International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 10 Issue: 04 | April - 2026 SJIF Rating: 8.659 ISSN: 2582-3930

THE DIGITAL PARADOX

A Socio-Psychological Study of Smartphone Impact on Crime, Mental Health, and Interpersonal Relationships in Modern India

Janvi Rajubhai Rohit · PranavKumar Babubhai Makwana

Gujarat, India | 2025

Abstract

Keywords: *Digital Paradox, Smartphone Addiction, Phubbing, FOMO, Dopamine Loops, Cyber-crime, Indian Youth, Mental Health*

India's smartphone boom after 2016 rewired daily life. Dirt-cheap data connected hundreds of millions, but with all this progress came a huge new problem—one we didn't really prepare for. This paper calls it the "Digital Paradox": while smartphones make people's lives easier and more connected, they're also quietly changing people's minds, fraying the bonds between friends and family, and making crime take new shapes.

We studied 100 university students in Gujarat using a mix of tests and interviews. The results are tough to ignore. The more people use their phones, the more anxious they feel—screen time and anxiety are tightly linked ($r = 0.68$, $p < 0.01$). If someone's on their phone more than six hours a day, they're much more likely to

"phub" (ignore people around them for their phone) and constantly feel left out (FOMO).

There's real evidence that apps, with their sneaky dopamine hits, aren't just distractions—they're leading some into

romance scams, pushing others to dangerous internet challenges, and draining real empathy from everyday interactions. Our paper closes with two big ideas for policymakers: fix

algorithms with stricter laws, and roll out a national digital literacy campaign that fits India's culture and day-to-day realities.

1. Introduction

Sit in any college canteen in Ahmedabad, Surat, or Vadodara. Look around. Most days, you'll find a table full of students, all bent over their phones—not really talking to each other. This scene says a lot about what's changed. The phone turned every pocket into a library, a cinema, a world-stage. It felt like magic, at first. But now? The magic comes with side effects.

When Reliance Jio slashed data prices in 2016, everything shifted—India got 300 million new users online in just three years. Almost everyone started on a phone, not a computer. But while everyone could get online easily, hardly anyone got the tools to handle what smartphones actually do to people's minds or relationships. So people got connected before they got educated.

The science is catching up now. Psychologists now talk about the "Dopamine Loop"—every like, every notification, every unread message lights up your brain's reward circuits just like a slot machine. A few minutes of scrolling turns into hours before you realize it. By the time the habit becomes obvious, it's already locked in.

This hits India in a special way. The old question, "Log Kya Kahenge" (what will people say?) moved from the neighborhood to Instagram. Families that used to eat together now eat quietly, everyone scrolling. And when mental health support barely exists in many places, the phone becomes both the pressure and the escape valve.

Our study dives into this mess. We focus on three questions: Does more screen time actually mean more anxiety for Indian college students? How is "phubbing" wearing down relationships? And are compulsive phone users more likely to get caught in cybercrimes? We tried to answer these questions with real surveys, in-depth interviews, and careful stats—always looking for solutions that could work in India.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Digital Behaviour and Mental Health Surveillance

Researchers are digging into whether social media can spot mental health issues early. Harrigian and team (2020) proved that non-clinical online data can reveal anxiety and depression at a population level, although they warned that online data's often messy. Lately, AI-based frameworks are being tested to sort mental health crises online—separating posts about suicidal thoughts from other distress, for instance. So, in theory, AI triage is coming, though culturally-sensitive rollouts are still unfinished (Arnaiz-Rodriguez et al., 2025).

A lot of this research is built for global audiences, missing local contexts. Our work tries to fill that gap for India.

2.2 AI, Chatbots, and Digital Interventions

Tech may cause anxiety, but oddly enough, it can also be therapy. Miranda et al. (2026) found that Filipino students were more likely to trust AI mental health tools if they felt the bot was empathetic and if using such tools was normal for them—a pretty close parallel to Indian youth, who often research online before calling a counselor. There's promise here, but also concerns about fair access and ethical limits (Mohammed, 2023). The real challenge is using the same device, both as problem and solution, in a way that doesn't backfire.

2.3 Neurobiological Underpinnings of Smartphone Addiction

Recent neuroimaging work is breaking new ground. Studies show late-night blue light from phones blocks melatonin, messing up sleep and ratcheting up next-day emotions (Chen & Wang, 2025; Gupta & Sharma, 2024). Even deeper, fMRI scans show that heavy phone users' brain regions for impulse control and reward—exactly those implicated in other addictions—are actually altered (Karthik et al., 2026; Müller & Brand, 2024). EEG maps back this up: when people try to stop using their phones, their brains show withdrawal patterns—less alpha wave activity, higher arousal (Nakamura, 2025).

2.4 The Indian Socio-Cultural Dimension

India's setting changes everything. Access to data exploded, but digital literacy did not—leaving millions at risk of romance scams or financial fraud (Dasgupta, 2025; Patil & Sharma, 2025). Young folks in smaller cities, with little access to mental health help, end up glued to their phones even when it makes things worse (Venkatesh, 2026). Phubbing—picking your phone over your partner or family—shows up in marriage problems, too (Singh & Verma, 2024).

3. Methods

3.1 Sample and Research Design

We mixed numbers with stories—online surveys with open conversations. We sent out invites through digital flyers and university mail lists, ending up with 100 undergrad and postgrad

students from a top Gujarat university. Convenience sampling worked best to reach the group we wanted, but it means our results aren't perfectly generalizable (see Section 7; Zhu, 2024).

Everyone gave digital consent before joining. Anytime a participant's anxiety or addiction scores were in the red zone, we immediately gave them a directory of counselors and helplines. All data went to an encrypted server and was de-identified.

3.2 Tools Used

Addiction was measured with the Modified Smartphone Addiction Scale (SAS-SV), which checks for withdrawal, tolerance, and day-to-day disruption. The GAD-7 got at anxiety. The Generic Scale of Phubbing (GSP) looked at relationship quality. And a custom Cyber-Victimization Index (CVI) measured harm like online harassment or romance scams, based on Indian realities (Verma, 2025).

3.3 Analytical Framework

For stats, we used Structural Equation Modelling (SEM)—which let us test lots of possible links at once. First, we ran Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) to make sure the psychological tools worked well in India. Missing data was handled using Full Information Maximum Likelihood (FIML). We compared two models: one where social comparison (fake vs. real life) explained anxiety, and one where it didn't. That helped us see if "curated life" really sits at the heart of digital stress.

4. Results and Analysis

4.1 Reading the Results

Screen time and FOMO are a package deal. The more hours you spend with your phone, the more you fear missing out. Notifications turn anxiety into a habit. And once daily phone use tips over six hours, both FOMO and phubbing spike—so it's not a gradual risk, it's a tipping point.

Staring at your phone all the time isn't just anti-social; it's unsafe. Getting lost in digital space means you're less guarded, less skeptical, more likely to click on a scam link or fall for internet lies. Our data shows a direct risk: longer screen time means higher odds of scams or harassment. Neuroscience backs this up—the brain actually changes, making it harder for heavy users to just "put the phone down."

5. The Social and Emotional Fallout

5.1 Relationships, Frayed

"Phubbing" isn't just a silly word. When your partner grabs the phone while you're talking, it quietly says: "someone or something out there is more interesting than you." Over time, this piles up—resentment, distance, and finally, outright trouble. In India, family dinner or tea was all about real talk; that's fading. Singh and Verma (2024) found that the more couples phubbed, the less happy they were, and this held across old-school joint families and modern nuclear families.

5.2 The Fake Versus the Real

Social media is a place for highlight reels. What you see—carefully lit selfies, celebration posts, travel snaps—doesn't match real life. Young Indians, already under pressure from exams, family expectations, and "Log Kya Kahenge," watch this endless stream and start feeling their own life is coming up short.

That feeling—psychologists call it Cognitive Dissonance—fueled anxiety way more than just screen time. Our analysis showed it's less about hours online and more about how we judge ourselves compared to curated online realities. So, fixing anxiety isn't just about lessening phone use—it's about teaching people to question what they see and how they compare.

5.3 Romance Scams: Playing with Trust

High smartphone use, low financial literacy, and India's deep trust in family/friend networks create the perfect storm for romance scams. Scammers build fake but believable personas, using platform data to target real vulnerabilities. The setup can last weeks, sometimes months, with trust built bit by bit—then comes the cash request.

The losses are huge. Patil and Sharma (2025) report metro city scams worth billions in rupees every year. But victims don't just lose money—they feel humiliated, isolated, and often pull away from friends and family, sometimes for good. Law and policy are lagging way behind these smart, organized digital criminals

6. Crime and Challenge-Induced Harm: Real Stories

6.1 Case A: Anatomy of a Romance Scam

Take a 22-year-old woman in Surat, new in town, studying hard but lonely. She gets a DM from a smooth, "Dubai-based entrepreneur." The account is stylish, the chat is warm. Over six weeks, trust forms. Then, an emergency—he asks her to wire money.

She doesn't know she was targeted by algorithms that analyzed her loneliness, dreams, and desire to connect. Her longing for a better life, fueled by what she sees in her feed, became the tool for her exploitation. The crime isn't just technical—it's a mix of psychology, sociology, and smart (but ruthless) platform design.

6.2 Case B: The Blue Whale "Challenge"

The Blue Whale "game"—blamed for a wave of teenage suicides in India—shows the dark side of social platforms. Vulnerable kids, already isolated or anxious, get pulled in by anonymous admins. Little by little, they're pushed to self-harm, threatened, and isolated further.

Kumar (2026) found that victims weren't picked at random; they were identified by depression-related hashtags, again with platform algorithms mapping out their pain. These cases aren't just personal tragedies—they show how online spaces, adolescent biology, and Indian social pressure can be a deadly mix. Law enforcement and schools are playing catch-up. Real prevention needs tech responsibility, good digital forensics, and better awareness from a young age.

7. Policy Implications and Recommendations

7.1 Design With Ethics First

Apps are made to keep people hooked—engineered to act like slot machines, unpredictable rewards and all. Fixing the Digital Paradox means flipping this logic: platforms should offer open explanations of their algorithms, let users see why content appears, and build default settings that put well-being first (Chopra, 2025; Zimmerman, 2024; Sonna et al., 2026).

7.2 Make Digital Literacy a National Priority

Teaching people to use digital tools isn't enough—citizens need to see how those tools use them. A National Digital Literacy Campaign, built for India's huge diversity in languages and backgrounds, should go everywhere. Rao (2026) says digital literacy is a matter of national security. Our findings back that up: financial scams and psychological harm ripple much farther than most people think.

7.3 Run a Regulatory Sandbox for Social Media AI

We need a protected space—a "sandbox"—where social media companies are forced to test algorithms under the eyes of independent experts before launching them. Platforms must prove

they're not just maximizing profit but protecting well-being. Algorithms shouldn't be black boxes; they should be clear, tested, and safe before going live.

7.4 Friction Design and Wellness Technology

Let's talk about "friction design." It's a way of pulling us out of autopilot—those moments when our fingers are already halfway to opening Instagram before we even notice. The idea is simple: add tiny, intentional speed bumps before we dive into high-dopamine apps. Maybe there's a required pause before social media loads, or a quick prompt where you have to actually read and acknowledge your screen time at the end of the week. Or your phone simply shifts itself into "wind-down" mode after dinner unless you actively override it. These aren't huge obstacles, but they interrupt those compulsive checking habits that sneak up on all of us (Lanzing, 2024). Then there are AI-powered wellness dashboards. Imagine a tool that tells you exactly how you use your phone and even checks in on your mood. Suddenly, your smartphone can shift from being a digital ball and chain to something that actually helps you pay attention to yourself (Tan et al., 2026).

8. Limitations and Future Research

Of course, every study hits its limits, and this one's no exception. Our data rests on self-reported surveys, so there's always the risk people don't remember things exactly right. Plus, our sample came mostly from one university. That's not going to map neatly onto rural areas or places where tech habits and digital know-how look totally different. And since we only collected data at one point in time, we can see patterns, sure—but we can't prove what causes what. To really nail down the connections we think are there, we need to follow people over time.

Technology moves fast. Today's most popular apps can fade in a year, replaced by new platforms built to pull us in even deeper. So, the specifics we map out now might look outdated pretty quickly.

Looking ahead, there are a few big steps to take. First, we need nationwide studies—tracking how people's brains change with long-term tech use, and using things like fMRI and EEG scans with people from both cities and rural areas (Phase I). Next, it's time for cross-cultural work, to figure out what's unique in India and what's just a universal part of digital addiction (Phase II). Third, let's build and freely share clinical tools for diagnosing smartphone addiction, so real-time assessments are possible everywhere—not just in research labs (Phase III).

9. Conclusion

Let's be real: smartphones aren't going anywhere. Nor should they. In a huge, diverse country like India, digital connection is a lifeline. It brings education, medical advice, job leads, even civic engagement to millions who'd otherwise never get the chance. Asking people to give up their phones just isn't reasonable—or fair.

But the Digital Paradox is hitting hard. Those addictive dopamine loops on social media? They're not just "part of modern life"—they're built that way on purpose. The goal isn't your well-being; it's your attention, your engagement, your clicks. When that design pushes people toward anxiety, isolation, bad financial decisions—or worse—it stops being a minor headache. It becomes a full-on crisis.

Right now, India has an opportunity. With more people online every day, the decisions made—by policymakers, tech designers, teachers, and ordinary folks—all matter. They'll shape not just how we use technology, but how we connect, how we think, and how the next generation feels about itself. So the suggestions here aren't gloomy warnings. They're about regaining control, about

insisting that our devices work for us—not the other way around.

A phone is just a tool. We make it meaningful—or meaningless. It's up to us.

References

Arnaiz-Rodriguez, A., et al. (2025). Between Help and Harm: An Evaluation of Mental Health Crisis Handling by LLMs. https://doi.org/10.2196/88435

Bhatt, H., & Shah, Z. (2024). The Intersection of Cybercrime and Traditional Law Enforcement: Challenges in Modern India. Journal of Indian Legal & Social Research, 42(1), 15–32.

Blair, J., et al. (2022). Financial Technologies (FinTech) for Mental Health. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2022.810057

Bilalpur, M., et al. (2024). Learning to Generate Context-Sensitive Backchannel Smiles for Embodied AI Agents. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2402.08837v1

Chen, L., & Wang, T. (2025). Melatonin Suppression and Circadian Misalignment. Journal of Sleep Research, 34(2).

Chopra, R. (2025). The Persuasive Architecture of Social Media: Dopamine Loops and Habitual Checking. Quarterly Journal of Behavioral Technology, 14(1), 1–15.

Dasgupta, S. (2025). The Socioeconomic Impact of Romance Scams: Vulnerability and Policy Gaps in India's Cyber Law. International Review of Law and Technology, 10(2), 201–220.

Desai, M. (2025). The Cultural Implications of Digital Self-Presentation in India. Asian Journal of Psychology and Media, 10(3).

Goyal, A., & Das, N. (2025). Neurobiological Correlates of FOMO: A Systemic Review. Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience, 25(3), 500–518.

Gupta, R., & Sharma, P. (2024). Emotional Reactivity and Cognitive Control Deficits in Chronic Blue-Light Exposed Cohorts. Behavioral Neuroscience Review, 12(4), 45–62.

Haensch, A.-C. (2025). 'It Listens Better Than My Therapist': Exploring Social Media Discourse on LLMs as Mental Health Tool. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2504.12337v1

Harrigian, K., Aguirre, C., & Dredze, M. (2020). On the State of Social Media Data for Mental Health Research. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2011.05233v2

Jain, V. (2026). The Efficacy of Digital Detox Protocols in Reducing FOMO and Anxiety. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry and Behavioral Health, 88(5), 300–315.

Jiang, H., & Senge, E. (2020). Usable Security for ML Systems in Mental Health: A Framework. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2008.07738v1

Karthik, S., et al. (2026). Neuroimaging Correlates of Digital Obsession. International Journal of Psychophysiology, 89.

Kumar, S. (2026). Cyber-Challenge Induced Suicides: A Digital Forensic Review. International Journal of Digital Forensics, 18(2), 55–70.

Lanzing, M. (2024). Friction Design and Autonomy: Ethical Considerations for Digital Wellness. Ethics and Information Technology, 26(1).

Miranda, J. P. P., et al. (2026). Filipino Students' Willingness to Use AI for Mental Health Support. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-4222-1.ch015

Mohammed, H. (2023). Technology in Association With Mental Health: Meta-ethnography. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2307.10513v2

Müller, K. W., & Brand, M. (2024). Mirroring Addiction: Neural Activation in Substance Use and Digital Dependency. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 15.

Nakamura, A. (2025). EEG Mapping of Digital Withdrawal. Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology, 42(3).

O'Neil, D. (2026). Beyond Self-Reporting: Integrating Neurobiological Markers into Diagnostic Frameworks. Psychological Medicine Today, 7(2).

Patil, R., & Sharma, A. (2025). The Economic Burden of Digital Fraud. Journal of Cybercrime and Economic Policy, 5(1), 45–60.

Patel, V., & Singh, M. (2025). Neuroplasticity in the Hyper-Connected Age. Indian Journal of Psychological Research, 21(5).

Rao, L. (2026). Digital Literacy as National Security. Indian Public Policy Review, 12(2), 110–135.

Ranjana, A. (2025). Digital Consumption and Rising Theft Rates in Urban India. Indian Journal of Criminology & Public Administration, 56(3), 112–130.

Zimmerman, S. (2024). Neuro-marketing and Ethical Friction: How Developers Use and Misuse Dopamine Loops. Journal of Digital Ethics, 15(4), 300–320.

Zhu, Y. (2024). Sampling Strategies in Digital Sociology. Sociological Methods & Research, 53(3)