

## Power Quality Analysis of Distribution Network for Harmonic Reduction and Implementation

Nagraj<sup>1</sup>, Dr Shailendra Verma<sup>2</sup>, Sujit Kumar Singh<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> M- Tech Scholar, EE Department, CCET, CSVTU BHILAI, C.G <sup>2</sup>Head of Department, EE Department, CCET, CSVTU BHILAI, C.G <sup>3</sup> M- Tech Scholar, EE Department, CCET, CSVTU BHILAI, C.G

\_\_\_\_\_\*\*\*\_\_\_\_\_

**Abstract** – This paper is about the analysis of a simple 220/440 distribution network by implementing a power analyzer in the distribution transformer. This analyser logged in the system for a certain duration of four hours and recorded the parameters like voltage, current, power, active and reactive power of all the three phases. The average of all the voltage and current unbalance has been calculated and harmonic distortion of voltage and currents also been measured for the comparison of harmonics with the permissible range. As the networks consisting of nonlinear loads and due to this harmonics increases in the system. So a solution has to be find out like active power filters to be include in the system and to reduce the harmonics up to the permissible limit. The harmonic distortions are compared from the IEEE standards and after implementing the solutions the distortion should not exceed the maximum value for both voltage and current.

*Key Words*: *Total harmonic distortion, non-linear loads, distribution transformer, linear-loads* 

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

**Harmonics** - Whenever a sinusoidal voltage is applied to the load, the current drawn by the load is directly proportional to the voltage and impedance and follows the envelope of voltage waveform. Various loads cause the current to vary disproportionately with the voltage and the resulting waveforms contain distortions, creating multiple frequencies with in the 50 Hz sinusoidal waveform. A harmonic is a component of a periodic wave having a frequency that is integer multiple of the fundamental power line frequency. Harmonic loads causes power loss and a negative impact on utility distribution systems and components.

Transformer is a static device and plays a very important role in the power system. The transformers are designed considering frequency, perfect sinusoidal load current and balanced supply voltage. As the population is increasing the demand for reliable and quality power is increasing simultaneously. Hence, the nonlinear loads are increasing on the system for better comforts.

One of the major effects of harmonic distortion is to increase the transformer losses, component losses that are affected by the harmonic current loadings are the copper loss, eddy current loss and stray losses.

#### A. Harmonic current effect on ohmic losses

The ohmic losses are the losses due to primary and secondary distorted currents flowing through the windings. If the root mean square value of the load current is increased due to a harmonic component, the I2R loss will be increased accordingly [1].

#### B. Harmonic current effect on eddy current loss

The transformer core eddy-current loss in the power frequency spectrum is proportional to the square of the load current and the square of frequency. This characteristic will cause excessive core losses thereby creating abnormal temperature rise in transformers when supplying non-sinusoidal load currents [1]. **C. Harmonic current effect on other stray loss** 

## Other stray loss in the core, clamps, and structural parts will also increase at a rate proportional to the square of the load current,

but these losses will not increase at a rate proportional to the square of the foad current, square of the frequency, as transformer core eddy-current losses. Studies by manufacturers and other researchers have shown that the eddy-current losses in bus bars, connections and structural parts increase due to the harmonic exponent factor of approximately 0.8 or less

For dry-type transformers temperature rise in these regions are less critical than in the windings but it has to be properly accounted for transformers that are liquid filled.

#### **D.** Effect on top oil rise

The top oil rise will increase as the total load losses increase with harmonic loading for liquid filled transformers. Any increase in other stray loss will primarily affect the top oil rise [1].



Fig -1: Effect of harmonics in the waveform

The power analyzer of the configuration firmware 5.0 has been connected to the transformer HT and LT side for a particular time during operation and set in the 10 minutes slot for the calculation. The parameters like voltage, current, total active power, total reactive power as well as harmonic distortion in voltages and currents. The conventional method of finding voltage unbalance has also been done manually by mathematical equation. The harmonics of the voltages and currents are then compared with the standard values defined by IEEE. Various solutions also been described for the reduction of harmonics.



Volume: 08 Issue: 09 | Sept - 2024

SJIF Rating: 8.448

ISSN: 2582-3930

# **2.** Parameters Obtained by the Analyzer Table 1: Parameters of Voltage THD

| Time:    | V1-2 THDf | V2-3 THDf | V3-1 THDf |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|          | % f       | % f       | % f       |
|          |           |           |           |
| 19:10:00 | 2.1       | 2.1       | 2         |
| 19:20:00 | 2.1       | 2.1       | 2         |
| 19:30:00 | 2.1       | 2.2       | 2         |
| 19:40:00 | 2.2       | 2.2       | 2         |
| 19:50:00 | 2.1       | 2.2       | 2         |
| 20:00:00 | 2.1       | 2.2       | 2         |
| 20:10:00 | 2.1       | 2.1       | 2         |
| 20:20:00 | 2.1       | 2.1       | 2         |
| 20:30:00 | 2.1       | 2.1       | 2         |
| 20:40:00 | 2.1       | 2.1       | 2         |
| 20:50:00 | 2.1       | 2.1       | 2         |
| 21:00:00 | 2.1       | 2.1       | 2         |
| 21:10:00 | 2.1       | 2.1       | 1.9       |
| 21:20:00 | 2         | 2         | 1.9       |
| 21:30:00 | 2         | 2         | 1.9       |
| 21:40:00 | 1.9       | 1.9       | 1.8       |
| 21:50:00 | 1.9       | 1.9       | 1.8       |
| 22:00:00 | 1.9       | 1.9       | 1.7       |
| 22:10:00 | 1.8       | 1.8       | 1.7       |
| 22:20:00 | 1.7       | 1.7       | 1.7       |
| 22:30:00 | 1.7       | 1.7       | 1.6       |
| 22:40:00 | 1.6       | 1.7       | 1.5       |
| 22:50:00 | 1.6       | 1.6       | 1.5       |
| 23:00:00 | 1.6       | 1.6       | 1.4       |
| 23:10:00 | 1.6       | 1.6       | 1.4       |

## Table 2: Parameters of Current THD

| Time:    | A1 THDf | A2 THDf | A3 THDf |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|
|          | % f     | % f     | % f     |
| 19:10:00 | 50.1    | 44.1    | 10.4    |
| 19:20:00 | 72.4    | 48.7    | 10.2    |
| 19:30:00 | 71      | 42.5    | 11.1    |
| 19:40:00 | 71      | 45.2    | 12      |
| 19:50:00 | 71.7    | 45      | 12      |
| 20:00:00 | 73.2    | 49.4    | 12.2    |
| 20:10:00 | 73.6    | 61.9    | 12.9    |
| 20:20:00 | 72.8    | 55.2    | 13.5    |
| 20:30:00 | 74.3    | 47.8    | 13.4    |
| 20:40:00 | 73      | 54.2    | 13.1    |
| 20:50:00 | 72.8    | 54.2    | 13.6    |
| 21:00:00 | 72.9    | 55.2    | 13.5    |

| 21:10:00 | 73.2 | 52.6 | 13.1 |
|----------|------|------|------|
| 21:20:00 | 72.8 | 52.6 | 13.6 |
| 21:30:00 | 73.7 | 62.7 | 13.5 |
| 21:40:00 | 73.1 | 54.8 | 12.9 |
| 21:50:00 | 73.6 | 56.2 | 14.4 |
| 22:00:00 | 73.3 | 54.5 | 12.8 |
| 22:10:00 | 74.7 | 56.5 | 12.4 |
| 22:20:00 | 74.7 | 54.1 | 12.9 |
| 22:30:00 | 73.9 | 55.8 | 13.1 |
| 22:40:00 | 75.5 | 58.7 | 12.6 |
| 22:50:00 | 75.4 | 60.3 | 13   |
| 23:00:00 | 75.1 | 54.9 | 12.9 |
| 23:10:00 | 74.9 | 56.1 | 12.6 |

## Table 3: Average Parameters of HT Side

| Time:    | PT (W) | QT (var) | PFT   |  |
|----------|--------|----------|-------|--|
|          | W      | var      |       |  |
|          |        |          |       |  |
| 19:10:00 | 5385   | -87.9    | 0.946 |  |
| 19:20:00 | 5077   | -90.3    | 0.936 |  |
| 19:30:00 | 5551   | -86      | 0.946 |  |
| 19:40:00 | 4475   | -115.7   | 0.933 |  |
| 19:50:00 | 4349   | -81.2    | 0.934 |  |
| 20:00:00 | 3902   | 113.1    | 0.926 |  |
| 20:10:00 | 3185   | 164.6    | 0.899 |  |
| 20:20:00 | 3084   | 206.2    | 0.907 |  |
| 20:30:00 | 3320   | 470.5    | 0.912 |  |
| 20:40:00 | 3167   | 204.5    | 0.911 |  |
| 20:50:00 | 3113   | 202.4    | 0.909 |  |
| 21:00:00 | 3067   | 199.5    | 0.907 |  |
| 21:10:00 | 3225   | 206.4    | 0.914 |  |
| 21:20:00 | 3170   | 205.4    | 0.912 |  |
| 21:30:00 | 2882   | 192.2    | 0.892 |  |
| 21:40:00 | 3119   | 199.8    | 0.909 |  |
| 21:50:00 | 2911   | 212.1    | 0.902 |  |
| 22:00:00 | 3128   | 202.2    | 0.909 |  |
| 22:10:00 | 3097   | 192.2    | 0.906 |  |
| 22:20:00 | 3142   | 198.3    | 0.91  |  |
| 22:30:00 | 2999   | 206.4    | 0.905 |  |
| 22:40:00 | 2936   | 203.1    | 0.9   |  |
| 22:50:00 | 2872   | 198.5    | 0.895 |  |
| 23:00:00 | 3017   | 197.8    | 0.906 |  |
| 23:10:00 | 3005   | 191.6    | 0.906 |  |



Volume: 08 Issue: 09 | Sept - 2024

SJIF Rating: 8.448

ISSN: 2582-3930

## 2. RESULTS



Fig -2: Voltage output of three phase HT side



Fig -3: Current harmonics of three phase HT side







Fig -5: Total power factor of three phase



Fig -6: voltage harmonics HT side



Fig -7: Current harmonics HT side



Volume: 08 Issue: 09 | Sept - 2024

SJIF Rating: 8.448

ISSN: 2582-3930

### Table 4: Voltage Unbalance table

| Line    | RMS   | Me  | Maximu    | Voltage        |
|---------|-------|-----|-----------|----------------|
| voltage | value | an  | m         | unbalance=     |
|         |       | Val | deviation | (Max           |
|         |       | ue  | from      | deviation/mea  |
|         |       |     | mean      | n value) * 100 |
|         |       |     |           |                |
| Vr      | 415.9 |     | 2.2       |                |
|         |       |     |           |                |
| Vy      | 419.1 |     | -1        |                |
|         |       |     |           |                |
| Vb      | 419.3 | 418 | -1.2      | 0.53%          |
|         |       | .1  |           |                |
|         |       |     |           |                |

Fig -8: Average of Voltage harmonics LT side

#### Table 5: Current Unbalance table

| Line   | RMS       | Mea   | Maximu    | Current        |
|--------|-----------|-------|-----------|----------------|
| curren | value     | n     | m         | unbalance=     |
| t      |           | Value | deviation | (Max           |
|        |           |       | from      | deviation/mea  |
|        |           |       | mean      | n value) * 100 |
| lr     | 19.8<br>9 | 17.54 | -2.4      |                |
| ly     | 16.8<br>6 |       | 0.7       | 9.52%          |
| Ib     | 15.8<br>7 |       | 1.7       |                |

#### Fig -9: Average of Current harmonics LT side

From the above results it can be seen that the Three phase voltage, current, power of the distribution transformer is in a desirable range, the power factor of all the three phases has also been observed and the total power factor of all the three phases is also in the range so no need to improve the power factor by the power factor controller. The THD range of voltage is around 2 and 2.5 which is in a very nominal range and no need for improvement whereas the current harmonic distortion values of all the three phases has a very higher ranges like in phase one 50-70 and in phase two about 30-50 similarly in phase three 10-20 in range. So some filter is been required to improve the current harmonics and to improve the range of harmonic

distortion. Voltage and current values and the voltage unbalance and current unbalance has also been calculated and find out that the voltage unbalance is 0.53 % is in permissible range similarly current unbalance calculated and it's been around 10 % which is in higher side and needs to improve.

#### **Table 6: Power Analyzer Configuration**

| Electrical hook-up                    | 3-Phase 4-Wire 3V              |  |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Probes                                | A193 AmpFlex (100 A)           |  |
| Reactive values (var)                 | O. Separated (Without          |  |
| Q or N calculation                    | Harmonics)                     |  |
| Phase Harmonic                        | Fundamental Value as reference |  |
| Ratios                                | (%f)                           |  |
| Long-Term Flicker                     |                                |  |
| (Plt)                                 | Sliding Window                 |  |
| Transformer Factor K                  | q = 1.7 e = 0.10               |  |
| Current ratio                         | 1:1                            |  |
| Voltage ratio phase to                |                                |  |
| neutral                               | 1:1                            |  |
| Aggregation                           | 10 min                         |  |
| Recorded                              |                                |  |
| measurements Vq-q                     | CF, rms, THDf                  |  |
| Recorded                              |                                |  |
| measurements V <sub>\$\phi\$</sub> -N | CF, Pst, rms, THDf, unb (u2)   |  |
| Recorded                              | CF, FHL, rms, THDf, unb (u2),  |  |
| measurements A                        | FK                             |  |
| Recorded                              | Cos φ (DPF), Hz, PF, Tan φ, S  |  |
| measurements other                    | (VA), Q (var), P (W), D (var)  |  |
| Recorded harmonics                    |                                |  |
| Vφ-N                                  | 0 - 50                         |  |
| Recorded harmonics                    |                                |  |
| А                                     | 0 - 50                         |  |
| Recorded harmonics S                  |                                |  |
| (VA)                                  | 0 - 50                         |  |

## 4. CONCLUSION

The power logging has been done in a building premises transformer to analyze the nature of voltage and current harmonics. We can see that all the parameters like voltage, current, active power, power factor all are in a permissible range and the voltage THD and current THD has also been verified by the analyzer and it seems that the current THD has a very high value due to some nonlinear loads. So a solution is been required for the current harmonic elimination and a calculation has been done for the voltage and current unbalance and after the calculation result shows that the current unbalance is very high, this problem can also be resolved with the help of active power filter and in our next work we will see the impacts after implementation and make sure that the THD should be in a permissible limits.



### REFERENCES

1. IEEE Std C57.110-1998 "IEEE Recommended Practice for Establishing Transformer Capability When Supplying Nonsinusoidal Load Currents".

2.JianZheng (2000) "Transformer ac winding resistance and derating when supplying harmonic-rich current" "M.Sc. A thesis in electrical engineering in Michigan Technological University".

3. K.C. Umeh, A. Mohamed, R. Mohamed,(2003) "Determining harmonic characteristics of typical single phase non-linear loads", Proc. Student Conference on Research and Development (SCORED), Putrajaya, Malaysia.

4. Ahd H. Gheeth (2012)"Analysis Of Power Transformers Feeding Variable Frequency".

5. Ulinuha, Agus. (2016b). The impact of harmonic filter locations on distortion suppression. 2016 International Seminar on Intelligent Technology and Its Applications (ISITIA), 503 https://doi.org/10.1109/ISITIA.2016.7828711.

6. Ulinuha, A. (2017). The impact of harmonic \_lter locations on distortion suppression. Proceeding - 2016 Inter- national Seminar on Intelligent Technology and Its Application, ISITIA 2016: Recent Trends in Intelligent Computational Technologies for Sustainable Energy. https://doi.org/10.1109/ISITIA.2016.7828711.

 Dao, T., Phung, B. T., & Blackburn, T. (2015). Effects of voltage harmonics on distribution transformer losses. 2015 IEEE PES Asia-Paci\_c Power and Energy Engineering Conference (APPEEC), https://doi.org/10.1109/APPEEC.2015.7380953.

8. K. Karsai, D. Kerenyi, and L. Kiss, Large power transformers, Elsevier Publication, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 41.

9 .S. L.Timothy and D. W. E. William, *Electric Power and Controls*, Pearson-Prentice Hall, New Jersey, United States of America, 2004.improved power quality ac-dc converters," IEEETrans.Ind.Electron.,vol.51,no.3,pp.641–660,Jun. 2004.

10. G. Y. Choe, J. S. Kim, B. K. Lee, C. Y. Won, and T. W. Lee, "A bidirectional battery charger for electric vehicles using photovoltaic PCS systems," in Proc. IEEE Veh. Power Propulsion Conf., Sep.2010, pp.1–6.

11. Fuchs, E. F., Lin, D., & Martynaitis, J. (2006). Measurement of three-phase transformer derating and reactive power demand under nonlinear loading conditions. IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, 21(2), 665{672.

12. Pejovski, D., Najdenkoski, K., & Digalovski, M. (2017). Impact of different harmonic loads on distribution transformers. Procedia Engineering, 202, 76{87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.09.696.

13. Senra, R., Boaventura, W. C., & Mendes, E. M. A. M. (2017). Assessment of the harmonic currents generated by single-phase nonlinear loads. Electric Power Systems Research, 147, 272{279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2017.02.028.

14. A. H. A. Haj and I. E. Amin, "Factors that Influence Transformer No-Load Current Harmonics," *IEEE Transaction on Power Delivery*, vol. 15, no. 1, January 2000, pp. 163-166.