

Synthesis and Characterization of CuO: A Comprehensive Review

Maheshwari Rahangdale, Aditya Narayan Bhatt

School of Engineering and Technology, Department of Mechanical Engineering,
Sanjeev Agrawal Global Education (SAGE) University, Bhopal-462022, India

Email: maheshwari2407r@gmail.com (MR)

Abstract

This review intends to give an overview of synthesis and characterization of copper oxide nanoparticles (CuO NPs) for Optical and photocatalytic applications. With remarkable structural, electrical, and magnetic properties, making it a promising material for applications in catalysis, sensors, solar cells, batteries toxic-waste treatment agent and energy storage. This study comprehensively reviews various synthesis techniques, including solid-state reaction, sol-gel, hydrothermal, and combustion methods, emphasizing their influence on phase purity, morphology, and crystallinity. The characterization techniques, such as X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), Raman spectroscopy, and UV-Vis spectroscopy, are discussed to analyze the structural and optical properties. Moreover, the study explores the optical properties of CuO through UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy and photoluminescence (PL) measurements. UV-vis spectroscopy the optical absorption characteristics, while PL results reveal the presence of distinct blue-green and yellow emissions. The discussion delves into the relationship between PL emission and lattice defects, providing valuable insights into the nanomaterial's electronic structure. This multidimensional analysis contributes to a comprehensive understanding of CuO. Additionally, the effects of doping, annealing temperature, and synthesis parameters on CuO properties are explored. The study concludes with a discussion on current challenges and future prospects for optimizing CuO in advanced technological applications.

Keywords: CuO, synthesis, characterization, electrical properties, magnetic properties, dielectric behavior.

1. Introduction: CuO stands out with its narrow energy band gap of 1.2 eV, making it highly efficient in utilizing visible light. As the most thermodynamically stable CuO compound under ambient conditions, it finds broad applications in catalysis, solar energy, magnetic storage, semiconductors, gas sensors, field-effect transistors, and batteries. However, a significant drawback of CuO nanostructures is their high carrier concentration and resistivity, which result in poor hole mobility, limiting their effectiveness.

Although each has limitations, CuO nanostructures can be synthesized through various techniques, including thermal annealing, spray pyrolysis, and solvothermal methods. The sol-gel method integrates chemical and physical processes and has proven more effective in overcoming many challenges. Recent research has focused on producing CuO nanostructures with different sizes and shapes, with doping emerging as a key strategy to enhance their properties. Doping enables fine-tuning material characteristics, potentially lowering costs and improving performance.

2. Synthesis Methods of CuO:

2.1 Solid-State Reaction Method

The solid-state reaction method for synthesizing CuO involves directly mixing and heating solid copper precursors (like copper oxide or copper carbonate) with a suitable oxygen source (like air or oxygen gas) at high temperatures to form copper oxide (CuO) through a solid-state reaction, essentially allowing the reactants to react and crystallize into the desired CuO structure without going through a liquid phase. The reaction follows:



2.2 Sol-Gel Method

The sol-gel method for synthesizing copper oxide (CuO) nanoparticles involves dissolving a copper precursor (like copper nitrate or copper acetate) in a solvent (usually ethanol), then adding a base (like sodium hydroxide) dropwise to initiate a chemical reaction, forming a gel-like solution which is then dried and annealed at high temperatures to produce the final CuO nanoparticles [1]

2.3 Hydrothermal and Solvothermal Synthesis

Hydrothermal synthesis involves the reaction of lanthanum and iron precursors in a sealed autoclave under high pressure and temperature (~150-250°C). This method promotes the formation of highly crystalline nanoparticles with controlled morphology. By varying parameters such as pH, solvent, and reaction time, the particle size and shape can be tuned effectively.

2.4 Combustion and Co-precipitation Methods

The combustion and co-precipitation methods are techniques used to synthesize copper oxide (CuO) nanoparticles, but they differ significantly in their approach, where combustion involves a rapid, exothermic reaction to produce the oxide, while co-precipitation involves the gradual precipitation of CuO from a solution by adding a precipitating agent like sodium hydroxide; both methods allow for control over particle size and morphology of the resulting CuO nanoparticles depending on the reaction parameters used. The combustion method utilizes organic fuels like urea or glycine to rapidly synthesize LaFeO₃ nanoparticles via an exothermic reaction. This process leads to highly porous structures, beneficial for catalytic applications.

3. Structural and Morphological Characterization:

3.1 X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis [5]

CuO exhibits a monoclinic crystal structure, with distinct diffraction peaks at specific 2θ angles that can be used to confirm the presence of the CuO phase, determine its crystallite size, and assess the degree of crystallinity.

3.2 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

SEM provides insights into the surface morphology and grain size distribution, while TEM enables high-resolution imaging of the crystalline structure. Selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns further confirm phase purity.

3.3 Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) and Raman Spectroscopy [5]

FTIR identifies functional groups and bonding interactions, while Raman spectroscopy detects lattice vibrations and phonon modes, crucial for understanding structural defects.

4. Electrical and Magnetic Properties:

4.1 Dielectric and Impedance Spectroscopy

Dielectric spectroscopy reveals the frequency-dependent permittivity and loss characteristics of CuO. The material exhibits relaxor-like behavior, making it useful for capacitor applications. Impedance analysis provides information about grain boundary effects and conduction mechanisms.[2]

4.2 Optical Properties

Copper oxide (CuO) nanoparticles exhibit optical properties characterized by a strong absorption in the visible light range due to their relatively narrow band gap, typically between 1.2 and 2.1 eV.

5. Effect of Doping and Processing Conditions:

Doping and processing conditions significantly affect the structural, electrical, and optical properties of copper oxide (CuO) by altering the crystal lattice, introducing defects, and modifying the particle size and morphology, leading to changes in its conductivity, band gap, and overall functionality in applications like catalysis, electronics, and solar cells.

6. Applications of CuO:

Gas Sensors: CuO-based sensors exhibit high sensitivity toward NO₂, CO, and ethanol due to their high surface activity.

Catalysis: Used in photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants and as an oxygen evolution catalyst in water splitting.

Energy Storage: Serves as an electrode material in lithium-ion batteries and solid oxide fuel cells.

Superconductors: Copper oxide nanoparticles can be used as high temperature superconductors.

7. Conclusion and Future Prospects:

This study highlights the influence of synthesis techniques on the structural, electrical, optical and magnetic properties of CuO. While significant progress has been made, challenges remain in achieving superior phase purity, optimizing functional properties, and scaling up for industrial applications. Future research should focus on doping strategies, thin-film fabrication, and integration into multifunctional devices.

References

- [1]. A. A. AlObaid, G. Nazir, A. Modi, S. Thakur, J. H. Malik, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 161 (2024) 112060, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inoche.2024.112060>.
- [2]. K. Dubey, S. Dubey, V. Sahu, A. Modi, J. Bamne, F. Z. Haque, N. K. Gaur, Mater. Sci. Eng. B 288 (2023) 116154, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mseb.2022.116154>.
- [3]. T. Subha, M. Yogeswari, B. Abarna, MRS Adv. 7 (2022) 450-455, <https://doi.org/10.1557/s43580-022-00283-6>.
- [4]. H. K. Abbood, N. A. Ali, J. Opt. 53 (2024) 2698–2703, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12596-023-01393-6>.
- [5]. S. Rajesh, A. Geetha, L. Gaganathan, et al. Appl. Phys. A 130 (2024) 661, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-024-07850-8>.
- [6]. K. Dubey, S. Dubey, V. Sahu, R. A. Parry, A. Modi, N. K. Gaur, Appl. Phys. A 128 (2022) 560, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-022-05681-z>.
- [7]. K. Javed, Y. Ren, S. Kousar, Y. Liu, Z. Cao, B. Begum, X. Li, J. Sol-Gel. Sci. Technol. (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10971-024-06533-3>.
- [8]. J. C. Almeida, T. A. Rodrigues, G. T. S. T. da Silva, C. Ribeiro, V. R. Mendonça, Mater. Adv. 5 (2024) 6479-6488, <https://doi.org/10.1039/D4MA00337C>.

- [9]. T. K. S. Wong, S. Zhuk, S. Masudy-Panah, G. K. Dalapati, *Materials*, 9 (2016) 271, <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma9040271>.
- [10]. R. Etefagh, E. Azhir, N. Shahtahmasebi, *Sci. Iran.* 20(3) (2013) 1055–1058, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scient.2013.05.015>.
- [11]. S.Tada, K. Fujiwara, T. Yamamura, M. Nishijima, S. Uchida, R. Kikuchi, *J. Chem. Eng.* 381 (2020) 122750, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.122750>.
- [12]. Z. Chen, Z. Xu, H. Zhao, *Proc. Combust. Inst.* 38(4) (2021) 6743-6751, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proci.2020.06.315>.
- [13]. M. Patel, S. Mishra, R. Verma, et al., *Discov. Mater.* 2 (2022) 1, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43939-022-00022-6>.
- [14]. H. Siddiqui, M. S. Qureshi, F. Z. Haque, *Optik* 127(5) (2016) 2740-2747, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijleo.2015.11.220>.
- [15]. P. C. Okoye, S. O. Azi, T. F. Qahtan, T. O. Owolabi, T. A. Saleh, *Mater. Today Chem.* 30 (2023) 101513, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtchem.2023.101513>.
- [16]. S. Sellaiyan, P. Ajay kumari, L. Vimaladevi, et al., *Appl. Phys. A* 129 (2023) 629, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-023-06891-9>.