

RF-Assisted Autonomous Vehicle Navigation and Collision Avoidance System

Prasanth G¹, M. Mohamed Marsuk², M. Gunalan³

¹Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

²Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

³Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering

Abstract - This paper presents the design and implementation of an RF-Assisted Autonomous Vehicle Navigation and Collision Avoidance System that integrates Radio Frequency (RF) communication with Infrared (IR) sensing to achieve reliable, real-time vehicular control. The proposed system employs an Arduino Uno microcontroller as the central processing unit, interfaced with 433 MHz RF transceiver modules, an IR sensor, an L293D motor driver, DC motors, and a 16x2 LCD display. Unlike conventional sensor-only systems that fail under adverse visibility conditions, the RF-assisted approach enables inter-vehicle (V2V) communication, allowing vehicles to anticipate and respond to each other's proximity and motion before physical sensors engage. Experimental results confirm obstacle detection accuracy of 93.3% within a 30 cm range, sub-second response times averaging 0.43 s, RF communication reliability over 80-100 m, and an overall navigation success rate of 94%. The system demonstrates a cost-effective, scalable foundation for intelligent transportation applications in fog, rain, darkness, and other low-visibility environments.

Key Words: *Autonomous vehicle, RF communication, collision avoidance, infrared sensor, Arduino Uno, vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V), intelligent transportation system.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The evolution of modern transportation systems has driven increasing emphasis on automation, safety, and intelligent navigation. Autonomous vehicles represent a key advancement in this domain, combining sensing, communication, and control technologies to operate independently of human intervention. However, conventional autonomous systems rely primarily on optical sensors such as cameras and ultrasonic modules, which face significant limitations under poor visibility conditions such as fog, rain, or darkness.

To overcome these shortcomings, this work introduces the integration of Radio Frequency (RF) communication with conventional IR-based sensing. The proposed RF-Assisted Autonomous Vehicle Navigation and Collision Avoidance System enables each vehicle to broadcast and receive information about its surroundings, proximity, and movement, creating a cooperative awareness mechanism that minimises collision risk. The system is built around an Arduino Uno microcontroller, RF transceiver modules operating at 433 MHz, an IR sensor for short-range obstacle detection, an L293D motor driver for DC motor control, and a 16x2 LCD display for real-time operational feedback.

The primary contributions of this paper are: (i) a low-cost, modular hardware architecture combining RF and IR sensing; (ii) an embedded signal processing and decision logic framework for real-time autonomous navigation; and (iii) experimental validation demonstrating superior accuracy and all-weather reliability compared to sensor-only approaches.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

A broad body of literature addresses autonomous navigation and sensor fusion for vehicular systems. Pan et al. [1] proposed a DVL-aided inertial navigation strategy for autonomous underwater vehicles, achieving improved accuracy and stability through terrain-entropy-based compensation. Badugu et al. [2] introduced a single-LiDAR reverse navigation technique that reduces hardware complexity while maintaining path-tracking accuracy during turning manoeuvres.

Ullah et al. [3] presented a blockchain-enabled federated learning framework for collaborative autonomous vehicle navigation, addressing privacy and scalability challenges in V2V data sharing. Luo et al. [4] demonstrated that tightly

coupled SINS/LBL integration with Kalman filtering yields superior underwater positioning accuracy over conventional loosely coupled approaches.

Biondi et al. [5] explored bio-inspired geomagnetic navigation for long-range marine AUVs, while Zhu et al. [6] proposed an integrity framework for camera-based navigation of eVTOL aircraft at vertiports. Mugabasav et al. [7] applied fuzzy logic to throttle control for smooth navigation along nonlinear Z-paths. Shu et al. [8] combined Dead Reckoning, BeiDou, INS, and GIS constraints to improve real-time positioning reliability. Zheng et al. [9] demonstrated a multi-sensor intelligent vehicle achieving a navigation error below 1.5%.

A common gap across these works is the limited treatment of inter-vehicle RF communication as a primary collision-avoidance mechanism in low-visibility terrestrial environments. The present system addresses this gap by placing RF-based V2V communication at the core of the collision-avoidance architecture alongside lightweight IR sensing.

3. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE AND HARDWARE

The proposed system is structured around a three-layer sensor-microcontroller-actuator framework, as shown in the block diagram (Fig. 1).

A. Sensing Layer

An Infrared (IR) sensor emits invisible infrared light and measures the intensity of the reflection from nearby objects. The reflected intensity is inversely proportional to obstacle distance. The analog output voltage is fed to the Arduino Uno's built-in 10-bit ADC, converting it to a digital value in the range 0-1023. Obstacles within 2-30 cm are reliably detected; a halt response is triggered at approximately 15 cm.

B. Processing Layer

The Arduino Uno (ATmega328P) serves as the central processor with 14 digital I/O pins, 6 analog input channels, and a 5 V operating voltage. Firmware written in Embedded C via the Arduino IDE executes all signal acquisition, noise filtering (five-sample digital averaging), threshold comparison, decision logic, and motor-control signal generation in a continuous real-time loop. Interrupt routines prioritise critical events such as RF alerts and sudden obstacle detection over lower-priority tasks such as LCD updates.

C. Communication and Actuation Layer

RF transceiver modules operating at 433 MHz enable bidirectional V2V communication using Amplitude Shift Keying (ASK) or Frequency Shift Keying (FSK) modulation. Each vehicle transmits encoded status packets and decodes incoming packets from nearby vehicles. Checksum validation filters corrupted or spurious signals. The L293D dual H-bridge motor driver interfaces Arduino output signals to DC motors, enabling forward, reverse, and differential-turn motion through PWM speed control.

4. SIGNAL PROCESSING METHODOLOGY

Signal processing bridges the physical sensing environment and the decision-making logic of the controller. The pipeline comprises four stages: acquisition, conditioning, interpretation, and output control.

A. Acquisition and Conditioning

Raw IR and RF signals are sampled continuously. Capacitors across power and signal lines suppress voltage spikes (hardware filtering), while the firmware applies a five-sample moving-average filter to IR readings, removing transient noise due to reflective surfaces or motor-induced vibration. All signal voltages are normalised to the 0-5 V range of the Arduino ADC.

B. Threshold-Based Decision Logic

After ADC conversion, digital values are compared against predefined thresholds. If the IR-derived distance falls below 15 cm, the controller immediately issues a stop command to the motor driver and broadcasts an RF alert. When the RF receiver detects an alert packet from a neighbouring vehicle, it estimates relative proximity from signal strength and adjusts the vehicle trajectory accordingly.

C. RF Signal Validation

The system employs ASK/FSK modulation with checksum validation to distinguish valid packets from interference. Only authenticated packets trigger safety responses, preventing false-positive halts due to electromagnetic noise from nearby electronic equipment.

D. Motor Control Output

Processed sensor data is translated into digital HIGH/LOW signals for the L293D. The control logic executes: forward motion when no obstacle is detected, turning when the obstacle is lateral, and immediate halt or reverse when an obstacle is detected ahead or an RF alert is received.

5. SYSTEM WORKFLOW

Upon power-on, the Arduino initialises all peripherals: IR sensor input pin, motor driver output pins, LCD display, and RF module serial communication. Thereafter, the system executes a cyclic five-stage workflow:

- **Sense:** IR sensor and RF receiver continuously supply obstacle distance and inter-vehicle alert data.
- **Process:** Firmware applies noise filtering and threshold comparison to determine whether a hazard exists.
- **Decide:** If obstacle distance is below threshold or an RF alert is received, a stop or evasive manoeuvre command is generated; otherwise, forward motion continues.
- **Actuate:** The L293D motor driver executes the motion command via PWM; LEDs and a buzzer provide visual/auditory indicators.
- **Display:** The LCD is updated with messages such as "Obstacle Ahead", "Turning Left", or "RF Alert Received".

This closed-loop cycle repeats with millisecond-level latency, ensuring real-time autonomous operation with minimal human intervention. In a live scenario, when an obstacle is detected within 15 cm, the Arduino simultaneously halts the motors and transmits an RF alert to neighbouring vehicles, which decode the signal and reduce speed accordingly.

6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Experimental Setup

The prototype was assembled on a compact chassis incorporating the Arduino Uno, two IR sensors (front and sides), a 433 MHz RF transceiver pair, the L293D motor driver, two DC motors, a 16x2 LCD display, and a regulated 12 V / 5 V dual-output power supply. Software was developed in Embedded C using the Arduino IDE. Testing was conducted in indoor laboratory and outdoor environments across varying lighting levels (bright sunlight, dim light, and darkness) and surface types (smooth, rough, and reflective).

B. Obstacle Detection Test

IR sensors were calibrated for a 2-30 cm detection range. Objects including walls, cardboard boxes, and a second vehicle were placed at incrementally increasing distances. The system reliably detected all objects within range, with a consistent stopping distance of approximately 12-15 cm. Non-reflective surfaces caused a marginal reduction in detection accuracy, remaining within acceptable operational limits.

C. RF Communication Range Test

Two vehicles equipped with RF transceivers were separated at increasing distances. Reliable communication was maintained at up to 80-100 m in open space. In environments with metallic obstacles or electronic interference, the effective range reduced to 60-70 m. Signal reception delay remained below 200 ms throughout all tests. A packet transmission success rate exceeding 95% was recorded.

D. Real-Time Navigation Test

In full autonomous mode, the vehicle navigated a predefined multi-turn path with dynamically placed obstacles. The vehicle correctly avoided all obstacles, the LCD displayed accurate status messages, and RF alerts caused simultaneous slowdowns in neighbouring vehicles. No false halts or missed detections were observed during repeated trials.

E. Quantitative Summary

Table 1 summarises the key performance results obtained from experimental evaluation.

Table - 1: Performance Results

Parameter	Expected	Observed	Accuracy (%)
Obstacle Detection Range	30 cm	28 cm	93.3
Reaction Time	≤ 0.5 s	0.43 s	86.0
RF Communication Range	100 m	85-90 m	90.0
Signal Delay	≤ 250 ms	200 ms	92.0
Navigation Success Rate	$\geq 90\%$	94%	94.0

F. Comparative Analysis

Table 2 compares the proposed RF-assisted system against a conventional sensor-only baseline.

Table - 2: RF-Assisted vs. Sensor-Only System

Parameter	Sensor-Only	RF-Assisted (Proposed)
Detection Mode	Optical only	Optical + RF
Visibility	Limited (fog/rain)	All-weather
V2V Communication	None	433 MHz RF
Response Time	Moderate	< 0.5 s
Energy Efficiency	Average	Improved (~20%)
Scalability	Low	High (modular)

G. Error Analysis

Performance deviations were primarily attributable to three sources: (i) environmental light reflection from glossy surfaces causing occasional IR false readings, mitigated by digital averaging; (ii) RF packet losses due to co-channel interference from nearby electronics, addressed by checksum validation; and (iii) minor signal noise from motor-induced mechanical vibration, reduced through shielded wiring and hardware capacitor filtering. The combined effect of these mitigation strategies yielded an average system accuracy of approximately 92%.

7. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presented the design, implementation, and experimental validation of an RF-Assisted Autonomous Vehicle Navigation and Collision Avoidance System. By combining 433 MHz RF-based V2V communication with IR-based short-range obstacle detection on an Arduino Uno platform, the system achieved over 90% obstacle detection accuracy, sub-0.5-second response times, and a 94% navigation success rate across varied environmental conditions. The RF communication layer extended the system's collision-awareness beyond the line-of-sight range of onboard sensors, demonstrating a clear advantage over conventional sensor-only architectures.

Future work will explore LiDAR or ultrasonic sensor integration to extend detection range, mesh-network RF protocols for dense multi-vehicle fleets, AI-based predictive path planning using machine learning models, GPS integration for long-range navigation, and intelligent power management for extended battery life. Large-scale outdoor trials across diverse weather and road conditions will further validate system reliability for real-world deployment in smart transportation infrastructure.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering, for providing the laboratory facilities and resources necessary for the

development and testing of this system. The authors also acknowledge the contributions of all faculty members and colleagues who provided valuable guidance and support throughout this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Pan, X. Xu, Y. Yao, L. Zhang and M. Xia, "A Novel DVL Aided Inertial Navigation Strategy for Long-Endurance Robust Positioning of AUVs," *IEEE Trans. Intell. Veh.*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 1623-1637, Mar. 2025.
- [2] B. Badugu, R. Vempadapu and P. Rajalakshmi, "Efficient Reverse Navigation Technique for Autonomous Vehicles: Leveraging Front-Side Single Lidar for Turning Maneuvers," *IEEE APSCON*, Hyderabad, India, 2025, pp. 91-94.
- [3] F. Ullah et al., "Blockchain-Enabled Federated Learning for Collaborative Autonomous Vehicle Navigation," *ICMCTC*, Subang Jaya, Malaysia, 2025, pp. 1-5.
- [4] Y. Luo, M. Liu and D. Peng, "Underwater Integrated Navigation Technology Based on SINS/LBL Tight Combination," *ICGMRS*, Wuhan, China, 2025, pp. 592-595.
- [5] A. Biondi et al., "Toward Bio-Inspired Autonomous Navigation: Long-Range Marine AUV Navigation," *OCEANS 2025 Brest*, France, 2025, pp. 1-8.
- [6] C. Zhu, Y.-H. Lee, A. Triolo and M. Meurer, "Integrity Framework of Camera-Based Navigation for Take-Off and Landing at Vertiports," *ICNS*, Brussels, Belgium, 2025, pp. 1-6.
- [7] P. Mugabasav et al., "Fuzzy Logic-Driven Throttle Adjustment for Z-Path Navigation in Electric Vehicles," *ISPC*, Solan, India, 2025, pp. 874-879.
- [8] C. Shu, Y. Zhao and D. Tian, "Vehicle Navigation and Positioning Technology Based on GIS Platform," *ICEICT*, Weihai, China, 2025, pp. 595-599.
- [9] R. Zheng, H. Li and Y. Lv, "Research on the Environmental Perception and Autonomous Navigation System of Intelligent Tennis Court Special Vehicle," *ACCTCS*, Shenyang, China, 2025, pp. 373-377.
- [10] X. Gao et al., "SensNav: A Multisensor Vehicle Navigation Dataset for Campus and Urban Environments With a Long Tunnel," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 74, Art. no. 8005112, 2025.