Philosophy Is Democracy in Thought and Action: A Thematic Analysis of Russell's 'Philosophy for Laymen'

Dr. PR Chandra Reddy, Professor of English
P. Lokeshwari, Associate Professor of English
Department of Science and Humanities
Mother Theresa Institute of Engineering and Technology
Melumoi, Palamaner, Chittoor Dt, Andhra Pradesh, PIN 517408, India

Abstract: This is a review of Philosophy for Laymen, a sincere effort to make profound ideas accessible by stripping away intimidating jargon and presenting philosophical inquiry in an engaging and relatable manner, even for ordinary readers. It emphasizes that philosophy is not an unattainable Arcadia but a field open to anyone with a curious mind. Traditionally, philosophy has pursued two goals: understanding the structure of the world and discovering the best way to live ethically. Some philosophers have worked tirelessly to make their ideas accessible to a broader audience. Among them is Bertrand Russell, who demonstrated that philosophy need not be confined to academic circles. It is not a realm of lofty words, lengthy sentences, and obscure jargon reserved for intellectuals. Nor is it a rigid discipline institutionalized beyond reach. Russell, a multi-faceted genius, dispelled such illusions and insisted that philosophy belongs to everyone. He emphasized that people should invest their time and thoughts in meaningful pursuits, as their actions shape not only their own lives but also the lives of others. In this view, individual efforts evolve into collective contributions, where personal ethics grow into interpersonal ethics, and personal discipline fosters social harmony.

Key words: authoritarian, cosmos, dogmatism, emancipation, freethinking, imperialism, layman, prejudice.

Introduction: Bertrand Russell was a gifted philosopher and British genius of the twentieth century. A philosopher, writer, mathematician, logician, social reformer, and a founding figure of the analytic movement, he was awarded the Nobel Prize for Literature in 1950. His contributions to logic, the theory of knowledge, and the philosophy of mathematics established him as one of the foremost intellectuals of the modern era. Russell is widely known for his work in analytical philosophy, which focuses on the precise use of philosophical terms and language to address complex problems. His writings span a wide range of subjects, including ethics, history, society, and morality. Drawing upon thinkers ranging from the pre-Socratics to Hegel, he guides readers through the evolution of philosophical thought. He enlightens the relevance of these philosophies to the lives of ordinary people, answering fundamental questions about the existence of the universe, the purpose of human life and the questions that have remained unanswered through ages for laypeople. In his essay, Russell explores key themes such as the differing perspectives of ancient philosophers on the nature of philosophy, the structure of government, the role of education, and the importance of philosophy in personal life. He addresses issues that shape human moral, religious, and ethical values with remarkable clarity and insight.

Democracy isn't just a political system; it's a philosophical way of life that permeates our thoughts, actions, and interactions. Rooted in the philosophical ideals of human dignity, reason, and justice, democracy invites embodying its principles in daily existence. It fosters a mindset that champions equality, morality, freedom, and a diversity of opinions. Practicing democracy in thought stands for adopting a philosophically, open-minded approach, engaging in active listening, respecting differing beliefs, and rejecting authoritarian or dogmatic attitudes. It's about critical thinking, a key tenet of philosophy, rather than blindly submitting to authority. For instance, a teacher who encourages students to freely express their ideas, even when those ideas challenge traditional views, is nurturing a democratic and philosophical spirit of inquiry. Democracy in action translates these values into tangible behaviours. It involves fair decision-making, treating everyone with respect and equality regardless of their background, participating actively in community or group life, and speaking out against injustice or discrimination. Consider a family where decisions are made collaboratively and every member's opinion is valued, not just the elders.' That's democracy in action, grounded in the philosophical belief that every individual has intrinsic worth and a voice that matters. As Karl Marx gave voice, "The philosophers have only interpreted the world of their philosophy, in respective ways. The point, however, is to change it in a better way." (wikipedia, 2024) This emphasizes that true democracy requires

action over mere contemplation to bring about meaningful societal change.

The Five Queries: In his enlightening essay, Bertrand Russell explores the nature, purpose, and importance of philosophy, even for ordinary people. When asked what philosophy is, Russell responds that it is a sincere endeavor to answer the ultimate questions of human existence. These questions, he suggests, can be grouped into five key domains. **i) Origin:** 1.Where do human beings come from? 2.Where do they ultimately go? 3. What place do humans occupy in the universe? 4. Does the existing world have any fundamental purpose? 5. Is there any supernatural force behind the origin of the universe? **ii) Guilt:** 1. Is there any supernatural foundation for moral behavior? 2. What is the relation between religion and morality? 3. What is the basis of the fear of hell? 4. Why do some people fear hell, while others do not? **iii) Meaning:** 1.What is the meaning of life? 2. Is there any ultimate meaning behind the universe? 3. Should people search for meaning, or is it something they must create? **iv) Anxiety:** 1.Is there a fundamental fear that drives human life? 2.Do most religions acknowledge a central problem in human existence? 3. What insights can people gain from the answers provided by various religions? **v) Death:** 1. What happens after death? 2. Do people live again after death? 3. Do people wish they could live forever? 4. Can people live without being conscious of their own mortality? These are some of the ultimate questions of life, and only those with a philosophical disposition can attempt to answer them meaningfully.

Who Is a Layman?: A layman is a person sans professional or specialized knowledge in a particular field. In the context of Philosophy for Laymen, Bertrand Russell emphasizes that philosophy is not reserved for experts or academics alone, it is meant for everyone. To begin with, let us consider the general meaning of philosophy. The word philosophy got its line from the Greek roots philo (meaning 'love). And sophos meaning is 'wisdom.' Thus it means 'love of wisdom.' One who studies philosophy tries to understand how and why people behave the way. He also learns how life can be lived more thoughtfully and meaningfully. In essence, philosophy is a pursuit to uncover the meaning of life. The roots of philosophy can be traced back to the dawn of civilization. It was when human mind first began to engage in free thought. This term 'free thought' is an influential one. It implies that beliefs should be formed not on the basis of authority, tradition, revelation, or religious dogma, but through reason, evidence, and personal inquiry. Like Russell, there was John Stuart Mill who was a staunch exponent for intellectual liberty. Mill stated, "The worth of a state of mind in the long run is the worth of the individuals composing it." (Mill, 2011). This reflects Mill's deep belief in the power of individual thought and aligns with the philosophical tradition of free inquiry championed by Russell.

Another philosopher, Friedrich Nietzsche, strongly opposed religious dogma. Nietzsche declared in his Gay Science, "God is dead. God remains dead. And we have killed him" (Nietzsche. 1974). This refers to how modern science, rationalism, secularism, and enlightenment thinking have eroded faith in religious and metaphysical truths. Humans no longer rely on divine authority to explain the world or provide meaning. He emphasizes the collapse of metaphysical certainty and traditional values. Nietzsche believed that after the decline of religion, humanity needed to create new values through the Ubermensch (Superman). Russell declared that his point of view is atheistic or agnostic; he rejected metaphysics that couldn't be supported by reason and evidence. He considered most traditional religion as harmful superstition, but promoted humanistic ethics based on reason and compassion. Thus both rejected traditional religious dogma and advocate for a post-religious ethical. Freethinkers acknowledge that meaning of anything must spring up in human mind. On the other hand, the beliefs should rather be attained by other lofty methods such as logical system, reason, rationality, argument, and empirical observation.

Russell asserts that the cosmos is indifferent, it does not care for human concerns; therefore, individuals must care for themselves. If one seeks purpose in the universe, it must be created, as nothing is inherently given. This view underscores individual responsibility and the freedom to define one's own meaning in life. Within freethinking and humanistic traditions, morality is often grounded in the principle of non-harm. For many freethinkers, an action is considered moral as long as it does not harm others. This emphasis on personal autonomy, combined with a commitment to minimizing harm, forms a central pillar of humanistic ethics. It encourages individuals to act thoughtfully and responsibly, guided not by dogma but by reason and compassion. A truly free mind rejects the notion that philosophy deals only with abstract or irresolvable controversies. In academic circles, genuine philosophers maintain that philosophy matters, especially when it is pursued with the intent of gaining knowledge and synthesizing insights from various disciplines to achieve a deeper understanding of the universe. From the perspective of a layperson, philosophy may be seen more

simply as the practice of asking and exploring fundamental questions about the world, our role within it, and the principles by which we should live. In this sense, philosophy becomes a practical and accessible tool for thoughtful living.

Greeks Legacy: It was the Greeks who advanced far beyond the mythological stage and laid the foundations of philosophy through their freethinking spirit. Their intellectual achievements became the cornerstone of Western thought. Greek philosophy represents a peak in the evolution of human thinking. It progresses from simple observations to complex and comprehensive systems. The Greek thinkers were animated by a spirit of independence and a love for truth. Early Greek philosophy began with an inquiry into the nature of the external world. Over time, however, the focus shifted inward, from the study of nature to the study of human beings. This transition marked the beginning of disciplines such as logic, psychology, politics, and poetics. Greek philosophers began to ask profound ethical questions: What is the highest good? What is the aim and end of life? Socrates famously said, "Wonder is the feeling of a philosopher, and philosophy begins in wonder." (roangelo, 2008). Bertrand Russell, echoing this sentiment, compares a life without philosophy to a life with it. He argues that the former is confined to immediate, practical concerns, whereas the latter opens the mind to broader perspectives, beyond the self and into the realm of universal inquiry. Philosophy, he insists, is not pursued for the sake of definite answers, but for the value of the questions themselves.

At the outset, Russell observes that ever since the emergence of civilized communities, human beings have gradually realized that the forces of nature cannot be pacified through worship or religious rituals. Despite this awareness, religious dogma, though significantly weakened, has persisted through the ages. Civilizations came to recognize that human effort, rather than divine intervention, plays a crucial role in confronting and overcoming the challenges posed by nature. By human effort, Russell refers to the application of deliberate actions, informed by appropriate skills and techniques, aimed at securing a safer, more comfortable, and better life. A major shift in human thinking was triggered by the repeated failure of worship to prevent or mitigate natural calamities such as tornadoes, hurricanes, floods, tsunamis, snowstorms, avalanches, volcanic eruptions, dust storms, and wildfires. Despite their devout practices, people witnessed nature's relentless power and came to question the effectiveness of religious institutions. By the time societies became more advanced, many had come to understand that places of worship were often built on false premises. These institutions failed to provide the comfort and hope they promised, exposing the futility of relying solely on faith to address worldly suffering. Despite such revelations, certain religions continued to maintain control over their followers, discouraging free thought and forbidding critical examination of what was written in their sacred texts.

Role of Wisdom: The only viable path for humanity was to master nature and letter interpretation doesn't work. To achieve this, humans had to acquire the necessary knowledge and skills to produce tools and weapons, not for destruction alone, but to harness and encourage nature in cultivating useful animals and plants. This gigantic task was confided to science, scientists, and technologists. However, experience has shown that effectively dealing with nature often requires training a large number of highly specialized experts. According to Russell, this reliance on narrowly focused specialists has become a Pandora's Box of problems. In his view, it is not enough for scientists to possess technical knowledge; they must also cultivate wisdom. Problems arise, he warns, when knowledge expands while wisdom remains limited. In his thought-provoking essay Knowledge and Wisdom, Russell writes, "Most people would agree that, even if our age far surpasses all previous ages in knowledge, there has been no corresponding increase in wisdom." (vidyaprab, 2024). He emphasizes that while modern society has advanced in scientific understanding, it has failed to grow in wisdom proportionally, a failure that continues to pose serious risks.

Thus, Russell emphasizes the vital importance of wisdom. In a general sense, knowledge refers to facts, information, and skills acquired through experience or education, whether through theoretical learning or practical application. Wisdom, on the other hand, is more than mere knowledge. According to Russell, wisdom involves a sense of proportion, a broad and empathetic awareness, freedom from personal prejudice, and a fair-minded balance between emotion and intellect. A wise person, therefore, possesses sound judgment, considering all relevant factors before making a decision. This capacity for sound judgment distinguishes wisdom from knowledge. While knowledge alone can make a person informed, it does not guarantee depth or foresight. In fact, knowledge without wisdom can lead to narrow-mindedness, something that can be harmful to humanity. For instance, scientists developed medicine to reduce infant mortality. However, they did not foresee that this breakthrough could contribute to a population explosion. Had they approached the issue with

wisdom, they might have advised governments to pair medical advances with family planning initiatives. This example explains the crucial need for wisdom beside knowledge. As the saying goes, good people are good because they have come to wisdom through knowledge. There is, indeed, a close and enduring connection between wisdom and philosophy, one that Russell consistently upholds in his thought.

Wisdom and Philosophy: In short, wisdom is the ability to understand the fundamental nature of reality, life, and humanity. Philosophy, as the love of wisdom, ideally nurtures this understanding. However, in modern times, the relationship between the two has become, at best, a friendly acquaintanceship. Though the two are distinct, philosophy and wisdom are complementary domains. In a lighter vein, one might say that to be a friend of someone is to think of them often; yet, one may read book after book on philosophy and find little or no mention of wisdom. It is like searching for blood in blood. Still, where true philosophy exists, wisdom is never far away. Shakespeare's Julius Caesar offers a metaphor that resonates with this idea. Brutus announces, "There is a tide in the affairs of men, which, taken at the flood, leads on to fortune." (Shakespeare, 1999) Likewise, wisdom exists in the world, and by embracing it, anyone can live a philosophical life. Russell later highlights a second, subtler problem, often overlooked or dismissed as vague. It concerns how best to utilize humanity's growing power over nature. This issue, according to Russell, opens the door to broader philosophical dilemmas: dictatorship versus democracy, socialism versus socialism and free thought versus authoritarian dogmatism. The stark truth, Russell notes, is that such issues cannot be resolved through science or laboratory experiments. Their solutions lie not in data or formulas, but in an extensive historical understanding of how human life has evolved, both in its suffering and its contentment. For Russell, addressing the true sources of human misery and well-being requires deep philosophical reflection grounded in human experience.

Russell observed that the increase in human skill has not necessarily resulted in greater happiness or well-being. He warns that progress in one area can bring harm in another. It is aptly stated that one man's food may be another man's poison. To support this, he presents several historical examples. When humans learned to grow crops, they also established cruel rituals such as human sacrifice. When they tamed horses, they used them not only for transport but also for theft and conquest. Eventually that enslaved peaceful communities. The early days of the Industrial Revolution brought innovations in textile manufacturing, but they also led to devastating consequences, slave trade, child labour, harsh working conditions, and exploitation of workers. In this context, Thomas Jefferson's cautionary words resound true: "The natural progress of things is for liberty to yield, and government to gain ground." (Jefferson. 1788). His advocacy for human rights, freedom of thought, speech, and religion in 18th century America parallels Russell's concern: that technical progress without ethical development leads to imbalances in society, threatening both liberty and human dignity. As Russell highlights, the convergence of scientific genius and technical skill led to the atomic bomb, leaving humanity to grapple with its implications. This isn't just a modern dilemma; history consistently shows that with increased skill and technology comes a greater need for wisdom, without it, humanity risks bearing the consequences. Ultimately, every truly wise person embodies the spirit of a philosopher.

Russell exhorts that humanity must cultivate a love of wisdom, that is, philosophy, especially in an age when scientists and technologists continually invent new powers. These innovations, once handed over to the general public without ethical oversight, have the potential to plunge humanity into disastrous consequences. Therefore, Russell argues, philosophy must be introduced into educational institutions. However, it should not resemble the technical or highly abstract philosophy of specialists. Instead, a clear distinction must be maintained between cultural values and professional interests. Cultural values reflect the core beliefs of a society, its sense of what is good, right, and meaningful. In contrast, professional interests pertain to the aspects of one's career that are personally engaging, motivating, or goal-oriented. Russell insists that while specialization in any branch of study will undoubtedly expand knowledge, education must also aim at nurturing cultural understanding. This is achieved well through the study of subjects such as history, literature, and philosophy. He strongly believes that philosophy should be an integral part of academic education; not merely for acquiring technical skills but for developing ethical sensibility and critical thinking. Russell also acknowledges the immense contributions of the Greeks, who laid the foundation of Western philosophy. He encourages students to explore Greek accomplishments, which are now accessible through translations, making their timeless wisdom more widely available and easier to grasp.

Hegel and Marx: Friedrich Hegel (1770–1831) was a celebrated German philosopher and a central figure in German idealism, often regarded as one of the founding fathers of modern Western philosophy. Nonetheless,

his philosophy has been criticized as shortsighted. Bertrand Russell observed that Hegel was initially drawn to mysticism, stating "Even his mature philosophy was an intellectualizing of what had first appeared to him as a mystic insight." (Russell, 2025). In other words, mysticism, an obscure or ill-defined religious or spiritual belief, continued to influence him throughout his life. Karl Marx, a Fellow of the Royal Society of Arts and a towering figure in economics, history, sociology, and political theory, also contributed significantly to philosophy. As a socialist revolutionary, Marx is best known for The Communist Manifesto and the Das Capital. He argued that religion offers comfort and solace to the suffering, but ultimately upholds the status quo by diverting attention from the root causes of their misery. Broadly speaking, philosophy has historically maintained an intimate relationship with both religion and science. Russell, in A History of Western Philosophy writes, ''Heraclitus (a pre-Socratic Greek philosopher) was a believer and he felt the world exists as a logical system in which any change in one way is in the end balanced by a comparable change in another." (Russell, 2025)

In examining the evolving relationship between religion, philosophy, and science, one observes a stark contrast between Hegel and Marx. Hegel, emphasizing the centrality of religious consciousness, asserts, "The commencement of religion is, as a matter of fact, precisely its content, and it is the concept of religion itself. He stated that God is the complete truth and the truth of all things. And one-sidedly, that religion alone is the absolutely factual knowledge." (Hegel, 1984) In contrast, Karl Marx, a staunch proponent of scientific socialism, critiques religion as a socio-political construct, writing, "Religion is the sigh of the subjugated people and the heart of a merciless or callous world, the soul of soulless conditions, and at last, it is the opiate of the masses" (Marx, 1970). This divergence reflects a broader historical shift in the role of philosophy. Russell holds that until the 18th century, philosophy encompassed what we now consider science. The Enlightenment marked a turning point, a period of demarcation, where philosophy became increasingly speculative and abstract, retreating from the empirical realms of practical inquiry. Since then, philosophy has often been criticized as unprogressive, a perception largely rooted in misunderstanding: it is not that philosophy has ceased to evolve, but that its progress unfolds primarily in the realm of conceptual discourse rather than technological application.

As soon as definitive answers began to emerge for certain ancient and long-debated questions, the resulting knowledge was reclassified as 'science,' while 'philosophy' continued to be denied equivalent recognition. For instance, from the time of the ancient Greeks up to Sir Isaac Newton (1642–1726), planetary theory was considered a branch of philosophy. The field was highly speculative, marked by uncertainty and ongoing controversy. It was Newton who liberated planetary theory from the realm of assumption, transforming it into a discipline grounded in systematic, empirical investigation. His contributions marked a shift toward a scientific methodology that demanded precision and skill. Yet even this field, newly systematized by Newton, remained subject to some fundamental and unresolved philosophical questions. Long before Newton, in the sixth century BCE, Anaximander of Miletus proposed an early theory of evolution. He asserted that humans descended from aquatic creatures, theorizing that the first human beings developed within fish-like animals until they were capable of independent survival. He wrote, "Living creatures sprang from the moist element as it was evaporated by the sun. At first, man was born from creatures of a different kind; because other creatures are soon self-supporting, but man alone needs a prolonged period of nursing. For this reason, he would not have survived unless this had been his original form." (wikipedia, April 13, 2025)

The absence of evidence is not necessarily evidence of absence. This distinction is particularly relevant in the case of Darwin's theory of evolution, which is considered scientific because it is supported by fossil records and the global distribution of plants and animals. In this respect, the words of American astronomer Dr. Carl Sagan can be remembered. He said, "Some geniuses were laughed at, but it does not mean that all who are laughed at were geniuses." They laughed at Columbus, they laughed at Gregory Mendel, Galileo Galilei, the Wright brothers, and many more." Bertrand Russell similarly acknowledges the value of philosophical speculation, noting that while not all hypotheses may be correct, some have laid the groundwork for revolutionary scientific advances. He argues that every breakthrough, good or evil, originates in a thought or a hunch. For example, the astronomical theories of Pythagoras, the biological speculations of Anaximander and Empedocles, and Democritus's atomic theory were all philosophical in origin. These early thinkers proposed bold, untested ideas that science was not yet equipped to verify. Over time, as methods of empirical validation advanced, these philosophical hypotheses evolved into scientific facts, and in doing so, they transitioned out of

the realm of philosophy. This progression illustrates how philosophy often serves as the imaginative forerunner of scientific discovery.

The Value of Philosophy: According to Bertrand Russell, the value of philosophy is not limited to speculation or abstract theorizing, nor should its worth be judged solely by whether its claims can eventually be proved or disproved by science. Those who place blind faith in science often assume that it holds all the answers. Such individuals may become complacent and dismissive, showing little interest in questions that lack clear, definitive answers or that fall outside the bounds of empirical investigation. Russell, with a touch of irony, notes that in practical affairs, people tend to believe that technical skill can replace wisdom, thinking it more 'progressive' to kill each other using advanced technology than to preserve life through time-honored means. He warns that technology should serve constructive, not destructive, ends. We live in an age of scientific marvels, where breakthroughs and innovations occur with astonishing speed, leaving little room for superstition. Indeed, science and superstition stand at opposite poles: the former demands proof; the latter rests on belief. Yet, it is philosophy that helps guide the ethical and meaningful use of science. To believe that science is everything or, conversely, that it is nothing, are both misguided extremes. Philosophy alone clarifies both the scope and the limitations of scientific knowledge, offering a balanced perspective that fosters critical thought and moral responsibility.

All questions related to ethics, values, the cosmos, or human life; involve a range of purely theoretical inquiries. One of the key functions of philosophy is to keep these questions alive and to critically examine the various answers that have been proposed over time. Those who seek quick results may grow impatient with philosophy, as it does not promise immediate certainty. They may even dismiss it as a waste of time. However, Bertrand Russell firmly disagrees with such a view. He insists that some form of philosophy is essential for everyone, even for the most thoughtless individuals, for in the absence of reflective inquiry, people are almost certain to adopt an unexamined, empty-headed philosophy. By 'empty-headed philosophy,' Russell refers to thought that lacks sense, discretion, and coherence; scattered and shallow thinking. The danger of such unreflective thought is that it leads people to form rival groups driven by fanaticism. Each group clings blindly to its own version of nonsense, believing it to be sacred truth, while branding opposing views as heresy. Russell cites several historical examples to illustrate this point: Arians and Catholics, Crusaders and Muslims, Protestants and followers of the Pope, Communists and Fascists, Sunnis and Shias. These conflicting factions have dominated much of the past 1,600 years with their futile and destructive disputes.

However, even a little philosophy would have revealed to both sides that, in many of these disputes, neither had any solid reason to believe they were entirely right. What sustained these opposing groups was not reason, but dogmatism, an enemy of peace and an invincible barrier to democracy. The reviewer maintains that 'philosophy is democracy in thought and action.' This means that philosophical inquiry, marked by critical thinking, openness, and reasoned debate, mirrors democratic values such as individual autonomy and equality. Philosophy promotes diverse perspectives, challenges assumptions, and encourages rational discourse, just as a healthy democratic society does. From the past to the present, dogmatism has remained one of the greatest mental obstacles to human happiness. This underscores how philosophy can positively influence even the lives of laypeople. According to Russell, human demand for certainty is a natural impulse. Yet it is an intellectual vice. People desire order in everything, but such desire often leads to rigidity of thought. To illustrate this, Russell offers a mundane example: if parents plan a picnic on an uncertain day, their children will demand a definite answer, will it be sunny or rainy? If the parents cannot be sure, the children are disappointed. This shows how difficult it is for people to tolerate uncertainty.

There is a saying that people often desire good for themselves and the downfall of others. Socialists may seek the liquidation of capitalists; certain Christians might claim virtue through the extermination of Jews; Serbs imagine peace only if Croats are eliminated, and Croats envision the same if all Serbs are wiped out. If A desires the ruin of B, it is inevitable that B will wish the same for A. Russia seeks the downfall of Ukraine, and so does Ukraine. These are but a few examples of the bloody-minded slogans that infect societies. Even a modest dose of philosophy would make it impossible to accept such destructive nonsense. But if people are not trained to withhold judgment in the absence of evidence, they will be misled by overconfident prophets. And it is often followed by ignorant fanatics or cunning tricksters. People must agree with the reality that life is filled with uncertainty. The Nobel physicist Richard Feynman celebrated uncertainty as a hallmark of scientific integrity and intellectual humility. "I can live with any doubt and uncertainty and ignorance. I think

it is much more interesting to live not knowing than to have wrong answers." (Feynman, 1999)

Certainty and Uncertainty: Russell asserts that uncertainty is an inherent part of human existence; no one can entirely escape it. Certainty, when it does appear, is often fleeting. As uncertainties accumulate in human mind, they give rise to fear and anxiety. One of the essential steps toward coping with uncertainty is learning to accept it, recognizing that life itself is fundamentally uncertain. Embracing this truth can help individuals approach life more positively and with greater resilience. The reality is that many aspects of life lie beyond human control. No matter, whether people acknowledge it or not, uncertainty is a natural and inescapable feature of the human condition. Very little in human life is constant or entirely certain. While people may have control over some aspects of their lives, they cannot govern everything that happens to them. Whether it involves a global pandemic, economic instability, personal finances, health, or relationships, much of the future remains unknown. Naturally, people crave safety and a sense of control. Yet, this uncertainty can become mentally and physically draining, trapping individuals in a downward spiral of 'ifs,' 'buts,' and 'what-ifs,' often leading to worst-case assumptions about what tomorrow may bring.

It should be learnt that maturity of mind is the capacity to endure uncertainty and matured mind doesn't get confused with trivial thoughts. Except for a few, the ancestors taught their successors about certainties but not about uncertainties. The reality of everyday human existence is that things change constantly, even when people wish they would stay the same, and nothing is certain. Unsystematic events can happen when weather people are prepared or unprepared. The general attitude of humans is they believe that once they get to the next stage in their lives, such as a new job or a new home, or a new place or a hike in the salary or getting married, that the uncertainty they were undergoing or experiencing will disappear and then there would be certainty. However, the fact will be different, as soon as they get to that new stage, they will encounter different uncertainties relevant to that stage. "The quote, 'A bend in the road is not the end of the road… unless you fail to make the turn,' is often attributed to Helen Keller (Keller, 2018) She says that challenges are part of life, but they're not final unless people give up or can't adjust. Success and growth depend on their ability to navigate those bends rather than being stopped by them.

According to Bertrand Russell, philosophy should serve a constructive purpose, but it must go beyond mere skepticism. When skepticism turns into outright disbelief without fair consideration, it hinders learning and growth. Russell asserts that 'when dogmatism is harmful, skepticism is useless.' Both dogmatism and skepticism are, in their extremes, rigid doctrines, one claims certainty without reason, while the other denies the possibility of knowledge altogether. Philosophy, he argues, should challenge certainty itself, whether it stems from supposed knowledge or assumed ignorance. From a philosophical standpoint, certainty implies a total absence of doubt, an unrealistic and limiting view, given the complexities of life. Another example of Russell's view on certainty appears in Skeptical Essays, where he writes, "The demand for certainty is one which is natural to man, but is nevertheless an intellectual vice" (Russell, 2017). He argues that the craving for certainty can cloud rational judgment. According to Russell, no belief should be held with absolute certainty unless it is supported by conclusive logical or empirical evidence. For example, one may be confident that the Earth is the third planet from the Sun, this reflects a high degree of certainty, yet it is not as self-evident as the statement 'two plus two equals four.'

Russell acknowledged that certainty and doubt are not absolutes, but rather exist on a continuum. The crucial question, then, is not whether something is certain or uncertain, but to what extent it is so. Absolute certainty implies a total absence of doubt about a particular claim. It's easy to prove that the multiplication table doesn't require this stipulated condition. This highlights a key difference: our knowledge of practical matters isn't as certain or precise as our knowledge of arithmetic. For instance, when we say "democracy is a good thing," we must admit we're less certain than we are that two plus two equals four. A primary goal of education should be to teach students about certainty and uncertainty, and how to tolerate the latter. Ethics, as a branch of philosophy, is the study of moral values and rules. It aims to provide a rational foundation for understanding right and wrong, guiding us toward decisions and actions that lead to good outcomes. Practical ethics, also known as applied or functional ethics, takes these principles and applies them to specific situations.

Ethics seeks to examine and define the principles of moral behavior, applying them to real-life situations in order to enhance the quality of human life. Functional ethics, in particular, focuses on applying general principles to specific contexts, moving from the abstract to the concrete, and from thought to action. This practical orientation aligns closely with the spirit of "democracy in thought and action." The study of ethics

has a long and rich tradition, engaging thoughtful minds across the world for generations. In the Western context, this tradition can be traced back to the dialogues and deliberations of Socrates in Athens with his contemporaries and disciples such as Anaxagoras, Pericles, Alcibiades, Plato, and others. Socrates is often regarded as a practical ethicist, holding that the most important question for any philosopher, or indeed any person, is: 'How should one live?' Practical ethics involves the critical examination of personal, professional, policy, related, communicative, and social decisions and structures, subjecting them to ethical reflection and scrutiny.

There are, in fact, several distinct approaches to practical ethics, with different ethical theories applied depending on the context. What distinguishes ethics from other branches of philosophy, such as metaphysics or epistemology is its central concern with questions of right and wrong. Yet, ethics goes beyond merely evaluating whether a particular action is 'right'; it also interrogates the very concept of 'rightness' itself. In other words, what does it mean to be right? Rights are typically understood as legal, social, or ethical principles designed to protect an individual's freedom of thought and action. In this sense, rights function as directive rules that define what individuals are permitted to do or what is owed to them, as determined by legal systems, social norms, or ethical frameworks. However, a deeper exploration of the concept reveals significant philosophical complexity. The primary function of ethics is to provide guidance, especially with a view to shaping future actions. While ethics can evaluate past behavior, its principal value lies in informing the choices we make going forward. Ultimately, ethics distinguishes between ethical and unethical actions, but every action is preceded by a decision, which itself must be ethically sound. The core objective of ethics, therefore, is to cultivate 'right' thought, 'right' decisions, 'right' choices, and ultimately, the 'right' course of action. Interpersonal ethics is grounded in values such as loyalty, honesty, safety, truthfulness, and empathy. Actions that reflect these values enhance our ability to cooperate with others and are, as such, considered ethical.

Dreamers: There are two types of dreamers. They are the realist and the idealist. The realist fixes an achievable goal whereas an idealist is more likely to pursue a pipe dream, a vine hope. It is 'an idea or plan that is impossible or very unlikely to happen. The idealist dreamers become obsessed with their dreams, overestimate their chances of success, and make foolish decisions. They are often willing to risk everything, regardless of the cost or consequences, and proceed without a plan B. In contrast, a realist accepts situations as they are and responds with practical preparation and adaptability Logic and ethics are both concerned with truth and goodness, and are often viewed as essential elements in approaching the ideal or the standard. Reasoning, whether logical or ethical, is believed to bring us closer to these ideals. Logic forms the cornerstone of ethics, as ethics involves reasoning about the rightness or wrongness of human conduct. Carl Gustav Jung, the Swiss psychoanalyst who collaborated with Sigmund Freud, wrote in 1916: "Your vision will become clear only when you can look into your own heart. Who looks outside, dreams; who looks inside, awakes. Without, everything seems discordant; only within it combines into unity." Who looks outside dreams; who looks inside awakes." (Jung. 1950) Jung declares that looking outward is like dreaming, represents being caught up in external influences, societal expectations, or fleeting illusions. Looking inward, on the other hand, is like awakening to one's true self, gaining insight into one's motivations, fears, and desires.

Russell explains that reasoning can be either logical, where conclusions necessarily follow from assumptions, or illogical and inconsistent. At the same time, logic serves as a tool to help people think clearly about what they are discussing, the nature of the argument involved, and whether its foundations are based on mere assumptions or can be actually demonstrated. However, ethical reasoning reflection is only as good as its standard for what constitutes true goodness which is an amalgamation of virtue, kindness and generosity these lead to philosophy. Today, much ethical reflection is offered that acknowledges the truth or existence of no absolute moral standard, and is thus self- contradicting. Even the sacrifice of innocent children, according to the Bible to the God Moloch in the ancient days, might be perfectly justifiable, but it is totally cruel at present for non-believers. Even now some ardent believers may justify it. But the ironical thing is if A asks B, who has supported the sacrifice of children, to be ready to be sacrificed at A's God, B will say that it's wrong and cruel; and escapes from there and he will do the same whenever A is seen with a knife in his hand. So ethics should be tested on a broad canvass.

Thus, human beings should not be governed by instinct alone, as instincts vary from person to person. Rather, individuals are capable of, and indeed must, make conscious choices about what to believe and how to act. They are accountable for both their decisions and the consequences that follow, precisely because they

possess free will and the capacity to choose. These choices, in fact, are made within diverse contexts, and some are more visible or deliberate than others. This fundamental emphasis on individual freedom and responsibility lies at the heart of existentialist thought. By and large, logic and ethics should never be used in ways that cause harm to others. Russell reinforces this principle by asserting that the very foundation of knowledge begins with doubt, whether in a general sense or within the realm of science. In scientific practice, a hypothesis is an idea or explanation that can be tested through observation and experimentation. Outside of science, the term may also refer to a theory or an informed guess. A hypothesis typically occupies a space between mere speculation and a well-established theory, it is more than a wild guess but not yet fully confirmed. Scientists often apply hypotheses to address prior observations that existing theories have been unable to adequately explain.

When people sincerely question whether they truly know anything at all, they are naturally led to examine the nature of knowledge itself, with the aim of distinguishing trustworthy beliefs from those that are unreliable. Hence, it becomes essential to act on the best available hypothesis without holding any belief dogmatically. Russell suggests that what we call knowledge can broadly be arranged in a hierarchy of degrees of certainty. Certain propositions, such as 'two and two make four' or 'we breathe air,' are so self-evident that they require no serious doubt. However, more distant memories, for instance, recalling how one celebrated his fifteenth birthday, or scientific claims like the existence of black holes, which require advanced equipment to verify, are far more uncertain. Thus, philosophy possesses both a theoretical and a practical aim. Now, it is time to consider about the second. Among most of the philosophers of ancient times, there was close relationship between a view of the universe and a doctrine as far as the best way of life is concerned. Several among them established fraternities that shared notable similarities with the monastic orders that emerged in later periods. The Greek philosophers, Socrates and Plato were taken aback by the sophists because they no longer had religious objectives in their teachings.

Who are sophists?: As it is discussed above, the word 'sophist' is of Greek origin, derived from the noun 'sophia,' meaning 'wisdom' or 'learning.' It originally referred to someone who practices, teaches, or seeks wisdom. Sophia could denote both specific types of expertise and a general understanding related to the conduct of life and higher forms of insight, often associated with visionaries and men of letters. In its earliest usage, the word sophist meant 'sage' or 'expert.' Over time, the term came to describe a particular class of Greek lecturers, writers, and teachers who flourished during the 5th and 4th centuries BCE. These sophists traveled throughout the Greek-speaking world, offering instruction in a wide range of subjects in exchange for fees. What was remarkable was that religion was not part of their teaching, a fact that surprised both Socrates and Plato. In light of the present, if philosophy is to play a meaningful role in the lives of ordinary people, those who are generalists, it must offer guidance on how to live. When it does, people tend to seek from philosophy what religion has traditionally provided, though with important differences. The key distinction is that a philosopher's way of life does not rely on appeals to authority, whether political, scriptural, or divine.

The second important difference is that a philosopher should not undertake to establish any Church or Mosque or Temple or any place of worship. Besides, many ancient philosophers thought that philosophy itself was a way of life. They believed philosophy should improve and transform human existence. Some philosophers held that individuals pursuing self-transformation would gravitate toward communities of like-minded thinkers. In this context, philosophy is expected, at minimum, to provide a guide to living. Now, it is about ethical teachings and philosophy. Here is a strong parallel that aligns well with the idea that philosophy is a way of life and not a religion. The concept originates with Pierre Hadot, the renowned French philosopher and historian of philosophy. He argued that ancient philosophy was not merely a theoretical discipline but a practical one, a way of living. He says, "Philosophy in antiquity was a way of life. Philosophy was a mode of existing in the world, to be practiced at every moment, with the aim of transforming the entirety of an individual's life." (Hadot, 1999). Auguste Comte, a French philosopher, mathematician, and writer, developed the doctrine of positivism. In fact, he proposed a secular "Religion of Humanity," but it ultimately failed due to a lack of public support. It is now widely felt that greater emphasis should be placed on intellectual virtues, an emphasis that has been largely neglected since the decline of Hellenic civilization.

The decline of the Hellenic civilization occurred as Rome gained strength and won wars against Macedonia turning the kingdoms and their allies into Roman provinces. Egypt was the final holdout, ultimately drawn into the civil war between Mark Antony and Octavian Caesar. There lies one significant difference between the ethical teachings of the ancient philosophers and those philosophers of the present day.

The ancient philosophers appealed to the people of means, who had free time and didn't want to work to earn a living, to live as it seemed good to them, even they could live in an independent city where their doctrines are the laws and they can live according to their will and pleasure. In contrast, the vast majority of modern educated individuals lack such freedom. They are compelled to earn a living within the existing social framework and cannot significantly alter their way of life without first effecting substantial changes in the political and economic systems. In essence, their lifestyle must conform to socially accepted norms. As a result, a person's ethical convictions are now more often reflected in political advocacy than in private behavior, unlike in earlier times. Consequently, the concept of a good life has become more of a social ideal than an individual pursuit.

In establishing logical philosophy, Russell dismantled many traditional structures and constructed a new framework grounded in utility and logical empiricism. He viewed Aristotelian logic, particularly in its traditional forms, as a Trojan horse, allowing non-scientific assumptions to infiltrate philosophical discourse. Russell frequently criticized Hegel's philosophy, which he saw as heavily influenced by Aristotelian logic, portraying it as a metaphysical house of cards built upon a flawed logical foundation. His new approach to logic is soon embraced as a progressive and rational path forward in philosophy. It is a new intellectual environment and so it is difficult to imagine that it would give any niche for Hegel. It was so because Hegel had placed his speculative logic at the core of his philosophical system. However, it did not take long for Russell's vision and mission for a new kind of philosophy to begin gaining ground. By the mid-twentieth century, distinct philosophical paths had emerged, those paths that Hegelian philosophy could no longer traverse. While Russell's approach was rooted in a rigorous logical foundation, he also recognized that philosophy must address a broader spectrum of human concerns, grounded in principles of cause and effect.

The natural course of development for Russell was to extend the role of logic to the very foundations of the natural sciences. During this period, the sciences were experiencing revolutionary transformations, and the influence of this new philosophical approach quickly became evident, especially among those deeply engaged in critical and scientific thinking. A parallel can be found in the work of Rudolf Carnap, a leading figure in the Logical Positivist movement: he said, "It is the task of philosophy to clarify the logical structure of scientific theories and to eliminate metaphysical elements that have no empirical basis." (Carnap. R.2002) Like Russell, Carnap emphasized the foundational role of logic in science and sought to rid philosophy of vague metaphysics. When compared to pure mathematics, the natural sciences are essentially based on empirical experience, and Russell soon attempted to employ his logical project to a form of empiricism, with his novel ideas of a logical empiricism or logical positivism especially among various influential groups in the world. The goal of life has always been a question that has puzzled philosophers and theologians for centuries. According to many eminent philosophers, it is an inherently personal matter that differs from one individual to another.

In The Republic, Plato observes, "Certainly old age has a great sense of calm and freedom, when the passions relax their hold. For one who possesses a calm and contented nature, the weight of age is scarcely felt; but for one of a contrary disposition, both youth and old age are equally burdensome." (Plato, 2020) In these lines Plato provides a powerful parallel to the idea Russell mentioned: that people residing in a society may need to conform to norms, but individual temperaments deeply shape one's subjective experience of life's burdens. It also exemplifies Plato's concern with character and virtue, core themes in philosophical reflections on ethics and the human condition. From this perspective, it becomes possible to explore philosophy's insights on the subject of ethics. Russell, further, declares that the studying of philosophy depends on the belief that knowledge is beneficial, even if it is painful. A man who has the philosophic bent of mind, no matter whether he is a professional philosopher or not, wishes his beliefs to be as true as he can make them, he loves to know more and at the same time hates to be faulty. This principle has a wider measure than it seems at the opening move. The belief system of people spring from a great variety of reasons: what they were told in youth by their parents and at schools by teachers, what is preached at the places of worships, the books they read and so on and so forth.

Any one of the reasons mentioned above may guide individuals toward a genuine belief system that helps them live more meaningfully. However, it is more likely to lead them in the opposite direction, as they often accept ideas from others or external sources without critical examination. Therefore, all information must be carefully scrutinized. Plato, quoting Socrates in his defense speech, writes: "The unexamined life is not worth living" (Socrates, 1966). For Socrates, examining life involved the active pursuit of wisdom, self-

understanding, and moral excellence. Ultimately, it is intellectual sobriety that enables individuals to reflect deeply on their beliefs and convictions. Through intellectual sobriety, individuals can develop the discernment necessary to identify which beliefs are credible, trustworthy, and genuinely philosophical. In this way, philosophy becomes both thought and action. Bertrand Russell further emphasizes that true wisdom involves applying critical scrutiny, especially to those beliefs that are most painful to question and most likely to lead to conflict with others who hold opposing yet equally baseless convictions.

Thus, the ultimate goal for individuals is to attain a true ethical philosophy. According to Russell, this is achievable even by a layperson with a philosophical disposition; it requires neither exceptional knowledge nor extraordinary ability. A philosopher, he suggests, should embody impartiality, wisdom, and a democratic spirit in both thought and action, ensuring that their ideas and conduct do not harm others. Impartiality entails treating diverse views and opinions with fairness and balance. Yet emotional bias can obscure sound judgment, underscoring the importance of objective reasoning. For this reason, individuals must learn to think in abstract terms and replace emotionally charged language with neutral, abstract concepts: ideas or qualities that are not tied to any specific physical object or experience and do not evoke strong positive or negative emotions. Russell illustrates this idea with an example involving three countries: A, B, and C, each described with specific characteristics. When asked to express an opinion using these abstract labels, a person is likely to respond impartially, much like solving a problem in elementary algebra, where personal emotions are not involved. However, once it is revealed that A represents England, B represents Germany, and C represents Russia, the individual's judgment may become biased. This shift demonstrates how emotional attachment can distort objectivity.

Russell highlights another important intellectual virtue, generality or impartiality, which aspires to noble ideals such as truth, knowledge, understanding, wisdom, and happiness. These virtues are essential for anyone who seeks to live an intellectually honest, peaceful, and fulfilling life. Russell outlines practical approaches to achieving genuine happiness. Interestingly, there is a profound resonance between Tyagaraja's spiritual wisdom and Russell's rational humanism, particularly in their shared emphasis on inner peace as the foundation of true happiness. In one of his keertanas, Tyagaraja, the revered Hindu saint and Carnatic music composer, sings, "If there is no inner peace, there is no comfort, whether one is a layman or a scholar" (Wikisource, 2011). This profound insight closely parallels Bertrand Russell's reflections in The Conquest of Happiness. While Russell approaches the subject from a secular and rationalist perspective, he likewise contends that no amount of external success, wealth, or achievement can yield true happiness without inner equilibrium. Both philosophers maintain that happiness, at its core, is an inner state of being For Tyagaraja true inner peace is not found in external circumstances or possessions, but rather within the individual; for Russell, it stems from self-awareness, rational living, and emotional moderation. Despite their differing worldviews, their insights converge on a timeless truth: inner peace is the foundation of enduring contentment.

Intellectual virtues cultivate qualities such as curiosity, open-mindedness, attentiveness, and intellectual courage. Beyond these, they contribute to the development of individuals as excellent thinkers. While there are varying interpretations of which traits qualify as intellectual virtues, commonly recognized ones include a sense of responsibility, open-mindedness, and intellectual humility; character attributes that are both admirable and essential for sound judgment. These virtues also enhance one's vision, memory, and logical reasoning skills. Human emotions can be understood in parallel, as they tend to follow a certain hierarchy, influencing thought and behavior in nuanced ways. For example, if a man is hungry, he may make significant efforts to find food. If his children are hungry, the urgency intensifies. If a friend is hungry, he may offer comfort, though with less immediacy. However, upon hearing that millions of people in a distant South African country are facing starvation, the sheer scale and remoteness of the crisis often lead to emotional detachment, unless he bears official responsibility. Yet, if a person possesses the emotional depth to feel the weight of distant suffering as acutely as nearby distress, this capacity can form the basis of ethical responsiveness.

The relationship between logic and emotion in ethics presents a compelling area of inquiry. At first glance, the two may seem distinct, perhaps even oppositional, but upon closer examination, they are deeply intertwined in practical relevance. Logic is commonly regarded as a framework for rational decision-making, aimed at reaching the most reasonable and justifiable conclusions. Emotions, by contrast, embody genuine human responses, though they are often variable and context-dependent. Yet both function as vital tools in ethical reasoning, each offering unique strengths. Logic provides clarity, structure, and coherence, while emotions bring

depth, empathy, and moral sensitivity. In ethical practice, they are not adversaries but collaborators; working in cycle to guide human conduct through the combined force of reason and compassion. Groups that are traditionally seen as antithetical such as; Hindus and Muslims, Communists and Capitalists, or Americans and Russians; should strive to pursue the greatest possible good for all parties involved. However, in many of the world's major disputes, neither logic nor emotion, in their constructive forms, can be reasonably expected from either side. This absence of reasoned dialogue and empathetic understanding remains a core obstacle to resolution.

In the concluding paragraph of his essay Philosophy for Laymen, Bertrand Russell explicitly links philosophy to education, urging students to engage with philosophical thought, if not deeply, then at least enough to gain a broader and more reflective understanding of life. It is widely acknowledged that the study of philosophy enhances an individual's problem-solving abilities. Meaningful engagement with philosophy enables individuals to critically examine fundamental concepts, definitions, arguments, and complex issues. More broadly, philosophy investigates human attitudes, existence, values, knowledge, reality, and theoretical frameworks. At its core, it seeks to guide and refine principles of behavior and life in both personal and societal contexts. Viewing the human condition through a philosophical lens, understanding who we are and what we truly need, offers a more thoughtful and sustainable approach to resolving problems. Thus understood, philosophy aspires to explore the foundational nature of human existence. Immanuel Kant, who viewed philosophy as a tool of enlightenment, empowering individuals to think independently and act rationally, famously declared in his 1784 essay What is Enlightenment?, "Have the courage to use your own understanding?" (Kant, 1992). This call to intellectual autonomy reflects the core purpose of philosophical inquiry: cultivating independent thought and rational problem-solving.

The essential task of philosophy is to provide human beings with a comprehensive, non-dogmatic view of life. This philosophical perspective serves as a foundational framework for all human actions, be they mental, physical, psychological, or existential. It helps individuals understand the nature of the world and the universe, the means by which they are to engage with it, the methods through which knowledge is acquired, and the standards by which life's goals and ethical choices should be evaluated. Philosophy enables people not only to discern fine distinctions among competing views but also to uncover common ground between opposing positions. It encourages the integration of diverse perspectives into a coherent and unified understanding. Fundamentally, to live is to act, and to act is to choose. In order to make meaningful choices, one must understand who he is, where he stands, and what he genuinely values. This existential necessity is inescapable. The only alternative lies in whether one's guiding philosophy is consciously chosen through reason or passively absorbed by accident. In this light, philosophy proves indispensable, as it equips individuals with the rational clarity needed to navigate life's complexities and solve problems meaningfully.

Philosophy and Students: Russell urges students to devote some time to philosophy, however reluctantly, without compromising their focus on their primary fields of study. As Professor Erica from the University of Pittsburgh aptly observes, "Education without philosophy is blind, because it does not have a strong foundation. Philosophy in education acts as a central system which furnishes the direction, purpose, as well as methodology to achieve the objective of education" (Erica, 2020). Russell and Professor Erica share a similar perspective, recognizing that the relationship between philosophy and education is both complex and deeply intertwined. While not entirely indistinguishable, the two are closely connected, like entangled ropes, highlighting the significance each holds for the other. Philosophy equips educators with a spirit of inquiry and a commitment to truth-seeking. It is important to note that facts may change, but truth remains constant. A philosopher, therefore, is often seen as one who pursues enduring truths. In this light, philosophy and education can be seen as two sides of the same coin: philosophy represents the reflective, contemplative side, while education embodies the active, practical application.

Thus, education is the dynamic expression of philosophy, beautifully illustrating their interdependent relationship. In short, philosophy sets the goals of life, while education provides the means to achieve them. This intrinsic connection is evident in the fact that many of the world's greatest philosophers; Socrates, Plato, Bertrand Russell, Jean-Paul Sartre, and others of the present age, have also been profound educators. As John Dewey, the American philosopher and education reformer, aptly stated in his Democracy and Education, "Philosophy is the theory of education in its most general phases." (Dewey, 2001). This underscores how philosophical thought forms the foundation of meaningful educational practice. Great educators have consistently reflected their philosophical views in shaping their educational objectives. Since education can be

regarded as the working arm of philosophy, many of its core challenges are, in essence, philosophical in nature. Philosophy provides a foundational aim to education, guiding the development of the curriculum, influencing teaching methodologies, shaping academic organization and discipline, and even defining the role of the teacher. Moreover, a philosophical approach to education fosters wisdom, helping students grow not only as knowledgeable individuals but also as thoughtful professionals and responsible citizens.

Russell believes that philosophy cultivates in students the habit of employing precise and careful thought when applying their skills. It also broadens their perspective, both vertically and horizontally, enabling a more comprehensive and impersonal understanding of their life goals. Furthermore, philosophy serves as an antidote to the anxieties and anguish of the present, offering what Russell describes as the closest possible approach to peace of mind for a reflective individual in a troubled and uncertain world.

Russell outlines the best practical aim of philosophy through six key principles:
1) Philosophy promotes a definite way of life rooted in reflection and rational inquiry.
2) Unlike religion, its appeal is not to a sacred or privileged body of truths, but to reason.
3) It establishes no place of worship, emphasizing thought over ritual.
4) It upholds intellectual virtues and the value of impartiality as essential to a sound moral code.
5) It discourages actions that bring harm to others.
6) It affirms that the spirit of true democracy lies in philosophical thought.

In the concluding paragraph of Philosophy for Laymen, Russell urges young people to engage with philosophy so they may better understand their role in society as thoughtful individuals. He emphasizes the need for clarity regarding the objects of one's thought, asserting that a practical and reasoned approach to thinking can serve as an antidote to the anxieties and turmoil of the modern world. Such philosophical practices are essential for understanding how human beings think, act, and interact with one another.

Society does not exist independently of the individuals who live and act within it. Conversely, society exists to serve individuals and to hold them together. Human life and society are inseparable. Both biologically and psychologically, human beings are inherently social creatures, naturally inclined to live in groups. As such, society serves as a fundamental condition for human development and continuity. The relationship between the individual and society remains one of the most profound and enduring questions in social philosophy. In fact, it is more philosophical than sociological, as it ultimately involves questions of values. People depend on society, and society is, in turn, formed by people. Within society, individuals are surrounded and shaped by culture, which acts as a powerful social force. This relationship is rooted in mutual dependence: individuals grow through society, and society thrives through individuals. A good and benevolent society is built by good and benevolent individuals, and it is especially shaped by those with a philosophical bent of mind, who can foster a deeper, more thoughtful, and harmonious social order.

"You suffer if you don't get what you want. You suffer when you get what you don't want. Even when you obtain exactly what you desire, suffering persists, because nothing lasts forever. The mind itself is the dilemma: it craves freedom from change, pain, and the burdens of life and death. Yet change is the fundamental law of existence, and no amount of denial can escape that truth."   –Socrates

Conclusion: Bertrand Russell strongly advocates that philosophy should be an integral part of both education and life. He argues that even a small portion of time, spared without hindering the learning of technical skills, can be devoted to philosophy, and that doing so greatly enhances one's value as a human being and global citizen. In his essay, Russell presents philosophy not merely as an abstract discipline but as a practical activity and a way of thinking that benefits everyone. He emphasizes that laypeople can learn philosophy and that it enables them to think more objectively, particularly when dealing with emotional or complex issues. To promote philosophical dialogue in education and society, Russell encourages engagement with fundamental, enduring questions, the 'big' questions that have shaped human thought throughout history, especially in a world where knowledge expands, but wisdom often lags behind. Philosophy, in Russell's view, fosters open-mindedness and liberates individuals from the constraints of dogma, instinct, culture, and personal prejudice. It cultivates an impartial, expansive outlook that helps individuals see beyond themselves. Through this philosophical lens, Russell addresses a range of social, political, and psychological challenges with clarity and conviction.

REFERENCES:

Carnap Rudolf, (2002) The Logical Syntax of Language, Open Court Publications. Chicago. USA

Craig Edward. Philosophy: A Very Short Introduction. Oxford University Press. London. U.K. 2002

Dewey John (2001) Democracy and Education, Pennsylvania State University. USA

Feynman, Richard P. (1999) The Pleasure of Finding Things Out: Perseus Books, The USA

Hadot Pierre (1999), Philosophy as a Way of Life, Blackwell Publishers Ltd, Oxford, UK

Hegel, (1984) Lectures on the Philosophy of Religion, Tr. Hodgson, University of California Press

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anaximander. 24.04.2025

https://www.gutenberg.org/files/34901/34901-h/34901-h.htm. 2011

https://www.hwlongfellow.org/poems_poem.phppid

https://www.roangelo.net/logwitt/logwit49.html

Jefferson Thomas (1788) https://www.archives.gov/exhibits/eyewitness/html.php?section=1

Jung.CG (1950). Routledge, Taylor and Francis Group, London and New York

Kant Immanuel, (1992) What is Enlightenment? Hackett Publishing, Harvard University, USA

Karl Marx. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Theses_on_Feuerbach. 2024

Keller Helen, (2018) Optimism Facsimile Publisher, India. ISBN-13: 978-9333368728

Knowledge and Wisdom / vidyaprabodhinicollege.edu.in

Longfellow HW. https://www.artofmanliness.com/builders-henry-wadsworth-longfellow/

Marx, Karl (1970) Critique of Hegel's Philosophy of Right. Cambridge University Press

Nietzsche Friedrich (1974) The Gay Science. Vintage Books, 1974, ISBN 0-394-71985-9

Plato, (2020) The Republic, Simon & Schuster, Cambridge University Press, UK

Plato. (1966) Apology, Harvard University Press; William Heinemann Ltd. USA

Russell Bertrand. Knowledge and Wisdom. https://russell-j.com/1073-KW.HTM

Russell Bertrand. On the Value of Philosophy. https://pressbooks.bccampus.ca/classicreadings

Russell, Bertrand. (2025) A History of Western Philosophy. Simon and Schuster, UK

Shakespeare, William (1999). Julius Caesar. Oxford University Press. p. 8. ISBN 0-19-283606-4

Shumener Erica (2020) Pittsburgh University Times, Volume. 52. Issue, 13, Feb. 20, 2020

Tilley Frank. (1982) History of Philosophy. The Indian Universities Press, Allahabad. 1982

Tyagaraja. https://te.wikisource.org/wiki/santamu leka, soukyamu ledu/

***