International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 10 Issue: 10 | Oct - 2026 SJIF Rating: 8.659 ISSN: 2582-3930

Sustainable Commerce and ESG Practices: Impact on Corporate Performance and Consumer Behaviour

Dr. Saddam Hussain
PHD, MBA, LLM, M.COM, LLB, B.COM

Abstract
Sustainability has evolved from a peripheral corporate responsibility initiative into an increasingly important dimension of contemporary commerce and business strategy. Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) practices have become prominent mechanisms through which organizations address environmental responsibility, stakeholder welfare, ethical governance, and long-term value creation. This paper examines the impact of sustainable commerce and ESG practices on corporate performance and consumer behaviour. Using a systematic literature review and conceptual analytical approach, the study synthesizes theoretical and empirical research concerning ESG integration, financial and operational performance, corporate reputation, innovation, risk management, consumer trust, purchase intention, brand loyalty, and willingness to pay for sustainable products. The analysis indicates that effective ESG practices can contribute to corporate performance through improved resource efficiency, risk management, stakeholder relationships, access to capital, innovation, reputation, and competitive differentiation. However, ESG initiatives may also involve implementation costs, measurement difficulties, inconsistent reporting standards, and the risk of greenwashing. From the consumer perspective, environmental and social responsibility can influence attitudes, trust, purchasing decisions, and brand relationships, although a persistent gap may exist between stated sustainability preferences and actual purchasing behaviour. Price, product quality, convenience, income, environmental awareness, credibility of sustainability claims, and cultural factors can moderate these effects. The paper concludes that ESG practices are most likely to support sustainable corporate performance when they are integrated into core business strategy, supported by credible measurement and transparent disclosure, and translated into tangible value for consumers and other stakeholders.

Keywords: Sustainable Commerce, ESG, Environmental Social and Governance, Corporate Performance, Consumer Behaviour, Sustainability, Corporate Social Responsibility, Green Marketing, Consumer Trust, Sustainable Business.

1. Introduction

Global commerce is undergoing significant transformation as businesses, investors, consumers, governments, and civil society increasingly consider the environmental and social consequences of economic activity. Climate change, natural-resource depletion, pollution, labor conditions, corporate accountability, supply-chain risks, inequality, and ethical governance have intensified interest in sustainable approaches to business.

Traditional business models have frequently emphasized profitability, market share, operational efficiency, and shareholder returns. Contemporary approaches increasingly recognize that long-term corporate performance may also depend on relationships with employees, consumers, suppliers, communities, regulators, investors, and the natural environment.

Within this context, Environmental, Social, and Governance practices have become prominent components of corporate sustainability strategies.

The **environmental** dimension includes issues such as carbon emissions, energy consumption, renewable energy, waste management, water use, biodiversity, sustainable sourcing, pollution prevention, and climate-related risks.

The **social** dimension concerns employees, labor practices, occupational safety, diversity and inclusion, human rights, supply-chain working conditions, community engagement, customer welfare, and product responsibility.

The **governance** dimension includes board structures, corporate ethics, transparency, accountability, internal controls, shareholder rights, executive compensation, anti-corruption mechanisms, and risk management.

At the same time, consumer behaviour is changing. Many consumers increasingly consider environmental and social factors when evaluating products and

companies. Sustainable packaging, ethical sourcing, environmental certifications, carbon footprints, labor practices, and corporate transparency may influence purchasing decisions.

However, the relationship between ESG practices and corporate outcomes is complex. ESG initiatives can generate costs as well as benefits. Similarly, consumers who express positive attitudes toward sustainability do not always translate those preferences into actual purchases.

This study therefore examines two interconnected questions: how ESG practices influence corporate performance and how sustainable business practices affect consumer behaviour.

2. Concept of Sustainable Commerce

Sustainable commerce refers to business and commercial activities designed to generate economic value while considering their environmental and social consequences.

It extends sustainability principles throughout the commercial value chain, including:

- Product design.
- Raw-material sourcing.
- Manufacturing.
- Transportation.
- Packaging.
- Marketing.
- Retailing.
- Consumption.
- Product reuse.
- Recycling and disposal.

Sustainable commerce therefore goes beyond selling environmentally friendly products. It involves reconsidering how organizations create, deliver, and capture value.

The concept reflects the principle that long-term economic prosperity should be compatible with environmental sustainability and social well-being.

3. Understanding ESG Practices

3.1 Environmental Practices

Environmental practices seek to reduce the ecological impact of business operations.

Examples include:

- Renewable-energy adoption.
- Energy efficiency.
- Carbon-emission reduction.
- Sustainable supply chains.
- Waste minimization.
- Recycling.
- Water conservation.
- Sustainable packaging.
- Pollution prevention.
- Circular-economy initiatives.

Environmental performance can influence operating costs, regulatory exposure, reputation, innovation, and consumer perceptions.

3.2 Social Practices

The social component of ESG focuses on relationships between businesses and their stakeholders.

Important areas include:

- Employee welfare.
- Fair compensation.
- Occupational health and safety.
- Training and development.
- Human rights.
- Diversity and inclusion.
- Responsible supply-chain management.
- Community development.
- Customer protection.
- Product safety.

Strong social practices may improve employee engagement, corporate reputation, stakeholder trust, and customer relationships.

3.3 Governance Practices

Governance refers to the systems through which corporations are directed, monitored, and held accountable.

Major governance practices include:

- Board independence.
- Ethical leadership.
- Transparent reporting.
- Internal controls.
- Risk management.
- Anti-corruption policies.
- Shareholder protection.
- Regulatory compliance.
- Responsible executive compensation.

Effective governance can strengthen accountability and reduce operational, financial, legal, and reputational risks.

4. Objectives of the Study

The primary objectives of this study are:

1. To examine the concept and development of sustainable commerce and ESG practices.
2. To analyze the relationship between ESG practices and corporate financial performance.
3. To examine the impact of sustainability practices on operational efficiency and innovation.
4. To assess the influence of ESG performance on corporate reputation and competitive advantage.
5. To investigate how sustainability practices influence consumer attitudes and purchasing behaviour.
6. To examine the relationship between ESG credibility, consumer trust, and brand loyalty.
7. To identify the challenges associated with ESG implementation, measurement, reporting, and greenwashing.
8. To propose a conceptual framework linking ESG practices, corporate performance, and consumer behaviour.

5. Research Questions

The study addresses the following research questions:

RQ1: How do ESG practices influence corporate financial and operational performance?

RQ2: What role does ESG play in corporate reputation, innovation, and risk management?

RQ3: How do sustainability practices influence consumer purchase intentions?

RQ4: What is the relationship between ESG credibility, consumer trust, and brand loyalty?

RQ5: Which factors moderate the relationship between sustainable commerce and actual consumer purchasing behaviour?

6. Research Methodology

The study adopts a **systematic literature review and conceptual research methodology**.

Secondary sources include peer-reviewed academic articles, books, institutional publications, sustainability literature, and research concerning ESG, corporate social responsibility, corporate performance, sustainable consumption, and consumer behaviour.

The literature is organized around four principal areas:

ESG Practices → Corporate Capabilities → Corporate Performance → Consumer Behaviour

The analysis considers both financial and non-financial corporate outcomes.

Corporate performance includes profitability, market value, operating efficiency, innovation, risk exposure, reputation, and competitive positioning.

Consumer outcomes include environmental attitudes, brand perception, trust, purchase intention, willingness to pay, satisfaction, and loyalty.

Because ESG effects vary according to industry, country, measurement method, time horizon, and institutional context, this study emphasizes conceptual

synthesis rather than claiming a universal causal relationship.

7. Theoretical Framework

7.1 Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder theory argues that corporations have relationships with multiple groups whose interests can affect or be affected by business activity.

These stakeholders include shareholders, employees, consumers, suppliers, governments, communities, and other participants.

From this perspective, effective management of stakeholder relationships can support long-term organizational success.

ESG practices provide a framework through which businesses can address the interests of multiple stakeholders.

7.2 Resource-Based View

The resource-based view suggests that organizations can achieve competitive advantage by developing valuable and difficult-to-replicate resources and capabilities.

Strong sustainability capabilities, stakeholder relationships, environmental expertise, corporate culture, and brand reputation can potentially function as strategic resources.

7.3 Legitimacy Theory

Legitimacy theory suggests that businesses seek acceptance from the societies in which they operate.

Organizations may adopt sustainability policies and disclosures partly to demonstrate consistency between corporate activities and broader societal expectations.

7.4 Signaling Theory

ESG disclosure can provide information to consumers, investors, employees, and other stakeholders regarding corporate practices.

Credible sustainability information can reduce information asymmetry and signal management quality or responsible corporate behaviour.

However, signaling becomes ineffective when stakeholders perceive sustainability claims as exaggerated or misleading.

8. ESG and Corporate Financial Performance

One of the most widely discussed questions in sustainability research concerns whether ESG practices improve corporate financial performance.

Potential financial benefits can arise through several mechanisms.

Environmental efficiency can reduce energy and material costs.

Strong employee policies can reduce turnover and improve productivity.

Effective governance can reduce the likelihood of misconduct and costly regulatory violations.

A strong sustainability reputation may support customer retention and market differentiation.

ESG practices may also influence relationships with investors and financial institutions.

However, ESG implementation can involve substantial costs.

Companies may need to invest in cleaner technologies, redesign supply chains, introduce monitoring systems, improve working conditions, develop reporting systems, and strengthen governance mechanisms.

Therefore, the relationship between ESG and financial performance should not be assumed to be automatically positive.

It depends on implementation quality, industry characteristics, management capabilities, time horizon, and the materiality of specific ESG issues.

9. ESG and Operational Efficiency

Environmental sustainability can improve operational efficiency through more effective use of resources.

Energy-efficient machinery can reduce energy consumption.

Waste-reduction strategies can decrease material costs.

Water-management practices can improve resource efficiency.

Circular-economy approaches can create value from products and materials that would otherwise become waste.

Supply-chain optimization can reduce both environmental impact and logistics costs.

Consequently, some environmental initiatives can simultaneously improve sustainability and operating efficiency.

10. ESG and Corporate Innovation

Sustainability pressures can stimulate corporate innovation.

Organizations may develop:

- Energy-efficient technologies.
- Low-carbon manufacturing processes.
- Sustainable packaging.
- Environmentally preferable materials.
- Circular business models.
- Product-recycling systems.
- Digital monitoring technologies.
- Sustainable logistics systems.

Innovation can enable companies to respond to environmental regulation while also creating new market opportunities.

Sustainability can therefore function not only as a compliance requirement but also as a potential source of product and process innovation.

11. ESG and Risk Management

ESG factors are increasingly connected with corporate risk management.

Environmental risks include climate-related physical disruption, resource shortages, environmental liabilities, and regulatory changes.

Social risks include labor disputes, unsafe working conditions, human-rights controversies, product-safety failures, and supply-chain misconduct.

Governance risks include corruption, fraud, conflicts of interest, weak internal controls, and inadequate board oversight.

Effective ESG management can help companies identify and manage some of these risks before they generate significant financial or reputational damage.

12. ESG and Corporate Reputation

Corporate reputation is an important intangible asset.

Companies perceived as responsible and transparent may develop stronger relationships with consumers, employees, investors, regulators, and communities.

A positive sustainability reputation can support brand differentiation.

However, reputation can also be damaged rapidly when corporate behaviour contradicts public sustainability commitments.

Companies should therefore ensure consistency between ESG communication and actual operational practices.

13. Sustainable Commerce and Consumer Behaviour

Consumer behaviour represents a critical link between sustainability and corporate performance.

Consumers make purchasing decisions based on multiple factors, including:

- Price.
- Quality.
- Convenience.
- Brand reputation.
- Product availability.
- Social influence.
- Environmental considerations.
- Ethical concerns.

Sustainability may become an additional product attribute that influences consumer evaluation.

Environmentally conscious consumers may prefer products with recyclable packaging, lower environmental impact, sustainable sourcing, or credible environmental certification.

Similarly, consumers concerned about social responsibility may consider labor practices, fair trade, community impact, or responsible supply chains.

14. Environmental Awareness and Purchase Intention

Environmental awareness can influence consumer attitudes toward sustainable products.

Consumers who are concerned about climate change, pollution, waste, or resource depletion may express

stronger intentions to purchase environmentally responsible products.

A simplified relationship can be represented as:

Environmental Awareness → Positive Sustainability Attitude → Purchase Intention → Sustainable Consumption

However, purchase intention does not always translate into actual purchasing behaviour.

This phenomenon is commonly discussed as an attitude–behaviour or intention–behaviour gap.

15. The Consumer Attitude–Behaviour Gap

Consumers frequently report positive attitudes toward sustainability while continuing to purchase conventional products.

Several factors can explain this gap:

- Higher prices.
- Limited availability.
- Convenience.
- Habit.
- Uncertainty about sustainability claims.
- Lack of information.
- Perceived differences in quality.
- Brand preferences.
- Time constraints.
- Limited purchasing power.

Therefore, companies cannot assume that environmental concern alone will guarantee consumer demand.

Sustainable products must also satisfy traditional consumer expectations concerning price, quality, functionality, convenience, and reliability.

16. Consumer Trust and ESG Credibility

Trust is an important mediator between corporate sustainability practices and consumer behaviour.

Consumers cannot easily verify many ESG claims themselves.

For example, customers may have limited ability to determine whether a company's supply chain genuinely follows responsible labor practices or whether a product is produced using environmentally sustainable methods.

Consumers therefore depend on corporate disclosures, certifications, labels, independent assessments, and reputation.

Credible information can strengthen consumer confidence.

Misleading claims can have the opposite effect.

17. Greenwashing and Consumer Behaviour

Greenwashing refers broadly to communication or marketing that creates an exaggerated or misleading impression of environmental responsibility.

Examples may include vague environmental claims, selective disclosure, unsupported sustainability statements, or emphasizing minor environmental initiatives while obscuring larger negative impacts.

Greenwashing creates several risks:

Consumer Skepticism: Customers may become less willing to believe sustainability claims.

Reputational Damage: Exposure of misleading claims can damage brand reputation.

Regulatory Risk: Companies may face increasing scrutiny regarding environmental marketing.

Market Distortion: Genuine sustainability leaders may find it harder to differentiate themselves from companies making weak or unsupported claims.

Transparency and verifiable information are therefore important for maintaining consumer trust.

18. ESG and Brand Loyalty

Sustainability can contribute to stronger consumer-brand relationships when ESG commitments are perceived as authentic and relevant.

Consumers who identify strongly with a company's environmental or social values may develop stronger emotional attachment to the brand.

This can influence:

ESG Credibility → Consumer Trust → Brand Satisfaction → Brand Loyalty

However, loyalty remains dependent on core product attributes.

Consumers are unlikely to remain loyal solely because a company communicates sustainability commitments if products consistently fail to provide acceptable quality, price, or service.

19. Willingness to Pay for Sustainable Products

Some consumers may be willing to pay a premium for products perceived as environmentally or socially responsible.

However, willingness to pay varies substantially.

Important determinants can include:

- Income.
- Environmental concern.
- Product category.
- Perceived quality.
- Consumer values.
- Trust in sustainability claims.
- Availability of alternatives.
- Size of the price premium.

Companies should therefore avoid assuming that all consumers value sustainability equally.

Market segmentation can help businesses identify groups for whom environmental or social attributes are particularly important.

20. ESG and Employee Performance

Employees are another important stakeholder group within ESG.

Organizations that provide safe working conditions, fair treatment, professional development, employee participation, and inclusive workplaces may improve workforce engagement.

Potential organizational benefits include:

- Higher employee satisfaction.
- Greater retention.
- Reduced turnover costs.
- Improved productivity.
- Stronger employer reputation.
- Better talent attraction.

The social dimension of ESG can therefore influence corporate performance through human-capital management.

21. Sustainable Supply-Chain Management

A significant portion of a company's environmental and social footprint may occur throughout its supply chain.

Sustainable supply-chain management can include:

- Supplier environmental standards.
- Responsible sourcing.
- Human-rights due diligence.
- Energy-efficient logistics.
- Waste reduction.
- Traceability systems.
- Supplier audits.
- Ethical procurement.

Supply-chain sustainability can reduce risk and improve transparency.

However, monitoring complex international supply chains can be expensive and operationally challenging.

22. ESG Reporting and Corporate Transparency

ESG reporting enables companies to communicate sustainability-related information to stakeholders.

Effective reporting can improve transparency concerning environmental performance, social policies, governance systems, risks, targets, and progress.

However, ESG reporting faces challenges relating to comparability, data quality, measurement methodologies, reporting boundaries, and differences between voluntary and mandatory requirements.

High-quality disclosure should therefore be specific, measurable, consistent, and supported by appropriate governance and verification processes.

23. Digital Technology and Sustainable Commerce

Digital technologies can strengthen sustainability management.

Artificial intelligence, data analytics, Internet of Things technologies, blockchain applications, and cloud systems can help organizations monitor energy consumption, emissions, logistics, inventory, resource use, and supply-chain performance.

Digital platforms can also provide consumers with more information regarding product origin, environmental characteristics, and ethical sourcing.

However, digitalization itself creates environmental considerations, including energy consumption, electronic waste, and infrastructure requirements.

24. Circular Economy and Sustainable Commerce

The circular economy represents an alternative to the traditional linear model of:

Take → Produce → Consume → Dispose

A circular approach emphasizes:

Reduce → Reuse → Repair → Refurbish → Remanufacture → Recycle

Businesses can incorporate circularity through durable product design, repair services, recycling programs, reusable packaging, product-as-a-service models, and recovery of materials.

Circular business models can potentially reduce waste while creating new sources of economic value.

25. Challenges in ESG Implementation

Despite the potential benefits, organizations face numerous ESG implementation challenges.

25.1 High Initial Costs

Sustainable technologies and supply-chain transformations may require substantial capital expenditure.

25.2 Measurement Problems

Some ESG indicators are difficult to quantify consistently.

25.3 Inconsistent Standards

Different sustainability frameworks can create complexity and comparability problems.

25.4 Greenwashing Risks

Exaggerated sustainability communication can undermine stakeholder confidence.

25.5 Short-Term Financial Pressure

Managers may face pressure to prioritize immediate financial results over long-term sustainability investments.

25.6 Supply-Chain Complexity

Global supply chains can make environmental and social monitoring difficult.

25.7 Limited Consumer Awareness

Consumers may not fully understand ESG terminology or sustainability labels.

25.8 Regulatory Differences

Companies operating internationally may face different sustainability and disclosure requirements across jurisdictions.

26. Conceptual Framework

Based on the literature, the relationship among ESG practices, corporate performance, and consumer behaviour can be conceptualized as follows:

Environmental Practices + Social Practices + Governance Practices

↓

Resource Efficiency + Innovation + Human Capital + Risk Management + Transparency

↓

Corporate Reputation + Stakeholder Trust

↓

Consumer Attitude + Purchase Intention + Brand Loyalty

↓

Sales + Market Position + Operational Performance + Financial Performance

↓

Long-Term Corporate Sustainability

This relationship is influenced by moderating factors such as price, product quality, industry, country, regulation, consumer income, environmental awareness, organizational capabilities, and credibility of ESG claims.

27. Proposed Research Hypotheses

Based on the theoretical discussion, future empirical research may test the following hypotheses:

H1: ESG performance is positively associated with corporate financial performance.

H2: Environmental sustainability practices are positively associated with operational efficiency.

H3: ESG practices are positively associated with corporate reputation.

H4: Corporate sustainability practices positively influence consumer purchase intention.

H5: Consumer trust mediates the relationship between ESG practices and purchase intention.

H6: ESG credibility positively influences brand loyalty.

H7: Perceived greenwashing negatively influences consumer trust.

H8: Consumer environmental awareness strengthens the relationship between sustainability practices and purchase intention.

H9: Sustainable product innovation positively influences competitive advantage.

H10: Effective ESG governance positively influences long-term corporate performance.

28. Major Findings

The systematic analysis produces several important findings.

First, ESG practices have become increasingly relevant to corporate strategy rather than remaining solely philanthropic activities.

Second, environmental initiatives can improve resource efficiency and stimulate technological and process innovation.

Third, social practices can influence organizational performance through employee engagement, human-capital development, stakeholder relationships, and corporate reputation.

Fourth, effective governance provides the institutional foundation necessary for credible ESG implementation.

Fifth, sustainability practices can positively influence consumer attitudes, trust, purchase intentions, and brand relationships, but these effects are conditional.

Sixth, a significant gap may exist between consumers' stated sustainability preferences and their actual purchasing decisions.

Seventh, price, quality, convenience, income, environmental awareness, and trust can influence whether consumers purchase sustainable products.

Eighth, greenwashing can weaken trust and undermine the commercial benefits of sustainability initiatives.

Ninth, ESG practices can contribute to risk management by helping companies identify environmental, social, governance, regulatory, and reputational exposures.

Finally, the relationship between ESG and corporate performance depends strongly on implementation quality, strategic integration, industry conditions, measurement methods, and institutional context.

29. Managerial Implications

Managers should integrate sustainability into corporate strategy rather than treating ESG exclusively as a reporting exercise.

Environmental objectives should be linked to operational efficiency and innovation.

Social policies should be incorporated into human-resource management, supplier relationships, and customer strategy.

Governance systems should provide effective oversight of ESG commitments.

Companies should establish measurable sustainability targets and regularly assess progress.

Marketing departments should communicate sustainability claims accurately and avoid vague or unsupported statements.

Businesses should also recognize that consumers generally evaluate sustainability alongside traditional product characteristics.

Therefore:

Sustainability + Quality + Affordability + Convenience + Credibility

is more likely to support consumer adoption than sustainability claims alone.

30. Policy Implications

Governments and regulatory institutions can strengthen sustainable commerce through transparent and proportionate sustainability standards.

Policymakers should encourage comparable and decision-useful corporate disclosure while avoiding unnecessary complexity.

Consumer-protection authorities should address misleading environmental claims.

Public policy can also support green innovation, renewable energy, sustainable infrastructure, recycling systems, and environmental research.

Small and medium-sized enterprises may require technical assistance and access to finance to adopt sustainable technologies.

Consumer education can also improve understanding of environmental labels and sustainability information.

31. Future Research Directions

Future research should examine the relationship between ESG and corporate performance using longitudinal and cross-country data.

Additional studies are required to determine how ESG effects differ between industries.

Researchers should also investigate differences between stated consumer sustainability preferences and actual transaction behaviour.

Future studies could examine the role of artificial intelligence and digital technology in ESG measurement.

Further research should investigate how ESG practices affect small and medium-sized enterprises in developing economies.

The effects of sustainability disclosure standards on corporate behaviour and investor decision-making also represent important areas for research.

Finally, research should examine how cultural, demographic, economic, and institutional factors influence consumer responses to sustainable commerce.

32. Conclusion

Sustainable commerce and ESG practices represent an increasingly significant dimension of contemporary business. Environmental responsibility, social accountability, and effective governance can influence how corporations manage resources, employees, risks, innovation, supply chains, investors, and customers.

The relationship between ESG and corporate performance is complex rather than automatic. Sustainability initiatives can generate economic value through resource efficiency, innovation, stronger stakeholder relationships, risk reduction, improved reputation, and competitive differentiation. However, ESG initiatives can also involve significant costs, implementation challenges, measurement difficulties, and uncertain short-term returns.

Consumer behaviour represents a particularly important mechanism through which sustainability can influence commercial performance. Consumers may respond positively to credible environmental and social practices through stronger trust, purchase intentions, and brand loyalty. Yet sustainability remains only one of several factors affecting purchasing decisions. Price, quality, convenience, income, availability, and credibility remain critical.

The study therefore concludes that the strongest approach to sustainable commerce is one in which ESG is integrated into the core economic and operational strategy of the organization rather than treated as an isolated communication exercise.

Businesses seeking long-term value should focus on **authentic ESG implementation, measurable outcomes, transparent disclosure, sustainable innovation, stakeholder engagement, and credible consumer communication**.

Ultimately, sustainable commerce can contribute to corporate performance when businesses successfully align **economic value creation with environmental responsibility, social well-being, and effective governance**.

References

Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. *Business Horizons, 34*(4), 39–48.

Clarkson, M. B. E. (1995). A stakeholder framework for analyzing and evaluating corporate social performance. *Academy of Management Review, 20*(1), 92–117.

Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. *Management Science, 60*(11), 2835–2857.

Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business*. Capstone.

Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Pitman.

Friede, G., Busch, T., & Bassen, A. (2015). ESG and financial performance: Aggregated evidence from more than 2,000 empirical studies. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 5*(4), 210–233.

Hart, S. L. (1995). A natural-resource-based view of the firm. *Academy of Management Review, 20*(4), 986–1014.

Khan, M., Serafeim, G., & Yoon, A. (2016). Corporate sustainability: First evidence on materiality. *The Accounting Review, 91*(6), 1697–1724.

Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing Management* (15th ed.). Pearson.

Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2006). Strategy and society: The link between competitive advantage and corporate social responsibility. *Harvard Business Review, 84*(12), 78–92.

Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review, 89*(1/2), 62–77.

Sen, S., & Bhattacharya, C. B. (2001). Does doing good always lead to doing better? Consumer reactions to corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Marketing Research, 38*(2), 225–243.

United Nations. (2015). *Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. United Nations.

White, K., Habib, R., & Hardisty, D. J. (2019). How to SHIFT consumer behaviors to be more sustainable: A literature review and guiding framework. *Journal of Marketing, 83*(3), 22–49.

World Commission on Environment and Development. (1987). *Our Common Future*. Oxford University Press.