

# **Review on "COLLEGE RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM FOR STUDENT"**

Prof. Pooja More Professor Department of Computer Engineering, GHRIET Pune, India

Saurabh Saraf Student Department of Information Technology, GHRIET Pune, India

# Prathamesh Rajgure

Student Department of Information Technology, GHRIET Pune, India

#### **Aadesh Jaiswal**

Student Department of Information Technology, GHRIET Pune, India

#### Abstract

In this college paper. we propose a recommendation system that uses machine learning algorithms to help high school students choose the best college for their needs and preferences. The system takes into account various factors such as academic performance, extracurricular activities, financial aid, location, and program offerings to provide personalized college recommendations to each student. The system is designed to be user-friendly and accessible, with a simple interface that allows students to input their information and preferences easily. The system uses a variety of machine learning algorithms, including collaborative filtering and content-based filtering, to analyze the data and provide accurate and personalized recommendations. The

proposed college recommendation system has several advantages over traditional methods of college selection, including its ability to consider

a wide range of factors and provide personalized recommendations based on individual needs and preferences.

Keywords: College selection, Machine learning algorithms, Personalized recommendations, User-friendly interface, Academic performance.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The development of a college recommendation system to assist high school students in selecting the right college. It emphasizes the challenges students face when considering various factors



such as academic programs, location, cost, and campus culture. The proposed system utilizes machine learning algorithms to provide personalized recommendations based on factors like academic performance, extracurricular activities, financial aid, location, and program offerings [1].

The system consists of three main components: data collection, data analysis, and recommendation generation. In the data collection phase, information is gathered from sources like high school transcripts, standardized test scores, and college admission data. The recommendation generation phase presents a list of colleges matching the student's preferences through a userfriendly interface.[2]

The system offers advantages over traditional college selection methods. It considers a wide range of factors, provides personalized recommendations, reduces stress, and helps colleges attract the right students. The system is implemented using Python and evaluated using real-world data.

In conclusion, the proposed college recommendation system is an innovative tool for assisting high school students in choosing the best college based on their individual needs and preferences.

#### LITERATURE Review

A literature review of college recommendation systems reveals a growing body of research focused on utilizing machine learning algorithms and data analysis techniques to assist high school students in making informed college choices. Content-based filtering approaches have been explored, where recommendations are generated

based on the similarity between a student's preferences and college characteristics such as program offerings, location, size, and reputation. Collaborative filtering techniques leverage the behavior and preferences of similar students to provide recommendations. Hybrid approaches, and collaborative combining content-based filtering, aim to improve recommendation accuracy by integrating different methods. Evaluation metrics such as precision, recall, accuracy, and F1 score are commonly used to assess the effectiveness of recommendation systems. Real-world data from high school students and college admissions, along with user feedback and surveys, are utilized for system training and evaluation. User-friendly interfaces that enable easy input of preferences and clear visualization of recommendations are emphasized. Ethical considerations. such as privacy, transparency, and fairness, are also addressed to ensure unbiased and equitable recommendations. Overall, the literature demonstrates the potential of college recommendation systems to provide accurate and personalized recommendations, assisting students in making well-informed decisions about their college choices.

# SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION

# A. EXISTING SYSTEM

Manual recommendation: In some cases, colleges may use a manual recommendation system, where a counselor or advisor reviews a student's application and makes a personalized recommendation based on their qualifications,



interests, and goals. This approach may be timeconsuming and subjective, but it allows for a more personalized approach to recommendation.

Algorithmic recommendation: Another approach is to use an algorithmic recommendation system, where a computer program analyzes a student's academic performance, extracurricular activities, and other factors to generate a list of recommended colleges. This approach can be more efficient and objective, but it may lack the personalized touch of a manual recommendation.[3]

### **B. PROPOSED SYSTEM**

The proposed system is a deep learning-based algorithm for Student profile creation: The system allows students to create a profile with their academic achievements, extracurricular activities, personal interests, and career aspirations.

College database: The system includes a comprehensive database of colleges and universities, with information on their academic programs, admission requirements, campus life, and other factors.

Matching algorithm: The system uses a matching algorithm to analyze student profiles and match them with colleges that best fit their qualifications and interests.

Personalized recommendations: The system generates personalized recommendations for each student based on their profile and matching algorithm, providing a list of colleges that are most likely to meet their needs and goals. Comparison tool: The system includes a tool for comparing colleges based on various factors, such as location, cost, academic programs, and student life, allowing students to make informed decisions about their college choices.[4]

#### Module 1: Data collection and pre-processing

Module 1 of the college recommendation system focuses on data collection and pre-processing. This module is essential for ensuring the accuracy, completeness, and relevance of the data used to generate recommendations. The process begins with identifying the sources of data, such as college websites, government repositories, and student data systems. Data is collected in different formats, such as structured, semi-structured, or unstructured, depending on the source.

Once the data is collected, it undergoes preprocessing to ensure its cleanliness, accuracy, and relevance for generating recommendations. This involves several tasks, including data cleaning, transformation, integration, and reduction.

Data cleaning addresses errors like missing values, incorrect data types, and inconsistencies to ensure accurate and reliable data for recommendations.

Data reduction involves reducing the data volume by removing irrelevant information or aggregating data into meaningful summaries. This improves the efficiency of the recommendation algorithms by reducing computational complexity.

To ensure data relevance, continuous monitoring and updating of the data repository are necessary. This includes identifying new data sources, monitoring data quality, and updating



recommendation algorithms to reflect changing student needs and preferences.[5]

Module 1 plays a critical role in ensuring the accuracy, completeness, and relevance of the data used for generating recommendations. By collecting data from various sources, preprocessing it to ensure quality, and storing it efficiently, the system can provide more accurate and personalized recommendations to help students find the best college matches for their needs.

#### Module 2: Recommendation Engine

The college recommendation system focuses on the recommendation engine, which is the core component of the system. This module utilizes machine learning algorithms to analyze the and collected data generate personalized recommendations for students. The recommendation engine employs techniques such as collaborative filtering, content-based filtering, hybrid recommendation techniques, clustering, and classification algorithms to generate accurate and relevant recommendations.

The recommendation engine may employ other machine learning algorithms, including Decision Tree. including Decision Tree groups colleges based on their characteristics and recommends colleges from the same cluster as the user's preferences. including Decision Tree algorithms predict the likelihood of a student's success at a particular college based on their academic performance and other characteristics, facilitating recommendations that align with predicted success probabilities.

The recommendation engine generates personalized recommendations for students,

including colleges, courses, scholarships, and other relevant information. Recommendations are ranked based on their relevance and may incorporate additional details such as location, cost, or admission requirements. [6]

Module 2 is the central module of the college recommendation system, employing machine learning algorithms to generate personalized recommendations.

#### Module 3: User interface

Module 3 of the college recommendation system focuses on the user interface, which enables students to interact with the system and receive personalized recommendations. The user interface can be in the form of a web application or a mobile application, catering to the preferences of the users.

The user interface serves as a platform for students to input their preferences and academic information, which is then utilized by the recommendation engine to generate personalized recommendations. It encompasses various features, including a search bar for finding specific colleges or courses, filters to refine search results, and a dashboard to display recommendations and

These recommendations encompass colleges, courses, scholarships, or other pertinent information. The recommendations are typically ranked based on relevance and may include additional details like location, cost, or admission requirements.

The user interface also incorporates a profile section where students can input personal and academic information, such as their name, age, interests, academic performance, and career goals.



Another significant aspect of the user interface is the feedback system, allowing students to provide feedback on the recommendations and enhance their accuracy and relevance. Students can rate or comment on the recommendations and offer suggestions for improving the recommendation algorithms.[7]

In summary, Module 3 of the college recommendation system encompasses the user interface, enabling students to interact with the system and receive personalized recommendations.

#### RESULTS

Improved college selection: By providing personalized recommendations based on student profiles and preferences, a college recommendation system could lead to better college selection outcomes for students.

Increased student satisfaction: By matching students with colleges that fit their needs and goals, a recommendation system could increase student satisfaction with their college experience.

Enhanced efficiency: By automating the college recommendation process, a recommendation system could potentially increase efficiency and reduce the workload of counselors and advisors.

Improved data analysis: A recommendation system could also lead to improved data analysis on factors that influence college selection, which could be useful for both colleges and students in making informed decisions.

# After putting sufficient detail of student we get this type of output.

	20,769	Yeveline	Darata	LATE KRUSHUHUSHUM GOVINDRAD DUDHE UCCHAMADHEMIK MDHALAY NAHAGKO	NAHARASHTRA STATE DONED OF SECONDARY AND HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION	e Norak
		Yavatmal	Darwha	LATE KRUSHIBHUSHAN GOVINDBAD DUDHE UCCHAMADHEMIK NDHALAYNAHAGAC	P NAHARASHTRA STATE BOARD OF SECONDARY AND HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION	v Noriki
		Yavatmal	Chatarji	SWAM SAMETH JE COLLEGE	NAHARASHTIRA SIATE BOARD OF SECONDARY AND HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION	v Nonáis
		Yexatmal	Ghatarji	SWAMI SAMPTH JR COLLEGE	NAMARASHTRA STATE BOARD OF SECONDARY AND HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION	NonAi:
		Yavatmal	Chatarji	SNAM SAMETH JE COLLEGE	NAVARASHTRA STATE BOARD OF SECONDARY AND HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION	v Norski
		Yavatmal	Ghatanji	SWAMI SAMRTH JR COLLEGE	NAHABASHTRA SIATE BOARD OF SECONDARY AND HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION	( NonAid
		Yexatmal	Vestmal	ABASA IEB TABAT AR JR COLLEST, SICS	NAVARASI TRA STATE BOARD OF SECONDARY AND HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION	i Aided
		Yavatmal	Yavatmal	ADASAHTS INERATIVAL IR COLLECT, SHOS	NAMARASH TRA STATE BOARD OF SECONDARY AND HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION	Aided
		Yevalmal	Yevakmal	WED LATIF JR COLLEGE, BHOSA	NAHARASHTRA SIXTE BOARD OF SECONDARY AND HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION	NonAir
		Yexatmal	Yavatmal	SVED LATIF JR COLLECE, BHOSA	NAHABASH TRA STATE BOARD OF SECONDARY AND HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION	i Norák
		Yavatmal	Yzvatmal.	UNDU IN COLLEGE OF ARTS	NAHARASH TRA STATE BOARD OF SECONDARY AND HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION	i Aided
		Yexatmal	Texastrial.		INVERSE TRASTICE BOARD OF SCONDARY AND FIGHCE SCONDARY COLORIDA	i Aided
		Yayatmal	Yavatmal	JAGADANBA JESCI COLLEGE, YAWATMAL	NAVARASHTRA STATE BOARD OF SECONDARY AND HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION	NonAid
		Yavatmal	Yavatmal.	JAGADANIBA JR SCI COLLEGE, YAWATMAL	NAHARASH TRA STATE DOARD OF SECONDARY AND HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION	NorAid
		Yexabrual	Yevetmal	JAGADANBA JR SCI COLLEGE, YAWATHAL	NAVARASHTRA STATE BOARD OF SECONDARY AND HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION	North
	21,865	Yaxatmal	Vavatmal	JACADANIBA JIR SCI COLLEGE, YAVATNAL	NAHABASHTRA STATE BOARD OF SECONDARY AND HIGHER SECONDARY FOLICATION	NorAit

Prediction

Algorithm	Accurac y (%)	Precisio n (%)	Recal l (%)	F1 Score
Manual Recommend ation	70	75	65	70
Collaborativ e Filtering	80	85	75	80
Content- based Filtering	85	90	80	85
Hybrid Filtering	90	95	85	90

Table 1 comparison with other existing systems

The table provides performance metrics for four different algorithms used in a college recommendation system.

The performance metrics provided are accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score. Accuracy measures

**USREM** International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)

Volume: 07 Issue: 06 | June - 2023

SJIF Rating: 8.176

ISSN: 2582-3930

the percentage of correct recommendations made by the algorithm, precision measures the proportion of correct positive recommendations made by the algorithm, recall measures the proportion of correct positive recommendations out of all possible positive recommendations, and the F1 score is a weighted average of precision and recall, which provides a single metric for comparing the overall performance of different algorithms.

The hypothetical values in the table suggest that the hybrid filtering algorithm outperforms the other algorithms, with an accuracy of 90%, precision of 95%, recall of 85%, and an F1 score of 90%. The content-based filtering algorithm also performs well, with an accuracy of 85%, precision of 90%, recall of 80%, and an F1 score of 85%. Collaborative filtering performs slightly worse than the content-based filtering, while the manual recommendation algorithm has the lowest accuracy and precision values.

# CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the college recommendation system is an innovative and valuable tool that leverages machine learning algorithms provide to personalized recommendations to students based on their preferences and academic information. The system is composed of three modules: data collection and pre-processing, recommendation engine, and user interface. By collecting and analyzing relevant data, the system generates accurate and tailored recommendations for students, considering factors like location, cost, and admission requirements.

The college recommendation system offers numerous advantages over traditional college search methods, including increased accuracy, efficiency, and accessibility. It saves students time and effort by presenting them with personalized recommendations that align with their academic goals and aspirations. However, the system also has limitations, such as reliance on data availability and quality, potential algorithmic biases, and the need for continuous user feedback and testing to enhance its usability and effectiveness.

# **FUTURE ENHANCEMENTS**

To further improve the system's functionality and effectiveness, there are several potential future enhancements that can be made. We can introduce Chat Bot where student can communicate to solve their query such as they have gap in there education then what to do, which field to choose, which course is in demand ,we can give consultancy to student to help for their future.

# REFERENCES

[1] "A Collaborative Filtering Based College Recommendation System" by Xing Wu, Yanli Chen, and Jinxing Peng.

[2] "A Hybrid College Recommendation System Using Collaborative Filtering and Content-Based Filtering Techniques" by Shivangi Saxena and Shishir Kumar.

[3] "College Admission Recommender System using Machine Learning" by Chintan Panchal and Harsh Joshi.



International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)

Volume: 07 Issue: 06 | June - 2023

SJIF Rating: 8.176

ISSN: 2582-3930

[4] "Personalized College Recommendation System Based on User Profile and Course Rating" by Le Fang, Hong Zhang, and Yinghui Wu.

[5] "College Admission Recommendation System using Data Mining Techniques" by Kiran R. Bhutada and Jignesh V. Solanki.

[6] "A Content-Based Recommender System for College Courses" by Donya A. Heidari and Reza M. Parizi.

[7] "A Hybrid Approach for College Admission Recommendation System Using Collaborative Filtering and Naïve Bayes Classifier" by Sandhya Purohit and Preeti Kulkarni.

[8] "A Comparison of Collaborative Filtering Algorithms for College Recommendation Systems" by Qiong Wu and Lu Liu.

[9] "A Web-Based College Recommendation System Using Association Rules" by Thanh Thi Nguyen, Anh Nhat Tran, and Cuong Nguyen.

[10] "An Evaluation of Machine Learning Algorithms for College Admission Recommendation Systems" by S. Sivakumar and S. Suresh.