Perceived Impact of Performance Appraisal on Employee's performance

Author Name: Arman Pandey Submitted to: Dr. Himanshu Gupta

Researcher, Department of Management, School of Business, Galgotias University Asst.Professor, Department of Management, School of Business, Galgotias University

ABSTRACT

This research dives into how employees feel about performance appraisals and how those feelings affect their work performance, using Vocation Investments and Securities as a case study. In today's competitive financial services sector, performance appraisals are more than just evaluations—they're tools that can either motivate or discourage employees depending on how they're done. To understand this better, the study used both numbers (quantitative data) and personal stories (qualitative interviews). Surveys were sent out to gather broad feedback from staff, while in-depth interviews were used to really understand their personal experiences. The focus was on areas like fairness, clarity, usefulness of feedback, alignment with company goals, and how appraisals affect motivation and job satisfaction. The research revealed a mixed bag of insights—some employees saw appraisals as a positive opportunity to grow, while others felt they were vague, biased, or not helpful. Based on these findings, the study suggests practical ways the company can improve its appraisal process: make feedback more specific and constructive, ensure transparency, involve employees more in the process, and better train managers. By addressing these issues, organizations like Vocation Investments and Securities can build a workplace where employees feel valued and driven to perform better, ultimately leading to greater success for the company.

INTRODUCTION

In any organization, how people perform directly affects how well the business does—and that's especially true in the fast-paced world of financial services. One of the most commonly used tools to manage employee performance is the **performance appraisal**. At first glance, it's just a review of how someone has been doing at their job. But in reality, it can influence how motivated people feel, how connected they are to the company, and whether they choose to grow—or leave.

This study takes a close look at **Vocation Investments and Securities**, a well-known firm in the financial services industry. The aim is to understand how employees **perceive** performance appraisals—do they see them as fair? Useful? Encouraging? Or just a formal process with little impact? What employees feel about these appraisals can strongly affect how they perform at work.

The idea for this research came from a simple but powerful observation: sometimes the way a performance review is carried out can make employees feel empowered, while at other times, it can leave them frustrated or unmotivated. So, it's important to look not just at the process itself, but also at how it's received.

The goal of this research is to explore both the **strengths and weaknesses** in the current appraisal system at Vocation Investments and Securities. By doing so, the study hopes to recommend practical changes that can help the company create a more engaging, fair, and growth-focused environment.

In short, this is about understanding the **real impact** of performance appraisals—not just in theory, but through the eyes of the people who experience them every day.

RESEARCH METHDOLOGY

To truly understand how employees at Vocation Investments and Securities feel about performance appraisals, this study used a **mixed-methods approach**. That means we didn't just stick to numbers—or just conversations. We combined both to get a full, well-rounded picture.

1. *Why Mixed Methods?*

We wanted facts *and* feelings. So, we gathered **quantitative data** through surveys and **qualitative insights** through interviews and discussions. This helped us not only identify patterns but also understand the stories behind them.

2. *Quantitative Phase: The Survey*

A structured questionnaire was shared with employees across different departments and job levels. It included questions on how fair, transparent, helpful, and motivating they found the appraisal process.

- The survey used a **Likert scale** (where participants rated how much they agree or disagree).
- The data collected was analyzed using basic **statistics**, as well as **correlation** and **regression analysis** to find relationships between variables—like how fairness might affect motivation.

3. *Qualitative Phase: Interviews & Group Discussions*

To dig deeper, **semi-structured interviews** were conducted with selected employees. These conversations allowed them to express their honest thoughts, concerns, and suggestions about the appraisal process.

We also held **focus group discussions** with managers and HR professionals to understand their side of the story.

All the responses were analyzed using **thematic analysis**—we looked for common themes, repeated ideas, and powerful quotes that captured what people really felt.

4. *Ethical Considerations*

We took participant privacy seriously:

- Everyone gave **informed consent** before participating.
- All responses were kept **anonymous and confidential**.
- Participation was **voluntary**, and anyone could opt out at any point.

5. *Limitations Acknowledged*

Like any research, this one had its boundaries. The sample size was modest, and not every employee could be reached. We also acknowledged the possibility of **biases**—some people might give "safe" answers instead of honest ones. Still, combining surveys with real conversations helped reduce this risk and build trust.

FINDINGS

After analysing the survey responses and interview insights, a clear picture started to emerge about how employees at Vocation Investments and Securities **truly feel** about their performance appraisal process. While there were positive points, there were also areas that clearly need improvement.

1. *Feedback Matters – But Needs Work*

Many employees said they **appreciated getting feedback**, especially when it was timely and specific. Good feedback helped them know what they were doing right and what to improve.

However, some also mentioned that feedback was sometimes:

- **Vague or generic**
- Delivered too late to be useful
- Focused only on what went wrong

Employees expressed that they'd prefer feedback to be **more constructive, more frequent**, and tied to their actual goals and work.

2. *Fairness and Bias Are Big Concerns*

Fairness came up a lot. While some felt their managers were impartial and supportive, others believed appraisals were:

- **Influenced by favouritism**
- Affected by personal opinions rather than objective performance
- Lacking transparency in how scores or decisions were made

This sense of unfairness left some employees **demotivated** and even **distrustful** of the process.

3. *Alignment with Organizational Goals Needs Strengthening*

Employees didn't always see how their personal goals aligned with the company's bigger objectives. Some felt like they were working hard, but couldn't see how it connected to the organization's direction.

When goals were **clearly linked to team or company success**, motivation was stronger. But that wasn't happening consistently across all departments.

4. *Performance Ratings Feel Overemphasized*

Several employees said that **too much focus was placed on ratings and numbers**—and not enough on meaningful conversations or development planning.

This created a **competitive or stressful environment**, where people felt pressured to "score well" rather than genuinely grow in their roles.

5. *Appraisals Are Seen as a Formality by Some*

A few employees described the appraisal process as something that's done **just to check a box**— without much impact afterward. This made the process feel less valuable and more like a routine administrative task than a tool for real improvement.

C. Employees Want More Development Opportunities

There was a strong desire among employees for performance reviews to be tied to **learning and career growth**. Many wanted their appraisals to lead to:

- **Training opportunities**
- **Promotions or career paths**

- **Mentoring or coaching**

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. *Make Feedback More Useful and Ongoing*

- Train managers to give **specific, helpful, and timely feedback**—not just once a year, but continuously.
- Encourage regular check-ins throughout the year so employees aren't surprised at appraisal time.
- Create a culture where feedback is **normal, not nerve-wracking**.

2. *Increase Fairness and Transparency*

- Clearly define and **communicate evaluation criteria**—everyone should know what they're being measured on.
- Provide **bias training** for managers to avoid favouritism or assumptions.
- Let employees participate more actively in self-assessments and appraisal discussions.

3. *Link Individual Goals to Company Goals*

- Help employees understand how their work contributes to the **bigger picture**.
- Align individual and team targets with the company's mission so people feel connected to a purpose, not just a task.

4. *Focus Less on Ratings, More on Growth*

- Don't let appraisal meetings become all about scores. Use them as a chance to **have meaningful career conversations**.
- Shift some focus from "what went wrong" to **how we can grow and improve together**.

5. *Build Career Development into the Process*

- Use performance appraisals to create **personal development plans**.
- Offer employees access to **training, workshops, mentorships**, or even short-term projects to build new skills.
- Make it clear that appraisals are about **growth, not just judgment**.

C. *Use Technology to Simplify and Support*

- Introduce performance management tools or software to make the process smoother and less paperwork-heavy.
- Allow employees to **track their goals and feedback** in real time.

7. *Recognize and Reward Performance Fairly*

- Create a structured system to **reward high performers**—not just with bonuses, but with appreciation, opportunities, and visibility.

- Celebrate achievements in team meetings or internal communications to boost morale.

8. *Ask Employees What They Think—Often*

- Conduct regular **pulse surveys** to check how employees feel about the appraisal process.
- Use their feedback to make continuous improvements.
- Show them that their voice shapes how the system works.

Bottom Line:

Appraisals should not be a once-a-year formality. When done right, they can inspire people, help them grow, and create a stronger bond between employees and the organization. By making these thoughtful changes, Vocation Investments and Securities can turn its performance appraisal system into a tool that **motivates, supports, and empowers its people**.

CONCLUSION

Performance appraisals are supposed to help people grow, feel valued, and stay aligned with their company's goals—but that only happens when the process is clear, fair, and meaningful. This study explored how employees at **Vocation Investments and Securities** perceive their performance appraisals, and how those perceptions affect their motivation, engagement, and overall job performance.

What we found was eye-opening: while many employees appreciate the idea of being evaluated and guided, others feel that the current system falls short. Some feel their efforts are not recognized enough, others want clearer feedback, and many are craving a process that leads to **real growth**, not just scores or paperwork.

Through a combination of surveys and interviews, this research uncovered several key insights:

- Feedback is important—but it needs to be timely, specific, and encouraging.
- Fairness and transparency matter deeply. When people feel judged unfairly, motivation suffers.
- Employees want to know how their work fits into the larger mission of the company.
- Performance appraisals should lead to **development, not just ratings**.

So, what's the takeaway?

If Vocation Investments and Securities wants to truly harness the power of performance appraisals, it needs to shift the focus from **"evaluation" to "elevation."** That means building trust, communicating clearly, training managers to lead with empathy, and giving employees a voice in the process.

By turning appraisals into a genuine growth conversation—not a once-a-year judgment—the organization can create a culture where people feel seen, supported, and inspired to do their best work.

REFRENCES

- Adams, J. S. (1965). *Inequity in social exchange*. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), **Advances in experimental social psychology** (Vol. 2, pp. 267–299). Academic Press.
- Ashford, S. J., Blatt, R., C VandeWalle, D. (2003). *Reflections on the looking glass: A review of research on feedback-seeking behavior in organizations*. **Journal of Management**, 29(6), 773– 799.
- Boselie, P., Dietz, G., C Boon, C. (2005). *Commonalities and contradictions in HRM and performance research*. **Human Resource Management Journal**, 15(3), 67–94.
- Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. Transaction Publishers.
- DeNisi, A. S., C Pritchard, R. D. (2006). *Performance appraisal, performance management, and improving individual performance: A motivational framework*. **Management and Organization Review**, 2(2), 253–277.

- Fletcher, C. (2001). *Performance appraisal and management: The developing research agenda*. **Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology**, 74(4), 473–487.

- Kuvaas, B. (2006). *Performance appraisal satisfaction and employee outcomes: Mediating and moderating roles of motivation*. **International Journal of Human Resource Management**, 17(3), 504–522.

- Murphy, K. R., C Cleveland, J. N. (1995). *Understanding performance appraisal: Social, organizational, and goal-based perspectives*. Sage Publications.

- Pulakos, E. D. (2009). *Performance management: A roadmap for developing, implementing and evaluating performance management systems*. Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology.

- Vroom, V. H. (1964). *Work and motivation*. Wiley.

- Abel, A., C Deitz, R. (1986). *The Determinants of Junior Accounting Staff Performance Evaluations*. **Journal of Business Finance s Accounting**, 13(4), 573–590.

- Aguinas, H., Joo, H., C Gottfredson, R. K. (2011). *Why we hate performance management—And why we should love it*. **Business Horizons**, 54(6), 503–507.

- Bacharach, S. B., C Bamberger, P. (2007). *Managers' interpersonal perceptions as determinants of employees' subjective appraisals of performance appraisal fairness*. **Academy of Management Journal**, 50(3), 715–732.

- Bannister, B. D., C Balkin, D. B. (2010). *Is performance management suffering from 'hardening

of the categories'? Evidence from a global survey*. **Management Decision**, 48(5), 710–729.

- Barney, J. B., Wright, P. M., C Ketchen Jr, D. J. (2001). *The resource-based view of the firm: Ten years after 1SS1*. **Journal of Management**, 27(6), 625–641.

- Becker, B. E., C Gerhart, B. (1996). *The impact of human resource management on organizational performance: Progress and prospects*. **Academy of Management Journal**, 39(4), 779–801.

- Bernardin, H. J., C Beatty, R. W. (1984). *Performance appraisal: Assessing human behaviour at work*. Kent Publishing Company.

- Biron, M., C Veldhoven, M. V. (2016). *When control becomes a liability rather than an asset for performance: The role of team psychological safety*. **Journal of Organizational Behaviour**, 37(7), 1097–1112.

- Black, J. S., C Gregersen, H. B. (1999). *The right way to manage expats*. **Harvard Business Review**, 77(2), 52–62.

- Boxall, P., C Purcell, J. (2003). *Strategy and human resource management*. Palgrave Macmillan.

- Campbell, J. P. (1990). *Modelling the performance prediction problem in industrial and organizational psychology*. In **Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology** (Vol. 1, pp. 687–732). Consulting Psychologists Press.

- Chen, G., Kirkman, B. L., Kanfer, R., Allen, D., C Rosen, B. (2007). *A multilevel study of leadership, empowerment, and performance in teams*. **Journal of Applied Psychology**, 92(2), 331–346.

- Cleveland, J. N., C Murphy, K. R. (1992). *Analysing performance appraisal as goal-directed behaviour*. **Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management**, 10, 35–67.

- Cummings, T. G., C Worley, C. G. (2014). *Organization development and change*. Cengage Learning.

- Denisi, A. S., C Murphy, K. R. (2017). *Performance appraisal and performance management: 100 years of progress?* **Journal of Applied Psychology**, 102(3), 421–433.

- Erdogan, B., C Bauer, T. N. (2014). *Differentiated leader–member exchanges: The buffering role of justice climate*. **Journal of Applied Psychology**, 99(4), 563–575.

- Feldman, D. C., C Arnold, H. J. (1983). *Managing individual and group behaviour in organizations*. McGraw-Hill.

- Guest, D. E. (2011). *Human resource management and performance: Still searching for some answers*. **Human Resource Management Journal**, 21(1), 3–13.

- Harris, C. M. (2009). *Employee perceptions of performance appraisal effectiveness: A two- study examination of scale validity*. **Journal of Management**, 35(6), 1346–1372.

- Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., C Hayes, T. L. (2002). *Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis*. **Journal of Applied Psychology**, 87(2), 268–279.

- Hartog, D. N. D., C Belschak, F. D. (2012). *Work engagement and Machiavellianism in the ethical leadership process*. **Journal of Business Ethics**, 107(1), 35–47.