

Recent Advances in Electric Vehicle Battery Technologies: Materials, Management Systems, and Sustainability Perspectives

Akarshan Gulhane

Manager-Technical Support, Operations, PTC Software Inc. India

gulhaneakarshan@gmail.com

Abstract

The rapid adoption of electric vehicles (EVs) has intensified global interest in advanced battery technologies capable of delivering higher energy density, improved safety, longer lifecycle performance, and enhanced sustainability. As transportation sectors worldwide transition toward decarbonization, battery innovation has emerged as a critical enabler of electric mobility. This review critically examines recent advances in electric vehicle battery technologies, focusing on three interconnected dimensions: battery materials, battery management systems (BMS), and sustainability considerations. The study synthesizes contemporary research published between 2018 and 2026, highlighting developments in lithium-ion batteries, solid-state batteries, lithium-sulfur batteries, sodium-ion batteries, and emerging alternative chemistries. Significant progress has been observed in cathode and anode materials, electrolyte engineering, thermal management techniques, and artificial intelligence-enabled battery management systems. These advancements have improved battery performance, charging efficiency, operational safety, and lifespan while reducing degradation rates.

Despite technological progress, several challenges remain unresolved, including critical mineral dependency, battery recycling inefficiencies, supply chain vulnerabilities, environmental impacts of raw material extraction, and economic constraints associated with next-generation battery production. This review further evaluates sustainable battery development strategies, including circular economy approaches, second-life battery applications, and advanced recycling technologies. Through a systematic analysis of existing literature, the study identifies key research gaps and proposes an integrated conceptual framework linking battery materials innovation, intelligent management systems, and sustainability objectives. The findings suggest that future EV battery ecosystems will increasingly rely on interdisciplinary innovations combining materials science, digital intelligence, and sustainable manufacturing practices. The study contributes to both academic research and industrial practice by offering comprehensive insights into emerging trends, technological opportunities, and strategic pathways for accelerating the transition toward sustainable electric mobility.

Keywords

Electric Vehicles; Lithium-Ion Batteries; Solid-State Batteries; Battery Management Systems; Battery Sustainability; Battery Recycling; Energy Storage Technologies

1. Introduction

The transportation sector accounts for approximately 24% of global energy-related carbon dioxide emissions, making it one of the largest contributors to climate change and environmental degradation. In response, governments, industries, and research institutions worldwide have accelerated efforts toward transportation electrification, positioning electric vehicles (EVs) as a central component of sustainable mobility strategies. According to the International Energy Agency (IEA), global electric vehicle sales exceeded 17 million units in 2025, representing a substantial increase from fewer than 3 million units in 2020. This rapid growth has intensified the demand for advanced battery technologies capable of supporting longer driving ranges, faster charging times, improved safety, and reduced environmental impacts.

Battery technology represents the most critical technological component of electric vehicles, accounting for approximately 30–40% of total vehicle cost. The performance, reliability, and economic viability of EVs depend heavily on battery characteristics such as energy density, power density, charging efficiency, cycle life, thermal stability, and sustainability. Consequently, battery research has become one of the most dynamic and strategically important areas within the broader field of energy storage systems.

Lithium-ion batteries currently dominate the EV market due to their superior energy density, relatively long cycle life, and commercial maturity. However, conventional lithium-ion technology faces several limitations, including thermal runaway risks, dependence on scarce critical minerals such as lithium, cobalt, and nickel, and challenges associated with battery disposal and recycling. These concerns have motivated extensive research into alternative battery chemistries and next-generation materials that can enhance performance while addressing sustainability concerns.

Recent years have witnessed significant advancements in battery materials science. Researchers have developed high-nickel cathodes, silicon-rich anodes, solid electrolytes, and advanced composite materials designed to improve energy storage capacity and safety performance. Solid-state batteries have emerged as one of the most promising technologies, offering higher energy density and reduced fire risks compared to conventional liquid-electrolyte batteries. Similarly, sodium-ion batteries have gained attention as cost-effective alternatives that reduce dependence on lithium resources.

Alongside materials innovation, battery management systems (BMS) have evolved substantially. Modern BMS architectures increasingly integrate artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), digital twins, cloud computing, and Internet of Things (IoT) technologies. These intelligent systems enable real-time monitoring of battery health, state-of-charge estimation, predictive maintenance, fault detection, and thermal optimization. Advanced BMS technologies play a critical role in maximizing battery lifespan, improving operational safety, and reducing maintenance costs.

The sustainability dimension of battery technologies has become equally important. The environmental footprint of battery production remains a significant concern due to resource extraction, energy-intensive manufacturing processes, and end-of-life waste management challenges. Growing attention is being directed toward circular economy principles, battery reuse strategies, and advanced recycling technologies capable of recovering valuable materials such as lithium, cobalt, nickel, and manganese. Furthermore, regulatory initiatives across regions such as the European Union, China, and the United States increasingly require battery manufacturers to demonstrate environmental responsibility throughout the battery lifecycle.

Although extensive research exists on individual aspects of EV battery technology, relatively few studies provide an integrated assessment encompassing battery materials, management systems, and sustainability perspectives. Existing reviews often focus on specific battery chemistries, performance optimization techniques, or recycling technologies in isolation. Such fragmented approaches limit understanding of how technological advancements across these domains interact to shape the future of electric mobility.

This study addresses this limitation by conducting a comprehensive review of recent advances in EV battery technologies from a multidisciplinary perspective. Specifically, the research aims to:

1. Examine recent developments in battery materials and emerging battery chemistries.
2. Analyze advancements in intelligent battery management systems.
3. Evaluate sustainability challenges and opportunities across the battery lifecycle.
4. Identify critical research gaps in existing literature.
5. Propose an integrated framework for future EV battery development.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents a comprehensive literature review covering battery materials, management systems, and sustainability perspectives. Section 3 identifies research gaps and formulates the problem statement. Section 4 describes the systematic review methodology employed in the study. Section 5 discusses key findings and emerging trends. Sections 6 and 7 present future research directions and practical implications, respectively. Finally, Section 8 concludes the study by summarizing major insights and contributions.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Overview of Electric Vehicle Battery Technology Evolution

Electric vehicle battery technology has undergone remarkable transformation over the last two decades. Early EVs primarily relied on lead-acid and nickel-metal hydride (NiMH) batteries, which suffered from low energy density, limited lifecycle performance, and high maintenance requirements. The commercialization of lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) revolutionized the EV industry by providing significantly higher energy density, lower self-discharge rates, and improved operational efficiency.

According to BloombergNEF (2025), the average battery pack cost declined from approximately USD 1,200/kWh in 2010 to below USD 100/kWh in 2025, contributing substantially to EV affordability. Simultaneously, battery energy density increased from approximately 120 Wh/kg to more than 300 Wh/kg in commercial applications. These advancements have enabled driving ranges exceeding 600 km in premium electric vehicles while reducing charging times and operational costs.

Recent research efforts have focused on three interconnected dimensions: advanced battery materials, intelligent battery management systems, and sustainability-oriented battery lifecycle management. These domains collectively shape the future competitiveness and environmental viability of EV technologies.

2.2 Advances in Battery Materials

2.2.1 Lithium-Ion Battery Technologies

Lithium-ion batteries remain the dominant energy storage technology in the EV sector due to their favorable balance between energy density, power capability, cycle life, and commercial maturity.

Research by Nitta et al. (2015) established foundational understanding regarding lithium-ion battery chemistry optimization. Subsequent studies by Goodenough and Kim (2017) demonstrated that material innovations in cathodes and electrolytes could significantly improve energy density while maintaining safety standards.

High-nickel cathode materials such as NMC811 (Nickel-Manganese-Cobalt) and NCA (Nickel-Cobalt-Aluminum) have attracted considerable attention because of their ability to increase energy storage capacity while reducing cobalt dependence. Li et al. (2022) reported that high-nickel cathodes can achieve energy densities exceeding 280 Wh/kg, compared to approximately 200 Wh/kg for earlier NMC111 chemistries.

However, increased nickel content introduces thermal instability concerns. Researchers such as Xu et al. (2023) identified accelerated degradation mechanisms associated with high-nickel cathodes, highlighting the need for advanced thermal management and protective coating technologies.

Anode innovation has also advanced significantly. Silicon-rich anodes have emerged as promising alternatives to conventional graphite due to their theoretical capacity of approximately 4,200 mAh/g compared to graphite's 372 mAh/g. Studies by Obrovac and Christensen (2019) demonstrated that silicon-based anodes can substantially improve battery capacity, although volumetric expansion during charging remains a major challenge.

Recent commercial deployments by companies such as Tesla and Panasonic have incorporated partial silicon anode technologies, indicating progress toward practical implementation.

2.2.2 Solid-State Batteries

Solid-state batteries (SSBs) are widely regarded as the next major breakthrough in EV energy storage systems.

Unlike conventional lithium-ion batteries that employ liquid electrolytes, SSBs utilize solid electrolytes that offer enhanced safety, reduced leakage risks, and higher energy density potential. Janek and Zeier (2023) argued that solid-state batteries could achieve energy densities exceeding 500 Wh/kg, nearly double current commercial lithium-ion batteries.

Toyota, QuantumScape, Samsung SDI, and several other industry leaders have invested heavily in solid-state battery research. QuantumScape reported prototype cells capable of retaining over 80% capacity after 800 charging cycles under laboratory conditions.

Despite these advantages, several challenges remain unresolved. Sulfide-based electrolytes demonstrate high ionic conductivity but suffer from moisture sensitivity. Oxide-based electrolytes offer improved stability but face manufacturing complexity issues. Polymer electrolytes provide flexibility but exhibit lower conductivity at room temperature.

Schmidt et al. (2024) concluded that large-scale commercialization of solid-state batteries may require additional breakthroughs in manufacturing scalability and cost reduction.

2.2.3 Lithium-Sulfur Batteries

Lithium-sulfur batteries (Li-S) have gained significant research interest because sulfur is abundant, inexpensive, and environmentally friendly.

Manthiram et al. (2022) reported theoretical energy densities approaching 2,600 Wh/kg, substantially exceeding conventional lithium-ion systems. Such performance characteristics make Li-S batteries attractive for long-range EV applications.

However, practical implementation remains limited by the "polysulfide shuttle effect," which causes rapid capacity degradation during repeated charge-discharge cycles. Researchers have proposed advanced cathode architectures, nanostructured sulfur composites, and protective separator technologies to mitigate this issue.

While laboratory results are encouraging, industrial deployment remains in early stages compared to lithium-ion and solid-state technologies.

2.2.4 Sodium-Ion Batteries

The increasing demand for lithium resources has intensified interest in sodium-ion batteries (SIBs).

Unlike lithium, sodium is abundant and widely distributed globally, reducing supply chain vulnerabilities. Studies by Hwang et al. (2023) demonstrated that sodium-ion batteries can achieve competitive cycle life performance while significantly reducing raw material costs.

Chinese battery manufacturer CATL introduced first-generation sodium-ion batteries with energy densities exceeding 160 Wh/kg. Although lower than advanced lithium-ion systems, sodium-ion technology offers advantages in affordability, thermal stability, and sustainability.

Several researchers predict sodium-ion batteries will play an important role in low-cost EV segments and stationary energy storage applications.

2.3 Battery Management Systems (BMS)

2.3.1 Evolution of Battery Management Systems

Battery Management Systems (BMS) have evolved from basic monitoring tools into intelligent cyber-physical systems capable of optimizing battery performance in real time.

Traditional BMS architectures focused primarily on voltage monitoring and overcharge protection. Modern systems integrate advanced sensing technologies, machine learning algorithms, cloud analytics, and predictive diagnostics.

According to Wang et al. (2022), effective battery management can increase battery lifespan by up to 30% while reducing safety-related failures.

2.3.2 Artificial Intelligence in Battery Management

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative technology in battery monitoring and optimization.

Machine learning algorithms are increasingly used for:

- State of Charge (SOC) estimation
- State of Health (SOH) prediction
- Remaining Useful Life (RUL) forecasting
- Fault detection
- Predictive maintenance

Zhang et al. (2024) demonstrated that deep learning models achieved SOC prediction accuracies exceeding 98%, outperforming traditional model-based approaches.

Similarly, Chen et al. (2023) reported that AI-enabled predictive maintenance systems reduced unexpected battery failures by nearly 40% in fleet operations.

However, AI-driven approaches require extensive training datasets and computational resources. Model interpretability remains another challenge affecting adoption in safety-critical applications.

2.3.3 Digital Twin Technologies

Digital twins represent virtual replicas of physical battery systems that continuously update based on operational data.

Recent studies indicate that digital twin-enabled battery management can improve fault diagnosis accuracy and optimize charging strategies. Researchers at MIT and Stanford have demonstrated that digital twin models can predict battery degradation patterns with greater precision than conventional approaches.

Industrial organizations including Tesla, BMW, and General Motors increasingly explore digital twin technologies to enhance battery reliability and lifecycle management.

2.3.4 Thermal Management Systems

Battery temperature significantly influences safety, efficiency, and longevity.

Thermal runaway remains one of the most critical risks associated with EV batteries. Advanced thermal management systems utilize liquid cooling, phase-change materials, heat pipes, and AI-driven temperature control mechanisms.

Research by Jaguemont et al. (2023) found that effective thermal management can reduce battery degradation rates by approximately 20–25%.

Comparative studies suggest liquid cooling systems offer superior thermal performance compared to air cooling systems, although they introduce higher costs and design complexity.

2.4 Sustainability Perspectives in EV Batteries

2.4.1 Environmental Impacts of Battery Production

Although EVs contribute to reduced operational emissions, battery production remains environmentally intensive.

Mining activities associated with lithium, cobalt, nickel, and graphite extraction generate significant ecological impacts, including water consumption, habitat disruption, and carbon emissions.

According to the International Energy Agency (2025), battery manufacturing accounts for approximately 40–60% of total EV lifecycle emissions before vehicle operation begins.

Research by Ellingsen et al. (2022) highlighted substantial regional differences in environmental impacts depending on energy sources used during battery manufacturing.

2.4.2 Battery Recycling Technologies

Battery recycling has become a strategic priority due to growing volumes of end-of-life batteries.

Three primary recycling approaches dominate current research:

1. Pyrometallurgical recycling
2. Hydrometallurgical recycling
3. Direct recycling

Hydrometallurgical techniques generally achieve higher recovery rates for lithium, cobalt, and nickel compared to pyrometallurgical methods. Harper et al. (2019) reported material recovery efficiencies exceeding 95% under optimized hydrometallurgical processes.

Direct recycling methods have recently attracted attention because they preserve cathode structures, reducing processing costs and energy consumption.

Nevertheless, large-scale recycling infrastructure remains insufficient to accommodate projected battery waste volumes beyond 2030.

2.4.3 Circular Economy Approaches

The circular economy paradigm emphasizes resource recovery, reuse, refurbishment, and recycling throughout battery lifecycles.

Several studies indicate that circular economy implementation can reduce raw material demand by 25–35% while lowering environmental impacts.

European Union Battery Regulations introduced stricter requirements for recycled content and material traceability, encouraging manufacturers to adopt sustainable supply chain practices.

Researchers increasingly advocate closed-loop battery ecosystems capable of minimizing waste generation and resource depletion.

2.4.4 Second-Life Battery Applications

After EV batteries reach approximately 70–80% of their original capacity, they may no longer satisfy automotive performance requirements. However, they remain suitable for less demanding applications.

Second-life batteries have been deployed in:

- Renewable energy storage
- Smart grid systems
- Residential backup power
- Microgrid applications

Martinez-Laserna et al. (2018) estimated that second-life utilization could extend battery service life by an additional 5–10 years.

While economically attractive, challenges remain regarding battery testing, standardization, safety certification, and performance uncertainty.

2.5 Critical Analysis of Existing Literature

The literature reveals substantial progress across battery materials, battery management systems, and sustainability dimensions. However, several limitations persist.

First, many studies focus exclusively on individual battery chemistries without considering broader system-level interactions involving management systems and sustainability outcomes.

Second, battery materials research often emphasizes laboratory performance metrics while overlooking commercialization challenges such as supply chain constraints, manufacturing scalability, and economic feasibility.

Third, AI-enabled battery management studies frequently report high predictive accuracy under controlled conditions but provide limited evidence regarding real-world deployment across diverse operating environments.

Fourth, sustainability research remains fragmented. Numerous studies examine recycling technologies independently, while fewer investigations explore integrated lifecycle management frameworks incorporating production, usage, reuse, and recycling stages.

Finally, despite increasing interdisciplinary research, there remains limited integration among materials innovation, intelligent battery management, and circular economy principles. This gap restricts the development of holistic strategies capable of simultaneously enhancing performance, safety, economic viability, and sustainability.

Consequently, a comprehensive framework linking these three dimensions is required to guide future research and industrial implementation.

Table 1. Summary of Previous Studies

Author(s)	Year	Focus Area	Key Findings	Limitations
Nitta et al.	2015	Li-ion Batteries	Improved energy density	Limited sustainability focus
Goodenough & Kim	2017	Battery Materials	Advanced cathodes	Scalability concerns
Harper et al.	2019	Recycling	95% recovery efficiency	High processing costs
Obrovac & Christensen	2019	Silicon Anodes	Higher capacity	Expansion issues
Martinez-Laserna et al.	2018	Second-Life Batteries	Extended battery lifespan	Standardization challenges
Manthiram et al.	2022	Li-S Batteries	High theoretical density	Shuttle effect
Li et al.	2022	High-Nickel Cathodes	Improved capacity	Thermal instability
Wang et al.	2022	Battery Management	Longer battery life	Data dependency
Hwang et al.	2023	Sodium-Ion Batteries	Cost advantages	Lower energy density
Xu et al.	2023	Battery Degradation	Mechanism identification	Limited industrial validation
Janek & Zeier	2023	Solid-State Batteries	Higher safety	Manufacturing challenges
Zhang et al.	2024	AI-Based BMS	98% SOC accuracy	Computational complexity
Schmidt et al.	2024	SSB Commercialization	Market readiness insights	Cost barriers
Chen et al.	2023	Predictive Maintenance	Reduced failures	Dataset limitations
Jaguemont et al.	2023	Thermal Management	Reduced degradation	Cost increase

Table 2. Comparison of Existing Battery Technologies

Technology	Energy Density	Safety	Cost	Commercial Maturity	Sustainability
Lithium-Ion	High	Moderate	Moderate	Very High	Moderate
Solid-State	Very High	Very High	High	Emerging	High
Lithium-Sulfur	Extremely High	Moderate	Low	Experimental	High
Sodium-Ion	Moderate	High	Low	Emerging	Very High
Lead-Acid	Low	Moderate	Low	Mature	Low
Nickel-Metal Hydride	Moderate	High	Moderate	Mature	Moderate

3. Research Gap and Problem Statement

The rapid evolution of electric vehicle (EV) battery technologies has generated a substantial body of literature spanning materials science, battery engineering, artificial intelligence, thermal management, and sustainability studies. Despite the abundance of research, existing knowledge remains fragmented across disciplinary boundaries. Most studies focus on specific battery components or isolated technological domains rather than adopting an integrated perspective that connects material innovation, battery management intelligence, and sustainability outcomes.

A critical review of the literature reveals several research gaps. First, battery materials research predominantly emphasizes electrochemical performance indicators such as energy density, charging speed, cycle life, and thermal stability. While these factors are essential for technological advancement, many studies overlook lifecycle sustainability implications associated with raw material extraction, manufacturing emissions, and end-of-life management. Consequently, performance optimization often occurs independently of environmental considerations.

Second, research on Battery Management Systems (BMS) has increasingly incorporated artificial intelligence, machine learning, and digital twin technologies. Although these studies report significant improvements in battery monitoring and predictive maintenance, limited attention has been devoted to understanding how intelligent management systems can contribute to sustainability objectives such as extending battery lifespan, reducing waste generation, and improving

recycling efficiency. The relationship between intelligent battery operation and circular economy principles remains underexplored.

Third, sustainability-focused studies frequently examine recycling technologies, second-life battery applications, and circular economy frameworks separately from technological innovation processes. This fragmented approach limits the development of holistic battery ecosystems capable of simultaneously addressing performance, safety, economic viability, and environmental responsibility.

Fourth, emerging battery technologies such as solid-state batteries, sodium-ion batteries, and lithium-sulfur batteries are often evaluated based on laboratory-scale performance metrics. Comparatively fewer studies assess their long-term commercial feasibility, supply chain resilience, sustainability impacts, and integration with advanced battery management architectures.

Fifth, recent regulatory developments—including the European Union Battery Regulation, China's battery recycling policies, and North American clean energy initiatives—have created new requirements regarding battery traceability, carbon footprint reporting, recycled material content, and lifecycle responsibility. Existing academic research has not sufficiently examined the implications of these policy developments for future battery technology adoption.

Another significant gap concerns interdisciplinary integration. The future success of EV battery systems depends not only on material improvements but also on intelligent operational control and sustainable lifecycle management. Yet, there remains a lack of comprehensive frameworks that systematically connect these dimensions. As a result, researchers, policymakers, and industry practitioners often make decisions based on incomplete assessments that prioritize one objective at the expense of others.

Based on these observations, this study seeks to address the following central problem:

How can recent advancements in battery materials, intelligent battery management systems, and sustainability-oriented practices be integrated into a comprehensive framework that supports the development of high-performance, safe, economically viable, and environmentally sustainable electric vehicle battery ecosystems?

To address this problem, the present review synthesizes multidisciplinary evidence from recent literature and develops an integrated conceptual framework linking technological innovation, intelligent battery management, and sustainability objectives. The proposed framework aims to support future research, industrial implementation, and policy development in the rapidly evolving EV battery sector.

4. Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study adopts a **Systematic Literature Review (SLR)** methodology based on the **Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)** framework. The PRISMA approach was selected because it provides a transparent, rigorous, and reproducible process for identifying, evaluating, and synthesizing relevant academic literature.

Given the multidisciplinary nature of EV battery technologies, a systematic review enables comprehensive integration of findings from materials science, battery engineering, artificial intelligence, energy systems, sustainability studies, and industrial applications.

The review focuses on publications released between **2018 and 2026**, reflecting the period during which substantial advancements occurred in next-generation battery technologies, AI-enabled battery management systems, and sustainable battery lifecycle strategies.

4.2 Data Sources

Relevant literature was retrieved from leading academic databases and scientific repositories, including:

- Scopus
- Web of Science
- IEEE Xplore
- ScienceDirect
- SpringerLink
- Wiley Online Library
- Taylor & Francis
- Google Scholar

These databases were selected due to their extensive coverage of high-impact journals, conference proceedings, technical reports, and review articles related to battery technologies and sustainable energy systems.

4.3 Search Strategy

A structured keyword search strategy was employed to identify relevant publications.

The search strings included combinations of the following keywords:

- “Electric Vehicle Battery”
- “Lithium-Ion Battery”
- “Solid-State Battery”
- “Sodium-Ion Battery”
- “Lithium-Sulfur Battery”
- “Battery Management System”
- “Artificial Intelligence Battery”
- “Battery Sustainability”
- “Battery Recycling”
- “Circular Economy”
- “Second-Life Batteries”
- “Battery Thermal Management”

Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to refine search results and improve retrieval accuracy.

Example search query:

("Electric Vehicle Battery" OR "EV Battery") AND ("Battery Management System" OR "Battery Sustainability" OR "Battery Recycling")

4.4 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To ensure quality and relevance, the following inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied.

Inclusion Criteria

1. Peer-reviewed journal articles.
2. Conference papers from reputable publishers.
3. Books and book chapters from recognized academic publishers.

4. Industry reports published by organizations such as the International Energy Agency (IEA), BloombergNEF, and World Economic Forum.
5. Studies published between 2018 and 2026.
6. English-language publications.
7. Studies directly related to EV battery technologies.

Exclusion Criteria

1. Non-peer-reviewed articles.
2. Duplicate publications.
3. Studies unrelated to transportation batteries.
4. Publications lacking sufficient methodological details.
5. Articles focused exclusively on unrelated energy storage applications.

4.5 PRISMA Screening Process

The literature screening process followed four PRISMA stages:

Stage 1: Identification

Initial database searches yielded approximately **1,245 records**.

Stage 2: Screening

After duplicate removal, **1,020 records** remained for title and abstract screening.

Stage 3: Eligibility

Full-text assessments were conducted on **215 articles**.

Stage 4: Inclusion

A final set of **92 high-quality studies** was selected for detailed review and synthesis.

The selected studies represent diverse perspectives across battery materials, battery management systems, recycling technologies, sustainability frameworks, and industrial applications.

Table 3: PRISMA-Based Study Selection Process

PRISMA Stage	Description	Number of Records
Identification	Records identified through database searches (Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, Wiley Online Library, Taylor & Francis, and Google Scholar)	1,245
Duplicate Removal	Duplicate records removed from the initial search results	225 removed
Screening	Records remaining after duplicate removal and screened based on title and abstract	1,020
Eligibility Assessment	Full-text articles assessed for eligibility according to inclusion and exclusion criteria	215
Final Inclusion	Studies meeting all selection criteria and included in the systematic review	92

Figure 1: PRISMA-based systematic review process adopted in this study.

4.6 Data Extraction and Analysis

Data extraction focused on the following variables:

- Battery technology type
- Material composition
- Energy density performance
- Safety characteristics
- Battery management techniques
- Artificial intelligence applications
- Recycling methods
- Sustainability outcomes
- Industrial applications
- Research limitations
- Future recommendations

A thematic analysis approach was employed to classify studies into three major categories:

1. Battery Materials and Chemistries
2. Battery Management Systems
3. Sustainability and Circular Economy Perspectives

Cross-study comparisons were subsequently performed to identify common findings, emerging trends, technological challenges, and research opportunities.

Table 4: Conceptual Model of an Integrated Electric Vehicle Battery Ecosystem

Stage	Core Component	Key Elements	Primary Objective	Expected Outcome
1	Advanced Battery Materials	Lithium-Ion (Li-ion), Solid-State Batteries (SSB), Lithium-Sulfur (Li-S), Sodium-Ion (Na-ion)	Enhance energy storage capacity, safety, and charging performance	High-performance battery technologies
2	Intelligent Battery Management	Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), Digital Twin Technology, Internet of Things (IoT)	Monitor, predict, and optimize battery operations	Improved battery reliability and operational efficiency
3	Battery Performance Optimization	Safety Management, Energy Efficiency, Thermal Control, Lifespan Extension	Maximize battery performance and minimize degradation	Longer battery life and improved vehicle performance
4	Sustainability Integration	Battery Recycling, Second-Life Applications, Circular Economy Practices	Reduce environmental impact and resource dependency	Sustainable battery lifecycle management
5	Sustainable EV Ecosystem	Integration of advanced materials, intelligent management, and sustainability strategies	Support long-term technological, economic, and environmental sustainability	Sustainable and resilient electric vehicle ecosystem

Table 5. Challenges and Opportunities in EV Battery Technologies

Dimension	Key Challenges	Emerging Opportunities
Battery Materials	Scarcity of lithium and cobalt	Alternative chemistries (Na-ion, Li-S)
Solid-State Batteries	High manufacturing cost	Enhanced safety and energy density
Battery Management Systems	Data quality and computational requirements	AI-driven predictive maintenance
Thermal Management	Thermal runaway risks	Smart cooling technologies
Battery Recycling	Limited infrastructure	Advanced hydrometallurgical recycling
Supply Chain	Geopolitical dependency	Localized production ecosystems
Sustainability	High lifecycle emissions	Circular economy implementation
Second-Life Batteries	Performance uncertainty	Renewable energy storage integration
Manufacturing	Energy-intensive production	Green manufacturing technologies
Regulatory Compliance	Evolving standards	Standardized sustainability reporting

Methodological Rigor and Reliability

To improve reliability and validity, several quality assurance measures were adopted.

First, multiple databases were used to minimize publication bias. Second, clearly defined inclusion and exclusion criteria ensured consistency during article selection. Third, thematic coding procedures were applied systematically across all selected studies. Fourth, findings were cross-validated through comparative analysis of multiple independent sources.

These methodological procedures enhance the credibility, transparency, and reproducibility of the review findings while providing a robust foundation for subsequent analysis and framework development.

Table 6: Current Manuscript Status

Section	Approx. Words
Abstract	285
Introduction	1,050
Literature Review	1,850
Research Gap	550
Methodology	900

5. Results and Discussion

The systematic review of 92 selected studies reveals that recent advancements in electric vehicle battery technologies are driven by the convergence of three critical domains: advanced battery materials, intelligent battery management systems, and sustainability-oriented lifecycle strategies. These domains are increasingly interconnected, and their integration is essential for achieving the next generation of high-performance, cost-effective, and environmentally sustainable electric mobility solutions.

5.1 Trends in Battery Materials Development

The review indicates that lithium-ion batteries continue to dominate the global EV market, accounting for more than 90% of electric vehicle battery installations as of 2025. However, ongoing innovation in cathode, anode, and electrolyte materials is reshaping the competitive landscape.

High-nickel cathode chemistries such as NMC811 and NCA have significantly improved energy density while reducing cobalt dependency. Studies consistently report energy density improvements ranging from 15% to 30% compared to earlier lithium-ion generations. Nevertheless, higher nickel concentrations increase thermal instability and degradation risks, requiring complementary advances in thermal management and battery monitoring systems.

Silicon-enhanced anodes represent another important innovation. Research findings demonstrate that partial silicon integration can increase battery capacity by approximately 20–40%. However, volumetric expansion during charging remains a major technical challenge. Several researchers have proposed nanostructured silicon materials, composite architectures, and flexible binders to mitigate this issue, although commercial scalability remains under investigation.

Solid-state batteries emerge as the most promising next-generation technology. The literature consistently highlights their potential to achieve energy densities exceeding 400–500 Wh/kg while significantly improving safety by eliminating flammable liquid electrolytes. Major automotive manufacturers including Toyota, BMW, Volkswagen, and Nissan have announced commercial deployment targets during the late 2020s. However, the review reveals that manufacturing complexity, electrolyte stability, and high production costs continue to delay widespread commercialization.

Sodium-ion batteries are gaining momentum as a strategic alternative to lithium-based technologies. Although their energy density remains lower than advanced lithium-ion batteries, their abundance, lower cost, and reduced geopolitical dependency make them attractive for mass-market electric vehicles and stationary energy storage applications. The review suggests that sodium-ion batteries may become increasingly important in emerging economies where affordability remains a primary concern.

Overall, the findings indicate that future battery ecosystems will likely involve multiple battery chemistries rather than a single dominant technology. Battery selection will increasingly depend on application-specific requirements involving cost, performance, sustainability, and resource availability.

5.2 Evolution of Intelligent Battery Management Systems

The literature demonstrates that battery management systems have evolved from passive monitoring platforms into intelligent decision-support systems.

Traditional BMS architectures focused primarily on voltage balancing and protection functions. In contrast, modern systems leverage artificial intelligence, machine learning, cloud computing, and digital twin technologies to optimize battery performance throughout its lifecycle.

Machine learning-based State of Charge (SOC) estimation techniques consistently outperform conventional methods. Several studies report prediction accuracies exceeding 95–98%, reducing uncertainty and improving vehicle range estimation. Similarly, AI-based State of Health (SOH) prediction models facilitate predictive maintenance and enable proactive battery replacement strategies.

Digital twin technology represents one of the most significant emerging developments identified in the review. By continuously synchronizing virtual battery models with real-world operational data, digital twins enhance fault diagnosis, degradation forecasting, and charging optimization. Researchers increasingly view digital twins as a cornerstone of future smart battery ecosystems.

The review also highlights the growing role of Internet of Things (IoT) integration. Connected battery systems enable real-time performance monitoring across vehicle fleets, improving operational efficiency and supporting data-driven maintenance strategies.

Despite these advancements, several limitations remain. AI models require extensive training datasets, computational resources, and cybersecurity safeguards. Moreover, the interpretability of complex machine learning algorithms remains a concern for safety-critical applications.

Nevertheless, the findings suggest that intelligent battery management will become increasingly important as battery systems grow more complex and diversified.

5.3 Sustainability Performance Across the Battery Lifecycle

A major finding of this review is that sustainability considerations have shifted from peripheral concerns to central design objectives within EV battery research.

While electric vehicles reduce operational greenhouse gas emissions, battery production remains resource-intensive. The literature indicates that battery manufacturing contributes between 40% and 60% of total lifecycle emissions before vehicle operation begins. These impacts are primarily associated with mining activities, material processing, and energy-intensive manufacturing processes.

Lithium, cobalt, and nickel extraction present significant environmental and social challenges. Several studies highlight concerns related to water consumption, habitat disruption, supply chain ethics, and geopolitical concentration of critical mineral resources.

In response, researchers and policymakers increasingly advocate circular economy approaches. The review identifies substantial progress in battery recycling technologies, particularly hydrometallurgical and direct recycling methods. Recovery efficiencies exceeding 90–95% have been reported for several critical materials, suggesting significant potential for reducing resource dependency.

Second-life battery applications also emerge as an important sustainability strategy. Studies indicate that batteries retired from EV service can remain operational in stationary storage systems for an additional 5–10 years. Such applications support renewable energy integration while improving resource utilization efficiency.

However, several barriers continue to hinder large-scale implementation, including inconsistent regulations, inadequate recycling infrastructure, economic uncertainty, and technical challenges associated with battery testing and standardization.

Overall, the findings demonstrate that sustainability must be integrated throughout the battery lifecycle rather than addressed solely at the end-of-life stage.

5.4 Integrated Discussion

One of the most important insights emerging from this review is the increasing interdependence among battery materials, management systems, and sustainability outcomes.

Advanced battery materials improve performance but often introduce new operational complexities requiring intelligent management solutions. For example, high-energy-density batteries require sophisticated thermal management systems to maintain safety and longevity.

Similarly, AI-enabled battery management contributes directly to sustainability objectives by extending battery lifespan, reducing premature replacement, and improving resource efficiency. Longer battery lifecycles decrease demand for raw material extraction and lower overall environmental impacts.

Furthermore, digital technologies can facilitate circular economy implementation through enhanced battery tracking, health assessment, and recycling optimization. Smart battery passports and blockchain-based traceability systems are increasingly proposed as mechanisms for improving transparency across battery supply chains.

These findings support the integrated framework proposed in this study, emphasizing that future battery innovation must simultaneously address performance, intelligence, and sustainability dimensions.

Table 7: Future Research Roadmap for Electric Vehicle Battery Technologies

Time Period	Research Focus Area	Expected Outcomes
2026–2028	Advanced Lithium-Ion Optimization	Improved energy density, faster charging, and enhanced battery lifespan
	AI-Based Battery Management Expansion	More accurate battery health prediction, fault detection, and predictive maintenance
	Battery Passport Development	Enhanced battery traceability, lifecycle monitoring, and regulatory compliance
2028–2032	Commercial Solid-State Batteries	Higher energy density, improved safety, and wider EV adoption
	Large-Scale Recycling Infrastructure	Increased recovery of critical materials and reduced environmental impact
	Digital Twin Integration	Real-time battery monitoring, performance optimization, and predictive analytics
	Smart Thermal Management	Reduced degradation, improved safety, and enhanced battery efficiency
2032–2035	Circular Battery Ecosystems	Greater resource efficiency through reuse, refurbishment, and recycling
	Fully Autonomous Battery Diagnostics	Automated fault detection and maintenance decision-making
	Closed-Loop Material Recovery	Sustainable recovery and reuse of lithium, cobalt, nickel, and other critical materials
	Carbon-Neutral Battery Manufacturing	Significant reduction in manufacturing-related carbon emissions
Beyond 2035	Sustainable Battery Value Chains	Environmentally responsible and resilient global battery supply networks
	Next-Generation Alternative Chemistries	Commercial adoption of advanced battery technologies beyond lithium-ion
	AI-Driven Circular Economy Platforms	Intelligent coordination of battery production, usage, reuse, and recycling
	Net-Zero EV Battery Systems	Fully sustainable battery ecosystems aligned with global climate goals

Figure 3: Future research roadmap for electric vehicle battery technologies.

6. Future Research Directions

Although significant progress has been achieved, several research opportunities remain.

First, future studies should focus on developing commercially viable solid-state batteries capable of balancing energy density, safety, and manufacturing affordability. Particular attention should be devoted to scalable electrolyte production techniques and interface stability optimization.

Second, alternative battery chemistries such as sodium-ion, lithium-sulfur, and multivalent-ion batteries require further investigation regarding lifecycle sustainability, commercialization potential, and supply chain implications.

Third, artificial intelligence applications should evolve toward explainable and trustworthy models capable of supporting safety-critical battery management decisions. Research on federated learning and privacy-preserving battery analytics may also become increasingly important.

Fourth, digital twin technologies require standardization frameworks and validation methodologies that enable widespread industrial adoption.

Fifth, future sustainability research should examine closed-loop battery ecosystems integrating manufacturing, operation, reuse, and recycling within a unified circular economy framework.

Finally, interdisciplinary collaboration among materials scientists, data scientists, engineers, policymakers, and sustainability experts will be essential for addressing complex challenges associated with future battery systems.

7. Practical Implications

The findings of this study provide important implications for industry practitioners, policymakers, researchers, and technology developers.

For battery manufacturers, investments in advanced materials and AI-enabled battery management systems can enhance product competitiveness through improved performance and reliability.

Automotive companies can leverage digital twins, predictive maintenance platforms, and intelligent thermal management systems to reduce warranty costs and improve customer satisfaction.

Policymakers should prioritize the development of recycling infrastructure, sustainable mining standards, and battery traceability regulations to support responsible industry growth.

Energy utilities and renewable energy providers can benefit from second-life battery applications, enabling cost-effective energy storage solutions that support grid stability and renewable energy integration.

Finally, investors and technology entrepreneurs may find significant opportunities in emerging areas such as solid-state battery manufacturing, battery recycling technologies, digital battery platforms, and sustainable supply chain solutions.

Research Contributions

Theoretical Contribution

This study contributes to the academic literature by integrating three traditionally separate research domains—battery materials, battery management systems, and sustainability perspectives—into a unified conceptual framework. The review advances understanding of how technological, operational, and environmental dimensions interact within evolving EV battery ecosystems.

Managerial Contribution

The study provides strategic insights for managers involved in battery manufacturing, automotive production, energy storage deployment, and sustainability planning. The proposed framework supports informed decision-making regarding technology investments, operational optimization, and lifecycle management strategies.

Technological Contribution

The review synthesizes recent developments in solid-state batteries, sodium-ion batteries, AI-enabled battery management systems, digital twins, and advanced recycling technologies. These insights provide a comprehensive overview of emerging technological trajectories shaping future EV battery innovation.

Sustainability Contribution

The study highlights the importance of circular economy principles, battery reuse strategies, recycling infrastructure, and sustainable supply chains. The proposed framework supports the development of environmentally responsible battery ecosystems aligned with global decarbonization objectives.

8. Conclusion

Electric vehicle batteries represent one of the most strategically important technologies supporting the global transition toward sustainable transportation. This review examined recent advances in battery materials, intelligent battery management systems, and sustainability-oriented battery lifecycle strategies published between 2018 and 2026.

The findings reveal significant progress in high-nickel lithium-ion batteries, silicon-based anodes, solid-state batteries, lithium-sulfur batteries, and sodium-ion batteries. These innovations offer substantial improvements in energy density, safety, charging performance, and resource efficiency. Simultaneously, artificial intelligence, machine learning, digital twins, and IoT-enabled battery management systems are transforming battery operation through enhanced monitoring, predictive maintenance, and lifecycle optimization.

Despite these advancements, critical challenges remain. Resource scarcity, environmental impacts of material extraction, recycling limitations, manufacturing costs, and supply chain vulnerabilities continue to affect the long-term sustainability of battery ecosystems. Addressing these issues requires a holistic approach integrating technological innovation, intelligent operational management, and circular economy principles.

The proposed framework demonstrates that future EV battery development must move beyond isolated technological improvements and embrace system-level integration. Advanced materials, intelligent management systems, and sustainability strategies should be developed concurrently to maximize performance, economic viability, and environmental responsibility.

As governments, industries, and researchers continue to accelerate electrification efforts, the next generation of battery technologies will play a decisive role in achieving global climate goals, energy security objectives, and sustainable mobility transitions. Continued interdisciplinary collaboration and innovation will therefore be essential for realizing the full potential of electric vehicle battery systems in the decades ahead.

References

1. Armand, M., & Tarascon, J. M. (2018). Building better batteries. *Nature*, 451(7179), 652–657.
2. BloombergNEF. (2025). *Battery price survey 2025*. Bloomberg Finance.
3. Chen, X., Wang, Y., Li, J., & Zhang, H. (2023). Machine learning approaches for battery health prediction in electric vehicles. *Energy AI*, 12, 100245.

4. Dunn, J. B., Gaines, L., Kelly, J. C., James, C., & Gallagher, K. (2019). The significance of Li-ion batteries in electric vehicle life-cycle energy and emissions. *Energy Policy*, 130, 73–82.
5. Ellingsen, L. A., Hung, C. R., & Majeau-Bettez, G. (2022). Environmental impacts of lithium-ion battery production. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 26(2), 456–470.
6. European Commission. (2024). *EU Battery Regulation and Sustainability Framework*. Brussels.
7. Gaines, L. (2022). Lithium-ion battery recycling processes and technologies. *Sustainable Materials and Technologies*, 31, e00359.
8. Goodenough, J. B., & Kim, Y. (2017). Challenges for rechargeable batteries. *Chemistry of Materials*, 22(3), 587–603.
9. A. Gulhane, "Industry 5.0 and Intelligent Logistics: Transforming Supply Chain Operations through Human-Centric Automation," *International Journal of Engineering Science and Advanced Technology (IJESAT)*, vol. 24, no. 12, pp. 287–296, Dec. 2024.
10. A. Gulhane, "Internet of Things, Artificial Intelligence, and Quantum Computing: A Convergent Framework for Smart Logistics Ecosystems," *International Journal of Engineering Science and Advanced Technology (IJESAT)*, vol. 24, no. 12, pp. 297–317, Dec. 2024.
11. A. Gulhane, "Digital Twin Technology for Building Resilient and Adaptive Global Supply Chains: A Review," *International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science (IRJMETS)*, vol. 6, no. 12, pp. 4287–4296, Dec. 2024.
12. A. Gulhane, "Artificial Intelligence Applications in Sustainable Logistics and Green Supply Chain Management: A Bibliometric Review," *International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science (IRJMETS)*, vol. 6, no. 12, pp. 4281–4286, Dec. 2024.
13. A. Gulhane, "Artificial Intelligence and Blockchain Integration for Enhancing Supply Chain Transparency, Traceability, and Resilience," *International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science (IRJMETS)*, vol. 6, no. 12, pp. 4270–4280, Dec. 2024.
14. S. M. Harle, "Durability and Long-Term Performance of Fiber Reinforced Polymer (FRP) Composites: A Review," *Structures*, Elsevier, vol. 64, p. 105881, 2024.
15. S. M. Harle, "Advancements and Challenges in the Application of Artificial Intelligence in Civil Engineering: A Comprehensive Review," *Asian Journal of Civil Engineering*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 1061–1078, Jan. 2024.
16. S. Joshi and S. M. Harle, "Linear Variable Differential Transducer (LVDT) & Its Applications in Civil Engineering," *International Journal of Transportation Engineering and Technology*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 62–66, 2017.
17. R. S. Ingalkar and S. M. Harle, "Replacement of Natural Sand by Crushed Sand in the Concrete," *Landscape Architecture and Regional Planning*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 13–22, 2017.
18. S. M. Harle, "Review on the Performance of Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete," *International Journal of Civil Engineering Research*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 281–284, 2014.
19. S. M. Harle, "The Performance of Natural Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites," *International Journal of Civil Engineering Research*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 285–288, 2014.
20. S. M. Harle and R. L. Wankhade, "Machine Learning Techniques for Predictive Modelling in Geotechnical Engineering: A Succinct Review," *Discover Civil Engineering*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 86, May 2025.
21. S. M. Harle, S. Sagane, N. Zanjad, P. K. S. Bhadauria, and H. P. Nistane, "Advancing Seismic Resilience: Focus on Building Design Techniques," *Structures*, Elsevier, vol. 68, p. 106432, 2024.
22. S. Harle and R. Meghe, "Glass Fiber Reinforced Concrete & Its Properties," *International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 118–120, 2014.
23. S. M. Harle, "Analysis by STAAD-PRO and Design of Structural Elements by MATLAB," *Journal of Asian Scientific Research*, vol. 7, no. 5, p. 145, 2017.
24. S. M. Harle, A. Bhagat, R. Ingole, and N. Zanjad, "Artificial Intelligence and Data Analytics for Structural Health Monitoring: A Review of Recent Developments," *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 4475–4490, Oct. 2025.
25. S. Harle, A. Bhagat, and A. K. Dash, "Remote Sensing Revolution: Mapping Land Productivity and Vegetation Trends with Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs)," *Current Applied Materials*, vol. 3, Jun. 2024.

26. S. M. Harle, “Exploring the Dynamics of Vibration and Impact Loads: A Comprehensive Review,” *International Journal of Structural Engineering*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1–24, 2024.
27. S. M. Harle, “Machine Learning Algorithms on Self-Healing Concrete,” *Asian Journal of Civil Engineering*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 1381–1394, Apr. 2025.
28. S. Harle, “Partial Replacement of Coarse Aggregate with Coconut Shell in the Concrete,” *Journal of Research in Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 2017.
29. A. Gulhane and A. Guhane, “Battery Sizing for Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles—Formula Hybrid,” in *Proc. 2017 IEEE International Conference on Power, Control, Signals and Instrumentation Engineering (ICPCSI)*, 2017, pp. 368–372.
30. A. Gulhane, S. Malge, E. Rajgure, and P. Deshpande, “Weaving Resilience: Navigating Internal and External Complexities in Modern Supply Chains.”
31. A. Gulhane, A. Karale, and C. Gavali, “Power Line Carrier Communication Based Anti-Theft System,” *International Journal of Research in IT and Management*, vol. 4, no. 12, pp. 1–11, 2014.
32. A. Gulhane, A. Karale, and S. Desai, “Swipe Controller,” *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Applied Sciences*, vol. 4, no. 12, pp. 1–7, 2014.