



ISSN: 2582-3930

# People's Participation and Governance Principles Shaping Inclusive Urban Planning

Ar. Vijaya Kumari M<sup>1</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>Ar. Vijaya Kumari M, BMS School of Architecture, Yelahanka, Bengaluru

**Abstract** - This research investigates the intricate interplay between participatory democracy and urban planning, with a focal point on fostering inclusiveness and sustainability. The literature review delves into existing perspectives on public participation, elucidating its connection to local democracy and emphasizing the symbiotic relationship with principles of good governance. The paper outlines key objectives for public participation in urban planning, underscoring the importance of transparent communication and early engagement.

Examining diverse urban scenarios, the case studies spotlight instances of public participation, including the protest against Bengaluru's elevated road network, the alternative master plan in Dharavi, and environmental advocacy in Goa. These cases exemplify the transformative potential of community engagement and the challenges inherent in reconciling economic considerations with unique socio-economic fabrics.

In conclusion, the research emphasizes the global impact of public participation in shaping urban development. The commitment and resilience demonstrated by citizens underscore the vital role of active community involvement in shaping the destiny of their regions. Early participation emerges as crucial for informed decision-making, fostering a sense of ownership and contributing to a more inclusive, resilient, and sustainable global future.

**Key Words: People's participation, Urban Planning, Inclusiveness, sustainability, Community involvement** 

# 1.INTRODUCTION

Urban planning is a complex process that significantly influences the quality of life in cities. This research explores the fundamental right of individuals and groups to actively engage in and influence the assessment and decision-making processes that shape public policies. The paper aims to demonstrate the interconnectedness of participatory democracy and urban planning, with a focus on fostering inclusiveness and sustainability.

The role of people's participation in urban planning is fundamental to fostering sustainable, inclusive, and effective development. Engaging citizens in the decision-making processes that shape their urban environment not only acknowledges their right to contribute to the development of their communities but also yields several significant benefits.

# 1. Informed Decision-Making:

Involving residents and communities in urban planning ensures that decisions are well-informed by local knowledge, needs, and aspirations.

Citizens, as primary stakeholders, offer unique insights into the practical implications of proposed developments, contributing to more contextually relevant and effective plans.

## 2. Community Empowerment:

SJIF Rating: 8.176

People's participation empowers communities by giving them a sense of ownership and agency in the development of their neighborhoods.

This involvement enhances social cohesion and a shared commitment to the well-being of the community.

# 3. Inclusivity and Diversity:

Public participation promotes inclusivity by incorporating diverse perspectives, ensuring that urban plans consider the needs of different demographic groups.

This inclusivity helps in creating cities that cater to the diverse requirements of residents, fostering a more equitable and just urban landscape.

# 4. Sustainability Focus:

Engaging the public in urban planning often results in greater emphasis on sustainability.

Citizens, particularly those directly affected by development projects, advocate for environmentally conscious practices and the preservation of green spaces.

# 5. Conflict Resolution:

Public participation provides a platform for addressing conflicts of interest and resolving disagreements among stakeholders.

By facilitating open dialogue, urban planning processes can find solutions that balance various concerns and priorities.

# 6. Improved Implementation:

When communities actively participate in planning, there is a higher likelihood of successful implementation of projects. This involvement ensures that projects align with the actual needs of the community, reducing the risk of resistance or opposition during implementation.

# 7. Social and Cultural Preservation:

People's participation safeguards the preservation of social and cultural elements within a community.

By involving residents in decision-making, urban planning can protect and celebrate cultural heritage, ensuring that development respects and reflects the identity of the community.

In essence, the role of people's participation in urban planning transcends being a mere democratic principle; it becomes a cornerstone for creating vibrant, sustainable, and harmonious urban environments that cater to the diverse needs and aspirations of the people who call these places home.

© 2023, IJSREM | <u>www.ijsrem.com</u> DOI: 10.55041/IJSREM27589 | Page 1



Volume: 07 Issue: 12 | December - 2023 SJIF Rating: 8.176 ISSN: 2582-3930

# 2. Literature Review

The literature review examines existing perspectives on public participation and its link to local democracy. It also explores the symbiotic relationship between good governance principles and people's participation, emphasizing the importance of accountability, transparency, efficiency, and responsiveness in inclusive urban planning.

# **Objectives of Public Participation:**

The paper outlines the primary objectives of public participation in urban planning, emphasizing the need to acknowledge citizens' needs, provide opportunities for expression, encourage democratic participation, and ensure well-informed and representative decisions. Transparent communication and early engagement are highlighted as crucial components for successful public participation.

The Dynamics of Early Public Participation in Urban Planning: The study underscores the significance of early and heightened public participation, particularly in the initial stages of urban planning. It discusses the challenges faced when involving citizens after negotiations between local councils and developers have progressed significantly. Innovative approaches adopted by municipal councils to bridge this gap are explored, focusing on strategies to bring citizens closer to the decision-making process.

# Case Study 1: People's and Public Participation in elevated Corridor Protest, Bengaluru<sup>1</sup>

The proposed 100-km elevated road network in Bengaluru, envisioned to link the city's distant points via its center, has triggered vehement protests from citizen activists. They argue that the project will not mitigate traffic congestion or ensure inclusive mobility but rather pose environmental threats. Advocates, notably Citizens for Bengaluru, demand the Karnataka government revoke the project tender, citing insufficient public consultation and perceived circumvention of due process. Concerns encompass potential tree loss in Cubbon Park and heightened air pollution during construction. While the State Environmental Impact Assessment Authority granted green clearance, critics challenge the lack of public input mandated by the Karnataka Town and Country Planning Act. The project's absence in the Bengaluru Development Authority's draft Master Plan 2031 underscores institutional fragmentation in transport planning. Activists, championing improved public transport, underscore the social impact of carcentric infrastructure, emphasizing the necessity for comprehensive mobility plans. The recent High Court directive to pause the project pending a case related to the Metropolitan Planning Committee injects a ray of hope for activists.

Case Study 2: Dharavi's Alternative Master Plan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Scroll Staff. (2019, March 6). Why Bengaluru's proposed mega elevated road corridor has sparked protests. Scroll.in. <a href="https://scroll.in/article/916777/why-bengalurus-proposed-mega-elevated-road-corridor-has-sparked-protests">https://scroll.in/article/916777/why-bengalurus-proposed-mega-elevated-road-corridor-has-sparked-protests</a>

In Dharavi, the creation of an alternative master plan placed significant emphasis on widespread community participation and engagement. A thorough mapping process, involving residents' associations, proposed housing co-operatives, chawls, occupational groupings, and more, unveiled intricate patterns and interconnections within Dharavi, both at a local and global scale. The Center of Excellence (CoE) responded by establishing comprehensive guidelines, laying the groundwork for the alternative master plan. Presently under negotiation with the Government of Maharashtra (GoM), the objectives underscore the centrality of people's involvement in every stage, ensuring inclusive decision-making, preventing forced evictions, restructuring sectors into smaller clusters, and fostering a community-driven, pedestrian-oriented approach to redevelopment. This participatory model not only empowers local clusters but also adeptly harmonizes economic considerations with the distinctive socio-economic fabric of Dharavi, exemplifying the triumph of community-driven strategies in shaping urban development on a global scale.

# Case Study 3: Environmental Advocacy in Goa<sup>3</sup>

In Goa, mounting environmental apprehensions have spurred widespread public dissent, manifesting through both digital and physical protests. Despite endeavors such as social media campaigns, student demonstrations, and the active involvement of scientists, challenges endure. Particularly noteworthy is the robust engagement of the youth in Goa, orchestrating protests and candle marches to express opposition to governmental decisions affecting the environment. Nevertheless, the conspicuous silence of mainstream media on this pivotal issue raises concerns. The recent arrests of social activists, environmental leaders, and protesting students by the Goa police further underscore the complexities surrounding environmental advocacy in the presence of governmental resistance, prompting questions about the efficacy of public participation in influencing policy decisions.

# 3. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, these case studies underscore the transformative impact of public participation in urban development globally. From Bengaluru's protests to Dharavi's master plan and Goa's environmental advocacy, they highlight the crucial role of community engagement. The commitment and resilience of citizens emphasize the power of inclusive governance, stressing the importance of people's active involvement in shaping their regions. Early participation fosters informed decision-making and instills a sense of ownership. The interconnectedness of participatory democracy and urban planning necessitates transparent communication, and

© 2023, IJSREM | <u>www.ijsrem.com</u> DOI: 10.55041/IJSREM27589 | Page 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SDI Network. (2015). Dharavi Redevelopment Plan. Retrieved from <a href="https://sdinet.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/ReDharavi1.pdf">https://sdinet.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/ReDharavi1.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Times of India. (APR 26, 2021). What happened to protestors in Goa: Save Mollem Forest. Retrieved from <a href="https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/readersblog/constitutionally-speaking/what-happened-to-protestors-ingoa-save-mollem-forest-31327/">https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/readersblog/constitutionally-speaking/what-happened-to-protestors-ingoa-save-mollem-forest-31327/</a>



governments must actively engage and empower communities for a more inclusive, resilient, and sustainable global future.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research exclusively uses secondary sources, including government agencies, academic publications, and community initiatives in Bangladesh, Maharashtra, and Goa. It synthesizes and analyzes existing data to explore the impact of public participation on decision-making. Gratitude is extended to the original creators of the data and research studies that inform this work. The study provides a comprehensive examination of diverse perspectives from publicly available information

# REFERENCES

- Arnstein, S. R. (1969). A Ladder of Citizen Participation. Journal of the American Planning Association, 35(4), 216–224
- 3. Singh, A. (2005). Public Participation in Urban Governance: The Experience of Bangalore. International Development Planning Review, 27(4), 441–463.
- 4. Bhagat, R. B. (2004). People's Participation in Urban Governance in India: A Case Study of Indore. Asian Survey, 44(4), 536–553.
- Scroll Staff. (2019, March 6). Why Bengaluru's proposed mega elevated road corridor has sparked protests. Scroll.in. https://scroll.in/article/916777/why-bengalurus-proposed-megaelevated-road-corridor-has-sparked-protests
- SDI Network. (2015). Dharavi Redevelopment Plan. Retrieved from https://sdinet.org/wp content/uploads/2015/04/ReDharavi1.pdf
- 7. Times of India. (APR 26, 2021). What happened to protestors in Goa: Save Mollem Forest. Retrieved from https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/readersblog/constitutionally-speaking/what-happened-to-protestors-in-goa-save-mollem-forest-31327/

© 2023, IJSREM | <u>www.ijsrem.com</u> DOI: 10.55041/IJSREM27589 | Page 3