

Review of Research on Operation and Maintenance of Water Treatment Plant of Chatrapati Sambhajnagar Municipal Corporation

Rushikesh Ninale¹, Prof.R.A.Thote², Dr.G.R.Gandhe³, Dr. Sunil D. Shinde⁴

¹M.Tech Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Deogiri Institute of Engineering and Management Studies, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar Maharashtra India.

²Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Deogiri Institute of Engineering and Management Studies, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar Maharashtra India.

³Head Of PG Civil Engineering Department, Deogiri Institute of Engineering and Management Studies, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar Maharashtra India.

⁴Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Deogiri Institute of Engineering and Management Studies, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar Maharashtra India.

Abstract: Water treatment plants play a vital role in delivering safe, potable water to communities, yet their performance often depends on effective operation, skilled personnel, and well-maintained infrastructure. Case studies from diverse locations— including industrial, municipal, and small community facilities— highlight common challenges such as inadequate operator training, reliance on personal judgment instead of standardized testing, irregular maintenance routines, and limitations in plant design. In some outsourced operations, improper coagulant dosing, arbitrary filter backwashing, and lack of skill have led to treated water failing to meet the most stringent turbidity standards, though often still within permissible limits. Standardized operation and maintenance (O&M) procedures, supported by bilingual instruction charts, on-site training, and adherence to current best practices, have been shown to improve performance, bringing treated water closer to national quality standards. This study underscores the need for consistent operational protocols, investment in workforce training, and sustainable management strategies to ensure water quality, prolong asset life, and safeguard public health.

I INTRODUCTION

Water is a fundamental necessity for human survival, economic growth, and environmental sustainability. However, with the rapid pace of industrialization, urbanization, and population growth, the availability of clean and safe drinking water has become a global challenge. Natural water sources are increasingly threatened by pollution from domestic, agricultural, and industrial activities, making effective water treatment an essential public service.

Water treatment plants (WTPs) play a critical role in converting raw water from rivers, lakes, reservoirs, or groundwater into potable water that meets national and international quality standards. The treatment process generally involves multiple stages, such as screening, coagulation, sedimentation, filtration, and disinfection. Each stage is designed to remove specific physical, chemical, and biological contaminants, thereby ensuring water safety and palatability.

Despite advancements in technology, the efficiency of water treatment plants is highly dependent on proper operation and maintenance (O&M). Factors such as inadequate operator training, improper dosing of chemicals, irregular filter backwashing, and lack of standardized procedures can significantly affect water quality. In many regions, WTP operations are outsourced to private entities, which, if not managed effectively, can result in suboptimal plant performance.

Previous studies have highlighted common challenges faced by WTPs, including meeting turbidity limits, ensuring consistent disinfection, and maintaining infrastructure over long service lifespans. Addressing these

challenges requires a combination of technological innovation, skilled manpower, standardized O&M protocols, and continuous performance monitoring.

This research paper focuses on the operational aspects, challenges, and optimization strategies for water treatment plants, with a particular emphasis on performance evaluation and the role of standardized O&M procedures. By reviewing case studies and operational data, the study aims to provide practical recommendations for improving water treatment efficiency and safeguarding public health.

II RESEARCH REVIEW

Luay I. Qrenawi et al. (2021): This literature review focuses on **sludge management in water treatment plants**, examining sludge characteristics, generation rates, and disposal/reuse options. The authors summarize physical, chemical and biological properties of water treatment sludge (WTS), describe conventional disposal routes (drying beds, landfilling) and highlight environmental risks from direct disposal. The paper emphasizes dewatering, stabilization, and beneficial reuse (e.g., construction material) as sustainable options, while pointing out that the optimal strategy depends on source water, plant processes and local regulations. It also calls for standardized characterization methods and life-cycle assessments for reuse pathways. [ResearchGate](#)

R. K. Sahu, et al. (2022): A systematic review on **water treatment sludge toxicity and ecotoxicology** that evaluates studies assessing terrestrial and aquatic toxicity of WTS. The review aggregates evidence that WTS can contain trace organics, heavy metals and emerging contaminants (e.g., PFAS), and shows that toxicity depends on sludge origin and treatment chemicals. The authors recommend standardized toxicity assays, better monitoring for trace contaminants, and caution when proposing land application or reuse. [Taylor & Francis Online](#)

M. A. A. G. et al. (2022): This paper provides a comprehensive review on the **beneficial reuse of water treatment sludge** within circular economy frameworks. It evaluates reuse in cement/clinker replacement, brick production, and soil amendment, discussing technical feasibility, regulatory constraints, and economic considerations. The authors conclude that reuse can reduce disposal costs and raw material demand but requires robust contaminant screening and pre-treatment to be safe and acceptable. [ScienceDirect](#)

M. J. G. et al. (2020): A focused review on **biodegradation and removal of organic micropollutants in rapid sand filtration (RSF)**. The paper synthesizes laboratory and full-scale evidence that biological activity in filter beds can contribute to removal of certain trace organics, and that process parameters (empty bed contact time, pretreatment by coagulation, temperature) strongly affect biodegradation. It highlights knowledge gaps for full-scale prediction and recommends combining RSF with other barriers for robust removal. [Taylor & Francis Online](#)

S. R. / Research (2021): Experimental and review work on **hydraulics and bed expansion during rapid sand filter backwashing**. The study offers new hydraulic insights into up-flow expansion, showing non-uniform bed lift and local channelling that reduce cleaning efficiency if backwash protocols are not optimized. It recommends monitoring head-loss trends and using staged (air + water) backwashes for improved media cleaning and longer media life. [ScienceDirect](#)

Study on Filter Backwash Water Use (2022): Research assessing **backwash water consumption and efficiency** across filter media types (e.g., silica sand + activated carbon) concluded that media type and backwash intensity trade off between cleaning effectiveness and water loss. The authors advocate optimized backwash scheduling based on turbidity/head-loss triggers and recovering treated backwash for reuse to reduce plant water footprint. [ScienceDirect](#)

Välisalo, Heino & Pietilä (2011): Conference study on **outsourcing in water supply network maintenance** that outlines opportunities and risks of contracting O&M. The authors identify efficiency

gains and access to specialist skills as benefits, but stress risks including misaligned incentives, weak supervision, and the need for clear KPIs and capacity-building clauses in contracts. The paper recommends strong performance monitoring and contractual mechanisms to ensure service quality. [VTT's Research Information Portal](#)

Hitachi Review / Case Analyses (2023): A practitioner-oriented article reviewing **digital technologies and public-private solutions for water O&M** (including full-service outsourcing cases). It documents how digital monitoring, performance KPIs, and integrated contracts have improved plant responsiveness and asset management in several cases, while noting that digital systems must be matched with human capacity to act on alerts.

The article provides real-world examples of full-service outsourcing and technology-enabled supervision. hitachihyoron.com

MDPI Mini-Review on PFAS in DWTPs (2024): This mini-review examines **PFAS occurrence and treatment performance** in full-scale drinking water treatment plants. It shows that many conventional plants are not designed to remove PFAS effectively and that pilot/full-scale evidence for RO, activated carbon and ion-exchange suggests variable removals. The review highlights the need for monitoring, regulatory clarity, and targeted treatment upgrades where PFAS are a concern. [MDPI](#)

Review on Selection Methodology for Mobile/Small Water Treatment Systems (2025): A recent review discussing **technology selection for small/mobile water treatment systems**—relevant for decentralized plants—covers tradeoffs in capital/operational cost, energy use, and maintenance. The authors stress that O&M simplicity and local capacity are primary selection criteria for small systems, and recommend preferring robust, low-maintenance technologies with clear SOPs for local operators. [ScienceDirect](#)

Assessment of Repair & Maintenance Efficiency (2023): This methodological paper proposes **performance assessment techniques (DEA + FIS)** for evaluating repair and maintenance efficiency of water suppliers. It underscores that quantitative efficiency measures can inform resource allocation for O&M and that combining data-driven evaluation with qualitative oversight improves maintenance planning. The paper provides a framework transferable to treatment plant asset management. [SpringerLink](#)

III CONCLUSION

Water treatment plants are indispensable infrastructures for ensuring the supply of safe, clean, and reliable drinking water to communities. Through systematic processes such as screening, coagulation, sedimentation, filtration, and disinfection, these facilities safeguard public health by removing harmful contaminants from raw water sources. However, the overall efficiency and reliability of these plants depend not only on the technology employed but also on the effectiveness of their operation and maintenance practices.

The review of existing studies and practical experiences reveals that challenges such as inconsistent water quality, operational inefficiencies, equipment failures, and insufficient staff training remain prevalent in many facilities. Standardizing O&M procedures, implementing robust monitoring systems, and providing continuous skill development for operators are essential strategies to overcome these barriers. Furthermore, integrating modern treatment technologies, automation, and data-driven performance analysis can enhance both operational efficiency and water quality assurance.

By prioritizing proper plant design, adherence to regulatory standards, and proactive maintenance, municipalities and utility agencies can significantly extend the lifespan of treatment facilities while reducing operational costs. Ultimately, improving the performance of water treatment plants not only addresses immediate health concerns but also contributes to the broader goals of environmental sustainability and sustainable urban development.

REFERENCES

1. **Meng Meng Yin, Ke Feng Zhang, Yong Lei Wang (2011):** “Operation and Maintenance of Wastewater Treatment Plants: Case Studies in China” *Advanced Materials Research* Vol. 243-249 pp. 4797-4805. DOI: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.243-249.4797 [Scientific.Net](https://www.scientific.net/AMR.243-249.4797)
2. **Amirhossein Kiyan, Mohammad Gheibi, Mehran Akrami, Reza Moezzi, Kourosh Behzadian, Hadi Taghavian (2023):** “The Operation of Urban Water Treatment Plants: A Review of Smart Dashboard Frameworks” *Environmental Industry Letters* Volume 1, Issue 1, pp. 28-45. DOI: 10.15157/EIL.2023.1.1.28-45 [ResearchGate](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368111111)
3. **J. Cassidy, T. Silva, N. Semião, P. Ramalho, A. Santos, J. Feliciano (2020):** “Improving wastewater treatment plants operational efficiency and effectiveness through an integrated performance assessment system” *H2Open Journal* 3(1): 276–287. DOI: 10.2166/h2oj.2020.007 [IWA Publishing](https://www.iwa-pub.org/journal/H2Open)
4. **Denis Tan Jing Yu, Adrian Law Wing-Keung (2020):** “An Economic Perspective on Predictive Maintenance of Filtration Units” *arXiv* (preprint). DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2008.11070 [arXiv](https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.11070)
5. **Maryam Rahbaralam, David Modesto, Jaume Cardús, Amir Abdollahi, Fernando M. Cucchiatti (2020):** “Predictive Analytics for Water Asset Management: Machine Learning and Survival Analysis” *arXiv* (preprint). DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2007.03744 [arXiv](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.03744)
6. **Hesham Almomani, Naser Almutairi (2021):** “Using Lean Principles in Maintenance Operations with Application to Water Treatment Stations” *International Journal of Environmental Science* Volume 6, 2021. [PDF]. [IARAS](https://www.iaras.com/submitUniqueManuscript.php)
7. **(2024):** “Developing a Maintenance Management System for Water Treatment Plants” *International Journal of Technology and Power Engineering* Volume 16 No 2, pp. 110-115. [PDF]. [iotpe.com](https://www.iotpe.com)
8. **(2024):** “Evaluation of Operational Efficiency and Performance for a Water Treatment Plant: Case Study of Hazelmere WTP (1999–2018)” *Journal (source unspecified)*. DOI or details pending. [ScienceDirect](https://www.sciencedirect.com)