

**SIGNAL TRANSMISSION OPTIMIZATION MOVING-VECTOR CLASSIFICATION
MPEG STANDARD VIDEO CODING**

SHASHANK SHEKHAR

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

IN

COMPUTER SCIENCE

UNDER THE GUIDANCE OF

DR. SUMIT BHATTACHARJEE

DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE

SUNRISE UNIVERSITY

ALWAR (RAJ.) INDIA

2022



Title of research proposal:	SIGNAL TRANSMISSION OPTIMIZATION MOVING-VECTOR CLASSIFICATION MPEG STANDARD VIDEO CODING
Name of the Research Scholar:	SHASHANK SHEKHAR imp.shashank@gmail.com +91-62917-46036
Reg. No. of Research Scholar:	SRU/PH. D/JAN/2018/0071
Name and Designation of Supervisor:	DR. SUMIT BHATTACHARJEE ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, SUNRISE UNIVERSITY bsumit021@gmail.com +91-91370-68755
Place of work:	ALWAR, RAJASTHAN

ABSTRACT

The rise of useful multimedia applications has sparked a rush to perfect video compression methods. Over the last decade, there has been a lot of interest in using motion-compensated frame interpolation (MCFI) methods to boost video frame rate during playback in both the academic and consumer electronics sectors. Interpolation refers to the process of defining the values of a function at places that are not represented by any of the examples. It accomplishes this process by fitting a constant function across the discrete input samples. We based our methodology on the fact that color cameras function similarly to the human eye. We have been used to black and white photographs, yet the real world is full of color. Grayscale images were the sole option until the advent of color monitors and cameras. Energy assessment calls for photo capture using a camera. Light's electromagnetic waves provide the observable energy in this scheme. For motion-compensated video vector frame interpolation or frame rate up-conversion and also Phase frame interpolation Method, the authors present a novel approach with low vector dispensation method in the conclusion. Using optical flow or other conventional methods, it is necessary to have precise pixel correspondences between pictures in order to compute interpolated frames in a video series. We provide a practical replacement by capitalizing on advances in phase-based approaches, which use the phase shift of individual pixels to express motion. In challenging interpolation conditions, such as major appearance changes, flow-based algorithms frequently create severe visual distortions, yet our solution fails gently. Our approach is particularly useful for retiming and interpolating frames in high-resolution video shot at a high frame rate. Estimating motion for the purpose of improving the quality of the decompressed video requires more research on the nature of the compression problem. a novel way to estimating motion that is analogous to full-search 3ss,4ss, block-matching, and parametric techniques. The primary focus will be research into both error-free compression and the development of novel motion estimation algorithms. The results of the research indicate that the suggested system has the potential to increase visual superiority and is also robust, especially when applied to video sequences with quick movements and challenging portions. By combining phase-based and conventional Lagrangian, which is utilized to get better quality results and deal with variations in light more gracefully.

1.1 INTRODUCTION

OVERVIEW

A video coding standard specifies both the syntax that must be used to decode the encoded representation in order to reconstruct the original visual data and the compression scheme that is to be used. Video coding and transmission rely on a number of interdependent factors, such as suitable video decoder hardware, network connections, etc. Video coding hardware is considered interoperable or standard compliant when it can communicate with other devices using the same format. The International Telecommunications Union Video Coding Experts Group (ITU-T VCEG) and the International Standardization Organization International Electrotechnical Commission Moving Picture Experts Group are the two primary organisations responsible for defining video standards to ensure interoperability in the video coding process (ISO/IEC MPEG). “The Joint Video Team (JVT) was established in December by ZOOI ITU-T and MPEG to complete work on the new video coding standard H.264/AVC. When MPEG saw the promise in H.26L, which ITU-T has been working on since 1998, it decided to create JVT to help bring it to fruition. The new standard's primary benefit was a higher compression efficiency compared to previous codecs. The H.26x series, which includes recommendations from the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) such as H.261, H.26Z, H.263, and H.26L, and the MPEG-1, MPEG-2, MPEG-4,

MPEG-7, and MPEG-ZI series identify various video coding standards.” ITU-T VCEG and ISO/IEC MPEG are two examples of video coding standards that aim to meet the needs of various new markets. For real-time video communication applications like video conferencing and video telephony, several vendors employ the compression methods specified by ITU-T, some of which are detailed and assessed by Sikora in [9Z]. ISO/IEC JTC1's algorithms in MPEG standards, on the other hand, are widely utilised to meet the requirements of video storage (DVD), broadcast video (Cable, Satellite TV), and video streaming (e.g., video over the internet, video over wireless, etc.).

Mpeg-2

The ISO/IEC 13818 standard was developed in 1995 to codify the MPEG2 standard, which is an expansion of MPEG-I. It is also known as Recommendation H.262 and was created in conjunction with the International Telecommunications Union (ITU-T). While the principles behind MPEG-I and MPEG-2 are identical, MPEG-2 adds enhancements to accommodate a larger variety of applications including digital TV (cable, satellite, and terrestrial transmission), VoD, DVD, HDTV, and so on. MPEG2 has special syntax for the effective encoding of interlaced video sequences, which is necessary since it is designed for TV quality (4-8 Mbps) and HDTV (18-45 Mbps). Since MPEG-I was designed only for usage on compact discs and only supports progressive sequences, this is by far the most significant improvement over MPEG-I. The MPEG2 video format provides a method for transcoding progressive film format video (24 frames/sec) to interlaced format (25 frames/sec for PAL and 30 frames/sec for NTSC). MPEG-2's scalable extensions also allow for video to be represented in bit streams with varying resolutions, image qualities, and frame rates. It also comes with a wide range of profile and level combinations, each of which is designed for a specific use case. Compression technologies like bit stream scalability and colour space resolution are part of a profile's coding scheme. If you switch profiles, you'll have access to a new set of compression options. There are a variety of profiles available in the MPEG-2 system, including the Simple Profile, Main Profile, SNR Scalable Profile, Spatial Scalable Profile, High Profile, Multi-view, and 4:2:2 Profile. Bit rates, picture sizes, and image resolutions are all examples of physical parameters that are defined at a level. MPEG2 specifies four quality levels: High, High 1440, Main, and Low. Using profiles and levels, you may encode two video sequences captured by different cameras from the same subject at a very little angle from each other or work with images with a colour resolution of 4:2:2 and greater bit rates. MPEG-2 specifies a variety of image sizes to accommodate various uses. Resolutions of 720x480 and 1280x720 at 60 frames per second and full CD-quality audio are available in the modern MPEG-2 standard. This meets the requirements of NTSC and all other prevalent television formats, including High Definition Television.

H.263

The ISO/IEC 13818 standard was developed in 1995 to codify the MPEG2 standard, which is an expansion of MPEG-I. It is also known as Recommendation H.262 and was created in conjunction with the International Telecommunications Union (ITU-T). While the principles behind MPEG-I and MPEG-2 are identical, MPEG-2 adds enhancements to accommodate a larger variety of applications including digital TV (cable, satellite, and terrestrial transmission), VoD, DVD, HDTV, and so on. MPEG2 has special syntax for the effective encoding of interlaced video sequences, which is necessary since it is designed for TV quality (4-8 Mbps) and HDTV (18-45 Mbps). Since MPEG-I was designed only for usage on compact discs and only supports progressive sequences, this is by far the most significant improvement over MPEG-I. The MPEG2 video format provides a method for transcoding progressive film format video (24 frames/sec) to interlaced format (25 frames/sec for PAL and 30 frames/sec for NTSC). MPEG-2's scalable extensions also allow for video to be represented in bit streams with varying resolutions, image qualities, and frame rates. It also comes with a wide

range of profile and level combinations, each of which is designed for a specific use case. Compression technologies like bit stream scalability and colour space resolution are part of a profile's coding scheme. If you switch profiles, you'll have access to a new set of compression options. There are a variety of profiles available in the MPEG-2 system, including the Simple Profile, Main Profile, SNR Scalable Profile, Spatial Scalable Profile, High Profile, Multi-view, and 4:2:2 Profile. Bit rates, picture sizes, and image resolutions are all examples of physical parameters that are defined at a level. MPEG2 specifies four quality levels: High, High 1440, Main, and Low. Using profiles and levels, you may encode two video sequences captured by different cameras from the same subject at a very little angle from each other or work with images with a colour resolution of 4:2:2 and greater bit rates. MPEG-2 specifies a variety of image sizes to accommodate various uses. Resolutions of 720x480 and 1280x720 at 60 frames per second and full CD-quality audio are available in the modern MPEG-2 standard. This meets the requirements of NTSC and all other prevalent television formats, including High Definition Television.

The well-known ITU-T and ISO/IEC standards have been instrumental in shaping the evolution of video coding standards. H.261 and H.263 were developed by the ITU-T, whereas MPEG-1 and MPEG-4 Visual were developed by ISO/IEC; H.262/MPEG-2 Video and H.264/MPEG-4 Advanced Video Coding (AVC) were developed collaboratively by the two organisations. The two jointly developed standards have had a significant effect, and their implementation can be found in an ever-increasing number of commonplace items. Throughout this progression, attempts have been made to enhance compression capabilities and improve other features like data loss resilience, while taking into account the computing resources that were realistic to utilise in products at the time of planned implementation of each standard. H.264/MPEG-4 AVC, the dominant video coding standard prior to the HEVC project, was first created between 1999 and 2003 and subsequently enhanced in numerous key areas between 2003 and 2009. As an enabling technology for digital video, H.264/MPEG-4 AVC has considerably superseded the older standard within its established application domains while also expanding into many new areas. Video content capture and editing systems, camcorders, security applications, Internet and mobile network video, Blu-ray Discs, and real-time conversational applications like video chat, video conferencing, and telepresence systems are just some of the numerous places it finds usage. However, beyond HD formats (such as 4k2k or 8k4k resolution), a proliferation of services, and the rising popularity of HD video are generating even greater requirements for coding efficiency superior to H.264/ MPEG-4 AVC's capabilities.

When stereo or Multiview capture and presentation are added to a higher resolution, the need becomes even more pressing. In addition, the transmission demands of video-on-demand services and the traffic generated by video apps designed for mobile devices and tablet PCs provide significant hurdles for modern networks. There is a growing need for better image quality and resolution in mobile apps. HEVC's developers set out to improve upon H.264/MPEG-4 AVC in two major areas: video resolution and the utilisation of parallel processing architectures. Due to the universal nature of the HEVC syntax, it should also be suitable for uses not listed above. While previous ITU-T and ISO/IEC video coding standards regulated the syntax and structure of the bitstream, HEVC simply specifies limits on the bitstream and its mapping to produce decoded images. By specifying the semantic meaning of syntax components and a decoding method, the standard ensures that all compliant decoders will provide the same output when presented with a bitstream that meets the standard's requirements. The standard's narrow scope allows for the most leeway in tailoring implementations to meet the needs of individual use cases (balancing compression quality, implementation cost, time to market, and other considerations). However, it does not ensure high-quality replication from start to finish since even low-quality encoding methods may pass muster. The standardisation work comprises not only the writing of a written specification paper, but also the writing of reference software source code to show how HEVC video may be encoded and decoded as an example. The committee has used the draught reference

software for internal study throughout the construction of the standard, and it may be used for general research and as the foundation of products. Conformance to the standard is being tested via the creation of a standard test data set.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Signal processing and masking segmentation approaches have inspired new developments in image processing. Specifically, the term "segmentation" refers to methods that foster development of the object while hiding the rest of the image. The most cutting-edge methods in image processing are a part of Video Processing, and so are methods for dealing with circumstances in which the temporal nature of the video frame is overwhelmingly dominant. The purpose of image analysis is to calculate parameters of interest and then delete specific parameters from the photographs, as stated by Shipra Ojha and Sachin (2015).

1.2 LITERATURE REVIEW

Li, Ze-Nian & Drew, Mark & Liu, Jiangchuan (2021) In this chapter, we examine the ideas behind the MPEG standards, starting with MPEG-1, -2, and then MPEG-4, and 7. In MPEG-1 and -2, bidirectional search for motion vectors is introduced. Interlaced video and high-definition TV (HDTV) are supported in MPEG-2. Moreover, it supports various scalable coding methods such as SNR, spatial, temporal, and their combinations. MPEG-4 studied the issue of video coding based on video objects, and MPEG-7 emphasized video representation and retrieval. Although the visual object-based video representation and compression approach developed in MPEG-4 and 7 have not been commonly used in current popular standards such as H.264, H.265, and H.266, it has great potentials to be adopted in the future when the necessary Computer Vision technology for automatic object detection and recognition becomes more readily available.

Khalifa, Othman & Issa, Sinzobakwira & Siddiqi, Mohammad Umar (2011) Moving Picture Coding Experts Group (MPEG) refers to a whole family of international standards for compression of audio-visual digital data. The most well-known are MPEG series which are also formally known as ISO/IEC-13818 and ISO/IEC-14496. The most important aspects are summarized as follows: The MPEG-1 standard was published 1992 with aim of providing VHS quality through a bandwidth of 1.5 Mb/s, which allowed to play a video in real time from a CD-ROM. The frame rate in MPEG-1 is locked at 25(PAL) fps and 30(NTSC) fps respectively. Furthermore, MPEG-1 was designed to allow a fast forward and backward search and synchronization of audio and video. A stable behaviour, in cases of data loss, as well as allow computation times for encoding and decoding was reached, which is important for symmetric applications, like video telephony.

Ohm, Jens-Rainer & Sullivan, Gary (2013) High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC) is a new video compression standard developed jointly by ITU-T Video Coding Experts Group (VCEG) and ISO/IEC Moving Pictures Expert Group (MPEG) through their Joint Collaborative Team on Video Coding (JCT-VC). The first version of HEVC will be finalized by the JCT-VC in January 2013. The HEVC project was launched to achieve major savings-e.g., reduction by about half for 1,280 × 720 high-definition (HD) and higher-resolution progressives can video-for equivalent visual quality relative to the bit rate needed by the widely used H.264/ MPEG-4 Advanced Video Coding (AVC) standard. For high resolution video where such additional compression is most urgently required, implementations of the current draft standard are already meeting or exceeding the targeted goal. We review the architecture and building blocks of HEVC, which were carefully selected with regard to compression capability versus complexity and to enable parallelism for the signal processing operations. Given the benefits that HEVC provides, it is likely to become the new primary reference for video compression.

Sivam, B & M G, Sumithra & Sreelatha, P. (2021) Nowadays, due to the rapid growth of information technology, data representation has to be performed in several ways. Data file includes text, images, audio, video, and animations, which are large and require lots of space in the hard disk. The Video file consists of sequence of images to be framed in single entity. Image Compression is the effective way to reduce the storage space and speedup the transmission. The Video transmission incurs higher bandwidth requirements. It is necessary to transfer the high-quality images to the user devices without loss and latency. This gives encouragement to the researchers to find acceptable compression algorithms. Even though many compression schemes exist, there will be the need for fast compression algorithms which produce acceptable quality images or video with minimum size. This paper presents a survey of various research articles about the image or video compression techniques.

Kumar Srivastava, Upendra & Prakash, Navin (2018) This paper provides the critical reviews on Real time Video Compression and Efficient use of Fuzzy Logic Techniques used in Video Compression and Quality Enhancement. Since the Internet is highly heterogeneous environment video codec needs to be able to generate bit streams that are highly scalable in terms of bandwidth and processing requirements looking all these problems this research paper explores the possibility of better compression ratio in real time and quality enhancement by efficient use of fuzzy logic. The first section of this paper tells the overview of the real time video compression. The second section of this paper describes the related work which has been done in the past regarding real time video compression it consists a Table-1 in reference of the time line of real time video compression and Table -2 about the differences between H.265 and H.264. The third section of this paper consists a Table-3 which represents about the research time line using fuzzy logic in video compression. The fourth section of this paper consists a Table-4 which represents the research time line of real time video compression. Finally, the conclusion of this paper is an overview on past, present and future trends in Video Compression Technologies, review of the improvements and development in video encoding over the last two decades with future possibilities.

Su, Wenyi & Rusanovskyy, Dmyrto & Hannuksela, Miska & Li, Houqiang (2012) There are several data formats available for 3D video, among which is the Multiview Video plus Depth (MVD) representation that enables depth-image-based rendering (DIBR). In addition to DIBR, the MVD data format can enable more efficient compression for texture video coding. In this paper, we propose advanced motion vector prediction (MVP) techniques which utilize the availability of depth information in the MVD format for more efficient coding of the corresponding texture pictures. The proposed MVP was implemented on top of the Multiview Video Coding (MVC) extension of the Advanced Video Coding (H.264/AVC) standard and its reference software and tested under the simulation conditions of the Call for Proposals of D video coding technology issued by the Moving Picture Experts Group (MPEG). In the provided simulation results the proposed scheme significantly outperformed the conventional MVP (by up to 9.9% and on average by 7.5% in Bjontegaard delta bitrate) when it was applied for coding of 3-view MVD data.

Li, Ze-Nian & Drew, Mark & Liu, Jiangchuan (2014) We introduced basic video compression techniques in Chaps. 10 and 11. We examined the ideas behind the MPEG standards, starting with MPEG-1, 2, and then MPEG-4, and 7. In this chapter, we introduce the newer video compression standards H.264 and H.265. For efficiency, integer transform is adopted in the place of the Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT). Other new features include quarter-pixel accuracy in motion vectors, predictive coding in intra frames, in-loop deblocking filtering, and Context-Adaptive Binary Arithmetic Coding (CABAC). Moreover, H.265 also facilitates parallel processing. With their superior compression performance over H.263 and MPEG-2, H.264 and H.265 are currently the leading candidates to carry a whole range of video contents on many potential applications.

Argyriou, Vasileios & Martinez-del-Rincon, Jesus & Villarini, Barbara & Roche, Alexis (2015) An overview of block-matching motion estimation methods is presented including the traditional methods such as full search, tree steps, diamond and the latest approaches. The same structure is used for hierarchical and shape-adaptive methods including registration methods based on quad-trees and the MPEG-4 or similar frameworks. Also, the main problems of registration for this application are discussed, indicating the particular research and development issues. The concepts of quality of system and quality of experience are discussed, and how image and video quality is influenced by the registration techniques is analysed. Quality metrics are presented focusing on coding applications.

Bull, David & Zhang, Fan. (2021) The process of standardizing video formats and compression methods has been a major influence on the universal adoption of video technology. Standards are essential for interoperability, enabling material from different sources to be processed and transmitted over a wide range of networks or stored on a wide range of devices. This interoperability provides the widest possible range of services for users. It also reduces risk for manufacturers, stimulates investment in research and development, and has created an enormous market for video equipment, with the advantages of volume manufacturing. Each generation of video coding standard, every 7–10 years from the introduction of H.120 in 1984 through to the most recent H.265/HEVC and H.266/VVC codecs, has consistently halved the bit rate required for equivalent video quality. This chapter overviews the features that have enabled this progress to be made. It is not intended to be a definitive reference, but rather a description that enables the reader to understand how the architectures, approaches, and algorithms described in previous chapters are employed in standardized codecs that are in common use today.

Shen, Yan-Fei & Li, Jin-Tao & Zhu, Zhen-Min & Zhang, Yong-Dong. (2014) In recent years, with the development of video coding technology and the increasing popularity of high definition (HD) video content, the ISO/IEC Moving Picture Experts Group (MPEG) and the ITU-T Video Coding Experts Group (VCEG) formed the joint collaborative team on video coding (JCV-VC) in 2010 which aims to develop the next-generation video coding standard for HD video application, called High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC). So far the test model HM has been used for performance evaluation and algorithm test. The final international standard of HEVC has been completed and published in the end of 2012 based on the working plan of JCV-VC. In this paper, the key technologies about HEVC will be surveyed, specifically including the quad-tree structure of coding unit, prediction unit and transform unit, the advanced motion vector prediction and merging technology, angular intra prediction, DCT-based fractional pixel interpolation filter and context adaptive arithmetic coding etc. Finally, the coding performance of some coding tools and complexity of HEVC are analyzed in detail.

Sallam, Ahmed & Faragallah, Osama & El-Rabaie, El-Sayed. (2018) This paper summarizes efforts in describing the main concepts of the two recent video coding standards H.265/MPEG-HEVC (High-Efficiency Video Coding) and H.264/MPEG-AVC. The High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC) is the successor video coding standard to H.264/MPEG-4 AVC (Advanced Video Coding) and was developed in 2013 by the Joint Collaborative Team on Video Coding (JCT-VC) from the ISO/IEC Moving Picture Experts Group (MPEG) and ITU-T Video Coding Experts Group (VCEG). An overview of the technical characteristics of the High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC) standard is presented. It has been shown that the HEVC standard provides a significant improvement on the compression performance compared with the H.264 AVC. The FFMPEG codec is used as a research tool for studying the performance analysis and comparison of the HEVC and H.264 AVC compression standers. Evaluation metrics for comparison includes the PSNR (peak-peak Signal to Noise Ratio), VQM (Video Quality Model), MSAD, Delta and SSIM of HEVC and H.264 AVC for several video sequences and bit rates.

Khalaf, Osamah & Sulaiman, Norrozila & Abdulsahab, Ghaidaa. (2015) Video transferring over Heterogeneous Networks has been considered as one of the most important subject to study since this process is used in a great number of modern applications, where the number of the users using for this type of network has increased. Transferring video may be suffered from many problems such as fading, connection failures, network traffic overload, storage capacity, and so on, which reflected in reducing the quality of the perceived video. There are many parameters that must be determined accurately in order to ensure the correct reception of delivered video, This paper presents the effect of using different codec standards which are (MPEG4 and H.264) on the quality of the delivered video, these two standards are analyzed by studying the impact of the number of packets sent and the density (number of nodes) on the received video quality, This study has analyzed the video transmission over heterogeneous network using Network Simulation-NS2. The main errors which have occurred during video transferring and types of codec standards are studied. Various performance parameters such as the packet delivery ratio, throughput, and peak signal to noise ratio which affect the quality of the delivered video are also calculated with respect to the number of packets sent and different node density.

Liyin, Xie & Xiuqin, Su & Shun, Zhang. (2010) The key to high performance of video compression lies in an efficient reduction of the temporal redundancy. For this purpose, the block-based motion estimation (BBME) technique has been successfully applied in the video compression standards from H.261 to H.264. The most straightforward BBME method must be full search algorithm (FSA) that searches every candidate position within the search range. Since FS consumes extremely high computational cost, development and refinement on ME algorithms have been fueled to archive better tradeoff between the computational cost and the ME speed. In this paper, we study the low complexity ME algorithms and classify them into three categories, namely modelling the matching error surface, fast full search and reduction of searching candidate points. In the following sections, we will have a brief review across all these categories. The aim of this review is to provide the succeeding researchers with some constructive information in design of the fast ME algorithms.

R.S, Dr. Sabeenian & Vidyavathi, K.. (2014) One of the fundamental challenges in deploying multimedia systems, such as telemedicine, education, space endeavors, marketing, crisis management, transportation, and military, is to deliver smooth and uninterrupted flow of audio-visual information, anytime and anywhere. A multimedia system may consist of various devices (PCs, laptops, PDAs, smart phones, etc.) interconnected via heterogeneous wire-line and wireless networks. In such systems, multimedia content originally authored and compressed with a certain format may need bit rate adjustment and format conversion in order to allow access by receiving devices with diverse capabilities (display, memory, processing and decoder). Thus, a video coding mechanism is required to make the content adaptive to the capabilities of diverse networks and client devices. In addition, a video coder can change the coding parameters of the compressed video, adjust spatial and temporal resolution, and modify the video content and/or the coding standard used. This research paper aimed to provide and suggest suitable video coding techniques and some of the related research issues. Also, by this work, it is planned to introduce some of the basic concepts of video coding, then review and contrast various approaches while highlighting critical research issues.

Mallik, Bruhanth & Sheikh Akbari, Akbar & Kor, Ah-Lian. (2017) This paper presents a mixed resolution stereo video coding model for High Efficiency Video Codec (HEVC). The challenging aspects of mixed resolution video coding are enabling the codec to encode frames with different frame resolution/size and using decoded pictures having different frame resolution/size for referencing. These challenges are further enlarged when implemented using HEVC, since the incoming video frames are subdivided into coding tree units. The ingenuity of the proposed codec's design, is that the information in intermediate frames is down-sampled and yet the frames can retain the original resolution. To enable random access to full resolution decoded frame in the decoded picture buffer as reference

frame a down sampled version of the decoded full resolution frame is used. The test video sequences were coded using the proposed codec and standard MV-HEVC. Results show that the proposed codec gives a significantly higher coding performance over the MV-HEVC codec.

1.3 TECHNIQUES USED FOR FRAME SEPARATION, FILTERING AND IMAGE BLENDING

INTRODUCTION

Image processing is an innovation on the standard signal processing and masking techniques for object segmentation. In this context, "segmentation" refers to methods that focus on the foreground object while suppressing the background. When the temporal aspect of a video frame becomes too taxing, video processing includes solutions that combine the most advanced image processing techniques. Image analysis's stated goal is to remove a few unimportant properties from photos after computing the relevant ones. The estimation of object or picture size and location is one such example.

Machine Vision

Gordon Morison, Mark David Jenkins (2014)., and others have defined "machine vision" as the use of image analysis, image processing, and video processing in manufacturing.

Computer vision

It's a wide-ranging discipline that focuses on how computers might be programmed to deduce meaning from visual media. Computer vision is the technical equivalent of what the human eye can perform automatically. In order to make judgments or other symbolic or numeric information, computer vision processes include things like obtaining, comprehending, processing, and analysing digital pictures. That's the process of taking in visual data and translating it into a mental picture of the environment that can then be used in conjunction with other reasoning to drive the right kind of behaviour. Models built using input from physics, geometry, statistics, and education are provided by Jason Clemons et al. to demonstrate how the separation of symbolic report from picture is possible. Anywhere more than one camera is utilised, computer vision requires all available methods.

1.4 MOTION COMPENSATED FRAME INTERPOLATION

Several techniques have been suggested to boost MCFI's functionality and hence enhance the temporal quality of video presentation. True motion may be readily misinterpreted owing to low picture quality, regardless of the motion estimating techniques employed for MCFI. For intra-coded MBs, it is almost difficult to recover the proper MVF from the bitstream using MV processing approaches that correct unstable motion by simply eliminating outliers, weighted averaging, or MVF interpolation. Two common MCFI interpolation methods will be discussed here. As part of the planned study, we would want to look at any issues that may arise from using bitstream motion in MCFI without first implementing the recommended method. In particular, these potential problems include 1) motion discovery for intra-coded MBs, 2) analysis and the use of color information, 3) residual energy distribution, 4) motion correlation, 5) video occlusion, and 6) temporal co-located MVs, and they are described in the following sections.

1.5 CONCLUSION

Frame rate conversion, temporal up-sampling for creating moderate movement video, picture morphing, and virtual view mix are just some of the many applications that rely on accurate interpolated pictures. Regular methods for calculating interpolated frames in a video sequence need exact pixel correspondences between images. Conventional approaches to image insertion often begin with registering correspondences (typically with optical stream or stereo methods), then subsequently distort the image depending on the registered correspondences. As a result of inherent difficulties in determining such correspondences, most approaches are prone to computationally expensive global improvement and need significant parameter adjusting. The current trend in the film and broadcasting industries toward higher resolution, higher frame rate video (for example, current cameras support 4k goals at 120 frames per second and beyond) necessitates the development of supplementary systems capable of handling this drastically increased data volume. Standard optical stream techniques based on global development sometimes proved inefficient for introducing such large-scale, densely examined data. Recent uses of phase-based methods, such as motion and view extrapolation, have shown encouraging results. These methods assume that each pixel's shading stage change may carry information about relatively little motion. However, at the current time, the spatial removal that can be contained in the stage data using these methodologies is quite limited, which restricts their practical ease of use. In this suggested method, we introduced a novel approach to phase frame interpolation; our findings are linked to the state-of-the-art optical flow-based approaches and provide equivalent visual superiority across a variety of real-world datasets. We noted a heightened presentation to achieve high luminance. The suggested method's primary objective is to improve the quality of screenshots captured from videos by eliminating blur. The blurring was remedied using a mixing technique used in this approach. As well as this, various methods are often utilised to acquire the excellent persistence picture coupled with reduced blur in the image. These methods include phase-based interpolation, multistage motion compensated interpolation, etc.

The goal of this research is to find methods that can up-convert or interpolate frames at a higher frame rate while maintaining high picture quality during scenes with fast-moving objects. Using a hierarchical refinement of motion vectors on varying block sizes, we fix the issues of blurred edges and distorted structures in an interpolated frame. Each received motion vector's dependability is taken into account by the suggested unique, low complexity motion vector processing method at the decoder, which takes into account the residual energy and motion vector correlation received. The structural information may be kept safe by assessing the distribution of residual energy and efficiently merging blocks that have unreliable motion vectors. High residual energy and bidirectional prediction difference in motion compensated frame interpolation may help identify unreliable motion vectors that generate visual artefacts, but there are additional unreliable motion vectors that cannot be identified in the same way. To further identify motion vector reliability and repair detected unreliable motion vectors, we suggest a correlation-based motion vector processing by assessing motion vector correlation in the vicinity. Increasing the frame rate at the decoder by means of frame interpolation using motion information in the incoming bitstream is a straightforward and efficient method for enhancing the temporal quality of compressed video. However, not all motion information is usable for frame interpolation since block-based motion estimation at the encoder typically misses real motion. The forecast residual energies have been found to be a reliable indicator of which MVs are unreliable. Additionally, we introduced a hierarchical MV processing system that uses the categorised MV dependability information to provide a more trustworthy MVF for frame interpolation. To successfully combine MBs that are near the motion boundaries, we evaluate the distribution of high residual energy. First, a single motion is given to each merged group, and then the groups are refined hierarchically by making the blocks smaller and smaller. This manner, the object structural information is preserved without the need for complex object-based segmentation. The suggested technique for processing MVs takes chrominance information into account throughout the process since it is useful for detecting and fixing inaccurate MVs, particularly near the margins of objects. Structure information is better retained

by the suggested multi-stage MV processing approach, which works with complex MVF and texture. Decoder complexity is kept to a minimum and compliance with industry standards is ensured through the use of MV processing to provide an effect similar to object-based frame interpolation but without the need for real edge recognition or motion estimation. However, not all of the unreliable MVs, such as low-correlated MVs, can be recognised using the received residual energies. Based on previous work, we suggested a correlation-based MV processing approach that can correct motion even in smooth or repetitive pattern regions.

1.6 REFERENCES

1. Li, Ze-Nian & Drew, Mark & Liu, Jiangchuan. (2021). MPEG Video Coding: MPEG-1, 2, 4, and 7. 10.1007/978-3-030-62124-7_11.
2. Khalifa, Othman & Issa, Sinzobakwira & Siddiqi, Mohammad Umar. (2011). Video coding: MPEG standards.
3. Ohm, Jens-Rainer & Sullivan, Gary. (2013). High Efficiency Video Coding: The Next Frontier in Video Compression. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*. 30. 152-158. 10.1109/MSP.2012.2219672.
4. Sivam, B & M G, Sumithra & Sreelatha, P. (2021). Survey on video compression techniques for efficient transmission. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. 1916. 012211. 10.1088/1742-6596/1916/1/012211.
5. Kumar Srivastava, Upendra & Prakash, Navin. (2018). A Systematic Review on Real Time Video Compression and Enhancing Quality Using Fuzzy Logic. *International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering*. 6. 653-665. 10.26438/ijcse/v6i11.653665.
6. Su, Wenyi & Rusanovskyy, Dmyrto & Hannuksela, Miska & Li, Houqiang. (2012). Depth-based motion vector prediction in 3D video coding. 2012 Picture Coding Symposium, PCS 2012, Proceedings. 10.1109/PCS.2012.6213280.
7. Li, Ze-Nian & Drew, Mark & Liu, Jiangchuan. (2014). New Video Coding Standards: H.264 and H.265. 10.1007/978-3-319-05290-8_12.
8. Argyriou, Vasileios & Martinez-del-Rincon, Jesus & Villarini, Barbara & Roche, Alexis. (2015). Registration for Video Coding. 10.1002/9781118702451.ch2.
9. Bull, David & Zhang, Fan. (2021). Video coding standards and formats. 10.1016/B978-0-12-820353-8.00021-9.
10. Shen, Yan-Fei & Li, Jin-Tao & Zhu, Zhen-Min & Zhang, Yong-Dong. (2014). High Efficiency Video Coding. *Chinese Journal of Computers*. 36. 2340-2355. 10.3724/SP.J.1016.2013.02340.
11. Sallam, Ahmed & Faragallah, Osama & El-Rabaie, El-Sayed. (2018). Performance Study of HEVC and H.264 Video Coding Standards. *Menoufia Journal of Electronic Engineering Research*. 27. 10.21608/mjeer.2018.64543.
12. Khalaf, Osamah & Sulaiman, Norrozila & Abdulsahab, Ghaidaa. (2015). The effect of Using Different Codec Standards on The Video Transmission over Heterogeneous Network. *Sylwan Journal indexing by ISI/Thomson Reuters*.
13. Liyin, Xie & Xiuqin, Su & Shun, Zhang. (2010). A review of motion estimation algorithms for video compression. *ICCA SM 2010 - 2010 International Conference on Computer Application and System Modeling, Proceedings*. 2. 10.1109/ICCA SM.2010.5620542.
14. R.S, Dr.Sabeenian & Vidyavathi, K.. (2014). Certain Investigations on video streaming and Frame rate classification for multimedia Applications. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*. 67. 547-553.
15. Mallik, Bruhanth & Sheikh Akbari, Akbar & Kor, Ah-Lian. (2017). HEVC based mixed-resolution stereo video coding for low bitrate transmission. 1-5. 10.1109/IST.2017.8261495.

16. Na, Taeyoung & Ahn, Sangsoo & Sabirin, Houari & Kim, Munchurl & Kim, Byungsun & Hahm, Sangjin & Lee, Keunsik. (2013). A hybrid stereoscopic video coding scheme based on MPEG-2 and HEVC for 3DTV services. *Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, IEEE Transactions on*. 23. 1542-1554. 10.1109/TCSVT.2013.2249021.
17. Patnaik, Yogananda & Patra, Dipti. (2015). H.264/AVC/MPEG Video Coding With an Emphasis to Bidirectional Prediction frames. 10.1109/INDICON.2015.7443600.
18. Lee, S. & Yap, Wun-She & Hum, Y. & Kwan, B. & Goi, B. & Tee, Yee & Jin, Zhe. (2018). Study the Effect of Commonly Used Video Compression Techniques on Sound Recovery via Negligible Object Vibrations for Visual Surveillance System. *ICAIP '18: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Advances in Image Processing*. 111-115. 10.1145/3239576.3239585.
19. Thomos, N.; Maugey, T.; Toni, L. *Machine Learning for Multimedia Communications*. *Sensors* 2022, 22, 819.
20. Wang, Ronggang & Wang, Zhenyu & Fan, Kui & Huang, Tiejun & Wang, Wenmin & Li, Ge & Gao, Wen. (2016). MPEG Internet Video Coding Standard and its Performance Evaluation. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*. PP. 1-1. 10.1109/TCSVT.2016.2631249.
21. Wang, Ronggang & Huang, Tiejun & Park, Sang-hyo & Kim, Jae-Gon & Jang, Euee & Reader, Cliff & Gao, Wen. (2016). The MPEG Internet Video-Coding Standard [Standards in a Nutshell]. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*. 33. 164-172. 10.1109/MSP.2016.2571440.
22. Choi, Kiho & Chen, Jianle & Rusanovskyy, Dmyrto & Choi, Kwang-Pyo & Jang, Euee. (2020). An Overview of the MPEG-5 Essential Video Coding Standard [Standards in a Nutshell]. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*. 37. 160-167. 10.1109/MSP.2020.2971765.
23. Choi, Kiho & Jang, Euee. (2014). Royalty-Free Video Coding Standards in MPEG [Standards in a Nutshell]. *Signal Processing Magazine, IEEE*. 31. 145-155. 10.1109/MSP.2013.2282413.
24. Boyce, Jill & Dore, Renaud & Dziembowski, Adrian & Fleureau, Julien & Jung, Joel & Kroon, Bart & Salahieh, Basel & Malamal Vadakital, Vinod & Yu, Lu. (2021). MPEG Immersive Video Coding Standard. *Proceedings of the IEEE*. PP. 1-16. 10.1109/JPROC.2021.3062590.
25. Saini, Hardeep. (2015). A Review on Different Video Coding Standards. *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*. 3. 2443-2446. 10.17762/ijritcc2321-8169.1504144.
26. Park, Sang-hyo & Jang, Euee. (2015). Objective and subjective evaluation of MPEG internet video coding. 10.1109/ICCE.2015.7066451.
27. Mattavelli, Marco & Janneck, J.W. & Raulet, Mickaël. (2018). MPEG reconfigurable video coding. 10.1007/978-3-319-91734-4_7.
28. Joy, Helen & Kounte, Manjunath R. (2020). A Comprehensive Review of Traditional Video Processing. *Advances in Science Technology and Engineering Systems Journal*. 5. 274-279. 10.25046/aj050633.
29. Singh, Raman & Goyal, Drdinesh & Hemrajani, Dr. (2013). Performance Analysis of Various Video Compression Techniques. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*. 2. 4.
30. Zeng, Jin & Au, Oscar & Dai, Wei & Kong, Yue & Jia, Luheng & Zhu, Wenjing. (2013). A tutorial on image/video coding standards. *2013 Asia-Pacific Signal and Information Processing Association Annual Summit and Conference, APSIPA 2013*. 1-7. 10.1109/APSIPA.2013.6694346.