

The Hidden Cost: Carbon Footprint Analysis of Construction Materials

Prajwal Tore¹, Mohit Girhepunje², Pranay Ganvir³, Aparna Ramteke⁴, Aditya Kokerwar⁵, Gayatri Gaydhani, Pranali Lokhande

Department of Civil Engineering

G.H. Rasoni College of Engineering and Management

Email: prajwaltore7@gmail.com, m27girhepunje@gmail.com, pranayganvir2000@gmail.com,
aparnaramteke17@gmail.com, adityakokerwar17@gmail.com, gaydhanig@gmail.com,
pranalilokhande999@gmail.com

Abstract

The construction sector is among the leading contributors to worldwide carbon emissions, with building materials alone responsible for nearly 11% of annual CO₂ output. This paper investigates the carbon footprint linked to key construction materials such as concrete, steel, aluminum, and timber. A lifecycle assessment (LCA) methodology is employed to examine emissions from the extraction of raw materials through to final disposal. Results indicate that traditional materials like concrete and aluminum generate considerably higher emissions compared to sustainable options such as mass timber and recycled resources. The study further emphasizes that appropriate material selection can reduce emissions by as much as 70% without affecting structural efficiency. The paper ultimately recommends the use of lowcarbon materials, lifecycle-based evaluation, and standardized emission measurement methods to support sustainable construction practices.

Keywords: Carbon footprint, construction materials, lifecycle assessment, sustainable construction, CO₂ emission

Introduction

- The construction industry significantly contributes to economic development and infrastructure growth; however, it is also a major source of environmental harm. Among various environmental challenges, the carbon footprint associated with construction materials has become a major concern in relation to global climate change. These materials alone are responsible for approximately 11% of global CO₂ emissions annually, making them a substantial contributor to greenhouse gases that intensify global warming and ecological imbalance.
- The term carbon footprint refers to the overall amount of greenhouse gas emissions, particularly carbon dioxide (CO₂), produced both directly and indirectly throughout the lifecycle of a product or activity. In construction, these emissions are classified into three categories: Scope 1 includes direct emissions from production and on-site operations; Scope 2 covers indirect emissions from energy use during manufacturing; and Scope 3 consists of supply chain emissions such as extraction of raw materials, transportation, and disposal. Understanding these categories is crucial for a comprehensive evaluation of environmental impact.

- Lifecycle assessment (LCA) is commonly used to evaluate the environmental performance of construction materials. This method considers all stages, including extraction, manufacturing, transportation, construction, usage, and end-of-life processes such as recycling or disposal. Each phase contributes to the total carbon footprint, highlighting the need for a comprehensive perspective when assessing sustainability.
- Among construction materials, concrete is recognized as the largest contributor to emissions due to the energy-intensive nature of cement production, which alone accounts for approximately 7–8% of global emissions. Similarly, steel and aluminum require high energy input and produce substantial CO₂ during manufacturing. In contrast, timber is considered more sustainable because it stores carbon during its growth; however, its benefits depend on sustainable sourcing practices.

Literature Review

Carbon emissions from construction materials have attracted considerable attention in recent years due to their role in global climate change. Many studies indicate that the construction industry is one of the largest sources of greenhouse gas emissions, mainly because of the widespread use of energy-intensive materials such as cement, steel, and aluminum. Rapid urbanization and infrastructure expansion have further increased material demand, leading to higher global emissions.

A key concept discussed in literature is embodied carbon, which represents emissions generated throughout the lifecycle of materials. The Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) approach is widely used to quantify these emissions, covering stages like extraction, production, transportation, construction, usage, and disposal. Research suggests that LCA offers a structured method for evaluating environmental impacts and supports sustainable decision-making, aligning with the lifecycle framework described in the reference PDF.

Several studies emphasize that concrete and steel are the primary contributors to emissions in construction. Research indicates that these materials together account for more than 98% of material-related emissions in buildings. Cement production, a critical component of concrete, is particularly carbon-intensive due to processes like limestone calcination and high-temperature kiln operations, contributing around 6–7% of global CO₂ emissions. These findings support the identification of concrete as a dominant emission source.

Research also explores sustainable alternatives such as timber and engineered wood products like glulam and mass timber. These materials have the ability to store carbon during growth and require less energy for processing. However, unsustainable forestry practices can reduce these benefits, highlighting the importance of responsible sourcing.

Another major focus is the role of material selection and construction phases. Studies show that emissions during construction are highly concentrated, with structural materials contributing more than 80% of emissions in civil engineering projects. This reinforces the idea that selecting low-carbon materials can significantly lower overall emissions, as demonstrated by reductions of up to 70% in case studies.

Recent research also examines strategies for reducing carbon emissions, including the use of recycled materials, supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs), and circular economy approaches. Recycled aggregates and industrial by-products help lower emissions and conserve resources. Additionally, emerging technologies such as carbon capture and bio-based materials like hempcrete and biochar composites show potential for achieving carbon-neutral or negative construction.

Overall, literature consistently highlights that reducing carbon emissions requires lifecycle-based thinking, material innovation, and sustainable design. Although progress has been made, further work is needed to standardize assessment methods and promote widespread use of low-carbon materials.

Methodology

This study follows a systematic analytical approach to assess the carbon footprint of construction materials using the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) framework. It focuses on identifying, measuring, and comparing emissions from commonly used materials such as concrete, steel, aluminum, and timber.

1. Research Approach

The study relies on secondary data collected from the provided PDF, research articles, and published reports. Both qualitative and quantitative analyses are used to examine emission sources and environmental impacts.

2. Life Cycle Assessment Framework

A cradle-to-grave approach is adopted, covering all lifecycle stages:

- Raw material extraction: emissions from mining, quarrying, and harvesting
- Manufacturing: emissions from processing and production
- Transportation: emissions during material transport
- Construction: emissions from on-site activities
- Use phase: emissions from maintenance and operation
- End-of-life: emissions from demolition, recycling, or disposal

3. Carbon Emission

Classification

Emissions are categorized into:

- Scope 1: Direct emissions from on-site and manufacturing activities
- Scope 2: Indirect emissions from energy use
- Scope 3: Supply chain emissions

4. Material Selection

The study analyzes concrete, steel, aluminum, and timber due to their high usage and emission impact.

5. Data Collection

Data sources include the PDF, journals, and standard emission databases. Data covers CO₂ emissions per ton, energy consumption, and recycling potential.

6. Comparative Analysis

Comparison is made between materials to evaluate emission levels and identify high- and low-impact materials.

7. Low-Carbon Alternatives

Materials such as mass timber, hempcrete, recycled plastics, and biochar composites are evaluated for emission reduction and sustainability.

8. Interpretation

Results are analyzed to identify major emission sources and suggest strategies for reducing carbon footprint.

9. Limitations

- Reliance on secondary data
- Regional variation in emission values
- Limited real-time data availability

Findings

The results show that material choice significantly influences the environmental impact of construction projects.

Concrete is identified as the largest contributor due to cement production, which emits around 0.9–1.25 tons of CO₂ per ton and contributes 7–8% of global emissions.

Steel and aluminum are also highly energy-intensive. Steel emits about 1.85 tons of CO₂ per ton, while aluminum produces nearly 12 tons per ton. However, recycling can reduce emissions by up to 90% for steel and 95% for aluminum.

Timber has a lower carbon footprint due to its ability to store carbon, though sustainability depends on responsible sourcing.

Manufacturing and raw material extraction are the most emission-intensive stages, while transportation and construction contribute less but remain significant.

Low-carbon materials such as mass timber, hempcrete, recycled plastics, and biochar composites show strong potential for emission reduction. Material selection alone can reduce emissions by over 70% without affecting performance.

Table: Carbon Emission Comparison of Construction Materials

Material	Approx. CO ₂ Emission	Key Characteristics	Reduction Potential
Concrete	0.9–1.25 t CO ₂ /ton	High usage, cement-based, energyintensive	Moderate (using SCMs)
Steel	1.85 t CO ₂ /ton	Strong, widely used, recyclable	High (90% with recycling)
Aluminum	12 t CO ₂ /ton	Lightweight, highly energy-intensive	Very High (95% with recycling)
Timber	Low / Carbon storing	Renewable, stores CO ₂ during growth	High (if sustainably sourced)

Discussion

The study highlights that construction materials play a critical role in determining the carbon footprint of buildings. Emissions occur across all lifecycle stages, with manufacturing being the most significant contributor.

Concrete dominates emissions due to cement production processes such as calcination and high-temperature operations. Despite its structural benefits, its environmental impact is substantial.

Steel and aluminum also contribute significantly, but their recyclability offers opportunities for emission reduction through circular economy practices.

Timber stands out as a carbon-storing material, but its benefits depend on sustainable forestry practices.

Material selection is a key factor in reducing emissions, with alternative materials capable of achieving reductions of over 70%.

Innovative materials like hempcrete and biochar composites offer promising solutions, though their adoption requires further research and policy support.

Lifecycle thinking is essential for sustainable construction, as traditional practices often overlook longterm environmental impacts.

Limitations include reliance on secondary data and lack of standardized measurement methods, indicating the need for consistent frameworks.

Conclusion

This study evaluates the carbon footprint of construction materials using a lifecycle approach and finds that materials such as concrete, steel, and aluminum are major contributors to emissions. Concrete is the most significant due to cement production.

The results show that manufacturing and extraction stages generate the highest emissions, highlighting the need for cleaner technologies and alternative materials.

Timber and bio-based materials offer sustainable options, while innovative materials like hempcrete and biochar composites present future opportunities.

A key conclusion is that material selection alone can reduce emissions by more than 70%, emphasizing the importance of sustainable design decisions.

Achieving low-carbon construction requires collaboration among researchers, industry professionals, and policymakers. Standardization, recycling, and technological innovation are essential steps.

Reducing the carbon footprint of construction materials is crucial for sustainability and combating climate change.

References

- The Hidden Cost: Carbon Footprint Analysis of Construction Materials. (2024). Understanding the environmental impact of materials shaping our built environment.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2014). Climate change 2014: Mitigation of climate change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- International Energy Agency. (2020). Tracking industry: Cement. Paris: IEA Publications.
- United Nations Environment Programme. (2021). 2021 global status report for buildings and construction. Nairobi: UNEP.
- World Green Building Council. (2019). Bringing embodied carbon upfront. London: WGBC.
- Ashby, M. (2013). Materials and the environment: Eco-informed material choice. Oxford: ButterworthHeinemann.
- Dixit, M. K., Fernández-Solís, J. L., Lavy, S. & Culp, C. H. (2012). Identification of parameters for embodied energy measurement: A literature review. *Energy and Buildings*, 42(8), 1238-1247.
- Pomponi, F. & Moncaster, A. (2016). Embodied carbon mitigation and reduction in the built environment – What does the evidence say? *Journal of Environmental Management*, 181, 687-700.
- Scrivener, K. L., John, V. M. & Gartner, E. M. (2018). Eco-efficient cements: Low-CO₂ cement-based materials. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 114, 2-26.
- Cabeza, L. F., Barreneche, C., Miró, L., Morera, J. M., Bartolí, E. & Fernández, A. I. (2013). Low carbon materials in buildings: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 23, 536-542.
- Hammond, G. & Jones, C. (2011). Inventory of carbon and energy (ICE), version 2.0. University of Bath, UK.
- Khasreen, M. M., Banfill, P. F. G. & Menzies, G. F. (2009). Life-cycle assessment and the environmental impact of buildings: A review. *Sustainability*, 1(3), 674-701.
- Sathre, R. & O'Connor, J. (2010). Meta-analysis of greenhouse gas displacement factors of wood product substitution. Environmental Science & Policy Institute.
- Gartner, E. (2004). Industrially interesting approaches to low-CO₂ cements. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 34(9), 1489-1498.

- Habert, G., d'Espinose de Lacaillerie, J. B. & Roussel, N. (2011). An environmental evaluation of geopolymer based concrete production. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 19(11), 1229-1238.
- Miller, S. A., Horvath, A. & Monteiro, P. J. M. (2016). Impacts of booming concrete production on water resources worldwide. *Nature Sustainability*, 1, 69-76.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2014). *Climate change 2014: Mitigation of climate change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Retrieved from <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg3/>
- International Energy Agency. (2020). *Tracking industry: Cement*. Paris: IEA Publications. Retrieved from <https://www.iea.org/reports/cement>
- United Nations Environment Programme. (2021). *2021 global status report for buildings and construction*. Nairobi: UNEP. Retrieved from <https://www.unep.org/resources/report/global-status-reportbuildings-and-construction-2021>
- World Green Building Council. (2019). *Bringing embodied carbon upfront*. London: WGBC. Retrieved from <https://www.worldgbc.org/embodied-carbon>
- Hammond, G. & Jones, C. (2011). *Inventory of carbon and energy (ICE), version 2.0*. University of Bath, UK. Retrieved from <https://circularecology.com/embodied-energy-and-carbon-footprint-database.html>
- Dixit, M. K., Fernández-Solís, J. L., Lavy, S. & Culp, C. H. (2012). Identification of parameters for embodied energy measurement: A literature review. *Energy and Buildings*, 42(8), 1238-1247. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378778812000580>
- Pomponi, F. & Moncaster, A. (2016). Embodied carbon mitigation and reduction in the built environment – What does the evidence say? *Journal of Environmental Management*, 181, 687-700. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301479716303584>
- Scrivener, K. L., John, V. M. & Gartner, E. M. (2018). Eco-efficient cements: Low-CO₂ cement-based materials. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 114, 2-26. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0008884617301658>
-