

Predicting Graduate Employability Using Machine Learning: A Structured Research Assessment

Akanksha Ramesh . Patil

Research Scholar, School of Engineering & Technology
DYP Agriculture & Technical University, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India
✉ akankshapatil.rnt@gmail.com | akankshapatil.phdca24@dyp-atu.org

Dr. Anil T. Gaikwad

Associate Dean (Academics)
DYP Agriculture & Technical University, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India
✉ dranilgaikwad70@gmail.com

Abstract

Machine Learning (ML) has rapidly transitioned into a decisive computational tool for evaluating learner capabilities and predicting employability outcomes. In modern hiring ecosystems, graduate placement decisions increasingly depend on data-driven insights rather than intuition. This parallel research provides an in-depth analytical discussion on major predictive models, performance indicators, and institutional evaluation frameworks related to employability forecasting. Through synthesis of diverse research contributions, this paper highlights dominant predictors of career readiness such as academic standing, program relevance, internship exposure, and behavioural performance. Evidence indicates that ensemble-based algorithms, particularly Random Forest and XGBoost, consistently demonstrate high predictive precision compared to traditional linear approaches. Persistent challenges however—such as lack of unified datasets, feature imbalance, model interpretability, and ethical data concerns—continue to shape future research requirements.

Keywords: Employability Prediction, Educational Data Mining, ML-based Forecasting, Campus Placement Analytics, Ensemble Learning, Outcome Modelling

1. Introduction

Graduate employability has become an essential quality indicator for higher education systems. Institutions today are compelled to move beyond conventional placement support and adopt analytical approaches to understand student performance and marketplace adaptability. With the rise of data-centric decision frameworks, Machine

Learning is emerging as a transformative agent, enabling institutions to model placement likelihood, recommend skill upgrades, and tailor career guidance.

The use of AI-driven forecasting allows identification of hidden relationships between student attributes such as academic scores, engagement metrics, domain knowledge, and job preparedness. Rather than depending solely on interview performance or academic merit, institutions can now evaluate success probability using multi-dimensional data. As a result, predictive employment analytics are advancing from experimental application to mainstream policy-driven adoption.

Literature Review & Conceptual Background

Employability research historically draws from Human Capital Theory, which correlates education with productivity. However, modern frameworks emphasize multidimensional readiness that extends beyond academic results. Studies validate that CGPA remains a significant determinant, but domain specialization, communication skills, and project exposure considerably influence hiring decisions.

Earlier models predominantly used linear mathematical regression, while recent work shows strong accuracy under ensemble-based and hybrid ML approaches. Increasing focus is now placed on:

- ✓ multi-variable input modelling
- ✓ quality of experiential learning (internships, projects)

- ✓ demographic participation balance
- ✓ long-term career outcomes, not just ‘first-job’ success

Table below summarizes evidence comparable to the original document:

Author & Year	Dataset	Models Used	Key Features	Best Result
Kumar et al. 2019	500	Logistic Regression	CGPA + Gender	85.2% Accuracy
Aravind et al. 2019	750	Regression + XGBoost	Academic & Behavioural	RMSE 0.234
Tang et al. 2024	1200	RF + SMOTE	Performance + Attendance	F1 = 0.89
Bujang et al. 2021	980	RF + Neural Net	Multiclass Grades	91.3% Accuracy
Raj & VG 2022	650	Random Forest	LMS & Assessment Logs	92.1% Accuracy

Machine Learning Approaches for Prediction

Machine Learning techniques in employability forecasting primarily involve **supervised learning**, where past student records train prediction models. Decision Trees and Random Forest are frequently used due to their clarity and high classification strength. Boosting frameworks (XGBoost, Gradient Boosting) further improve accuracy by iteratively refining errors.

Summary of Algorithm Strengths:

Algorithm	Advantage	Drawback
Logistic Regression	Simple, Explainable	Lower accuracy in non-linear data
Decision Tree	Transparent structure	Susceptible to overfitting
Random Forest	High accuracy, robust performance	Less explainable
XGBoost	Best predictive precision	Computationally expensive
Neural Networks	Captures complex interactions	Requires large datasets

Placement Evaluation Indicators

Institutions track placement success using both conventional and expanded parameters:

Traditional Metrics

- Percentage placed
- Average salary

Advanced Outcome Measures

- Job relevance vs academic domain
- Growth trajectory in 2–5 years
- Employer quality rating
- Equity-balanced placement distribution

These metrics align outcome evaluation with institutional goals while aiding in curriculum restructuring and improvement planning.

Data Reliability and Ethics

The performance of predictive AI models depends on data quality. Missing records, grading scale variations, or unbalanced feature distribution may distort predictions. Ethical awareness regarding consent, privacy protection, and fairness in automated decisions is mandatory before institutional deployment. Regular audits and bias testing are essential components of sustainable predictive pipelines.

Evaluation Models & Validation

Imbalanced datasets often exaggerate accuracy results; hence F1-score, recall, MCC, and AUC-ROC are more dependable. Stratified K-fold validation is most suitable for algorithm tuning, while **temporal validation** offers realistic future prediction performance.

Challenges & Constraints

Key issues limiting ML-based employability adoption include:

- Limited cross-university datasets
- Restricted interpretability in ensemble models
- Resource-intensive deployment requirements

- Dynamic labour-market behaviour affecting model stability

Future Scope

Upcoming research directions include:

- ◆ Multi-source data fusion (text, video, behavioural logs)
- ◆ Federated & transfer learning for scalable institutions
- ◆ Causal modelling instead of correlation-only prediction
- ◆ Real-time employability dashboards for intervention

Conclusion

Machine Learning has begun reshaping how universities prepare students for emerging industry landscapes. Ensemble models such as Random Forest and XGBoost exhibit strong accuracy, yet explainability, unified datasets, and long-term projection remain open challenges. Advancing towards ethically governed, transparent and continuously evolving prediction engines will help educational organizations build stronger graduate career pipelines.

References

1. Kumar, S. D., Siri, Z. B., Rao, D. S., & Anusha, S. (2019). *Predicting Student Placement Probability using Logistic Regression*. International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, 8(9).
2. Guleria, P., & Sood, M. (2023). *Explainable AI for Educational Data Mining-based Career Recommendation Systems*. Education & Information Technologies, 28(3), 1081–1116.
3. Wilton, N. (2011). *Work Placements and Graduate Employability: A Study of Business Degree Programs*. Studies in Higher Education, 37(5), 603–620.
4. Uskov, V. L., Bakken, J. P., Byerly, A., & Shah, A. (2019). *Machine Learning in Predictive Academic Analytics: A STEM-focused Study*. IEEE EDUCON Conference.
5. Pinto, A. S., Abreu, A., Costa, E., & Paiva, J. (2023). *Transformation of Higher Education Using Machine Learning: A Systematic Review*. Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management, 8(2).
6. Bujang, S. D. A., Selamat, A., Ibrahim, R., Viedma, E. H., Krejcar, O., & Fujita, H. (2021). *Multiclass ML-based Model for Grade & Placement Prediction*. IEEE Access, 95608–95621.
7. Rajni, J., & Malaya, B. D. (2015). *Predictive Analytics in Higher Education: Model Comparison and Feature Extraction*. IEEE IT Professional, 17(4).
8. Nouib, H., Lamii, N., & Idrissi, Y. E. B. E. (2023). *Educational Employability Prediction using Hybrid ML Architecture: A Morocco-based Analysis*. Proceedings of NISS'23.
9. Tang, Z., Jain, A., & Colina, F. E. (2024). *Comparative Study of ML Classifiers for Academic Employability Forecasting*. Journal of Higher Education Theory & Practice, 24(1).
10. Aravind, T., Reddy, B. S., & Avinash, S. (2019). *Performance Comparison of ML Algorithms for Placement Prediction in Undergraduate Education*. I-SMAC Conference, pp. 542–546.
11. Vibhute, S., & Arage, C. (2024). *Deep Feature Optimization for Academic Prediction using Meta-heuristic Techniques*. Journal of Information Systems Engineering & Management, 9(4).
12. Jackson, D., & Bridgstock, R. (2019). *Graduate Success Measures: Beyond Initial Placement Statistics*. Journal of Higher Education Policy & Management, 41(5), 451–467.
13. Ingale, S., Kulkarni, V., Patil, A., & Vibhute, S. (2024). *Project-based Learning and Employability Skill Outcomes in Engineering*. Educational Administration: Theory & Practice, 30(1), 4333–4342.
14. Chi, C. G., & Gursoy, D. (2009). *Industry Perspective on Enhancing Graduate Employability Skills*. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 21(3), 308–322.
15. Engelland, B. T., Workman, L., & Singh, M. (2000). *Measuring Service Quality of University Placement Cells using Modified SERVQUAL*. Journal of Marketing Education, 22(3), 236–245.

16. Weatherton, M., & Schussler, E. E. (2021). *Redefining Student Success in Higher Education Systems*. CBE—Life Sciences Education, 20(1).
17. Angeioplastis, A., Aliprantis, J., Konstantakis, M., & Tsimpiris, A. (2025). *Learning Analytics for Performance & Employability Forecasting in University Students*. Computers, 14(3).
18. Ingale, S. & Vibhute, S. (2024). *Comprehensive Review of PBL Adoption and Placement Metrics in Higher Education*. Vidhyayana International Multidisciplinary Journal, 10(1).
19. Ehrlinger, J., Haunschmid, D., Palazzini, & Lettner, C. (2019). *Data Quality Monitoring for Machine Learning using DaQL Framework*. DEXA Proceedings.
20. Nauman, M., Akhtar, N., & Alhudhaif, A. (2021). *Fairness & Transparency in ML-based Academic Decision Systems*. IEEE Access, 9.
21. Mahale, Y., Kolhar, S., & More, A. S. (2025). *AI-driven Predictive Modelling in Real-time Analytics — Challenges & Scope*. Discover Applied Sciences, 7.
22. Ahmadi, S. (2023). *ML-based Optimization in Cloud-based Data Warehousing*. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), 12(12).
23. Sengar, H. S., Aravind, S., Kolli, R. K., & Goel, O. (2024). *Life-cycle Stability of Intelligence Models in Subscription-based Prediction*. Darpan Research Journal, 12(3), 915–947.