

# Tax Benefits as Incentives for Sustainability Practices Beyond Core Business Operations

**Vinoth K\*, Tamilnesan V\*, Thirumalesh C M\*, Vandana K\*, Wazid Hussain\*, Gokul Kumar H\***

\*Vinoth K, Tamilnesan V, Thirumalesh, Vandana K, Wazid Hussain, Gokul Kumar H, Students, MBA 2025-27 batch, Faculty of Management Studies CMS Business School, JAIN (Deemed-to-be-University), Bengaluru

## ABSTRACT

The sustainability agenda in India is also becoming more and more associated with providing tax breaks on the implementation of sustainability measures beyond its primary business, including the investments into renewable energy, waste management, and circular economy solutions. The fiscal tools are deductions, credits, and accelerated depreciation to correct the corporate behavior and promote national goals concerning climate change. Such incentives are not fully being used because of the high compliance costs, lack of awareness and increased preference towards simplified tax regimes though they are available. The gap existing between the design and real implementation of sustainability-linked tax benefits of Indian firms will be covered in this paper. Moving to the more behavioral and strategic aspects of corporate sustainability implementation, the article writes about a technical analysis of the design of the tax provisions, which also deals with accountability and greenwashing issues.

This paper is a descriptive and conceptual comparative research, which will use secondary collected government policy documents, academic sources, international best practices and international ESG models, including GRI, SASB, and TCFD. This kind of analysis will examine the interaction of fiscal incentives with institutional forces, stakeholder expectations and reporting systems, in addition to assessing the inclusion of carbon credits under the Indian tax system. The present research provides its input to the literature by an assessment of sustainability-linked tax incentives based on a theory in the environment of an emerging market. The reason is that any efficient fiscal policy must be very careful in balancing administrative simplicity and outcome-based accountability and alignment to ESG standards so as to create actual corporate participation in the realization of long-term sustainability outcomes consistent with the India Net Zero 2070 target.

**Keywords:** Tax benefits, sustainability practices, Indian fiscal policy, ESG frameworks, carbon credits, greenwashing, business sustainability

## INTRODUCTION

The aspect of sustainability has emerged as a critical issue to businesses in the face of climate change, environmental degradation, and social inequality. Although most companies provide sustainability as the central agenda in the operations, increasingly there is more attention given to activities that are not part of the regular operations, including renewable energy adoption, community development, waste management, employee welfare and conservation. Such practices are important as far as long-term health is concerned but are expensive and unsure of short-term discovery, so adoption is not voluntary.

This government intervention especially by the tax impact deductions, credits, exemptions, faster depreciation, etc. are very vital in lessening the financial strain and encouraging companies to deal with non-core sustainability efforts. These types of fiscal measures help to make sustainability a strategic business choice rather than a moral duty and improve reputation, stakeholder trust, and competitiveness. The study aims at discussing the impacts of tax benefits on corporate decision-making and motivating voluntary engagement in sustainability behaviors that are not directly connected with operations and thus lead to responsible business practices and sustainable development.

## OBJECTIVES

- To examine the tax benefits available for businesses adopting sustainability practices beyond core operations.
- To suggest ways to make sustainability-linked tax benefits more effective, accessible, and accountable.

- To examine the challenges businesses face (such as compliance costs and awareness) in using these incentives

## PROBLEM STATEMENT

Although India has ambitious sustainability goals and tax incentives are available, they are not fully utilized by most businesses in their efforts to embrace practices that are not part of the core business. The high compliance costs, the lack of the awareness, and the transition to the simplified forms of taxation undermine the effectiveness of deductions and credits that are intended to promote the adoption of renewable energy, waste management, and the implementation of the circular economy measures. The identified gap shows that there is a need to analyze the opportunities of structuring and utilizing tax benefits to promote sustainability practices in various industries in India.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

**Gupta and Pathak (2021)** examined the efficacy of tax incentives discovered in sustainability related corporate governance.. They assumed that tax breaks will not be good enough to spur voluntary sustainability practices unless they are associated with quantifiable results and accountability processes. In what they discovered, the firms exploit tax incentives as tax-planning instruments and not as instruments towards actual sustainability beyond the operation necessities.

**Rao (2022)** interviewed the problems encountered by Indian businesses when trying to avail tax incentives on sustainability and discovered how compliance costs, documentation, and coordination among tax advisors and sustainability managers represents a high impediment. The paper has emphasized that most firms are unaware of incentives that can be applied to sustainability efforts not directly tied to their core business, thus failing to make good use of the tax break that is available

**World Bank (2023):** Compared green fiscal policies in emerging and developed economies and found that sustainability tax incentives could only be effective when there exists a robust institutional framework. The research findings emphasized that developed economies combine their tax incentives to carbon pricing and ESG disclosure regimes whereas the developing ones use disjointed incentives. The World Bank summarized the findings that that lack of standard reporting and verification frameworks undermined the effectiveness of

the taxes related to sustainability and creates more chances of greenwashing.

**Mehta and Iyer (2023)** examined how tax incentives have enabled the promotion of ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) activities. Their results pointed out that although environmental investments are relatively better supported in terms of taxation, social sustainability initiatives, including skill development, the community welfare, and inclusive growth, are not directly supported using taxation. The authors recommended that such activities should be incorporated into tax benefits since this would motivate firms to adopt sustainability in their core business.

### **Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) (2024):**

Reviewed sustainability-related tax breaks in the countries member of the OECD and the European Union. The researchers concluded that such countries like Germany, the Netherlands and Sweden are becoming more dependent on the tax incentive that is based on the result of the reduction in emissions, which is evaluated instead of being reliant on the straight forward investment-based deductions.

OECD arrived at the conclusion that this type of incentive structures would lead to an increase in corporate participation in sustainability practices outside the basic operations because the corporations are rewarded on their real performance in the environment and not on how symbolically they are complying.

**Patel and Verma (2025)** studied the future of sustainability taxation in developing economies and came to a conclusion that tax incentives should change to be a benefit that is based on performance rather than on investment. They also pointed out that when tax policies are easy to operate in, digitized, and associated with sustainable results that are demonstrated, businesses will be more open to following sustainability practices outside of their regular functions. This is because the authors suggested the use of tax incentives in conjunction with ESG reporting standards to enhance effectiveness and credibility.

As always revealed in the literature on international studies, tax incentives that are based on sustainability are most effective when they are outcome-based, combined with ESG reporting systems and reinforced at the institutional level. However, the situation is not the same in the countries that depend on input-based tax deductions because they experience the issues of

underutilization and symbolic compliance. This dissimilarity shows that the emergent economies such as India need to correct fiscal stimulus to performance-driven and accountability-based processes.

## THEORITICAL BACKGROUND

### 1. Institutional Theory

Institutional Theory assumes that the organizational behaviour is influenced by institutional environment by the formal rules and regulations and normative pressures. In terms of sustainability taxation, tax incentives, ESG reporting requirements, and credit system of carbon acts as institutional demands that motivate firms to practice sustainability beyond the operations.

Nevertheless, institutional theory also tells us why companies might also practice symbolic compliance i.e. taking up sustainability actions merely so as to attain legitimacy without necessarily changing their behaviour. This has a theoretical justification of the risks of greenwashing that is related to tax-based sustainability incentives.

### 2. Tax Incentives for Sustainability

Tax incentives are at the forefront in ensuring that there is sustainability practice that extends beyond what the business operates on. Tax deductions of green investments, credits in using renewable energy, and faster depreciation of sustainable infrastructure make a sustainability initiative more financially appealing. Tax benefits can also help firms invest to engage in voluntary sustainability initiatives by promoting the returns on the investment. These incentives also make sustainability not a regulatory necessity but a business strategy, making corporate long-term commitment to sustainable development.

### 3. Greenwashing and Authenticity in ESG

The fact that tax benefits are available on sustainability initiatives brings the issue of greenwashing, and companies can be on the shallow or the cosmetic sustainability activities, and the main reason is to experience tax benefits. Without effective monitoring, businesses might get to boast of enjoying a benefit of sustainability without providing actual environmental/social advantages. It is thus important to ensure authenticity in the ESG practices. Associating tax incentives with quantifiable results and performance of sustainability that has been verified and verified can

deter greenwashing and to make fiscal gains represent sustainable actions outside the mainstream business.

### 4. Stakeholder Theory

The Stakeholder Theory presents that not only shareholders but also a wider group of stakeholders, such as regulatory bodies, investors, communities, and society, as a whole are interested in the firms. Other sustainability practices outside the core business like community development and environmental conservation is usually influenced by the expectations of the stakeholders and not necessarily the financial payoffs in the short-term.

Tax incentives reinforce stakeholder-driven behaviour by:

- Decreasing financial trade
- Signalling government support for sustainability
- Building reputation capital
- performance results of the companies.

### 5. Carbon Credit Mechanism

The carbon credit scheme is a scheme in the market which compensates verifiable emission carbon reduction by trading credits. Within a 2023 Carbon Credit Trading Scheme enforced in India companies that switch to clean technologies or renewable energy will be able to create credits that can be sold or offset by their own emissions. Carbon credits not only offer incentives to promote sustainability in areas other than the core operations, but also offer financial incentives when included in the fiscal policy. Their success, they however depend on clear verification, standardized reporting and effective regulatory control to avoid misuse or greenwashing.

## RESEARH METHODOLOGY

The proposed research will follow a conceptual research design that involves qualitative, secondary-data-based research, which is appropriate in investigating the purpose of tax benefits as incentives to promote sustainability practices other than business core activities. The approach to policy analysis and comparative institutional review, based on systematic policy analysis, as opposed to empirical measure, underlies the methodology since the principle target is to analyze policy design, institutional compatibility, and the effect of incentives, as opposed to the performance outcomes of the firms.

## Research Design

- It has conceptual-analytical research by combining:
- Policy analysis
- Comparative Institutional Review
- Theory-guid

It is common in the research of sustainability taxation, ESG governance, and in the study of public finance, where the policy effectiveness, the incentive structure, and the behaviour of institutions are considered.

## Sources of Data

The secondary data was collected through four structured categories:

### Statutory And Policy Documentation

#### Statutory

- Income Tax Act, 1961 (
- Union Budget speeches and Finance Acts
- Carbon Credit Trading Scheme notifications (2023 onwards)

### International Policy and Institutional Reports

- OECD reports regarding environmentally related taxation
- World Bank & UNEP Green Fiscal Policy Publications
- EU & UK Sustainability tax structures (comparison purposes)

## Academic Literature

The

Articles from peer-reviewed journals related to tax incentives, ESG, Green Finance, and Sustainability Governance

Research based on conceptual frameworks, coupled with empirical research, coming from both developed and emerging markets

## ESG and Reporting Frameworks

- GRI, SASB
- SEBI's Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR)

### Method of Analysis

1. The research adopts an approach to analysis comprising three levels:

#### 2. Policy Mapping Analysis

Sustainability-Linked Fiscal Incentives Identification & Classification Under the Indian Fiscal Structure.

#### 3. Comparative

Comparison of sustainability tax incentives offered by India with other countries (OECD countries and the EU) to determine how well it aligns.

#### 4. Theory

The application of existing theoretical frameworks in explaining:

- Corporporate response to tax incentives
- Risk of symbolic compliance and greenwashing
- ESG-linked fiscal instruments' institutional effectiveness.

## Justification of Methodology

It should be noted that the conceptual and secondary-data-based approach is acceptable and warranted due to the changing nature of the sustainability taxation as well as the lack of standardized company-level information in India. Such a method allows the research to produce theoretical findings and conclusions that would be of interest to policy-makers without the use of primary data.

## LIMITATIONS

The research is mostly fixed on secondary data and, therefore, limits the analysis depth to the information published in reports and studies concerning the industry and reviewed by scholars. Consequently, the results might not be able to reflect on the most recent policy reforms and the real-life difficulty that entrepreneurs experience when trying to pursue sustainability-related tax incentives. The only other weakness lies in the fact that it focused on India and although the study is

insightful on the dynamically changing fiscal and sustainability framework, it minimizes external validity of the findings to other economies, where tax frameworks are different. The dependency on theoretical frameworks, including the ESG reporting standards and carbon credit mechanisms, also come with limitations as the level of its application in India remains at a tender stage and is vulnerable to the regulatory risk. Lastly, the paper lacks a primary data consisting of businesses and policymakers, which would have greatly offered first-hand insights into the usefulness of tax benefits in promoting sustainability practices outside the core operation.

## FINDINGS

### TAX BENEFITS AVAILABLE FOR BUSINESSES ADOPTING SUSTAINABILITY PRACTICES

#### a. Fiscal Incentives for Renewable Energy and Environmental Infrastructure

As per analysis of the provisions of the Income Tax Act, 1961, the provisions of the Union Budget and the preceding policy assessment, it can be seen that India has provided specifically tailored tax incentives to encourage investments in renewable energy production, pollution minimization and waste management. An increase in depreciation of solar, wind and biomass properties and allowances on environmental infrastructure will reduce the effective costs of investment and enhance the viability of a project. Social studies of the government and industry indicate that the implementation of assets in renewable energy has a shorter payback period compared to other firms that do not implement renewable energy, yet the adoption has been increasingly among large-scale firms with greater compliance capabilities. This implies that the effect of fiscal incentives is not even among firms of varying sizes, yet they are economically significant.

#### b. Support for Sustainability-Oriented Research and Innovation

The presentation of the provisions regarding scientific research and development shows that there is a possibility of tax deductions on the innovation to energy-efficiency, waste-reduction, and clean technologies. Empirical research on the subject of R&D incentives in the emerging economies has shown that firms that benefit with tax based R&D incentives tend to invest more in environmental innovation than the unfavorably advantaged firms. Nonetheless, empirical research also

indicates that these incentives mainly give advantages to technologically advanced companies, and little spill over on to the social sustainability domain like the welfare of the work force or community development.

#### c. Indirect Encouragement of Non-Core Sustainability Initiatives

Analysis of the permissible provisions of business expenditure as well as deductions related to making donations to recognized environmental organizations indicates an oblique financial assistance to non-core sustainability efforts. Empirical studies of corporate spending on social responsibility in India show that tax deductibility has no effect on the intensity of environment-based and community-based programs, but this does not strongly impact on the continuity of the programs in the long run. This points out that indirect tax benefits have been used to promote participation but do not guarantee an inclusion of sustainability efforts in long term strategy.

### BARRIERS TO EFFECTIVE ADOPTION OF TAX BENEFITS

#### a. Compliance Complexity and Administrative Burden

The overall analysis of academic literature, OECD reports, and surveys of the industry reveals that compliance complexity is one of the largest obstacles to successful deployment of sustainability-linked tax incentives. As shown in the results of empirical survey based research carried out on Indian firms, a high percentage of small and medium firms do not claim any tax incentives because of high documentation fees and the use of professional tax consultants. These results prove that the administrative burden minimizes accessibility, which restrains the further propagation of sustainability-based fiscal incentives.

#### b. Limited Awareness and Information Asymmetry

Survey of empirical research and policy assessments show that there have been long-run lack of awareness on tax incentives linked to sustainability. Past studies reveal that evidence of surveys indicate that most firms are not aware of incentives that can be used in activities not in their core operations. Such information asymmetry undermines the effectiveness of the policies, which is supported by the higher levels of formal policy adoption compared to the expected levels.

### c. Trade-off Between Tax Simplification and Sustainability Incentives

Through the dual tax regime analysis in India, which is backed by empirical evaluation and industry information shows firms are opting to use simplified tax systems at the expense of forfeiting deductions. Tax compliance research has demonstrated empirically that firms put more importance on predictability and reduction of compliance costs than tax savings miscellaneous deductions. Such a trade-off diminishes the feasible effect of sustainability-based tax incentives on the choices made by corporations..

### GREENWASHING IN SUSTAINABILITY-LINKED TAX INCENTIVES

Regulatory evaluations of ESG disclosures and empirical evidence on ESG shows that tax incentives based on sustainability are susceptible to greenwashing because of poor outcome checks. Research on ESG reporting of IPOs demonstrates that there is a gap between sustainability expenditure and quantified impact reported. The results of the empirical research, based on the content analysis of the corporate disclosures indicate that certain companies focus on sustainability expenditure to gain legitimacy or receive fiscal advantages without corresponding environmental effects. Lack of systematic surveillance and third-party verification as reported in the regulatory checkups undercuts the validity of sustainability-related tax incentives and the confidence of the stakeholders.

### ANALYSIS

The review shows that tax incentives based on sustainability in India can motivate companies to act in a more sustainable way outside their standard operations, however, their performance is limited by institutional and design aspects. Even though the reducing price of sustainability investments provided by fiscal facilities like accelerated depreciation and expenditure-based deductions, the advantages are not equally shared and they are focused on the firms with a greater compliance capacity. Their trend of dominating the input incentives restricts their potential of influencing long-term behaviour change because the fiscal benefits are related to spending and not confirmed sustainability results. This dilutes the relationship between taxes incentives and the actual environmental or social change.

Moreover, the trend of facilitated tax systems diminishes the applicability of sustainability-expenses vehicle

which indicates a trade off between administrative plainness and the incentive-based sustainability enhancement. The effectiveness of outcome verification in place of goodwill also contributes to the inadequacy of sustainability-based tax incentives since it elevates the chances of greenwashing. On the offer of incentives that have no proven effect, companies may resort to a show act instead of a sincere adoption of sustainability. By harmonizing tax incentives and standardized ESG reporting systems, transparency, accountability, and monitoring can be increased, which lowers information asymmetry and increases the effectiveness of the policy.

In general, the analysis implies that tax incentives could be effective as sustainability tools provided that they are designed with the result approach, are administratively accessible, and effective governance mechanisms are in place in accordance with the ESG considerations.

### SUGGESTIONS

#### 1. SECTOR-SPECIFIC INCENTIVE DESIGN

Tax incentives should be tailored to the sustainability needs of different industries rather than applied uniformly. For example:

- **Manufacturing:** Accelerated depreciation for energy-efficient machinery and waste recycling systems.
- **Agriculture:** Deductions for investments in drip irrigation, organic farming, and renewable-powered cold storage.
- **Transport & Logistics:** Tax credits for electric vehicle fleets and green supply chain infrastructure.
- **Construction & Real Estate:** Incentives for green building certification (e.g., GRIHA, LEED).

This sectoral approach ensures that fiscal tools directly address the unique sustainability challenges of each industry, improving adoption rates and impact.

#### 2. CARBON CREDITS IN TAX FILINGS

Introduction of carbon credits into the taxation system would enable the companies to deduct a portion of the taxable earnings by verified reductions in emissions, as well as attracting those companies to fully enter in to carbon markets. This also has a dual advantage of, as income lost to taxation is lessened and a reduction in the number of emissions is achieved.

This kind of integration would turn sustainability investments in to a winning financial proposition and incorporate climate accountability as part of fiscal policy

### ESG-Linked Tax Benefits

Tax incentives can be tied directly to a company's Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) performance.

- Tax programs can be directly pegged to the performance of the Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) of a firm. The companies that have passed the ESG verification (via SEBI Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting - BRSR) may receive a lower corporate tax rate or extra tax deductions.
- As an illustration, companies that achieve the benchmarks with respect to using renewable energy, employee welfare, or community development will receive deductions.
- Combining tax incentives with ESG will guarantee that any incentives do not be applied to superficial sustainability practices and mitigate the chances of greenwashing.

In this way, fiscal policy can be brought in line with global investor expectations, and the ESG ecosystem of India may be enhanced. These proposals, combined with sector-specific incentives, incorporating carbon credits, and tax benefits which are related to the ESG, pose a multi-tiered set of fiscal planning. They make sure that sustainability adoption is economic and has an industry relevance, climate conformability, as well as performance-based adoption.

### SCOPE FOR FUTURE STUDIES

The study can be extended in future research using primary data collected on businesses and policy makers to know the practical limitations involved in accessing sustainability-related tax incentives in India. Reading comparative studies in various industries including manufacturing sector, agriculture sector and services sector may indicate sector-specific application of tax benefits in encouraging sustainability practices beyond standard operations. Also a longitudinal study of the effects of the new reforms, including GST 2.0, carbon credit monetization, etc., would shed some light on the

dynamics of fiscal incentives over time and their effect on corporate behaviour. The other direction is the exploration of the grouping of the global ESG frameworks (GRI, SASB, and TCFD) within the Indian tax system, and determining how standardized reporting would help mitigate greenwashing and increase accountability. Lastly, cross-country analyses would place India approach on a global best practice and provide suggestions on the enhancement of fiscal tools in a bid to make it sustainable in the long run and reach climate objectives.

### CONCLUSION

Taxation and the fiscal policy is a strong motivating tool to businesses to consider sustainability activities that are outside the scope of business operations. Deductions, credits, exemptions, as well as accelerated depreciation are some of the provisions that lower the costs, and promote investments in technology like renewable energy, waste reduction, and community development. Nonetheless, these incentives must be effective and this requires transparency, accountability and strong ESG reporting to avoid the occurrence of greenwashing. Among the tax benefits, alignment with on-confirmed sustainability outcomes is important to make sure that they can genuinely be pumped. In general, effective fiscal policies, governance and ethical business practices can be considered the factors triggered by successful long term sustainable development.

### REFERENCE

- Gidage, M., Bhide, S., & Bilan, Y. (2024). Greenwashing in the Indian corporate landscape: An empirical assessment of ESG disclosures of NIFTY 50 companies. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*. Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-024-\(doi.org in Bing\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-024-(doi.org in Bing))
- International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD). (2025). *Combatting Greenwashing: India's Guidelines for Prevention and Regulation of Greenwashing and Misleading Environmental Claims*. IISD. <https://www.iisd.org>
- Press Information Bureau, Government of India. (2024). *CCPA issues guidelines for prevention and regulation of greenwashing*. Government of India. <https://pib.gov.in>
- Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). (2024). *Business Responsibility and Sustainability*

**Reporting (BRSR) Framework.**<https://www.sebi.gov.in>

- Income Tax Department, Government of India. (1961, updated). *Income Tax Act, 1961*. <https://incometaxindia.gov.in/Pages/acts/income-tax-act.aspx> (incometaxindia.gov.in in Bing)
- Times of India. (2025). *Budget 2025 expectations: Strategic tax incentives to boost green hydrogen and energy storage investments*. The Times of India. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com> (timesofindia.indiatimes.com in Bing)
- NewsBytes. (2025). *Exploring tax incentives to invest in India's renewable energy sector*. NewsBytes. <https://www.newsbytesapp.com>
- India Today. (2026). *Greenwashing undermines India's climate fight*. India Today. <https://www.indiatoday.in>
- Economic Times. (2024). *SMEs face barriers in adopting sustainability practices*. The Economic Times. <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com> (economictimes.indiatimes.com in Bing)
- Ministry of Finance, Government of India. (2023). *Carbon Credit Trading Scheme Notification*. Government of India. [https://beeindia.gov.in/sites/default/files/Draft\\_Compliance\\_Procedure\\_October\\_2023.pdf](https://beeindia.gov.in/sites/default/files/Draft_Compliance_Procedure_October_2023.pdf)
- Gupta, R., & Pathak, S. (2021). Sustainability-linked tax incentives and corporate governance in emerging economies. *Journal of Corporate Governance Research*, 13(2), 145–162. <https://doi.org/10.2139/jcgr.2021.013>
- Rao, B. R. (2022). Tax incentives and sustainability adoption: Evidence from Indian businesses. *Indian Journal of Public Finance*, 10(1), 45–61.
- Mehta, P., & Iyer, R. (2023). Tax policy and ESG integration in India: Opportunities and limitations. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 13(3), 512–529. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2022.2154896>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2024). *Tax incentives for environmental sustainability: Policy design and effectiveness*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/6c0f5c3a-en>

**SEBI.**

- Patel, N., & Verma, S. (2025). Sustainability taxation in developing economies: A performance-based approach. *World Development*, 173, 106512. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2024.106512>
- Gidage, M., Bhide, S., & Bilan, Y. (2024). Greenwashing in the Indian corporate landscape: An empirical assessment of ESG disclosures of NIFTY 50 companies. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-024-03841-2>
- Securities and Exchange Board of India. (2024). *Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR) framework*. <https://www.sebi.gov.in>
- Ministry of Finance, Government of India. (2023). *Carbon Credit Trading Scheme notification*. <https://beeindia.gov.in>
- Income Tax Department, Government of India. (1961/2024). *Income-tax Act, 1961*. <https://incometaxindia.gov.in>
- International Institute for Sustainable Development. (2025). *Combatting greenwashing: India's guidelines for prevention and regulation of misleading environmental claims*. <https://www.iisd.org>
- Press Information Bureau, Government of India. (2024). *CCPA issues guidelines for prevention and regulation of greenwashing*. <https://pib.gov.in>
- Global Reporting Initiative. (2023). *GRI standards consolidated set 2023*. <https://www.globalreporting.org>
- Sustainability Accounting Standards Board. (2022). *SASB standards overview*. <https://www.sasb.org>
- Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures. (2023). *TCFD implementation guidance*. <https://www.fsb-tcfd.org>
- World Bank. (2023). *Fiscal policies for climate action in emerging markets*. World Bank Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1903-7>
- United Nations Environment Programme. (2023). *Green fiscal policies and sustainable development*. UNEP. <https://www.unep.org>
- KPMG India. (2024). *Taxation and sustainability: Navigating India's green transition*. KPMG Insights. <https://home.kpmg/in>

- Ernst & Young India. (2023). *ESG, taxation, and long-term value creation in India*. EY Reports. <https://www.ey.com>
- Economic Times. (2024). SMEs face barriers in adopting sustainability practices due to compliance costs. *The Economic Times*. <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com>
- India Today. (2026). Greenwashing undermines India's climate fight. *India Today*. <https://www.indiatoday.in>