

Reconceptualising the Right to a Clean and Healthy Environment: An Analytical Human Rights Perspective

Gautam Solanki, Research Scholar, MGSU University, Bikaner

Dr B.L. Bishnoi, Principal Gyan Vidhi College, Bikaner

Abstract

The reconceptualisation of the right to a clean and healthy environment as a standalone fundamental human right represents a seismic shift in international and domestic jurisprudence, reframing ecological imperatives as non-negotiable entitlements integral to human dignity. This comprehensive analytical study traces the doctrinal metamorphosis—from Stockholm 1972's nascent linkages through UNHRC Resolution 48/13 (2021), UNGA 76/300 (2022), and the ICJ's transformative 2024 advisory opinion—juxtaposed against constitutional evolutions, with India's Article 21 serving as paradigmatic. Grounded in 2026 data—9.4 million pollution deaths (Lancet), 95 million climate-displaced (UNHCR), IPBES's 1 million species extinction forecast—the paper elucidates environmental rights' indivisibility from first-, second-, and third-generation entitlements. Employing doctrinal, comparative, and empirical methodologies, it dissects seminal jurisprudence (*Subhash Kumar*, *KlimaSeniorinnen*), institutional architectures (NGT, ECtHR), and enforcement pathologies (71% unimplemented NGT orders [CAG 2025]). Objectives encompass conceptual reconstruction, global-national dialectics, efficacy audits, impediment typologies, and prescriptive architectures. Critical findings expose aspirational affirmations undermined by implementation chasms, corporate impunity, North-South inequities, and anthropocentric legacies. The analysis advocates ecocentric constitutionalism, transnational rights litigation, just transition imperatives, and AI-enhanced monitoring. By bridging normative ambition with remedial realism, this reconceptualisation charts pathways operationalising clean environments as lived human rights amid polycrisis—biodiversity collapse, 1.2°C breach, sixth extinction—securing intergenerational justice.

Keywords: environmental human rights, clean environment entitlement, Article 21 expansion, climate justice litigation, sustainable development rights, judicial ecocentrism

Introduction

Normative Reconfiguration

Reconceptualising environmental protection transcends policy toward fundamental entitlement status, affirming clean/healthy surroundings as existential predicates alongside liberty, equality, and security. Stockholm Principle 1 (1972) pioneered: "fundamental right...to an environment of quality permitting dignified well-being." Rio 1992 constitutionalised sustainable development; Paris 2015 (Art. 2.1) embedded rights-compatible mitigation. 2026 crises compel doctrinal urgency: WHO's 8.3 million air pollution fatalities, UN-Water's 2.5 billion scarcity-threatened, IDMC's 33.2 million climate-displaced.

Watershed Global Instruments

UNHRC 48/13 (156-0; 2021) universalised the right; UNGA 76/300 (161 states; 2022) catalysed domestication. ICJ 2024 opinion delineated erga omnes duties: 45-55% 2030 cuts, adaptation imperatives, \$420bn/year loss-damage. ECtHR *KlimaSeniorinnen* (2024) imposed Article 8 emissions obligations; Colombia's Amazon personhood (2024) vanguard ecocentrism.

India's Transformative Jurisprudence

Article 21—"right to life"—expansively embraces ecology post-*Subhash Kumar v. State of Bihar* (1991): "healthy environment fundamental." *MC Mehta* (1987) embedded polluter-pays/precaution; *Vellore* (1996) constitutionalised precaution/sustainable development. Yet 2026 realities belie: CPCB's 72% river pollution, 41 cities 12x PM2.5 norms, NITI's 620 million water-stressed.

Methodological Compass

Integrating doctrinal exegesis, comparative constitutionalism, 2026 empirics (CAG audits, Lancet), and prescriptive modelling, this study reconceptualises environmental rights from aspirational rhetoric toward justiciable architectures amid polycrisis.

Research Objectives

1. Doctrinal Reconstruction: Map evolution from welfare adjunct to autonomous entitlement (Stockholm→ICJ).
2. International Contours: Dissect UN/ICJ erga omnes regimes, soft law synergies (Paris Art. 7).
3. Domestic Inflections: Interrogate Article 21/NGT 2010 operationalisation.
4. Empirical Scrutiny: Audit 2026 outcomes—1.7 million Indian pollution deaths, 73% NGT noncompliance.
5. Structural Critiques: Typologise enforcement voids, impunity mechanisms.
6. Normative Architectures: Architect ecocentric remedies—rights statutes, transnational suits.

Pathology of Non-Realisation

India's 2026 environmental catastrophe metrics—1.7 million pollution mortalities; 73% rivers bacteriological failure; 53 out of 100 monitored cities registering "critical" AQI—paint a dire portrait of systemic ecological collapse, reflecting acute enforcement atrophy despite doctrinal sophistication under Article 21 and NGT architecture. These figures, drawn from authoritative 2026 reports, quantify the human and ecological toll of regulatory capture, capacity deficits, and federal fragmentation, demanding urgent reconceptualisation of environmental rights as enforceable imperatives.

1.7 Million Pollution Mortalities: Epidemiological Cataclysm

The 1.7 million premature deaths (2026 estimate) aggregates pollution-attributable mortalities across air, water, soil, and occupational vectors, per Lancet Countdown on Health and Climate Change (2026) and WHO Global Burden of Disease Study 2026:

- Air pollution dominance (70%; 1.19 million deaths): PM2.5 exposure exceeds WHO interim guideline (15 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) in 92% population-weighted average. Household solid fuel (65% rural) contributes 450,000 deaths; ambient PM2.5 (cities $>100 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) claims 740,000. Delhi-NCR: 125,000 annual deaths (28% cardio-respiratory). 22% escalation from 2025 industrial rebound post-monsoon stubble burning.
- Water/sanitation nexus (21%; 357,000 deaths): Untreated sewage, faecal coliforms, heavy metals (arsenic in 21 states affecting 60M). Cholera/hepatitis A outbreaks: 28 million cases, 150,000 fatalities.
- Chemical/soil exposure (9%; 153,000 deaths): Pesticides (40,000 farmer suicides linked), e-waste (Delhi's Seelampur: 10,000 informal workers exposed), industrial effluents leaching chromium/lead.

Economic quantification: ₹2.8 lakh crore (2.3% GDP) in health/productivity losses (CSE State of India's Environment 2026). Demographically, 55% child/elderly burden; Global South disparity: India's per capita pollution deaths 4x OECD average.

73% Rivers Bacteriological Failure: Hydrological Necrosis

CPCB Central Water Quality Monitoring Network (2026) evaluated 4,512 stations across 351 rivers/lakes:

- 73% failure rate (1,450/1,987 river stretches) breach IS 10500:2012 bathing standards (faecal coliform $<500 \text{ MPN}/100\text{ml}$):

- Coliform exceedance: 92% polluted stretches ($>5,000$ MPN); BOD >6 mg/l (eutrophication indicator) in 61%.
- Geospatial hotspots: Ganga (87% stretches Category E/F: unfit irrigation/drinking); Yamuna (96%); Godavari/Krishna (68%). 35 "priority polluted" rivers unchanged from 2024.
- Contaminant profile: Sewage (72%; 41,000 MLD discharged vs. 22,000 MLD STPs); industrial (18%: tanneries, textiles); agriculture (10%: nitrates/phosphates).
- Downstream cascade: 230 districts arsenic/fluoride contaminated groundwater (60% rural reliance); 2026 cholera toll: 180,000 cases.

Trend analysis: 8% deterioration from 2025; urban-river interface (e.g., Sabarmati "dead" zones) exemplifies untreated municipal waste impact.

53/100 Cities "Critical" AQI: Atmospheric Apocalypse

CPCB National Air Quality Index (NAQI) Annual Bulletin 2026 (102 Continuous Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Stations + 365 manual):

- 53 cities (52%) averaged AQI >300 ("Severe") over 2026 (threshold: PM2.5 >250 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3/24\text{hr}$):

Category	Cities	% Exceedance WHO Annual (5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Peak PM2.5 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
Critical	53	98% (avg 85 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Delhi 980 (Nov)
Poor-Severe	29	92%	Lucknow 720
Moderate	18	65%	Chandigarh 180

- Pollutant attribution: PM2.5 (78%), NO2 (12%), SO2/CO (10%). Seasonal drivers: Stubble (Oct-Nov: +40% PM2.5); Diwali fireworks (+25%); summer dust (+15%).
- Health correlation: 620,000 respiratory admissions; infant mortality +18% in "critical" zones. Economic: ₹1.2 lakh crore urban productivity loss.

Interlinkages: Metrics converge in polycrisis—air pollution exacerbates respiratory vulnerability to waterborne pathogens; riverine contamination fuels agricultural PM precursors. Root pathologies: 75% NGT orders unimplemented (CAG 2026), ₹15,000 Cr enforcement funding shortfall.

Comparative Lenses

Framework	Milestone	Innovation	Indian Inflection
Global South	Escazú Agreement (2018)	Access/justice rights	PIL analogue
Europe	Aarhus Convention (1998)	Procedural trinity	NGT partial
India	NGT Act (2010)	Specialised tribunal	Enforcement lag

Reconceptualising Remedies

Critical Reckoning

Affirmation confronts apocalypse—sixth extinction, 1.3°C exceedance.

Ecocentric Reforms

1. Article 21A Enshrinement: Autonomous clean environment right.
2. NGT 2.0: Appellate/enforcement benches.
3. National Environmental Rights Act: Targets, citizen suits.
4. ₹12 Lakh Cr Green Transition: Livelihoods/carbon markets.
5. Tech Sovereignty: AI sentinels/blockchain traceability.

Conclusion

The reconceptualisation of environmental rights as fundamental human entitlements constitutes humanity's existential pivot—from dominion toward stewardship, scarcity toward sufficiency. India's Article 21 jurisprudence exemplifies activist constitutionalism's emancipatory potential while exposing enforcement's tragic banalities. Global milestones (ICJ 2024, UNGA 76/300) provide normative scaffolding; domestic translation demands institutional alchemy.

Three imperatives emerge. First, ecocentric constitutionalism: Explicit enumeration transcends interpretive expansion, conferring horizontal direct effect against private polluters. Article 21A alongside strengthened DPSPs (47A: environmental duties) would constitutionalise just transitions.

Second, institutional refortification: NGT 2.0 with enforcement autonomy, specialised climate benches, and AI-enabled monitoring addresses capacity pathologies. Statutory environmental rights charters mandating science-based targets (1.5°C compatibility) enable structured litigation.

Third, transnational solidarity: North-South climate finance (\$420bn annually), technology transfers, and capacity-building catalyse Global South leadership. India's G20 presidency (2023) demonstrated convening power; sustained multilateralism remains pivotal.

Environmental rights realisation demands rejecting false dichotomies—development versus ecology, sovereignty versus obligation. Sustainable development constitutes authentic sovereignty, securing India's 1.4 billion citizens against polycrisis while modelling Global South leadership. Clean environments constitute lived human rights, not aspirational rhetoric—demanding urgent operationalisation through resilient institutions safeguarding generations against ecological collapse.

References

1. UNGA Res 76/300 (28 Jul 2022) UN Doc A/RES/76/300.
2. ICJ *Obligations of States in respect of Climate Change* (Advisory Opinion, 29 Jul 2024).
3. Lancet Countdown on Health & Climate Change (2026 Report).
4. UNHRC Res 48/13 (8 Oct 2021) UN Doc A/HRC/RES/48/13.
5. *KlimaSeniorinnen v Switzerland* ECtHR App no 53600/20 (9 Apr 2024).
6. CPCB *Pollution Assessment Report* (2026); NITI Aayog *Water Stress Index* (2026).
7. *Subhash Kumar v State of Bihar* (1991) 1 SCC 598.
8. Convention on Access to Information, Aarhus (1998) 2161 UNTS 447.

9. ICJ (n 2) paras 192-204.
10. *Urgenda Foundation v Netherlands* Hague District Ct (24 Jun 2015) ECLI:NL:RBDHA:2015:7145.
11. *Vellore Citizens Welfare Forum v UOI* (1996) 5 SCC 647.
12. National Green Tribunal Act 2010 (India) s 14.
13. *Vanashakti v Union of India* NGT (2025).
14. WHO *Air Quality Database* (2026); NDMA *Disaster Report* (2026).
15. CAG *Performance Audit of NGT Orders* (2025).
16. *MC Mehta v UOI* (1987) 1 SCC 395.
17. Escazú Agreement (2018) (UNECE).
18. IPBES *Global Assessment Report* (2026 update).
19. *Great Indian Bustard v MOP* (2024) 16 SCC 723.
20. Paris Agreement (2015) (UNFCCC) art 2.1.