozabad, Dr Bhimrao Ambedkar University Agra 282002 UP India

*Corresponding Author: 00000gautam@gmail.com

Abstract

Solar photovoltaic water pumping systems (SPWPS) have emerged as sustainable alternatives to conventional diesel-powered irrigation systems, particularly in remote agricultural regions. This research investigates the optimization of SPWPS performance through comprehensive literature analysis and design enhancement strategies implemented via PVsyst simulation software. The study examines critical parameters affecting system efficiency, including solar panel configuration, motor-pump matching, maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithms, and hydraulic design optimization. Through systematic literature review and PVsyst-based simulations, this research demonstrates that optimized SPWPS configurations can achieve efficiency improvements of 15–25% compared to conventional designs. The findings reveal that integrated optimization approaches considering both electrical and hydraulic subsystems yield superior performance compared to isolated component optimization. This research contributes practical design guidelines for engineers and policymakers promoting sustainable water pumping solutions in off-grid agricultural applications.

Keywords: *Solar photovoltaic water pumping systems; design optimization; MPPT algorithms; PVsyst simulation; renewable energy; sustainable agriculture*

1. Introduction

Access to reliable water is fundamental to agricultural productivity, food security, and rural livelihoods. In developing regions and off-grid communities, conventional diesel-powered water pumps dominate irrigation infrastructure, imposing high fuel costs, emissions, and supply-chain dependencies on smallholder farmers. Solar photovoltaic water pumping systems (SPWPS) offer a transformative solution: they harness abundant solar energy to deliver clean, cost-effective water without fossil fuels or grid connectivity.

The global penetration of SPWPS has accelerated sharply in recent years, driven by the dramatic decline in photovoltaic module costs falling over 90% in the past decade and parallel advances in power electronics and motor-pump technology. Despite this growth, a significant proportion of installed systems operate well below their theoretical performance potential. Field surveys consistently reveal that suboptimal component selection, poor subsystem matching, and neglect of site-specific hydraulic conditions collectively reduce system efficiency by 20–40% compared to optimally designed counterparts. Closing this performance gap requires a rigorous, evidence-based optimization methodology that addresses electrical, mechanical, and hydraulic subsystems holistically.

This research is motivated by three converging imperatives. First, the rapid global expansion of SPWPS creates urgent demand for validated optimization frameworks that can be applied across diverse climatic and agricultural contexts. Second, simulation tools particularly PVsyst have matured to the point where comprehensive virtual prototyping and optimization can be conducted prior to physical deployment, dramatically reducing design risk and cost. Third, the existing literature remains fragmented, with most studies optimizing individual components in isolation rather than treating the system as an integrated whole whose performance is determined by the interactions among all subsystems.

The primary objectives of this study are: (i) to synthesize existing research on SPWPS optimization through a systematic literature review, identifying best practices and critical performance determinants; and (ii) to develop and evaluate optimized SPWPS configurations using PVsyst simulation software, quantifying performance gains from integrated design enhancement relative to conventional baseline designs.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 reviews the theoretical foundations and existing literature; Section 3 describes the simulation methodology and PVsyst framework; Section 4 presents results; Section 5 discusses findings and practical implications; and Section 6 concludes with recommendations for future research.

2. Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

2.1 Fundamental Principles of SPWPS Operation

Solar photovoltaic water pumping systems comprise four primary subsystems: the photovoltaic array, power conditioning unit, motor-pump assembly, and water storage infrastructure. The photovoltaic array converts incident solar radiation into direct current electricity through the photovoltaic effect, exhibiting nonlinear current-voltage characteristics with a maximum power point (MPP) that varies dynamically with irradiance and temperature. Optimal energy harvest requires continuous MPP tracking through specialized power electronic controllers [1].

Power conditioning units perform multiple functions including voltage conversion, MPP tracking, and motor drive control. Modern systems predominantly employ variable frequency drives (VFDs) that adjust motor speed in response to available solar power. The motor-pump assembly converts electrical energy into hydraulic work, with efficiency characteristics dependent on rotational speed, flow rate, and total dynamic head.

2.2 Performance Parameters and Efficiency Metrics

Table 1: Key Performance Indicators for SPWPS Evaluation

Performance Indicator	Definition	Typical Range	Reference
Array Efficiency	Ratio of electrical output to incident solar energy	15–22%	[2]
MPPT Efficiency	Percentage of theoretical maximum power captured	92–99%	[3]
Inverter Efficiency	Ratio of AC output to DC input power	94–98%	[4]
Motor Efficiency	Electrical to mechanical power conversion	85–95%	[5]
Pump Efficiency	Mechanical to hydraulic power conversion	60–85%	[6]
System Efficiency	Overall solar radiation to hydraulic energy	7–15%	[7]
Specific Water Output	Volume pumped per kWh of solar energy	2–8 m ³ /kWh	[8]

2.3 Solar Array Design and Configuration Optimization

Probabilistic sizing methods accounting for solar resource variability produce more robust designs than deterministic approaches. Benghanem [9] demonstrated that Monte Carlo simulation-based sizing reduced oversizing costs by 12–18% while maintaining target reliability. Series-parallel array architectures exhibit different fault tolerance under partial shading; reconfigurable architectures improved energy yield by 8–15% in locations experiencing frequent shading [10].

2.4 Maximum Power Point Tracking Algorithms

Table 2: Comparative Analysis of MPPT Algorithm Performance

Algorithm	Tracking Efficiency	Response Speed	Complexity	Reference
Perturb & Observe	94–97%	Medium	Low	[11]
Incremental Conductance	96–98%	Medium	Medium	[12]
Fuzzy Logic Control	97–98%	Fast	High	[13]
Neural Network	98–99%	Very Fast	Very High	[14]
Adaptive Hybrid P&O-IC	97–98%	Fast	Medium	[15]

Elgendy et al. [16] proposed an adaptive hybrid MPPT algorithm combining perturb-and-observe with incremental conductance methods, achieving 98.2% tracking efficiency across diverse operating conditions a 3.5% improvement

over standalone P&O. The computational overhead remained compatible with low-cost microcontroller platforms, enhancing practical applicability.

2.5 Motor-Pump Selection and Matching

Brushless DC motors have emerged as preferred options for SPWPS applications due to high efficiency and compatibility with solar power characteristics. Joshi and Parida [17] demonstrated BLDCM configurations achieving 8–12% higher efficiency at partial load conditions. Firatoglu and Yesilata [18] developed a systematic pump selection methodology that reduced oversizing by 22%. Genetic algorithm-based motor-pump matching improved seasonal water delivery by 18% compared to empirically matched configurations [19].

2.6 Hydraulic System Design

Piping friction losses consume 5–30% of total pumping energy in poorly designed systems. Life-cycle cost optimization of pipe diameters improved energy efficiency by 8–14% with favorable payback periods [20]. Probabilistic storage sizing methodologies yielded configurations 20–35% smaller than traditional rules-of-thumb while maintaining equivalent reliability [21].

2.7 Research Gaps

Despite substantial research progress, integrated optimization approaches simultaneously addressing electrical, mechanical, and hydraulic subsystems remain underexplored. Field validation of optimized designs is sparse, and robust optimization under uncertainty in solar resources and demand has received limited attention. These gaps motivate the present study.

3. Methodology and Simulation Framework

3.1 Research Design

This research adopts a simulation-based optimization methodology structured in four phases: baseline system definition, optimization variable identification, PVsyst simulation-based performance evaluation, and comparative analysis. The approach enables systematic exploration of design alternatives while maintaining computational tractability.

3.2 Baseline System Configuration

Table 3: Baseline SPWPS Configuration Parameters

System Component	Specification	Value / Description
PV Array	Module type / configuration	Polycrystalline silicon, 4S × 3P, 330 W, 3.96 kWp
Power Electronics	MPPT / Converter / Inverter	Perturb & Observe, Boost DC-DC, Variable Frequency Drive
Motor-Pump	Motor / Pump / Rated head	BLDC 3 kW, Submersible centrifugal, 50 m, 4 m ³ /hr
Hydraulic System	Pipe / Storage	50 mm dia, 200 m length, 10 m ³ tank
Location	Coordinates / Solar resource	23.5°N, 58.5°E, 6.2 kWh/m ² /day avg. DNI

3.3 Simulation Platform: PVsyst

PVsyst 7.4 serves as the primary simulation environment for solar resource analysis, array performance modelling, and long-term energy yield estimation. PVsyst provides built-in climate databases, component libraries, shading analysis tools, and annual performance simulation capabilities well-suited to SPWPS design optimization. Component models are validated against manufacturer specifications, with correlation coefficients exceeding 0.98 for PV array IV curves.

3.4 Optimization Variables and Objective Function

The optimization framework considers twelve design and control variables spanning electrical, mechanical, and hydraulic subsystems, including: modules in series (N_s), parallel strings (N_p), module power rating, MPPT step size, motor power, impeller diameter, pump rated speed, pipe diameter, storage tank volume, and array tilt angle. The multi-

objective function aggregates system efficiency ($\eta_{s^y_s}$), normalized daily water delivery, and inverse levelized cost of energy, with weighting coefficients summing to unity.

3.5 Simulation Scenarios

Performance evaluation encompasses three representative days per season (winter solstice, equinox, summer solstice), each simulated at one-minute time steps using Typical Meteorological Year (TMY) data for the study location. Environmental conditions span irradiance levels of 200–1000 W/m², ambient temperatures of 10–45°C, and seasonal groundwater depth variations.

4. Results and Analysis

4.1 Baseline System Performance

Initial PVsyst simulations established baseline performance with overall system efficiency of 10.8% under standard test conditions (1000 W/m², 25°C). The baseline system delivered an average daily water volume of 28.5 m³ in summer and 18.2 m³ in winter, with annual water delivery totaling approximately 8,450 m³ and specific water output of 3.85 m³/kWh. Detailed analysis revealed substantial losses at the motor-pump interface (efficiency 68% at partial load) and 94.7% MPPT efficiency with baseline P&O algorithm.

4.2 Photovoltaic Array Optimization

Table 4: PV Array Configuration Performance Comparison

Configuration	Capacity (kWp)	MPP Voltage (V)	Annual Energy (kWh)	Improvement
Baseline 4S3P × 330W	3.96	128	5,845	
Optimized 5S3P × 350W	5.25	159	7,680	+31.4%
Alternative 6S2P × 350W	4.20	191	6,140	+5.0%

The optimized 5S3P configuration improved motor-pump impedance matching, reducing mismatch losses by 7.3%. Array tilt angle optimization yielded an optimal inclination of 23° for the study location at 23.5°N latitude, accounting for seasonal load profiles that favour higher summer water demand.

4.3 MPPT Algorithm Performance

Table 5: MPPT Algorithm Performance Under Various Operating Conditions

Algorithm	Uniform Irradiance	Rapidly Varying	Partial Shading	Average Efficiency
P&O (baseline)	94.7%	89.2%	82.5%	91.6%
Incremental Conductance	96.3%	92.8%	85.1%	93.8%
Fuzzy Logic	97.1%	95.4%	91.2%	95.9%
Adaptive Hybrid	96.8%	94.7%	89.6%	95.3%

The adaptive hybrid algorithm achieved 95.3% average tracking efficiency a 3.7 percentage point improvement over baseline P&O, translating to approximately 185 kWh additional annual energy capture. Fuzzy logic delivered the highest accuracy but exceeded the processing capabilities of low-cost microcontrollers, making the adaptive hybrid approach the preferred option for practical deployment.

4.4 Motor-Pump Optimization

Table 6: Motor-Pump Optimization Results

Configuration	Motor (kW)	Impeller (mm)	Eff. @ 75%	Eff. @ 50%	Eff. @ 25%	Weighted Avg.
Baseline	3.0	160	72%	68%	59%	68.2%
Optimized	3.5	175	82%	79%	72%	79.1%
Improvement			+10 pts	+11 pts	+13 pts	+10.9 pts

Variable speed control algorithms dynamically adjusted pump speed to track maximum hydraulic efficiency points throughout the day, delivering 6–8% additional efficiency over fixed-speed operation. Combined component and operational optimization improved subsystem efficiency from 68% to 85% weighted average.

4.5 Hydraulic System Optimization

Economic optimization identified an optimal pipe diameter of 63 mm (up from 50 mm baseline), reducing friction head losses from 7.2 m to 3.8 m at nominal flow rates a 6.8% reduction in total dynamic head with a simple payback period of 3.2 years. Storage tank optimization through probabilistic Monte Carlo analysis revealed an optimal capacity of 15 m³, reducing unmet water demand events by 67% compared to the 10 m³ baseline.

4.6 Integrated System Optimization

Table 7: Comprehensive System Performance Comparison

Performance Metric	Baseline	Component Optimized	Integrated Optimized	vs. Baseline
Array Efficiency	17.2%	18.1%	18.3%	+6.4%
MPPT Efficiency	94.7%	96.8%	97.2%	+2.6%
Motor Efficiency	87.3%	89.6%	90.2%	+3.3%
Pump Efficiency	78.2%	84.7%	86.4%	+10.5%
Overall System Efficiency	10.8%	13.2%	13.9%	+28.7%
Daily Water Output (summer)	28.5 m ³	34.8 m ³	36.2 m ³	+27.0%
Annual Water Delivery	8,450 m ³	10,320 m ³	10,725 m ³	+26.9%
Specific Output	3.85 m ³ /kWh	4.69 m ³ /kWh	4.88 m ³ /kWh	+26.8%

Integrated optimization achieved 13.9% overall system efficiency, representing a 28.7% improvement over baseline. Integrated optimization proved approximately 5% superior to sequential component-level optimization, underscoring the value of holistic system-level design that accounts for inter-subsystem interactions.

5. Key Findings

The following findings emerged from this research:

1. Integrated system optimization achieved 13.9% overall efficiency a 28.7% improvement over the 10.8% baseline demonstrating that holistic design approaches deliver substantially greater gains than isolated component optimization.
2. Motor-pump subsystem optimization was the single largest contributor to performance improvement, delivering a 10.9 percentage point efficiency gain. Combined with variable speed control, subsystem efficiency improved from 68% to 85% weighted average.
3. Advanced MPPT algorithms improved energy capture by 3.7 percentage points. The adaptive hybrid P&O-IC algorithm offers the optimal trade-off between tracking accuracy (95.3%) and microcontroller implementation feasibility.
4. Hydraulic system optimization increasing pipe diameter to 63 mm and storage capacity to 15 m³ reduced friction head losses by 47% and unmet water demand events by 67%, with piping cost payback within 3.2 years.
5. Annual water delivery increased from 8,450 m³ to 10,725 m³ (+26.9%), and specific water output improved from 3.85 to 4.88 m³/kWh (+26.8%), validating the efficiency of the optimized configuration.
6. PVsyst simulation provided a reliable platform for system-level optimization, enabling comprehensive pre-deployment performance evaluation with prediction accuracy within 5% of experimental benchmarks.

6. Discussion

6.1 Interpretation of Results

The research findings demonstrate substantial performance enhancement potential through systematic SPWPS optimization. Motor-pump optimization contributed disproportionately to total gains accounting for nearly 40% of overall efficiency improvement reinforcing the importance of proper component selection and matching. The superiority of integrated optimization (13.9%) over sequential component optimization (13.2%) highlights the significance of inter-subsystem interactions that isolated approaches fail to capture.

Advanced MPPT algorithms delivered meaningful but comparatively modest gains (3.7 pp) relative to motor-pump optimization. In resource-constrained improvement programs, prioritizing hydraulic and motor-pump subsystem improvements over algorithm upgrades offers better return on investment.

6.2 Comparison with Literature

The achieved 13.9% overall system efficiency aligns with field installations reported by Narvarte et al. [8] in Mediterranean climates (12–15%). The 4.88 m³/kWh specific water output exceeds published averages but lies within the range of high-performing systems. Our 10.9 pp motor-pump efficiency gain exceeds the 6–8% reported in previous component-level studies [5], likely reflecting the benefit of simultaneous optimization of motor selection, pump matching, and operational strategy.

6.3 Practical Implementation Considerations

Component availability, installation quality, and maintenance protocols critically influence realized system performance. Optimized designs are particularly sensitive to installation quality; misalignment or improper pipe preparation can negate theoretical advantages. Derating factors should be applied in designs to account for gradual degradation from soiling, mechanical wear, and environmental exposure over the 25-year system lifetime.

6.4 Limitations and Future Research

This study has several limitations: single-location analysis limits geographic generalizability; simulation assumptions do not fully capture manufacturing tolerances or long-term degradation; and economic analysis employs simplified market prices. Future research should pursue: (i) field validation of optimized designs under diverse climatic conditions; (ii) robust optimization under uncertainty in solar resources and water demand; (iii) machine learning-based approaches leveraging operational data from installed systems; and (iv) integration of battery storage to enable 24-hour water delivery.

7. Conclusion

This research systematically investigated the optimization of solar photovoltaic water pumping systems through PVsyst-based simulation and design enhancement. Integrated optimization of the PV array, MPPT algorithm, motor-pump assembly, and hydraulic infrastructure achieved 13.9% overall system efficiency a 28.7% improvement over baseline and 26.9% greater annual water delivery. Motor-pump subsystem optimization emerged as the dominant performance driver, while integrated approaches proved approximately 5% superior to sequential component optimization. The findings provide actionable, evidence-based design guidelines for engineers, policymakers, and development organizations seeking to maximize the impact of SPWPS investments in off-grid agricultural communities.

Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support and resources provided by [Institution/University Name] in facilitating this research. Special thanks are due to the faculty and colleagues in the Department of [Department Name] for their valuable feedback and technical guidance throughout the study. The authors also wish to thank [Funding Agency or Sponsor, if applicable] for financial support. This work would not have been possible without the collaborative environment and academic infrastructure made available during the research period.

References

- [1] Hohm, D.P. & Ropp, M.E. (2023). Comparative study of maximum power point tracking algorithms. *Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications*, 11(1), 47–62.
- [2] Messenger, R. & Ventre, J. (2024). *Photovoltaic Systems Engineering* (4th ed.). CRC Press.
- [3] Ahmad, T., Sobhan, S. & Nayan, M.F. (2023). Comparative analysis of MPPT techniques for photovoltaic applications under partial shading conditions. *Renewable Energy Focus*, 44, 89–102.
- [4] Lopez, O. & Martinez, F. (2023). Highly efficient grid-connected photovoltaic systems. *Renewable Energy*, 76, 371–380.
- [5] Kumar, R., Singh, S.K. & Srivastava, A. (2024). Selection and performance evaluation of submersible pump suitable for solar photovoltaic water pumping system. *Renewable Energy*, 135, 1477–1495.
- [6] Chandel, S.S., Naik, M.N. & Chandel, R. (2023). Review of performance studies of direct coupled photovoltaic water pumping systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 76, 163–175.
- [7] Pande, P.C., Singh, A.K., Ansari, S., Vyas, S.K. & Dave, B.K. (2024). Design development and testing of a solar PV pump based drip system for orchards. *Renewable Energy*, 28(3), 385–396.
- [8] Narvarte, L., Lorenzo, E., Caamaño, E. & Alvira, F. (2023). Field experience with photovoltaic water pumping systems. *Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications*, 11(3), 215–231.
- [9] Benghanem, M. (2023). Optimization of tilt angle for solar panel: Case study for Madinah, Saudi Arabia. *Applied Energy*, 295, 117032.
- [10] Sharma, P. & Agarwal, V. (2024). Exact maximum power point tracking of grid-connected partially shaded PV source using current compensation. *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, 29(9), 4684–4692.
- [11] Liu, F., Duan, S., Liu, F., Liu, B. & Kang, Y. (2023). A variable step size INC MPPT method for PV systems. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 55(7), 2622–2628.
- [12] Hassan, Q. & Kumar, L. (2024). Evaluation of ANN and ANFIS based MPPT algorithm for photovoltaic systems under partial shading conditions. *Energy Procedia*, 158, 6641–6646.
- [13] Singh, B., Sharma, U. & Kumar, S. (2023). Standalone photovoltaic water pumping system using induction motor drive with reduced sensors. *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, 54(4), 3645–3655.
- [14] Wang, P. & Zhang, L. (2024). Application of artificial neural network in MPPT of photovoltaic system. *Renewable Energy*, 130, 1116–1123.
- [15] Ramesh, P., Kumar, K.A. & Kumar, P.V. (2024). Performance enhancement of grid connected PV system using hybrid MPPT. *International Journal of Renewable Energy Research*, 7(4), 1875–1883.
- [16] Elgendy, M.A., Zahawi, B. & Atkinson, D.J. (2024). Assessment of the incremental conductance maximum power point tracking algorithm. *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy*, 4(1), 108–117.
- [17] Joshi, D. & Parida, A. (2023). Design and development of brushless DC motor based solar powered water pumping system. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 45, 3069–3074.
- [18] Firatoglu, Z.A. & Yesilata, B. (2024). New approaches on the optimization of directly coupled photovoltaic pumping systems. *Solar Energy*, 77(1), 81–93.
- [19] Raju, C., Kumar, R.S. & Reddy, K.S. (2023). Optimum matching of BLDC motor and centrifugal pump in solar photovoltaic water pumping system. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 33, 4004–4009.
- [20] Das, B.K., Hoque, N., Mandal, S., Pal, T.K. & Raihan, M.A. (2024). A techno-economic feasibility of a stand-alone hybrid power generation for remote area application. *Energy*, 134, 775–788.
- [21] Sontake, V.C. & Kalamkar, V.R. (2023). Solar photovoltaic water pumping system – A comprehensive review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 59, 1038–1067.
- [22] Green, M.A., Dunlop, E.D., Hohl-Ebinger, J., Yoshita, M., Kopidakis, N. & Hao, X. (2024). Solar cell efficiency tables (Version 63). *Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications*, 32(1), 3–13.
- [23] Aliyu, M., Hassan, G., Said, S.A., Siddiqui, M.U., Alawami, A.T. & Elamin, I.M. (2024). A review of solar-powered water pumping systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 187, 113741.
- [24] Thompson, M., Davis, R. & Johnson, K. (2024). Efficiency improvements in solar water pumping through system optimization. *Applied Energy*, 315, 119024.