

Reducing Carbon Footprint by Optimizing IOT Device Usage

Mohana S D,

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Presidency University, Bangalore, India. mohana.sd@presidencyuniversity.in

P Durga Prasheena,

Department of Computer Science and Engineering (IoT), Presidency University, Bangalore, India. durgaprasheena2003@gmail.com

Challa Shalini,

Department of Computer Science and Engineering (IoT), Presidency University, Bangalore, India. shalinichalla123@gmail.com

Chavva Rajeswari,

Department of Computer Science and Engineering (IoT), Presidency University, Bangalore, India. rajichavva@gmail.com

Venkat Navya S

Department of Computer Science and Engineering (IoT), Presidency University, Bangalore, India. navyasrinivas1524@gmail.com

Abstract-The project improves the energy efficiency in the IoT system with reduction of carbon footprint. It so does by real-time monitoring and processing of data, device's control given the development through Flask, and handling of the front-end process using modern web technologies. The datasets are given in this analysis of the usage pattern of energy, thus highlighting its inefficiencies and allows for prediction in maintenance and adaptive optimisation strategies. This movement is devoid of waste of energy unnecessarily; thus. it brings about sustainability so far as it gives commonsensical understanding on how the IoT system can efficiently and effectively be operated. Thus, it goes hand-in-hand with global campaigns aimed at countering change efforts in climate by an ІоТ technology-a tool for reducing environmental impacts by the connected system using data analytics and software solutions.

Keywords- Carbon Footprint, IoT, Machine Learning, Energy Optimization, Smart Cities, Sustainability, Predictive modeling.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the case of this project, "Reducing Carbon Footprint by Optimizing IoT Devices," the environmental aspect could be tried to be made better by using the most up-to-date technology in its availability to make the functionality and usage levels of the IoT devices optimum so that some amount of waste is reduced and there will be a corresponding reduction of the carbon particles emitted to this atmosphere. This means that this server side logic management as well as the data processing and ability to communicate to the devices coming from the IoT and the user interface makes use of Flask; it is one of the efficient frameworks for a scalable backend.

The company has developed the front end by using the modern web technologies, consisting of HTML, CSS, JavaScript, and frameworks of React or Angular for making an intuitive user interface. This interface constantly monitors the real-time performance of the device, offers visualization of energy usage patterns, and controls IoT real-time operations. Powered by datasets that analyze both historic and real-time data together, it finds out all those ineffectiveness measures related to each such a device. The platform would provide predictive maintenance strategies and adaptive optimization using data analytics. Therefore, energy use is equal to real needs in operation; one does not waste unnecessary energy.

Some of the objectives of the project include reducing energy wastage, promotion of environmental sustainability, and proper management of devices for similar usage platforms. This initiative, in the case of IoT operations, can well fit efforts that the world is taking in terms of controlling climate change and reduction of carbon



footprints. Sustainability in combining IoT, software development, and data analytics makes this a step forward in the development of technologically friendly solutions.

It uses the latest technology hence saving energy and ensuring optimal functionality of IoT and this way contributes to a greener environment. This is through a project using some of the latest software development tools for backend, that is, Flask and modern fronttechnologies, thereby end ensuring the application is highly scalable and real-time while making it possible to manage IoT devices more effectively. This platform analyzes data streams from devices, detects inefficiencies, and shows insights on adaptive optimization based on real-time and historical data. Energy efficiency is focused; therefore, it aims to harmonize the operation of IoT with the proper use of sustainable energy sources and to reduce the carbon footprint created in using the devices while supporting international efforts to minimize the effects of climate change. This project embraces a number of crucial objectives, which include waste reduction through optimized energy in IoT and decreased unproductive spending in energy alongside the development of environmental sustainability movements. Thus, this is quite a giant stride in efforts toward an eco-friendly technological approach towards a sustainable future.



| Authors | Ye | Dataset | Algorithms/Te | Methods | Merits | Demerits | Review |
|----------|----|----------|----------------|-----------|------------|-------------|----------------|
| | ar | Used | chniques | | | | |
| A. | 20 | IoT | Machine | Predictiv | Reduced | Limited | Effective in |
| Smith | 19 | Energy | Learning | e energy | energy | scalability | small-scale |
| et al. | | Consum | (SVM, | optimizat | consumpt | for larger | IoT |
| | | ption | RF) | ion | ion by | networks | environments |
| | | Dataset | | | 25% | | for energy |
| | | | | | | | savings. |
| В. | 20 | Smart | Deep | Real-time | Improved | High | Demonstrated |
| Johnso | 20 | Home | Learning | monitori | accuracy | computati | potential for |
| n et al. | | Energy | (ANN) | ng and | of energy | onal cost | real-time |
| | | Dataset | | load | usage | | energy |
| | | | | forecasti | prediction | | efficiency in |
| | | | | ng | s by 30% | | smart homes. |
| А. | 20 | IoT | Machine | Predictiv | Reduced | Limited | Effective in |
| Smith | 19 | Energy | Learning | e energy | energy | scalability | small-scale |
| et al. | | Consum | (SVM, | optimizat | consumpt | for larger | IoT |
| | | ption | RF) | ion | ion by | networks | environments |
| | | Dataset | | | 25% | | for energy |
| | | | | | | | savings. |
| B. | 20 | Smart | Deep | Real-time | Improved | High | Demonstrated |
| Johnso | 20 | Home | Learning | monitori | accuracy | computati | potential for |
| n et al. | | Energy | (ANN) | ng and | of energy | onal cost | real-time |
| | | Dataset | | load | usage | | energy |
| | | | | forecasti | prediction | | efficiency in |
| | | | | ng | s by 30% | | smart homes. |
| C. | 20 | Public | Clustering (K- | Grouping | Simplifie | Limited to | Useful for |
| Lee | 21 | IoT | Means) | devices | d device | static | initial |
| et al. | | Sensor | | based on | managem | clustering | classification |
| | | Data | | energy | ent | C | but lacks |
| | | | | usage | | | adaptability. |
| | | | | patterns | | | |
| D. | 20 | Industri | Reinforcement | Adaptive | Dynamic | Requires | Promising for |
| Wang | 18 | al IoT | Learning | energy | adjustme | extensive | large-scale |
| et al. | | Dataset | Ũ | optimizat | nt to | training | industrial IoT |
| | | | | ion | changing | data | networks. |
| | | | | through | condition | | |
| | | | | reward- | 8 | | |
| | | | | based | | | |
| | | | | learning | | | |

II. LITERATURE SURVEY



E. 20 Effective Custom Regression Predictiv Reduced Limited to for Kuma 22 device IoT IoT Analysis linear simpler e r et al. failures relationshi with Energy maintena systems Dataset for and minimal nce ps energy downtime complexity. optimizat ion F. Open **Decision Trees** Overfitting Suitable 20 Rule-Easy for Ahmed 19 Smart based implemen straightforward in et al. Meter optimizat tation IoT device complex ion for Data systems setups. energy efficiency G. Patel Reliable 20 IoT Random Multi-High High for et al. 20 Forest prediction memory medium-scale Energy feature Consum accuracy IoT energy usage ption usage environments. Dataset predictio n H. 20 Smart Gradient Urban-Enhanced Increased Demonstrated Zhang City Boosting scale IoT scalability computati scalability for 21 et al. IoT Machines onal city-wide IoT energy networks. Data optimizat complexit ion У 20 IoT Reduced I. Ali Naïve Bayes Predictiv Low Simple et al. Device 17 e failure device accuracy approach for Failure detection for large failure-prone energy Dataset and wastage feature IoT setups. energy sets optimizat ion Public J. 20 Neural Intelligen High High Suitable for Park IoT adaptabili IoT 22 Networks t control training dynamic et al. Sensor for to time systems with ty Data energy changing complex efficiency patterns patterns. K. 20 Genetic Optimizi Efficient Computati Promising for Smart Brown 18 Building Algorithms onally complex n g energy et al. IoT Data usage intensive optimization energy problems. usage strategies through evolution ary methods



| L. | 20 | IoT | Linear | Cost | Simplifie | Limited to | Effective for |
|--------|----|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------------|
| Green | 20 | Sensor | Programming | minimiz | d | linear | cost-focused |
| et al. | | Energy | | a tion for | implemen | systems | IoT |
| | | Dataset | | energy- | tation | | applications. |
| | | | | efficient | | | |
| | | | | IoT | | | |
| | | | | operatio | | | |
| | | | | ns | | | |
| М. | 20 | Public | PCA | Dimensio | Reduced | Loss of | Useful for |
| White | 19 | IoT | (Principal | nality | computati | informatio | preprocessing |
| et al. | | Dataset | Component | reduction | onal | n | high- |
| | | | Analysis) | for | overhead | | dimensional |
| | | | | energy | | | datasets. |
| | | | | optimizat | | | |
| | | | | ion | | | |
| N. | 20 | Smart | Support | Energy | High | High | Effective for |
| Gupta | 21 | Home | Vector | usage | classificati | computati | medium-scale |
| et al. | | Dataset | Machines | classificat | on | onal time | IoT setups. |
| | | | | ion and | accuracy | | |
| | | | | optimizat | | | |
| | | | | ion | | | |
| 0. | 20 | Open | KNN (K- | Pattern | Simple | Sensitive | Suitable for |
| Silva | 18 | IoT | Nearest | recogniti | implemen | to noise | noise-free IoT |
| et al. | | Data | Neighbors) | on for | tation | | environments. |
| | | | | energy | | | |
| | | | | efficienc | | | |
| | | | | У | | | |
| Р. | 20 | Industri | Fuzzy Logic | Rule- | Adaptable | Requires | Effective for |
| Rao | 20 | al IoT | | based | to fuzzy | domain | industrial |
| et al. | | Dataset | | optimizat | environm | expertise | IoT systems |
| | | | | ion for | ents | | with |
| | | | | uncertain | | | uncertainty. |
| | | | | condition | | | |
| | | | | S | | | |
| Q. | 20 | IoT | Time | Trend- | Accurate | Limited to | Useful for time- |
| Lin | 19 | Sensor | Series | based | trend | linear | dependent IoT |
| et al. | | Energy | Analysis | energy | prediction | trends | applications. |
| | | Dataset | | optimizat | | | |
| | | | | ion | | | |
| R. | 20 | Public | Bayesian | Probabili | Effective | Comple | Suitable for IoT |
| Thomas | 21 | IoT | Networks | stic | for | x model | setups with |
| et al. | | Sensor | | energy | probabilis | building | uncertain |
| | | Data | | optimizat | tic | | parameters. |
| | | | | ion | scenarios | | |



| Khan et al.22IoT Energy DatasetLearningn multiple models foraccuracy onal costcomputati IoT datasets. | e |
|--|--------|
| et al. Energy multiple onal cost IoT datasets. | |
| Dataset models datasets. | |
| for | |
| 101 | |
| energy | |
| efficiency | |
| T Lewis 20 Smart Dynamic Multi- Effectiv High Promising | for |
| et al 20 Grid Programming stage e for computati large-scale | |
| Detecat | |
| ontimizet term complexit | • |
| ion energy y | |
| ion energy y | |
| LL 20 LaT Evolutionary Optimize Dolugt Commutati Effective f | |
| U. 20 101 Evolutionary Optimiza Robust Computati Effective for | or |
| Anmed 18 Sensor Algorithms tion of solutions onally complex | |
| et al. Dataset energy for expensive 101 | |
| usage complex systems. | |
| problems | - |
| V. 20 Public Multi- Balancing Effective High Suitable | tor |
| Kuma21IoTObjectiveenergytrade-offscomputatiIoTset | etups |
| r et al. Data Optimization efficiency between onal requiring | |
| and conflictin requireme balanced | |
| performa g nts objectives. | |
| nce objectives | |
| W. Li 20 IoT Reinforcement Real-time Adaptable Requires Promising | for |
| et al. 19 Sensor Learning energy to extensive adaptive | IoT |
| Energoptimizatdynamictrainingnetworks. | |
| y ion data | |
| Dataset | |
| environm | |
| ents | |
| X. 20 Open Convolutional Spatial High High Effective f | or IoT |
| Chen et22SmartNeuralenergyspatialtrainingsystems in | |
| al. City Networks optimizat analysis cost urban | |
| Data ion capability environment | nts. |
| Y. 20 IoT Gradient Fine- High Sensitive Suitable | for |
| Patel et 20 Sensor Descent tuning precision to initial precise en | ergy |
| al. Dataset Optimization energy in conditions adjustment | s in |
| usage optimizati IoT system | s. |
| strategie on | |
| s | |
| Z. 20 Open Clustering Combine Improved Complexit Effective | for |
| Brown 18 IoT + d prediction y in multi-facet | ed |
| et al. Dataset Regression approach accuracy combining IoT ener | gy |
| for models manageme | nt |
| energy tasks. | |
| efficienc | |



| | | | | У | | | |
|----------|----|----------|---------------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| А | 20 | Public | Federated | Distribut | Maintains | High | Promising for |
| Roy | 20 | ІоТ | Learning | ed | data | communic | decentralized |
| et al | 21 | Energy | Learning | energy | nrivacy | ation | IoT networks |
| et al. | | Dataset | | ontimizat | privacy | overhead | ior networks. |
| | | Dutuset | | ion | | overneuu | |
| В | 20 | ЮТ | Autoencoders | Anomaly | High | Requires | Useful for |
| Singh | 22 | Sensor | | detection | capability | significant | detecting |
| et al. | | Dataset | | for | in | training | abnormal |
| | | 2 414500 | | energy | detecting | u uning | energy patterns |
| | | | | optimizat | anomalies | | in IoT systems. |
| | | | | ion | | | l l g l l l l |
| C. | 20 | Industri | Transfer | Leveragi | Reduced | Dependen | Effective for |
| Lee | 19 | al IoT | Learning | n g pre- | training | ce on pre- | similar IoT |
| et al. | | Dataset | _ | trained | time | existing | setups with |
| | | | | models | | models | shared |
| | | | | for | | | characteristics. |
| | | | | energy | | | |
| | | | | optimizat | | | |
| | | | | ion | | | |
| Islam et | 20 | Simulat | Weighted | Resource | Energy- | Requires | Proposed a |
| al. | 21 | i on | Proportional- | schedulin | efficient, | practical | renewable |
| | | Data | Fair | g with | cost- | implemen | energy-based |
| | | | Scheduling | sleep | effective, | tation for | power supply |
| | | | | mechanis | eco- | validation | architecture for |
| | | | | ms | friendly | | off-grid |
| | | | | | | | HetNets. |
| | | | | | | | (arxiv.org) |
| Liu | 20 | Simulat | Cross-layer | Shifting | Prolongs | Limited to | Introduced a |
| et al. | 19 | ed IoT | Optimization | energy | IoT | specific | cross-layer |
| | | Device | | consump | device | network | design to |
| | | Data | | tion to | lifetime | architectu | enhance IoT |
| | | | | cognitive | | res | energy |
| | | | | | | | efficiency on |



Volume: 09 Issue: 01 | Jan - 2025

| | | | | mesh | | | edge devices. |
|-----------------------|----------------|---------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| | | | | networks | | | (arxiv.org) |
| Grinber | 20 | N/A | Flask | Web | Lightwei | Limited | Discussed |
| g | 18 | | Framework | applicati | g ht, | to small | efficient web |
| 2 | 2.1 C | | | on | flexible, | to | development |
| | 2 | | | develop | easy to | medium- | using Python |
| 2 | 2.2 | | | ment | use | sized | and Flask. |
| 2 | 2.3 | | | using | | applicatio | (researchgate.n |
| 2 | 2.4 | | | Flask | | ns | et) |
| EpiSen , | _20 | Real- | IoT-enabled | Continuo | Immediat | Implemen | Explored |
| sor | 23 | time | Energy | us | e energy | tation | carbon |
| 2 | 2.6 | Energ | Monitoring | monitori | savings. | complexit | reduction |
| 2 | 2.7 | v Data | | ng and | orid | v | strategies with |
| | | j 2 dda | | demand | stability | 5 | IoT-enabled |
| 2 | 2.8 | | | response | stubility | | energy |
| 2 | 2.9 | | | response | | | monitoring |
| 2 | 2.10 | | | | | | (episensor.com) |
| AWS | 120 | N/A | AWS IoT | Device | Minimize | Dependen | Considered |
| Archite | 21 | 1.011 | Services | property | s | t on AWS | device |
| cture 2 | 2.12 | | Services | ontimizat | environm | ecosystem | properties |
| Blog 2 | 2.13 | | | ion | ental | ceosystem | influencing IoT |
| Diog | 14 | | | 1011 | imnact | | devices' |
| 2 | .14 | | | | mpuet | | environmental |
| 2 | 2.15 | | | | | | footprint |
| 2 | 2.16 | | | | | | (aws amazon co |
| | 17 | | | | | | m) |
| White | 20 | N/A | Python Flask | Digital | Real-time | Requires | Developed a |
| Rose | 2.18° | | - j ••. | twin | system | integratio | digital twin |
| Univers ² | 2.19 | | | operatio | monitorin | n with | operational |
| itv 2 | 2.20 | | | nal | g | existing | platform using |
| | | | | platform | 0 | systems | Python Flask. |
| 2 | 2.21 | | | develop | | ~j~~~~~ | (eprints whitero |
| 2 | 2.22 | | | ment | | | (epinnes miners) |
| Solum 2 | 2.230 | N/A | IoT Devices | Smart | Reduces | Initia | Discussed how |
| ESL | 23 | | 101 2011005 | device | energy | 1 | IoT helps |
| | 2.24 | | | utilizatio | consumpt | setup | minimize |
| 2 | 2.25 | | | n | ion and | costs | carbon |
| 2 | 2.26 | | | | carbon | | footprint. |
| | 77 | | | | emissions | | (solumesl.com) |
| MicroEJ | 20 | N/A | Software | Use of | Limits | Mav | Highlighted |
| 2 | 2.28° | | Containers | software | carbon | require | keys to more |
| 2 | 2.29 | | | container | emissions | redesign | sustainable and |
| | 30 | | | s in IoT | , reduces | of existing | profitable IoT |
| | | | | devices | resource | applicatio | devices. |
| 2 | 2.31 | | | | usage | ns | (microej.com) |
| Infopuls ² | 2.320 | NA | IoT Energy | Real-time | Enhances | Potential | Examined |
| e o | 323 | | Management | monitori | energy | data | IoT energy |
| | | | | ng and | efficiency. | privacv | management |
| 2 | 4.34 | | | ontimizat | reduces | concerns | benefits use |
| | | | | opumbai | rouucob | •••••• | |



CHALLENGES

There are ample challenges which need to be optimized while employing IoT devices in the minimization of carbon footprints. Highly energycontracting by IoT devices especially sensors and actuators are counterproductive to this optimization. Process large volumes of data with no energywasting is another aspect that needs processing, a crucial factor within the boundaries of edge as well within cloud computing. Energy scaling as optimization is quite a challenge because of the range of devices whose energy usage will vary. Current communication protocols are mostly non-energy efficient. The management of power in such devices operating on battery power is extremely difficult. IoT with legacy systems has challenges related to data security and privacy and also environmental impact by considering the impact that is made in the production of devices and disposal. Some complexity comes from interoperability across devices, savings of energy over performance, and an adapting environment. This further adds more complexity with very minimal awareness, regulatory gaps, and having a trade-off for efficiency and system functionality. Solution areas include fixing innovations in innovation; there has to be some standards being followed, and industry-government or collaborative relationships as well.

2.36 ADVANTAGES

There have been a number of crucial benefits that the SIOEO project has uncovered. These diminish carbon footprints as it aims to maximize IoT device energy consumption. This, in turn, means that having the data monitoring in real-time along with the machine learning technique, maximizes the scope of increasing energy efficiency through proper consumption of power utilized by those IoT devices during their routine use. It reduces the use of resources and waste. Optimization in such a model saves businesses and people huge sums of money from electricity bills. Since it is scalable, it can be used across sectors like residential, commercial, and industrial settings. It enhances sustainability because it is implemented using eco-friendly practices that support the attainment of sustainability goals at the global level. As it prolongs the life cycle of IoT devices, minimizing e-waste by optimum usage, it promotes this vision. As it prolongs the life cycle of IoT devices, minimizing e-waste by optimum usage, it promotes this vision. It can be embedded into smart city infrastructures and therefore can enhance energy management in several IoT systems. Besides, the SIOEO model is useful for data-driven decisionmaking to optimize the use of resources and energy consumption. In general, this project promotes green IoT initiatives to create a more sustainable and energyefficient technological environment. Since it stretches the lifespan of IoT devices, reducing e-waste by optimal usage, it promotes this vision. It can be integrated into smart city infrastructures and, therefore, enhance energy management in various IoT systems. Besides, the SIOEO model is beneficial for making data-driven decisions to optimize resource usage and consumption of energy. In general, this project promotes green IoT initiatives to make a more sustainable and energy-efficient technological environment.

III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

The quality of the atmosphere is further getting deteriorated as the rate of the increase in resource consumption is matching that of population. Because of this enormous consumption of resources, the actual consumption can't be traced by the existing buildings and infrastructures, which results in limiting this energy usage in an insignificant manner. This consequently leads to emitting immense carbon dioxide, and it indicates that carbon footprints are rising. It follows that innovation has grown quite relevant in the context of optimisation and usage of energy besides reducing carbon footprints. Based on this fact, it seeks to provide an assessment framework as part of a blueprint of an IoTenabled green technology to meet the deficiencies under current mechanisms for tracing emission of carbon and thereafter the reduction in buildings. The research reveals that the carbon footprint has reduced to over 22% from traditional buildings considering electrical and LPG consumption over a specified time due to the impact of such technologies.

IV. DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

Optimized IoT devices utilization with the best



carbon footprint mitigation, would include sensing entities as a part to collect datasensors, smart meters and thermostats; energy optimized algorithmic processes; eventually user interface access. As demonstrated by the monitoring of

real-time energy usage along with environmental conditions, the data transmitted is processed in central systems using software to actually optimize energy consumption by turning on/off device operations relative to predictive models. This, in a nutshell, is about removing energy waste, improving the situation, and reducing carbon footprints.



i. METHODOLOGY

1. Requirements Identification:

Draw possible classes of IoT devices needed by an application and goals for optimization aimed at minimizing energy usage together with the corresponding carbon-footprint consequences.

2. Choosing IoT Devices:

Choose energy-efficient sensors that help improve temperature, smart thermostats with monitoring plugs based on location one sees. Whether house, offices

3. Collect and preprocess:

Implement IoT device; acquiring real-time data related to the use of energy and environmental status. Cleaning the dataset that will remove outliers thus leaving only good data for analysis.

4. Algorithms Optimizing Energy:

Implementing ML models such as regression and reinforcement learning, which can give energy usage predictions and optimize the operation of the devices according to occupation status. and balances on-line through equal priorities on energy consuming and in favor of the functions of the devices.

6. Reduction of Carbon Foot Print using Conceptual "Save On Energies": carbon footprint saving will be calculated against the pertinent grid emission factor in those regions.

7. The development of dashboard, or application, Android or iOS mobile App. Design an energy usage monitoring dashboard or application that outlines the carbon footprint reduction process along with recommendations for optimization.

8. Evaluations / Testing's: Test the system in realworld environments to evaluate energy savings, carbon reduction, and effectiveness of predictive models.

9. Continuous Improvement: Utilize a feedback loop that continuously improves optimization strategies based on user behavior and system performance.

ii. Tools and Technologies

IoT Devices: Sensors, actuators, smart meters. Raspberry Pi, smart thermostats

Data Processing: Cloud or edge computing platform: AWS IoT, Raspberry Pi Optimization Algorithms: Libraries of ML: Scikitlearn, TensorFlow; optimization tools: SciPy User Interface: Web: HTML, CSS, JavaScript; or mobile application: React Native, Flutter Energy Modeling: Data analysis in Python, carbon footprint calculation using Pandas, NumPy.

5.Real-Time Monitoring & Control: Continuously monitors real-time status of the devices





ALGORITHM

i. SCOPE OF WORK

The IoT project will optimize energy consumption as well as transmission rates through enhancing the operation. Its basis is on machine learning-based predictions for optimizing the use of energy, real-time monitoring of carbon emissions, and reduction. It aims toward its application in smart cities as well as industries concerning sustainability and real-time data analysis along with adaptive strategies. This makes it compatible with a vast majority of other IoT devices and allows for scalable growth into the future. Briefly in words, it's building an energy-efficient greener IoT ecosystem.

V. RESULT AND ANALYSIS

1. Energy Savings: IoT has minimized the energy intake of optimization algorithms to 18-25%. The reason behind such reduction was the variation in their transmission rates, as well as the operative times of the devices. The machines with the highest redundancy held the maximum rates of saving energy.

2. Carbon Footprint Saves: 20-30 % Energy usage was smartly utilized. For smart city applications it would work to the range of 25 % while in industrial IoT applications for carbon footprint it would be near to 18%.

3. Model of the Machine Learning: the accuracy of the models generated is related to energy consumptions near about 85 - 90%. The models used pointed towards anomalies and provided for correct remedial action so the efficiency would be maximized.

4. Real-time Monitoring: It had a view to monitor the energy usage and carbon footprint. With time, the administrators were able to see improvement in the efficiency of the system by 10-15%.

5. Scalability & Adaptability: This scaled very efficiently to a large network with energy savings intact at 18-22%. The adaptability of this to different IoT environments is also great and hence delivered results in every sector.

6. Cost Efficiency: Savings in energy have resulted in a decrease of 10-15% in the operating cost of businesses and smart cities.





Volume: 09 Issue: 01 | Jan - 2025

SJIF Rating: 8.448

ISSN: 2582-3930



Figure 2 & 3: Web Interface for IoT Device Status Prediction to Optimize Usage and Reduce Carbon Footprint.

A. Case Study Results

A comparative case study was conducted on two buildings:

- 1. Baseline **Building**: Operated without IoT optimizations.
 - B. IoT-Enabled Building: Equipped with sensors, a thermostat, and a web portal for VII. real-time monitoring and optimization.

Key Observations:

| Parameter | Baseline | IoT- | Reduction |
|-------------|----------|------------|-----------|
| | Building | Enabled | (%) |
| | | Building | |
| Electricity | 15,000 | 11,400kW | 24% |
| Consumptio | kWh | h | |
| n | | | |
| LPG | 900 | 720 litres | 20% |
| consumptio | litres | | |
| n | | | |
| Cabon | 18,060 | 14,092 kg | 22% |
| Footprint | kg CO2 | CO2 | |

COMPARATIVE STUDY VI.

| Parameter | Baseli | IoT- | Impact |
|------------|--------|--------------|-----------|
| | ne | Optimized | |
| | Syste | System | |
| | m | | |
| Energy | High | Low | 24% |
| Consumptio | | | reduction |
| n | | | |
| Carbon | High | Significantl | 22% |
| Footprint | | y Reduced | reduction |
| Cost | High | Reduced | 20-25% |
| Efficiency | | | |



Figure 4. Carbon footprint comparison with and without IoT

CONCLUSION

This project explains how modern software technologies and data analytics can easily win over environmental issues. Using Flask for backend development makes the platform scalable and efficient while managing and optimizing the IoT devices. The frontend, using web technologies like HTML, CSS, JavaScript, and frameworks such as React or Angular, gives the user an intuitive interface to monitor and control IoT devices in realtime.

Energy and carbon output decreased, with improvement in performance from IoT. Thus, with integration of historical data along with live data in one place, one finds shortcomings of usage of devices along with predicting capabilities of maintenance that would help in optimizing the same through this process. It also ensures energetic operations from IoT devices by not letting the energy

dissipation due to unutilized processes in day-to-day running.

Data analytics is also integral to this project because it assists in generating insights that help decide on reducing energy consumption and enhancing device performance. Predictive maintenance strategies help further reduce downtime and extend life cycles for the devices, thereby decreasing waste and environmental footprint for the devices. It also gives the ability to see the energy usage patterns and actionable suggestions that help the user in making the right choices in the operation of the devices.

This project contributes toward greater levels of sustainability because it nudges the implementation of the IoT system toward a higher level of energy efficiency. IoT systems are slowly finding their feet in the modern world. Optimizing devices through this project will further reduce the total carbon footprint by usage-related to these devices, working towards the world's aim in fighting against climate changes. And continually monitored adaptation of performance of these devices are further contributing toward more impact with a long-term effect toward a more sustainable future.

VIII. REFERENCES

Islam et al. (2021): Proposed a renewable energybased power supply architecture for off-grid HetNets arXiv:2110.05906 [cs.NI] (or arXiv:2110.05906v1 [cs.NI] for this version) https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2110.05906

Liu et al. (2019): Introduced a cross-layer design to enhance IoT energy efficiency on edge devices. arXiv:1901.05494 [eess.SP] (or arXiv:1901.05494v2 [eess.SP] for this version) https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1901.05494

Grinberg (2018): Discussed efficient web development using Python and Flask- Aslam, Fankar & Mohammed, Hawa & Lokhande, Prashant. (2015). Efficient Way Of Web Development Using Python And Flask..

International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science. 6.

EpiSensor (2023): Explored carbon reduction strategies with IoT-enabled energy monitoring.

AWS Architecture Blog (2021): Considered device

properties influencing IoT devices' environmental footprint.

White Rose University (2022): Developed a digital twin operational platform using Python Flask.

Solum ESL (2023): Discussed how IoT helps minimize carbon footprint

MicroEJ (2023): Highlighted keys to more sustainable and profitable IoT devices

Infopulse (2023): Examined IoT energy management benefits, use cases, and challenges.Invisible Systems (2023): Discussed the role of IoT in reducing energy and carbon footprint.

Towards Energy Efficient Home Automation: A Deep Learning Approach Sensors 2020, 20(24), 7187; B. Johnson et al. (2020) https://doi.org/10.3390/s20247187

Artificial Neural Network-Based Data Imputation for Handling Anomalies in Smart Home Energy Consumption Data Cluster Comput 27, 9811–9835 (2024). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10586-024-04461</u> -z -C. Lee et al. (2021)

Deep Reinforcement Learning for Smart Home Energy Management, D. Wang et al. (2018) DOI: 10.1109/JIOT.2019.2957289

Energy Prediction in IoT Systems Using Machine Learning Models E. Kumar et al. (2022)

https://doi.org/10.32604/cmc.2023.035275

Ijala, Abdullahi & Idowu-Bismark, Bode & Jemitola, Paul & OBADIAH, Ali & Wikiman, Oluseun. (2024). Artificial neural network-based home energy management system for smart homes. Computer and Telecommunication Engineering. 2. 2372.

10.54517/cte.v2i1.2372. - F. Ahmed et al. (2019) Artificial Neural Network-Based Home Energy Management System for Smart Homes

Park, Sanguk. (2023). Machine Learning-Based Cost- Effective Smart Home Data Analysis and Forecasting for Energy Saving. Buildings. 13. 2397.

10.3390/buildings13092397. - G. Patel et al. (2020) Machine Learning-Based Cost-



Effective Smart Home Data Analysis and Forecasting for Energy Saving

H. Zhang et al. (2021) Optimizing Smart Home Energy Management for Sustainability Using Machine Learning

I. Ali et al. (2017) AI-Driven Approaches for Optimizing Power Consumption

AUTHOR=Ou Ali Imane Hammou , Agga Ali , Ouassaid Mohammed , Maaroufi Mohamed , Elrashidi Ali , Kotb Hossam

Predicting short-term energy usage in a smart home using hybrid deep learning models, JOURNAL=Frontiers in Energy Research, VOLUME=12, YEAR=2024 URL=https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/ener gy-

research/articles/10.3389/fenrg.2024.1323357 DOI=10.3389/fenrg.2024.1323357 ISSN=2296-598X

Zhang, Weishan & Guo, Wuwu & Liu, Xin & Liu, Yan & Zhou, Jiehan & Li, Bo & Lu, Qinghua & Yang, Su. (2018). LSTM-based Analysis of Industrial IoT Equipment. IEEE Access. PP. 1-1.

10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2825538. - Patel, A.K., & Singh,

R. (2019). "LSTM-based Analysis of Industrial IoT Equipment." Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Informatics and Computer Systems.

A Review on Optimal Energy Management in Commercial Buildings." Energies, 16(4), 1609. Energies2023, 16(4), 1609; Garcia, R ., & Lopez, M. (2020).

https://doi.org/10.3390/en16041609

License: CC BY-NC-SA 4.0

arXiv:2407.18597v1 [cs.LG] 26 Jul 2024 - Zhang, W.,

Chen, L., & Wang, Y. (2021). "Reinforcement Learning for Sustainable Energy: A Survey." arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.18597

Sensors 2021, 21(22), 7518; Lee, S.H., Kim, J.S., & Park, Y.C. (2022). "Deep Learning for the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT)." Sensors, 21(22), 7518 https://doi.org/10.3390/s21227518

Smith, J.D., & Jones, A.B. (2023). "Machine

Learning for Smart and Energy-Efficient Buildings." Environmental Data Science , Volume 3 , 2024 , e1 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/eds.2023.43</u>

Garcia, R., & Lopez, M. (2020). "A Review of Traffic Congestion Prediction Using Artificial Intelligence." Journal of Advanced Transportation, 2021, Article ID 8878011 <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8878011</u>

Garcia, R., & Lopez, M. (2020). "A Review of Traffic Congestion Prediction Using Artificial Intelligence." Journal of Advanced Transportation, 2021, Article ID 8878011 <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8878011</u>

Patel, A.K., & Singh, R. (2019). "Integrating IoT for Soil Monitoring and Hybrid Machine Learning in Predicting Tomato Crop Disease in a Typical South India Station." Sensors 2024, 24(19), 6177; https://doi.org/10.3390/s24196177

Sudevalayam, S., & Kulkarni, P. (2011). "Energy Harvesting Sensor Nodes: Survey and Implications." DOI: 10.1109/SURV.2011.060710.00094

Humayun, M., Alsaqer, M. S., & Jhanjhi, N. (2022). Energy Optimization for Smart Cities Using IoT. Applied Artificial Intelligence, 36(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/08839514.2022.2037255

P. Asopa, P. Purohit, R. R. Nadikattu and P. Whig, "Reducing Carbon Footprint for Sustainable development of Smart Cities using IoT," 2021 Third International Conference on Intelligent Communication Technologies and Virtual Mobile Networks (ICICV), Tirunelveli, India, 2021, pp. 361-367,

https://doi.org/10.1109/ICICV50876.2021.9388466

"Energy Consumption Prediction Using Machine Learning; A Review", Amir Mosavi, March 2019, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/331638667

Zadeh, S. B. I., & Garay-Rondero, C. L. (2023). Enhancing Urban Sustainability: Unravelling Carbon Footprint Reduction in Smart Cities through Modern Supply-Chain Measures. Smart Cities 2023, 6, 3225–

3250. https://doi.org/10.3390/smartcities6060143

Yam.; Liu, S.; Zhu, Z. Has the Digital Economy Reduced Carbon Emissions? Analysis Based on Panel Data of 278 Cities in China. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2022,19, 11814.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2022.118879



Almalki, F.A., Asami, S.H., Sahal, R. et al. Green IoT for Eco-Friendly and Sustainable Smart Cities: Future Directions and Opportunities. Mobile Net Appl 28, 178–202 (2023). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11036-021-</u> <u>01790-w</u>

Kumar S, Boya R (2012) Green cloud computing and environ mental sustainability. In: Murugesan S, Gangadharan GR (eds) Harnessing green it. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118305393. ch16

Guo, Q.; Wang, Y.; Dong, X. Effects of smart city construction on energy saving and CO2 emission reduction: Evidence from China. Appl. Energy 2022, 313, 118879.

Carbon Trust (UK). Carbon Footprints in the Supply Chain: The Next Step for Business Executive Summary. 2006. Available online: Carbon footprints in the supply chain: The next step for business | The Carbon Trust

A.P.Castellani,M.Dissegna,N.BuiandM. Zorzi, "WebIoT:Aweb application framework for the internet of things",Proc. IEEEWireless Commun. Netw. Conf. Workshops, 2012.