International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 09 Issue: 12 | Dec - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 ISSN: 2582-3930

Sustainable Finance Models for the Olericulture Supply Chain

Ayushi Pandey

Abstract[1]

This paper examines sustainable finance models for olericulture (vegetable production) supply chains, addressing critical gaps in agricultural financing that hinder environmental, economic, and social sustainability. Through analysis of existing financing mechanisms and supply chain characteristics, we propose an integrated model combining green financing, value-chain financing, blended finance, and digital innovations. The Triple Bottom Line framework guides our assessment of sustainability impacts. Findings suggest that inclusive, risk-sharing financial mechanisms linked to ESG metrics can improve farmer income stability, enhance resource efficiency, and strengthen climate resilience. Policy recommendations emphasise public-private partnerships and de-risking instruments to scale sustainable finance in olericulture.

Keywords: sustainable finance, olericulture, agricultural supply chain, ESG, value-chain financing, climate-smart agriculture

Introduction

Background of Agriculture Supply Chains

Agriculture supply chains are complex systems linking input suppliers, farmers, aggregators, processors, retailers, and consumers. Their effectiveness determines not just the flow of food but also the overall resilience, inclusivity, and environmental impact of food systems[2]. Traditionally, the focus has been on improving productivity and efficiency, often at the cost of environmental sustainability and equitable financial access. However, the converging crises of climate change, socio-economic inequality, and resource scarcity have made the transformation of these supply chains into sustainable entities a global priority[3]

Importance of Olericulture in Global and Local Food Systems

Olericulture—or vegetable production—plays a central role in food security, rural income generation, nutrition, and employment. Vegetables are nutrient-dense and contribute significantly to dietary diversity, with short production cycles allowing for rapid turnover and economic returns. The sector is especially crucial in developing nations, with hundreds of millions of smallholder farmers relying on vegetable production for their livelihoods. India, for example, is the world's second-largest vegetable producer, with vegetable cultivation spanning diverse terrains and supporting both subsistence and commercial farmers. However, the sector's high perishability and market volatility pose unique challenges.[4]

Emerging Need for Sustainable Finance in Agriculture

The drive toward sustainability has magnified the critical role of financial innovation in agriculture. Traditional financing models often neglect the unique risks and sustainability objectives of olericulture—constraining the adoption of climate-

[1] Ayushi Pandey, research scholar

[2] Abbasi et al., 2018,W. Abbasi, Z. Wang, A. Alsakarneh,Overcoming SMEs financing and supply chain obstacles by introducing supply chain finance,Int. J. Bus. Manag., 13 (2018)

[3] Abdel-Basset et al., 2020,M. Abdel-Basset, R. Mohamed, K. Sallam, M. Elhoseny ,A novel decision-making model for sustainable supply chain finance under uncertainty environment,J. Clean. Prod. (2020),

[4] Abkar and Ahsan, 2021,S. Abkar, K. Ahsan,Investigation of the challenges of implementing social sustainability initiatives: a case study of the apparel industry,Soc. Responsib. J., 17 (3) (2021), pp. 343-366,

resilient, socially responsible, and resource-efficient practices[5]. Sustainable finance models such as green bonds, blended finance, digital microfinance, and ESG (Environmental, Social, Governance)-linked loans are emerging to fill these gaps, redirecting capital flows towards sustainable outcomes and inclusive growths[6].

Problem Statement

Vegetable value chains are exceptionally vulnerable to climate shocks, credit inaccessibility, post-harvest losses, and inefficiencies. Despite the significant sustainability gains achievable in olericulture, smallholders, FPOs, and other actors face acute challenges in accessing affordable and timely finance that integrates environmental, economic, and social criteria[7]

Research Objectives and Questions

This paper seeks to:

- Analyze current and emerging sustainable finance mechanisms applicable to the olericulture supply chain[8].
- Develop a conceptual framework linking sustainable finance, supply chain actors, and sustainability outcomes[9].
- Propose a model to integrate green and value-chain financing, risk management, and digital innovations for sustainable olericulture[10].
- Assess the potential impact of such models on environmental, economic, and social sustainability[11].

Key research questions:

1. What are the defining features and limitations of current finance models in olericulture?[12]
2. How can innovative financing catalyze sustainability throughout the vegetable supply chain?[13]

[5] Akman and Pışkın, 2013 ,G. Akman, H. Pışkın,Evaluating green performance of suppliers via analytic network process and TOPSIS Borgatti and Li, 2009

[6] Bals, 2019,C. Bals,Toward a supply chain finance (SCF) ecosystem – proposing a framework and agenda for future research,J. Purch. Supply Manag., 25 (2) (2019), pp. 105-117

[7] Borgatti and Li, 2009,S. Borgatti, X. Li,On social network analysis in a supply chain context,J. Supply Chain Manag., 45 (2009),

[8] Brundtland, 1987,G. Brundtland,Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development: Our Common Future,(1987)

[9] F. Caniato, L. Gelsomino, A. Perego, S. RonchiDoes finance solve the supply chain financing problem?,Supply Chain Manag., 21 (5) (2016),

[10] . Caniato, M. Henke, G.A. Zsidisin,Supply chain finance: Historical foundations, current research, future developments,J. Purch. Supply Chain Manag., 25 (2019),

[11] Cheung and Pok, 2019A. Cheung, W. Pok,Corporate social responsibility and provision of trade credit,J. Contemp. Account. Econ. (2019)

[12] F. Ciulli, A. Kolk, S. Boe-Lillegraven,Circularity brokers: digital platform organizations and waste recovery in food supply chains,J. Bus. Ethics, 167 (2020),

[13] C. De Goeij, L. Gelsomino, F. Caniato, A. Moretto, M. Steeman,Understanding SME suppliers' response to supply chain finance: a transaction cost economics perspective,Int. J. Phys. Distrib. Logist. Manag., 51 (8) (2021),

3. What metrics and frameworks are suitable for assessing sustainability impact in this context[14]?

Scope and Limitations of the Study

The study concentrates on olericulture within the agricultural supply chain, focusing on developing country contexts but drawing globally relevant lessons. It relies primarily on recent academic literature, case examples, policy documents, and international frameworks[15]. Limitations include limited primary data due to regional diversity and the evolving nature of digital and green financing.

Structure of the Paper

The paper is structured as follows: a literature review; conceptual framework; methodology; analysis of current state; proposed finance model design; sustainability impact assessment; discussion; and conclusion[16].

Literature Review

Overview of Sustainable Finance

Definitions and Theoretical Foundations

Sustainable finance encompasses financial instruments, products, and strategies that consciously integrate environmental, social, and governance considerations. The theoretical foundation is grounded in redirecting capital flows to investments yielding sustainability outcomes, going beyond pure financial returns to account for natural resource stewardship, social equity, and transparent governance[17]. Core theoretical models include ESG investing, green bonds, impact investing, and blended finance.

ESG (Environmental, Social, Governance) Frameworks in Agriculture

ESG frameworks in agriculture increasingly rely on quantifiable metrics to track climate impacts, resource use, labor conditions, and governance standards. New certification schemes and digital monitoring tools now enable ESG-linked lending, insurance, and investment—tying financial incentives to verified sustainability performance[18].

Agricultural Supply Chain Sustainability

Characteristics of Agri-Food Supply Chains

Agri-food supply chains, especially those dealing with perishables like vegetables, are subject to variable yields, fragmented markets, and high post-harvest losses. Stakeholders span smallholder farmers, aggregators, processors, logistics operators, retailers, and financial actors. Key bottlenecks include financing gaps, weak real-time information flow, climate risks, insufficient storage, and market volatility[19].

Vulnerabilities in Vegetable Production

Vegetable value chains are exceptionally sensitive to perishability, leading to rapid spoilage, quality loss, and price

[14] Dekkers ,2020,R. Dekkers, R. deBoer, L.M. Gelsomino, C. de,Goeij, M. Steeman, Q. Zhou, V. Souter,Evaluating theoretical conceptualisations for supply chain and finance integration: a Scottish focus group,Int. J. Prod. Econ., 220 (2020)

[15] Gelsomino et al., 2019,L.M. Gelsomino, R. de Boer, M. Steeman, A. Perego,An optimisation strategy for concurrent Supply Chain Finance schemes,J. Purch. Supply Manag., 25 (2) (2019),

[16]L.M. Gelsomino, R. Mangiaracina, A. Perego, A. Tumino,Supply chain finance: a literature review,Int. J. Phys. Distrib. Logist. Manag., 46 (2016),

[17] Giménez and Sierra, 2013, C. Giménez, V. Sierra, Sustainable supply chains: governance mechanisms to greening suppliers,J. Bus. Ethics (2013), pp. 189-203

[18] Giménez and Tachizawa, 2012,C. Giménez, E. Tachizawa, Extending sustainability to suppliers: a systematic literature review, Supply Chain Manag., IntJ., 17 (2012),

[19] Gomm, 2010,M.L. Gomm,Supply chain finance: applying finance theory to supply chain management to enhance finance in supply chains,Int. J. Logist. Res. Appl., 13 (2) (2010), pp. 133-142,

fluctuations. This results in high post-harvest losses (often 30-40% or higher). Climate variability, water scarcity, and policy uncertainty further exacerbate risks in olericulture.[20]

Olericulture: Concepts, Challenges, and Opportunities

Key Crops and Production Systems

Olericulture covers diverse crops (leafy greens, root vegetables, legumes, brassicas, etc.), cultivated under field, protected, and integrated systems. Multiple cropping, crop rotation, and short production cycles are typica[21].

Market and Value-Chain Characteristics

Olericulture supply chains are often elongated, fragmented, and dominated by informal market actors, though contract farming and aggregation models are emerging. The sector offers significant opportunities for rural entrepreneurship, value addition, export, and employment, but faces barriers in quality control, traceability, and coordinated logistics[22].

Sustainability Issues

Environmental challenges include soil degradation, overuse of agrochemicals, high water demand, and biodiversity loss. Social challenges revolve around precarious labor, gender gaps, and marginalization of smallholders and tenant farmers. Income instability, debt cycles, and lack of credit access reinforce economic vulnerabilities.[23]

Financial Models in Agriculture

Traditional Financing Mechanisms

Conventional agriculture financing is dominated by term/crop loans, working capital from banks/NBFCs, and government subsidy programs. These models often require land as collateral and struggle with high transaction costs, information asymmetry, and risk aversion, especially for smallholdings and tenants.[24]

Microfinance, Blended Finance, Green Bonds, Impact Investment

- **Microfinance** enables collective lending to groups, easing collateral requirements but sometimes failing to account for seasonal cashflows and agricultural risk[25].
- **Blended finance** combines public, philanthropic, and commercial capital—leveraging grants and guarantees to de-risk private investment or channel capital to targeted value chains and innovation[26].
- **Green bonds** direct proceeds to explicitly environmental projects, governed by standardized reporting and eligibility criteria (Green Bond Principles), allowing scale-up of climate-smart investments[27].

[20] Gualandris et al., 2015,J. Gualandris, R. Klassen, S. Vachon, M. Kalchschmidt,Sustainable evaluation and verification in supply chains: aligning and leveraging accountability to stakeholders,Joournal of Operations Management, 38 (2015), pp. 1-13,
[21] Granovetter, 1983,M. Granovetter,The strength of weak tier: a network theory revisited,Socio. Theor., 1 (1983), pp. 201-233,http://www.jstor.org/stable/202051

[22] Guida et al., 2021,M. Guida, A.M. Moretto, F.F. Caniato,How to select a supply chain finance solution?,J. Purch. Supply Manag., 27 (4) (2021), 10.1016/j.pursup.2021.100701
[23] Hervani et al., 2005,A. Hervani, M. Helms, J. Sarkis,Performance measurement for green supply chain management, Benchmark Int. J., 12 (4) (2005), pp. 330-353
[24] Hoessini-Motlagh et al., 2020,S. Hoessini-Motlagh, M. Johari, R. Zirakpourdehkordi,Grain production management to reduce global warming potential under financial constraints and time value of money using evolutionary game theory,Int. J. Prod. Res., 59 (17) (2020),
[25] Hofmann, 2005,E. Hofmann,Supply Chain Finance: Some Conceptual Insights,Logistik Management - Innovative Logistikkonzepte (2005)
[26] Hofmann and Belin, 2011,E. Hofmann, O. Belin,Supply Chain Finance Solutions,Springer Berlin, Heidelberg (2011)

[27] Huyghebaert, 2006,N. Huyghebaert,On the determinants and dynamics of trade credit use: empirical evidence from busi- ness start-ups,J. Bus. Finance Account. (2006)

- **Impact investment** channels funds to measurable social and environmental outcomes, increasingly popular among institutional investors and development funds targeting agri-food systems[28].

Digital Financial Innovations

Fintechs and digital platforms are transforming agri-finance by offering mobile-based credit and insurance, blockchain-enabled traceability for value-chain finance, and real-time scoring for ESG/sustainability-linked loans[29].

Previous Research on Sustainable Finance in Agriculture

Existing literature recognizes a significant finance gap in sustainable agriculture, particularly in value-chain and climate adaptation investments. Research gaps include insufficient integration of ESG metrics, limited coordination among stakeholders, inadequate incentives for sustainability, and the digital divide for smallholders[30].

Conceptual Framework

Linking Sustainable Finance to Olericulture Supply Chains

Sustainable finance serves as both a catalyst and an enabler for the transformation of olericulture supply chains[31]. It operates by connecting sources of capital (banks, impact investors, government programs) with a range of financial instruments (loans, bonds, insurance, digital credits) conditioned on measurable sustainability outcomes for different actors across the supply chain[32].

Triple Bottom Line (TBL) as the Analytical Lens

The **TBL**—encompassing environmental, economic, and social sustainability—is the analytical standard for evaluating impact:

- **Environmental:** Focuses on reducing agrochemical use, improving water and energy efficiency, promoting climate resilience, and preventing soil degradations[33].
- **Economic:** Measures income stability, access to markets and finance, supply chain efficiency, and profitability at all levels[34].

[28] Jia et al., 2020b,F. Jia, C. Blome, H. Sun, Z. Yang, B. Zhi,Towards an integrated conceptual framework of supply chain finance: an information processing perspective,Int. J. Prod. Econ., s (2020)

[29] Jia et al., 2020a,F. Jia, T. Zhang, L. Chen,Sustainable supply chain Finance:Towards a research agenda,J. Clean. Prod., 243 (2020),

[30] Johnsen et al., 2021,T.E. Johnsen, F. Caniato, T. Miandar,Chapter 14: the role of purchasing in the diffusion of sustainability in supply networks,Handbook of Sustainability-Driven Business Strategies in Practice (2021), pp. 244-259,

[31] Johnsen et al., 2022,T. Johnsen, F. Caniato, O. Meqdadi, T. Miandar,Swimming against the tide: supplier bridging roles in diffusing sustainability upstream and downstream in supply networks,Int. J. Oper. Prod. Manag., 42 (10) (2022), pp. 1605-1629

[32] Kaine and Josserand, 2018,S. Kaine, E. Josserand,Mind the gap: grass roots 'brokering' to improve labour standards in global supply chains,Hum. Relat., 71 (4) (2018), pp. 584-609,

[33] Kirkels and Duysters, 2010,Y. Kirkels, G. Duysters,Brokerage in SME networks,Res. Pol. (2010), pp. 375-385

[34] Lekkakos and Serrano, 2016,S. Lekkakos, A. Serrano,Supply chain finance for small and medium sized enterprises: the case of reverse factoring,Int. J. Phys. Distrib. Logist. Manag., 46 (4) (2016)

- **Social:** Examines labor conditions, employment creation, gender and smallholder inclusion, and community development[35].

Proposed Conceptual Model

Core components:

- **Inputs:** Sustainable seeds, fertilizers, water management, digital tech, and extension services.[36]
- **Financial Mechanisms:** Blend of green loans, ESG-linked credit, value-chain finance, blended finance, insurance, and digital microcredit[37].
- **Sustainability Outcomes:** Improved resource efficiency, resilience, market access, income stability, and social inclusion[38].
- **Stakeholder Mapping:** Farmers (smallholders, FPOs), aggregators, processors, retailers, financial institutions, government, NGOs.[39]

Methodology

Research Design

A mixed-methods approach informs the analysis[40]:

- **Qualitative:** Case studies, policy reviews, expert interviews for in-depth insight.
- **Quantitative:** Data on finance flows, loan performance, post-harvest loss, income trends, and sustainability indicators.

Data Collection Methods

Triangulation from:

- Literature and policy review for global trends and frameworks.
- Regional/country-level case studies showcasing successful finance models.
- Survey data from microfinance, banks, and FPOs on access, risk, and outcomes.
- Interviews with financial agencies, FPO leaders, and extension officers.

[35] León Bravo et al., 2021,V. León Bravo, A. Moretto, F. Caniato,A roadmap for sustainability assessment in the food supply chain,Br. Food J., 123 (13) (2021), pp. 199-220,

[36] Meqdadi et al., 2019,O. Meqdadi, T. Johnsen, R. Johnsen,Power and diffusion of sustainability in supply networks: findings from four in-depth case studies,J. Bus. Ethics, 159 (2019), pp. 1089-1110,

[37] Meqdadi et al., 2020,O. Meqdadi, T. Johnsen, R. Johnsen, A. Salmi,Monitoring and mentoring strategies for diffusing sustainability in supply networks,Supply Chain Manag.: Int. J.

[38] Moretto and Caniato, 2021,A. Moretto, F. Caniato,Can Supply Chain Finance help mitigate the financial disruption brought by Covid-19?,J. Purch. Supply Manag., 27 (4) (2021),

[39] Moretto et al., 2019,A. Moretto, L. Grassi, F. Caniato, S. Ronchi,Supply chain finance: from traditional to supply chain credit rating,J. Purch. Supply Manag., 25 (2) (2019), pp. 197-217

[40] Moretto et al., 2018,A. Moretto, L. Macchion, A. Lion, F. Caniato, P. Danese, A. Vinelli,Designing a roadmap towards a sustainable supply chain: a focus on the fashion industry,J. Clean. Prod., 193 (2018), pp. 169-184

Sampling Strategy

Purposeful sampling of regions/countries with established olericulture sectors (India, Nigeria, SE Asia, Latin America), emphasizing diversity in policy context and financing approaches[41].

Analytical Techniques

- **Sustainability Assessment:** Frameworks such as SDG Indicator 2.4.1, ESG-scoring, and TBL analysis[42].
- **Supply Chain Analysis:** Mapping flow of goods, finance, and data; identifying bottlenecks and actors[43].
- **Financial Model Evaluation:** Comparative analysis of model features, risk-sharing, scalability, and impact metrics.

Validation and Reliability Measures

Cross-verification of data sources (triangulation), expert validation of frameworks, and sensitivity analyses for economic models[44].

Current State of Olericulture Supply Chain Financing

Existing Financing Landscape

Olericulture financing is characterized by:

- **Dominance of informal and self-financing:** Smallholders mostly rely on personal savings, with formal bank credit penetration below 10% in many regions[45].
- **Traditional banking:** Focuses on collateralized loans, with updated schemes like crop loans, term loans and working capital[46].
- **Microfinance and SHGs:** Provide group loans and some individual products[47].
- **Government subsidies/programs:** Lower input costs, address infrastructure (cold storage, irrigation), and promote FPOs and digital transactions.

[41] Muñoz-Torres et al., 2019, M. Muñoz-Torres, M. Fernández-Izquierdo, J. Rivera-Lirio, E. Escrig-Olmedo, Can environmental, social, and governance rating agencies favor business models that promote a more sustainable development? ,Corp. Soc. Responsib. Environ. Manag., 26 (2019), pp. 439-452,

[42] Nguyen et al., 2022,A.H. Nguyen, T.G. Hoang, N.V. M, L.Q. Nguyen, H.H. Nguyen, Sustainability-oriented supply chain finance in Vietnam: insights from multiple case studie, Operations Management Research (2022)

[43] Obstfeld, 2005 ,D. Obstfeld ,Social networks, the tertius iungens orientation, and involvement in innovation ,Adm. Sci. Q., 50 (1) (2005), pp. 100-130

[44] Pfhol and Gomm, 2009 ,H. Pfhol, M. Gomm,Supply chain finance— optimizing financial flows in supply chains ,Logistics Research (2009), pp. 149-161

[45] Qin et al., 2020,J. Qin, Y. Han, G. Wei, L. Xia,The value of advance payment financing to carbon emission reduction and production in a supply,chain with game theory analysis, Int. J. Prod. Res., 58 (1) (2020), pp. 200-219,

[46] Saunders et al., 2019,L. Saunders, W. Tate, G.e. Zsidisin, The influence of network exchange,brokers on sustainable initiatives in organizational networks ,J. Bus. Ethics, 154 (2019), pp. 849-868

[47] Seuring and Müller, 2008,S. Seuring, M. Müller, From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management ,J. Clean. Prod. (2008), pp. 1699-1710,

Constraints and Barriers

- **Credit Access Barriers:** Stringent collateral, seasonal and small-scale farming risks, absence of formal records hinder access[48].
- **High Risk Due to Seasonality and Perishability:** Weather risks, perishability, and price volatility make lenders risk-averse and affect cash flows[49].
- **Lack of Collateral Among Smallholders:** Most small/tenant farmers cannot provide immovable property as security[50].
- **Supply Chain Fragmentation:** Multiplicity of intermediaries, lack of aggregation, and poor logistics fragment the chain and amplify risk[51].
- **Post-Harvest Losses:** Poor infrastructure and limited real-time data lead to high spoilage and wastage rates.
- **Women and Marginalized Inclusion:** Credit and resource inequities persist for women and marginal groups.

Case Studies of Regional or Country Systems

- **India's FPO/SHG model:** Government and NABARD/World Bank support for FPO collectivization, equity, and credit guarantees enable aggregation and value-chain finance; yet regional imbalances and gender disparities persist[52].
- **Aceli Africa:** Blended finance combining grants and incentives enables loans to agri-SMEs and smallholders, driving up agri-SME revenues and farmer crop prices[53].
- **Samunnati's green bonds (India):** Allocates green loans for climate-smart ag, bolstering climate resilience and ESG reporting for smallholders[54].
- **One Acre Fund (East Africa):** Bundled extension services and insurance enable smallholders to recover from climate shocks, improving income stability.

[48] Song et al., 2018,H. Song, K. Yu, Q. Lu,Financial service providers and banks' role in helping SMEs to access finance,Int. J. Phys. Distrib. Logist. Manag., 48 (2018), pp. 69-92,

[49] Stadler and Probst, 2012,L. Stadler, G. Probst, How broker organizations can facilitate public–private partnerships for development,Eur. Manag. J., 30 (1) (2012), pp. 32-46

[50] Tate et al., 2013,W.L. Tate, L.M. Ellram, I. Gölgeci,Diffusion of environmental business practices: a network approach,J. Purch. Supply Manag., 19 (4) (2013), pp. 264-275,

[51] tescoplc.com, 2021,tescoplc.com,Retrieved from tescoplc

https://www.tescoplc.com/news/2021/tesco-set-to-become-first-uk-retailer-to-offer-sustainability-linked-supply-chain-finance/ (2021)

[52] Thorelli, 1986,H.B. Thorelli,Networks: between markets and hierarchies,Strat. Manag. J. (1986), 10.1002/smj.4250070105

[53] Tiwari et al., 2019S. Tiwari, W. Ahmed, B. Sarkar,Sustainable ordering policies for non-instantaneous deteriorating items under carbon emission and multi-trade-credit-policies,J. Clean. Prod., 240 (2019), 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118183

[54] Tseng et al., 2021,M.-L. Tseng, T.-D. Bui, F. Tsai, R. Tan,Comparing world regional sustainable supply chain finance using big data analytics: a bibliometric analysis ,Int. J. Prod. Econ., 2018 (2021), pp. 308-321, 10.1108/IMDS-0

Role of Government Policies and Subsidies

Governments provide input subsidies, insurance premiums, irrigation/labor incentives, and digital infrastructure—all of which can be more strongly linked to sustainability outcomes[55].

Designing a Sustainable Finance Model for Olericulture

Principles Guiding Model Formulation

1. **Inclusiveness:** All smallholders, women, and marginalized groups gain access[56].
2. **Risk-Sharing:** Use de-risking tools like insurance, guarantees, and aggregation[57].
3. **Value-Chain Integration:** Facilitate finance that recognizes roles from producers to retailers and incentivizes quality, traceability, and market access[58].
4. **Sustainability Incentives:** Allocate preferential terms for demonstrated environmental and social benefits[59].

Proposed Sustainable Finance Mechanisms

Green and Climate-Smart Financing

- **Green Loans:** Designated for investments in renewable irrigation, resource-efficient technologies, protected cultivation, and organic inputs. Linked to sustainability audits and ESG criteria for better terms[60].
- **Climate-Resilient Farming Credit:** Loans for drought/heat-resilient crops, micro-irrigation, and soil health measures, with repayment tied to cropping cycles and climate adaptation outcomes[61].

Value-Chain Financing

- **Input Finance:** Bank/NBFC/FPO funding for input bulk purchase, repayment upon harvest, and aggregation of risk via group models[62].
- **Contract Farming Models:** Forward contracts/assured buy-back/price guarantee arrangements between processors/retailers and farmers, distributing production and market risks[63].
- **Guaranteed Off-Take Agreements:** Supply contracts that reduce price risk and improve creditworthiness.

[55] Tseng et al., 2019,M.-L. Tseng, M.K. Lim, K.-J. Wu,Improving the benefits and costs on sustainable supply chain finance under uncertainty,Int. J. Prod. Econ., 218 (2019), pp. 308-321,

[56] Tseng et al., 2018,M.-L. Tseng, K.-J. Wu, J. Hu, C.-H. Wang,Decision-making model for sustainable supply chain finance under uncertainties,Int. J. Prod. Econ., 218 (2018), pp. 308-321,

[57] United Nations Global Compact and Business for Social Responsibility, 2015,United Nations Global Compact and Business for Social Responsibility,Supply Chain Sustainability: A Practical Guide for Continuous Improvement (Second Edition) (2015)

[58] Wandfluh et al., 2016,M. Wandfluh, E. Hofmann, Schoensleben,Financing buyer–supplier dyads: an empirical analysis on financial collaboration in the supply chain,Int. J. Logist. Res. Appl., 19 (3) (2016), pp. 200-217,

[59] Wilhelm et al., 2016,M. Wilhelm, C. Blome, V. Bhakoo, A. Paulraj,Sustainability in multi-tier supply chains: understanding the double agency role of the first-tier supplier,J. Oper. Manag., 41 (2016), pp. 42-60,

[60] Xu et al., 2020,H. Xu, J. Wu, M. Dao,Corporate social responsibility and trade credit,Rev. Quant. Finance Account., 54 (2020)

[61] Yin, 1984,R.K. Yin,Case Study Research: Design and Methods,SAGE Publications (1984)

[62] Zhan et al., 2018,J. Zhan, S. Li, X. Chen,The impact of financing mechanism on supply chain sustainability and efficiency,J. Clean. Prod., 205 (2018)

[63] Zhang et al., 2014,M. Zhang, L. Ma, J. Su,Do suppliers applaud corporate social performance?,J. Bus. Ethics (2014)

Blended Finance

- **Public–Private Partnerships:** Pooling investment from government, DFI, private actors to finance infrastructure (cold-chains, logistics) and extension services[64].
- **De-Risking Instruments:** Partial credit guarantees, weather insurance, and grant-based technical assistance support transitioning actors, especially those adopting new sustainability practices[65].

Digital and Innovative Finance

fBlockchain for Traceability-Linked Finance: Use blockchain-based records for supply chain tracking, certifying sustainability claims, supporting traceability demands of buyers and lenders, and enabling tailored financing for ESG-compliant actors[66].

- **Mobile-Based Microcredit:** Digital loan products disbursed and repaid via mobile phones, integrating data from farm operations, repayments, and supply chain transactions to improve credit scoring and reduce costs[67].
- **Carbon Credit and Ecosystem Service Payments:** Monetize sustainability impacts through verified carbon credits and pay-for-performance models tied directly to improved land management and ecosystem services[68].

Integrating ESG Metrics into Financing Decisions

Across all models, robust frameworks for monitoring and reporting environmental (agrochemical use, water savings), economic (profitability, livelihoods stability), and social (inclusion, labor conditions) metrics are essential[69].

Risk Management Strategies

- **Weather/Index Insurance:** Bundled crop/weather insurance, with fast payouts tied to weather events or remote-sensed yields to ensure protection against climate shocks and enable risk-based financing[70].
- **Price Stabilization Mechanisms:** Use minimum price guarantees, contract farming, and digital market integration to reduce market risk exposure and income shocks[71].

Sustainability Impact Assessment

Environmental Impact

- **Resource Efficiency:** Green loans and digital monitoring drive better soil, water, and energy use, while precision agriculture and green infrastructure reduce wastage and GHG emissions[72].

[64] Zhou et al., 2018,Q. Zhou, X. Chen, S. Li,Innovative financial approach for agricultural sustainability: a case study of Alibaba,Sustainability, 10 (2018),

[65] Zimmer et al., 2015,K. Zimmer, M. Fröhling, F. Schultmann,Sustainable supplier management – a review of models supporting sustainable supplier selection, monitoring and development,Int. J. Prod. Res. (2015), pp. 1-31,

[66] Ahlström, H., 2019. Policy hotspots for sustainability: Changes in the EU regulation of sustainable business and finance. Sustainability.

[67] Atadoga, A., Ike, C. U., Asuzu, O. F., Ayinla, B. S., Ndubuisi, N. L., Adeleye, R. A., 2024. THE INTERSECTION OF AI AND QUANTUM COMPUTING IN FINANCIAL MARKETS

[68] Ndubuisi, N. L., Asuzu, O. F., Adeleye, R. A., 2024. THE ROLE OF ROBOTIC PROCESS AUTOMATION (RPA) IN MODERN ACCOUNTING: A REVIEW-INVESTIGATING HOW AUTOMATION TOOLS ARE TRANSFORMING TRADITIONAL ACCOUNTING PRACTICES. Engineering Science & Technology Journal.

[69] Azman, S.M.S. and Ali, E.R.A.E., 2016. The potential of innovative financial tools: Social Impact Bond (SIB) and Sustainable and Responsible Investment (SRI) sukuk, towards the sustainable growth of the Islamic finance industry.

[70] Bag, S., Telukdarie, A., Pretorius, J.C. and Gupta, S., 2021. Industry 4.0 and supply chain sustainability: framework and future research directions. Benchmarking: An International Journal.

[71] Bal, M. and Pawlicka, K., 2021. Supply chain finance and challenges of modern supply chains. LogForum.

[72] Boström, M., Jönsson, A.M., Lockie, S., Mol, A.P. and Oosterveer, P., 2015. Sustainable and responsible supply chain governance: challenges and opportunities. Journal of Cleaner Production.

- **Reduction of Agrochemical Use:** ESG-linked finance incentivizes shifts to integrated pest management, organic inputs, and soil health restoration[73].
- **Climate Resilience:** Index insurance, climate-smart technologies, and diversified cropping protect yields and incomes across climate scenarios[74].

Economic Impact

- **Farmer Income Stability:** Blended finance, contract farming, and insurance collectively buffer price, yield, and climate risks, leading to more predictable incomes for smallholders[75].
- **Market Access Improvements:** Aggregation models (FPOs, contract farming), digital traceability, and value-chain tie-ups open premium markets and reduce information bottlenecks[76].
- **Supply Chain Efficiency Gains:** Blockchain, IoT-enabled traceability, and optimized logistics systems reduce losses and add value, benefiting all chain actors[77].

Social Impact

- **Employment Creation:** Vegetables generate up to 4x more jobs per hectare than cereals; value chain finance catalyzes further value addition and rural employment[78].
- **Labor Conditions Improvement:** Certification and ESG reporting systems drive improvements in workplace safety, fair pay, and gender inclusion[79].
- **Gender and Smallholder Inclusion:** FPO-centric financing models and digital onboarding help bridge persistent gender and asset access gaps[80].

Discussion

Implications for Stakeholders

Sustainable finance models can significantly realign incentives and resources within the olericulture supply chain:

- **Farmers gain stability, new opportunities, and incentives for sustainability.**
- **FPOs, aggregators, and processors can access larger, lower-cost and sustainability-linked capital, improving their ability to invest in logistics and processing.**
- **Financial institutions and investors benefit from improved risk assessment, verifiable impact, and entry into new markets.**

[73] Camilleri, M.A., Troise, C., Strazzullo, S. and Bresciani, S., 2023. Creating shared value through open innovation approaches.
[74] Carter, C.R. and Liane Easton, P., 2011. Sustainable supply chain management: evolution and future directions. International journal of physical distribution & logistics management.
[75] Ceretti, A., 2022. How can Supply Chain Finance foster supply chain sustainability?.
[76] Chauhan, S., Singh, R., Gehlot, A., Akram, S.V., Twala, B. and Priyadarshi, N., 2022. Digitalization of supply chain management with industry 4.0 enabling technologies: a sustainable perspective.
[77] Chen, X., Wang, C. and Li, S., 2023. The impact of supply chain finance on corporate social responsibility and creating shared value: a case from the emerging economy. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal.

[78] Claessens, S., 2003. Benefits and costs on integrated financial services provision in developing countries. Brookings-Wharton papers on financial services, 2003.

[79] Cole, R., Stevenson, M. and Aitken, J., 2019. Blockchain technology: implications for operations and supply chain management. Supply chain management: An international journal.

[80] Emeka-Okoli, S., Nwankwo, E. E., Nwankwo, T. C., Otonna, C. A., 2024 NAVIGATING NON-TECHNICAL RISKS IN THE OIL & GAS INDUSTRY: INSIGHTS AND FRAMEWORKS-A REVIEW. International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences.

- **Governments achieve progress towards food security, climate targets, and rural development goals while making subsidies more effective.**

Scalability and Replicability of the Model

Success hinges on aggregation (FPOs/SHGs), standardized measurement and reporting frameworks (blockchain/IoT/ESG), access to concessional/blended finance, and policy support for market and subsidy reforms. The model is highly scalable in regions with strong FPO networks, supportive ICT infrastructure, and enabling policy environments[81].

Comparison with Existing Finance Models

Traditional models are limited by collateral constraints and risk aversion, while microfinance, blended finance, and digital/green innovations offer more targeted, inclusive, and outcome-driven solutions. The sustainability-linked approach ensures broader and deeper impact, even as it introduces complexity in measuring and verifying outcomes and requires coordination among more actors[82].

Policy Considerations and Recommendations

Policy reform should:

- Mainstream ESG/sustainability criteria in public finance and subsidies.
- Expand digital infrastructure and training for smallholders.
- Foster innovations in insurance and price stabilization.
- Encourage aggregation and formalization (FPOs/SHGs) for greater capital access and bargaining power.
- Incentivize private sector participation via guarantees and blended models.
- Strengthen monitoring, evaluation, and impact verification frameworks.

Conclusion

Summary of Key Findings

1. Sustainable finance in olericulture depends on integrated models that blend public, private, and digital innovations to address environmental, social, and economic risks and opportunities.
2. Green bonds, blended finance, and value-chain mechanisms (contract farming, FPOs, ESG-linked loans/insurance) are the most promising approaches.
3. Robust risk management, traceability, and aggregation are essential to make finance accessible, affordable, and impactful for smallholders.
4. Environmental gains (resource use, resilience), economic improvements (stable income, access, efficiency), and social benefits (equity, employment) are achievable at scale.

Contributions to Knowledge

This paper synthesizes recent advancements in sustainable finance for olericulture, mapping the relationships among finance mechanisms, supply chain actors, and sustainability outcomes. By offering a conceptual model and policy roadmap, it fills a key gap in the literature and provides actionable guidance for practitioners.

[81] Foltynowicz, Z., Łupicka-Fietz, A., Jeszka, A.M. and Kowalczyk, D., 2024. Evolution of Social Competencies in Sustainable Supply Chains.

[82] Gardner, T.A., Benzie, M., Börner, J., Dawkins, E., Fick, S., Garrett, R., Godar, J., Grimard, A., Lake, S., Larsen, R.K. and Mardas, N., 2019.

Limitations of the Study

Results are influenced by the pace of digital and policy innovation, diversity in local contexts, and changes in climate, market, or political environments.

Areas for Future Research

Key directions include:

- Empirical testing of blended finance/ecosystem models in new geographies and value chains.
- Evaluation of gender and social equity outcomes under various finance models.
- Analysis of digital adoption and sustainability measurement barriers and enablers.