

## “PRANAMIKA”

Vijeth M Hugar <sup>1</sup>, BH Pavan Kumar <sup>2</sup>, Sumeeth Sangat <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Student in Computer Science and Engineering & Presidency University, Bengaluru.

<sup>2</sup>Student in Computer Science and Engineering & Presidency University, Bengaluru.

<sup>3</sup>Student in Computer Science and Engineering & Presidency University, Bengaluru.

Dr. Thrimoorthy N, Assistant professor, School of CSE, Presidency University, Bengaluru, India.

**Abstract** - The efficient execution of government schemes is crucial for the welfare of citizens. Programs such as AADHAR, public health initiatives, welfare schemes, and various social services rely heavily on the integrity and effectiveness of local government officials. However, in many cases, public servants fail to fulfill their duties due to negligence, rudeness, dishonesty, and even indulge in corrupt practices like bribery. These shortcomings undermine the success of important government initiatives, erode public trust, and diminish the overall quality of service delivery. To address these challenges, we have developed **Pranamika**, a digital platform that allows citizens to rate and review the performance of local government officials. This innovative solution aims to enhance transparency, accountability, and overall governance by making these ratings and reviews publicly accessible.

**KeyWords:**

**Transparency, Accountability, Governance, Performance**

### INTRODUCTION

Public servants play a critical role in ensuring the efficient delivery of government schemes, such as AADHAR, healthcare programs, welfare schemes, and various other public services. These programs are designed to improve the lives of citizens by providing essential services, but

their success is heavily dependent on the effectiveness, efficiency, and integrity of the public officials responsible for executing them. Unfortunately, instances of negligence, corruption, and inefficiency among public servants are widespread, leading to significant public dissatisfaction. Such issues not only undermine the success of government schemes but also erode trust in public institutions and prevent citizens from benefiting fully from these programs.

Negligence can result in delays, lost opportunities, and poor-quality service, while corruption—such as bribery and favoritism—creates an environment where only those who can afford to pay are able to access public services, leaving marginalized groups behind. Inefficiency and lack of accountability can lead to the misuse of public resources and the failure to meet citizens' needs in a timely manner. These issues contribute to a growing sense of frustration and disenchantment among the public, and there is an urgent need to address them in a meaningful way.

To tackle these challenges, a transparent and accountable system is essential. Such a system should allow citizens to hold public servants responsible for their actions, providing them with the power to voice their concerns and contribute to improving the overall quality of public services. **Pranamika** is a digital platform developed to meet this need by offering a space where citizens can rate and review the performance of local government officials. The platform is designed to create a direct and transparent

connection between citizens and the public servants who are responsible for the delivery of government .

By enabling citizens to rate public officials based on key performance indicators, such as professionalism, responsiveness, efficiency, and honesty, **Pranamika** establishes a transparent system of accountability. These ratings and reviews are publicly available, which ensures that officials are aware that their actions are being observed and evaluated by the very people they are supposed to serve. This visibility encourages public servants to act with greater care, integrity, and professionalism, knowing that their actions are subject to scrutiny.

One of the primary goals of **Pranamika** is to foster a culture of **efficiency, honesty, and responsiveness** within government institutions. By making the performance of public servants more transparent, the platform provides an incentive for officials to improve their behavior and work ethics. Public servants who receive positive feedback can be recognized and rewarded, further motivating them to maintain high standards of service. Conversely, negative feedback can prompt officials to address areas of concern, leading to continuous improvement in service delivery.

In addition to promoting better performance among government officials, **Pranamika** serves as a powerful tool for reducing **corruption**. When citizens can see how others have rated a particular official or department, it creates a form of collective pressure that discourages corrupt practices such as bribery and favoritism. Moreover, the platform's ability to highlight issues with specific officials or services can lead to faster intervention by higher authorities, ensuring that problems are addressed before they escalate.

At the heart of **Pranamika** is the empowerment of citizens. By providing a platform where citizens can share their experiences and opinions, **Pranamika** enables them to take an active role in improving public services. This active participation helps ensure that government schemes are executed with the best interests of the people in mind.

Citizens no longer have to passively accept poor service; they can use the platform to demand better governance and more efficient public services.

## 2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

To effectively address the research gaps identified in existing citizen feedback systems, **Pranamika** offers a comprehensive platform that enhances transparency, accountability, and citizen engagement in local governance. The platform incorporates several key features designed to improve the quality of feedback, promote public accountability, and ensure that government services are continuously improved. Below is an in-depth description of the proposed methodology behind **Pranamika**:

### 1. Public Rating & Reviews

The foundation of **Pranamika** is the ability for citizens to rate and review government officials based on multiple performance dimensions, such as **professionalism, honesty, efficiency, responsiveness, and overall service delivery**. This multi-dimensional approach enables citizens to provide a holistic assessment of their experiences with public servants, rather than relying on a single rating or review. For example, a citizen might rate an official highly for **efficiency** but lower for **professionalism** or **responsiveness**, providing a more nuanced understanding of the official's performance.

Allowing citizens to write detailed reviews further enriches the feedback process, as it enables them to share specific instances or examples of their experiences. These reviews can serve as useful tools for other citizens who might be interacting with the same officials or services in the future. By providing citizens with the freedom to articulate their experiences in their own words, **Pranamika** captures a wide range of insights that can help identify both areas of strength and areas that need improvement. The rating system is designed to be intuitive and user-friendly, ensuring that even individuals with limited technical experience can easily participate in the feedback process.

This aspect of the platform ensures that **Pranamika** is not just a simple feedback collection tool, but an active space for **citizen-led governance**. The feedback mechanism promotes a **participatory governance model**, where citizens are directly involved in the evaluation and improvement of government services. Public officials who are rated and reviewed on the platform are aware that their performance is visible to the public, creating an incentive for them to deliver higher-quality services and maintain ethical conduct in their professional interactions.

## 2. Transparency and Accessibility

One of the core strengths of **Pranamika** is its emphasis on **transparency**. Unlike many existing feedback systems where reviews may be hidden from public view, **Pranamika** ensures that **all reviews and ratings are publicly accessible**. This openness is crucial for building trust between citizens and public officials. By making reviews visible to all, the platform fosters an environment of **open dialogue**, where citizens can engage in conversations about the performance of government services.

Transparency is also enhanced by the fact that ratings and reviews are not anonymized, but linked to user profiles that can be verified for legitimacy. This feature encourages users to provide honest and responsible feedback, as they are accountable for their comments. The public nature of the reviews encourages **peer accountability**, as government officials and citizens alike can see how others are evaluating the services being offered.

Moreover, transparency helps in identifying **patterns** in the feedback. If a specific department or official consistently receives poor ratings across different dimensions, this can be an early warning signal for **systemic issues** that need to be addressed. The availability of public reviews also ensures that citizens are **informed** about the quality of services provided by local government officials, empowering them to make better decisions and hold officials accountable for their actions.

## 3. CONCLUSIONS

*Pranamika* represents a bold and innovative approach to improving governance through the harnessing of citizen feedback. At its core, the platform aims to foster transparency, accountability, and active citizen engagement by allowing individuals to rate and review public officials and government services. By leveraging technology to create a direct and accessible channel for citizens to voice their opinions, *Pranamika* empowers everyday people to play a crucial role in shaping the effectiveness of public service delivery. In doing so, it aims to create a more responsive, accountable, and

efficient government, ultimately enhancing the quality of life for citizens.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We extend our heartfelt gratitude to all the individuals and organizations who contributed to the development of the content outlined above. This work would not have been possible without the insights and support of numerous stakeholders who have a shared commitment to improving governance and public service delivery.

We are grateful to the researchers and practitioners whose studies and experiences provided the foundational knowledge necessary to design an initiative like *Pranamika*. Their work has been invaluable in identifying the challenges and opportunities associated with fostering transparency, accountability, and citizen engagement in governance.

A special thank you goes to the developers and designers who envisioned and refined the features of *Pranamika*, ensuring that it remains user-friendly and inclusive for citizens from all walks of life. Their dedication to creating a meaningful platform

demonstrates a commitment to leveraging technology for the greater good.

Finally, we wish to acknowledge the contributions of citizens who actively participate in providing feedback on government services. Their engagement is the driving force behind the success of platforms like Pranamika, ensuring that public officials are held accountable and that governance continues to improve in response to their needs and aspirations.

It is our hope that the ideas presented here will inspire meaningful changes in governance, empowering citizens and fostering a more equitable, efficient, and responsive public service system.

## REFERENCES

1. World Bank. (2016). "Government Transparency and Accountability." [World Bank Report].
2. Nicolai, S. & Sorensen, M. (2018). "Citizen Feedback and Governance." *Journal of Public Administration*, 42(3), 347- 362.
3. MyGov. (2020). "Engaging Citizens in Governance." [Online Resource].
4. Pranamika Whitepaper. (2024). "Empowering Citizens for **Better Governance**."
5. Abhishek, R. "GST to be Game Changer for Manufacturing, Ease of Doing Business." GST India, 2017. "About GST in India." GST India, [www.gstindia.com/about](http://www.gstindia.com/about).
6. Agarwal, Ankit. "The Future of GST: What will be the long-term impact on the Indian Economy?." QRIUS, 2018.
7. Askim, J., & Hanssen, G. S. (2008). Councillors' receipt and use of citizen input: Experience from Norwegian local government. *Public Administration*, 86(2), 387–409. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2008.00722.x>
8. Blomqvist, P., & Bergman, P. (2010). Regionalisation Nordic Style: Will Regions in Sweden Threaten Local Democracy? *Local Government Studies*, 36(1), 43–74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03003930903435740>
9. Borge, R., Colombo, C., & Welp, Y. (2009). Online and Offline Participation at the Local Level: A quantitative analysis of the Catalan municipalities. *Information Communication & Society*, 12(6), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13691180802483054>
10. Cheyne, C. (2015). Changing Urban Governance in New Zealand: Public Participation and Democratic Legitimacy in Local Authority Planning and Decision- Making 1989-2014. *Urban Policy and Research*, 33(4), 416–432. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08111146.2014.994740>