

Rote Learning to Real Learning: The Promise of NEP 2020.

Dr. B. Chandrashekar. Assistant Professor (PT)

Department of Public Administration

Osmania University Hyderabad, Telangana.

Mail:dr.chandrashekar2007@gmail.com

Abstract.

The Indian education system has long been criticized for its overreliance on rote learning, a method centered on memorization rather than conceptual understanding and application. Rooted in colonial administrative models and reinforced by examination-oriented practices, rote learning has shaped generations of students who excel in reproducing information but often struggle with critical thinking, creativity, and real-world problem-solving. The National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) represents a transformative shift in this paradigm by reimagining the philosophy, structure, and purpose of education in India.

NEP 2020 seeks to transition from mechanical memorization to meaningful, competency-based, and experiential learning. The policy emphasizes multidisciplinary education, flexibility in subject choices, integration of vocational skills, and the use of technology to enhance teaching and assessment. By introducing inquiry-driven classrooms, project-based learning, and adaptive digital tools, NEP 2020 promotes deeper understanding and long-term retention of knowledge. It also redefines assessment practices through competency-based evaluation and continuous feedback mechanisms, thereby reducing the stress associated with high-stakes examinations. A central feature of NEP 2020 is its commitment to holistic development. Education is envisioned not merely as academic achievement but as the nurturing of intellectual, emotional, ethical, and physical capacities. The policy integrates arts, sports, value education, and life skills into the curriculum, ensuring balanced growth of learners. Furthermore, by promoting mother-tongue instruction in early years and incorporating Indian Knowledge Systems, NEP 2020 aligns global competitiveness with cultural rootedness.

Despite its visionary framework, the success of NEP 2020 depends heavily on effective implementation, teacher training, infrastructural readiness, and bridging the digital divide. If these challenges are addressed, NEP 2020 has the potential to redefine learning in India—transforming classrooms from centers of rote memorization into dynamic spaces of creativity, collaboration, and critical inquiry. Ultimately, the policy promises not merely reform, but a paradigm shift from rote learning to real learning in 21st-century India.

Keywords: NEP 2020, Rote Learning, Experiential Learning, Holistic Education, Competency-Based Assessment curriculum reforms.

1.Introduction.

Education functions as a foundational pillar of national development, shaping not only the intellectual growth of individuals but also the socio-economic and cultural progress of a nation. In India, education has historically occupied a position of high esteem, evolving from the ancient Gurukul system to modern institutional frameworks. Despite this rich intellectual heritage, the post-independence education system has been widely criticized for its overreliance on rote learning defined as the mechanical memorization of information without conceptual understanding or critical engagement.

The National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) represents a comprehensive attempt to transform this entrenched paradigm. By emphasizing experiential learning, holistic development, multidisciplinary flexibility, and competency-based assessment, the policy aims to shift the focus from memorization to meaningful learning. This article critically examines the historical roots of rote learning in India, its limitations, and the transformative reforms introduced under NEP 2020,

arguing that the policy constitutes a paradigm shift in the philosophy and practice of Indian education.

2. Historical Context and the Persistence of Rote Learning.

The dominance of rote learning in India can be traced to both colonial and pre-colonial educational traditions. During British rule, the education system was structured to produce clerical and administrative personnel for the colonial bureaucracy. Emphasis was placed on memorization of texts, laws, and standardized knowledge, rather than on inquiry, creativity, or critical reasoning. This utilitarian model persisted even after independence, influencing pedagogical practices and examination systems.

Although ancient Indian education systems encouraged memorization of scriptures and philosophical texts, these practices were embedded within broader traditions of debate, interpretation, and intellectual discourse. However, in contemporary schooling, memorization often became detached from critical analysis and reflective thinking.

The examination-oriented culture further entrenched rote learning. High-stakes board examinations and competitive entrance tests reinforced the perception that academic success depended primarily on the reproduction of textbook content. Consequently, teaching practices became exam-centric, limiting opportunities for innovation and inquiry.

3. Limitations of Rote Learning.

The limitations of rote learning are multidimensional. First, it restricts higher-order cognitive development by prioritizing recall over analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. Students often struggle to apply knowledge to unfamiliar contexts, resulting in superficial understanding.

Second, retention of memorized information is typically short-lived. Without conceptual grounding, knowledge acquired for examinations is frequently forgotten soon afterward. Third, rote-based systems contribute to a significant skill gap, as graduates may lack problem-solving abilities, communication skills, and adaptability—competencies essential in a rapidly evolving global economy.

Moreover, the intense focus on examinations contributes to psychological stress and anxiety among students. The system also perpetuates inequities, as access to coaching centers and supplementary resources often determines performance outcomes.

These structural limitations underscored the urgent need for comprehensive educational reform, particularly in the context of technological advancement, globalization, and the emergence of knowledge-driven economies.

4. NEP 2020: A Philosophical and Structural Transformation.

NEP 2020 introduces a transformative vision that reconceptualizes education as a process of holistic development rather than mere academic attainment. The policy's central objective is to cultivate critical thinking, creativity, ethical reasoning, and lifelong learning.

Unlike earlier policies that primarily focused on expanding access, NEP 2020 places significant emphasis on quality and learning outcomes. The transition from memorization-based pedagogy to competency-based education reflects a shift from content accumulation to skill development.

The policy introduces structural reforms such as the 5+3+3+4 curricular framework, integrating early childhood care and education (ECCE) into formal schooling. It also promotes multidisciplinary education and flexible subject choices, dismantling rigid separations between science, commerce, and humanities.

5. Experiential and Inquiry-Based Learning.

Structural Reforms: The 5+3+3+4 Framework: NEP 2020 replaces the traditional 10+2 structure with a 5+3+3+4 model, integrating early childhood care and education (ECCE). This reform acknowledges the importance of foundational literacy and numeracy, shifting the focus from early memorization to play-based and activity-based learning.

A cornerstone of NEP 2020 is experiential learning, which emphasizes “learning by doing.” Project-based activities, real-world problem-solving, and collaborative tasks are encouraged to deepen conceptual understanding.

Inquiry-driven classrooms shift the teacher's role from information provider to facilitator of learning. Students are encouraged to ask questions, investigate phenomena, and construct knowledge through active engagement. Such pedagogical approaches align with constructivist educational theories that view learners as active participants in knowledge creation.

The integration of technology further enhances experiential learning. Digital platforms, virtual laboratories, adaptive learning systems, and interactive tools are envisioned as mechanisms to personalize and enrich the educational experience.

Vocational education also receives renewed emphasis, ensuring that students acquire practical skills and develop respect for diverse forms of labor. Early exposure to crafts, coding, entrepreneurship, and community engagement bridges the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application.

6. Holistic and Multidisciplinary Education.

NEP 2020 underscores the importance of holistic development by integrating arts, sports, ethics, and life skills into mainstream education. This approach recognizes that intellectual growth must be accompanied by emotional intelligence, social responsibility, and physical well-being. Multidisciplinary education allows learners to combine subjects across traditional boundaries, reflecting the interconnected nature of contemporary knowledge systems. Such flexibility fosters creativity and prepares students for careers that require diverse competencies.

The policy also emphasizes instruction in the mother tongue during foundational years, enhancing comprehension and cognitive development. Simultaneously, it integrates Indian Knowledge Systems to ensure that education remains culturally grounded while globally relevant.

7. Competency-Based Assessment.

Assessment reform constitutes one of the most significant departures from traditional practices. NEP 2020 advocates competency-based evaluation that measures conceptual understanding, critical thinking, and application of knowledge. Continuous and comprehensive assessment replaces reliance on

single high-stakes examinations. Digital portfolios, adaptive testing mechanisms, and formative feedback aim to provide a more accurate and holistic representation of student learning.

This shift aligns Indian education with international best practices observed in countries that prioritize skill development over rote memorization.

8. Implementation Challenges.

Despite its transformative vision, NEP 2020 faces substantial implementation challenges. Teacher training and professional development are critical for transitioning from traditional pedagogies to experiential models. Infrastructure disparities and the digital divide may hinder equitable access to technology-enabled learning. Additionally, societal resistance to moving beyond examination-centric evaluation may slow reform efforts. Effective coordination between central and state governments will be essential to ensure consistent implementation across India's diverse educational landscape.

Conclusion.

The National Education Policy 2020 represents a historic opportunity to redefine the philosophy and practice of education in India. By shifting from rote memorization to experiential, holistic, and competency-based learning, the policy seeks to prepare students for the complexities of the 21st century. If implemented effectively, NEP 2020 has the potential to transform classrooms into dynamic spaces of inquiry, creativity, and collaboration. The transition from rote learning to real learning is not merely a pedagogical adjustment but a fundamental reimagining of education as a process of intellectual empowerment and societal advancement. In this sense, NEP 2020 marks not simply a reform, but a paradigmatic transformation in Indian education.

References:

1. Ministry of Education, Government of India (2020) – Official NEP2020 document outlining holistic, integrated, and enjoyable learning, with emphasis on curriculum and pedagogy reforms.
2. Dwivedi, K. (2021) – *Transforming the Indian Educational Landscape: A Critical Perspective on NEP2020*, analyzing

- structural, pedagogical, and technological reforms in social science education.
3. Naik, S., Ghose, S., & Pati, S. (2021) – *360-Degree Holistic Assessment in School Education: An Analysis of NEP2020*, highlighting the move toward inclusive evaluation of social, emotional, and practical abilities.
 4. Hussain, M. I. (2025) – *Recontextualizing or Reifying Tradition? A Critical Analysis of the Indian Knowledge Systems Mandate in NEP2020*, examining the integration of cultural and social knowledge systems into curricula.
 5. Dey, N. (2022). National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on transforming education: A critical analysis of recommendations on school, teacher and higher education. *Journal of Indian Education*, 48(1), 187–200.
 6. Government of India, Ministry of Education. (2020). *National education policy 2020*. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf
 7. Kapur, D., & Mehta, P. B. (2007). Indian higher education reform: From half-baked socialism to half-baked capitalism. *Brookings-NCAER India Policy Forum*, 3(1), 1–37.
 8. Kumar, P., & Singh, A. (2025). Five years of NEP 2020: A review of structural transformations in Indian education. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 51(12), 154–164. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2025/v51i122683>
 9. Kumari, S. (2023). Higher education under National Education Policy (NEP) 2020: A teacher's perspective study. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, 4(2), 1877–1888. <https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v4.i2.2023.3980>
 10. Mittal, S. S., Keswani, A., Garg, A., Khanna, A., Deb, H., & Gupta, L. (2025). Examining the National Education Policy 2020 from the perspective of girls' higher education and employability. *EPH-International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 9(2). <https://doi.org/10.53555/eijhss.v9i2.204>
 11. National Council of Educational Research and Training. (2005). *National curriculum framework 2005*. NCERT.
 12. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2019). *Future of education and skills 2030: OECD learning compass 2030*. OECD Publishing. <https://www.oecd.org/education/2030-project/>
 13. Piaget, J. (1970). *Science of education and the psychology of the child*. Orion Press.
 14. Poonam, & Ravish, I. (2023). The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 of India addresses the role of science education. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, 4(2), 1523–1528. <https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v4.i2.2023.2584>
 15. Sharma, N. (2025). A comprehensive analysis of India's National Education Policy 2020: Objectives, implementation, and future prospects. *Journal of Integrative Education Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.64229/crdmhc70>
 16. Sreenivas, A., Govardhan, A., Srinivas, K., & Raju, P. (2025). The role of NEP 2020 in shaping India's education ecosystem: Opportunities and challenges. *International Journal of Innovations in Science, Engineering and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.69968/ijisem.2025v4i1168-173>