SOIL STRUCTURE INTERACTION EFFECTS ON SEISMIC BEHAVIOUR OF ELEVATED WATER TANK WITH DIFFERENT STAGING CONFIGURATION.

Miss Geeta Rajendra Dhokare

Miss Geeta Rajendra Dhokare
Student, Department of Civil Engineering, ICOER, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

Abstract - The frame staging with a single row of columns placed along the periphery of a circle, they are generally adopted for elevated water tanks to support the tank container. Apart from the usual staging configurations, some alternate configurations are also practiced. These alternate configurations are made by adding few structural members to the usual configurations. The present paper aims to observe the effect of soil–structure interaction on elevated water tank with seismic forces. This report presents the study of seismic performance of the elevated water tanks for various seismic zones of India for different staging heights and different types of soil configuration models are analyzed using finite element based software SAP 2000 by considering. Tank responses including base shear, overturning moment, roof displacement, shear forces and bending moments in columns have been compared with the aim of recommendation of best staging configuration for earthquake zones in India.

Key Words: soil–structure interaction, overturning moment, lasers, templates, journals, SAP-2000, bending moments

1. INTRODUCTION

Water is human basic needs for daily life. Sufficient water distribution depends on design of a water tank in certain area. There are different ways for the storage of liquid such as underground, ground supported and elevated. An elevated water tank is a large water storage container constructed for the purpose of holding water supply at certain height to pressurise the water distribution system. Liquid storage tanks are used extensively by industries for storing inflammable liquids and other chemicals. Hence water tanks are very important components of lifeline. The liquid storage tanks are particularly subjected to the risk of damage due to earthquake-induced vibrations. Seismic safety of liquid tanks is of considerable importance.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

I. Hitesh Kumar; and Sandip Kumar Saha:- in this paper Seismic performance of elevated liquid storage tanks, considering soil–structure interaction (SSI) are investigated. The effects of considering SSI on the peak seismic responses, as well as on the seismic fragility of elevated tanks, are presented The base-isolation system works more effectively for slender geometry of elevated tanks when SSI is considered. Elevated slender tanks are seismically more vulnerable than broad tanks, irrespective of soil stiffness, period of staging, and presence of isolation system

II. Somnath Dutta, Aparna Mandal, Sekhar Chandra Dutta :- The present paper aims to observe the effect of soil–structure interaction on two dynamic characteristics namely, the impulsive lateral period which regulates lateral seismic behaviour and the impulsive torsional-to-lateral period ratio which regulates torsional vulnerability of the structure. The analytical expressions for these two dynamic characteristics have been derived considering the effect of soil-flexibility in elevated water tanks with the alternate configurations. These formulations have been validated against the results of finite element analysis for a few example tanks. A parametric study with limited example tanks based on these formulations shows that the frame staging with all kind of alternate configurations having less panel height, more number of columns, larger column diameter and stiffer circumferential beams compared to columns encounters the strongest influence of soil–structure interaction effect.he present study highlights the importance of soil–structure interaction and its impact on seismic design of elevated tanks with alternate staging configurations. The analytical formulations as well as the variation curves presented in the study may prove useful to the design engineers to incorporate the effect of soil–structure interaction on dynamic characteristics of elevated tanks

supported by alternate frame staging configurations, very conveniently

III. Ankush N. Asati , Dr.Mahendra S.Kadu and Dr. S. R. Asati:- In this paper, the seismic behavioural effect of circular elevated water tank is studied for specific capacity of tank for various staging arrangements in plan, variation in number of periphery columns and variation in number of stages in elevation. Two mass idealizations suggested by Gujarat State Disaster Management Authority are considered here. Under earthquake loads; a complicated pattern of stresses are generated in the tanks. Total 36 combinations were analysedwith SAP2000 using Response Spectrum Method (RSM) and results are presented. It is observed that increase in number of columns, does not assure the increase in the improvement of structural responses. Radial arrangement with six staging levels are found to be best for the number of columns used.

IV. Bhakti B. Jani , Vimlesh V. Agrawal and Vishal B. Patel This paper presents time history analysis of intze elevated liquid storage tanks supported on RC framed structure with different capacities, different Staging configuration and full and empty condition on three different soil types (Hard rock, Medium soil, Soft soil). Tank responses including base shear, overturning moment and roof displacement have been observed, and then the results have been compared. Results state that the dynamic analysis replies as base shear, over-turning moment and displacement are vastly influence. Radial bracing configuration attracts more seismic forces in tank full condition and results in higher base shear and less tank displacements compared to other bracing pattern. The Static and Dynamic analysis replies as base shear and displacement are vastly influenced.

V. Ayazhussain M. Jabar and H. S. Patel :- The main aim of this study is to understand the behaviour of supporting system which is more effective under different earthquake time history records with SAP 2000 software. Here are two different supporting systems such as radial bracing and cross bracing is compared with basic supporting systems for various fluid level condition. For later conditions water mass has been considered in two parts as impulsive and convective suggested by GSDMA guidelines. In empty condition, higher base shear for cross bracing pattern in Loma Prieta time history. For basic staging overturning moment is highest in half-full condition for Loma Prieta having high PGA value. Higher Roof displacement values are obtained in full fill up condition for all patterns.

VI. Sekhar Chandra Dutta a, Somnath Dutta a and Rana Roy :- The present paper attempts to examine the failure/damage of a few reinforced concrete elevated water tanks consequent upon the occurrence of the same in the event of moderate to severe seismic shocks. The investigation, in the initial phase, evaluates primary dynamic characteristics, viz., impulsive lateral period, and impulsive torsional-to-lateral period ratio of such system incorporating the effect of soil–structure interaction. The analytical formulations developed and validated herein for this purpose, may also be considered as a user-friendly contribution of the paper. Further, the study exploring the failures as summarized, identified the deficiencies in the prevailing design strategy and proposes simple yet improved design procedures. The soil–structure interaction increases the impulsive lateral period and decreases the impulsive torsional-to-lateral period ratio strongly for elevated tanks supported by shaft staging with lesser heights, larger staging radius, thicker shaft wall, smaller ratio of the radii of foundation and staging and softer subgrade medium. Thus, consideration of soil–structure interaction effect, at least for the design of these categories of tanks, seems to be extremely essential. e context of failure of a few reinforced concrete shaftsupported tanks, the present investigation identifies that it is the tank-empty condition that regulates the possibility of generation of axial tension in the tank staging, though base shear is primarily governed by tank-full condition. Further, the effect of soil–structure interaction may cause a significant increase in tension at one side of the supporting staging as compared to the same obtained from a fixed base analysis. Such possibility is more pronounced, particularly at tank-empty condition.VII. Kashyap N. Patel and Jignesh A. Amin:- In this paper orderly approach is deputed to determine the seismic response factor of elevated water tank having different soil flexibility. For nonlinear static pushover analysis finite element method is used. The capacity curve of each model is generated and the ‘R’ factors are obtained such wise. The impact of soil flexibility on seismic response factor of RC framing tank is evaluated. ‘R’ factors are determined for existing tanks at two performance level. The impact of the SSI in case of soft and medium soil reduces values of ‘R’ factor as much as 22% and 38% for the considered tanks respectively as compared to fixed base condition. The impact of the soil-flexibility is the least in case of hard soil. The actual value of ‘R’ expected to be lower than what is evaluated here, because of several reasons, such as, due to

dimensions disproportion may lead to moderate torsional effects, due to deficiency in construction, not following the IS provisions for ductile detailing

3. CONCLUSIONS

In an overall sense, the study highlights the importance of soil–structure interaction and its impact on seismic design of elevated tanks with alternate staging configurations. The analytical formulations as well as the variation curves presented in the study may prove useful to the design engineers to incorporate the effect of soil–structure interaction on dynamic characteristics of elevated tanks supported by alternate frame staging configurations, very conveniently. The soil–structure interaction increases the impulsive lateral period and decreases the impulsive torsional-to-lateral period ratio strongly for elevated tanks supported by shaft staging with lesser heights, larger staging radius, thicker shaft wall, smaller ratio of the radii of foundation and staging and softer subgrade medium. Thus, consideration of soil–structure interaction effect, at least for the design of these categories of tanks, seems to be extremely essential

REFERENCES

1. Hitesh Kumar, S.M.ASCE1 ; and Sandip Kumar Saha “Seismic Performance of Base-Isolated Elevated Liquid Storage Tanks Considering Soil–Structure Interaction”,
2. Somnath Dutta, Aparna Mandal, Sekhar Chandra Dutta “Soil–structure interaction in dynamic behaviour of elevated tanks with alternate frame staging configurations” Journal of Sound and Vibration 277 (2004) 825–853
3. Ankush N. Asati , Dr.Mahendra S.Kadu and Dr. S. R. Asati :-“ Seismic Analysis and Optimization of RC Elevated Water Tank Using Various Staging Patterns” Ankush N. Asati.et al. Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Application www.ijera.com ISSN : 2248-9622, Vol. 6, Issue 7, (Part -1) July 2016, pp.20-25
4. Bhakti B. Jani , Vimlesh V. Agrawal and Vishal B. Patel “Effects of Soil Condition on Elevated Water Tank Using Time History Analysis with Different Staging Systems” SSRG International Journal of Civil Engineering (SSRG-IJCE) Volume 7 Issue 6 June 2020.
5. Ayazhussain M. Jabar and H. S. Patel “SEISMIC BEHAVIOUR OF RC ELEVATED WATER TANK UNDER DIFFERENT STAGING PATTERN AND EARTHQUAKE CHARACTERISTICS” International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Studies E-ISSN2249–8974.
6. Sekhar Chandra Dutta a, Somnath Dutta a and Rana Roy “Dynamic behavior of R/C elevated tanks with soil–structure interaction” Engineering Structures 31 (2009) 2617–2629, © 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
7. Kashyap N. Patel and Jignesh A. Amin: “Performance Based Assessment of Seismic Response Factor for SMRF Staging Elevated Water Tank”