The Impact of Employee Engagement on Organizational Performance

ISSN: 2582-3930

PRIYANKA VISHWAKARMA, Prof Sushma Budshra

Researcher, Department of Management, School of Business, Galgotias University

Guide, Department of Management, School of Business, Galgotias University

Abstract:

This research explores how employee engagement significantly contributes to organizational performance. Drawing upon primary data collected from diverse departments like HR, Finance, IT, and Marketing, the study identifies key drivers of engagement including recognition, motivation, work-life balance, and communication. The findings suggest that highly engaged employees not only exhibit increased productivity but also foster a positive workplace culture that aligns with the organizational vision and goals. The report concludes with recommendations aimed at enhancing engagement practices across corporate functions for improved performance and competitive advantage.

1. Introduction

In today's dynamic business environment, organizations are constantly striving to maintain a competitive edge. One critical yet often underemphasized factor that contributes to sustainable success is employee engagement. Employee engagement is more than just employee satisfaction or motivation—it encapsulates how emotionally and intellectually committed employees are toward the organization's mission and goals. The objective of this research is to investigate how employee engagement impacts organizational performance in terms of productivity, innovation, and workplace satisfaction.

2. Objectives of the Study

- To assess the current level of employee engagement across departments.
- To identify key factors influencing employee engagement.
- To evaluate the correlation between engagement and organizational performance.
- To provide actionable recommendations for enhancing employee engagement.

3. Research Methodology

This study employs a quantitative research design using survey data collected from 25 employees across various departments including HR, Finance, Marketing, IT, Sales, Operations, and Others. The structured questionnaire addressed multiple aspects of engagement, such as role satisfaction, motivation, communication, recognition, and work-life balance. The data was analyzed using basic statistical tools, and the results were visualized through bar charts and pie graphs to extract insights.

© 2025, IJSREM www.ijsrem.com DOI: 10.55041/IJSREM50468 Page 1



International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)

Volume: 09 Issue: 06 | June - 2025 SJIF Rating: 8.586 **ISSN: 2582-3930**

4. Data Analysis and Interpretation

4.1 Departmental Distribution

The HR department recorded the highest participation (48%), followed by Finance (40%). Departments like Sales and Operations showed lower engagement (4% and 8%, respectively).

4.2 Role Satisfaction

Most employees (36%) rated their role satisfaction at the highest level (5), indicating high alignment with their job responsibilities.

4.3 Motivation Levels

A large portion of the respondents (majority marked "Always") felt motivated to give their best at work, highlighting a positive internal work environment.

4.4 Goal Awareness

Understanding of organizational goals was moderate to high, with most employees rating this aspect between 3 and 4 on a 5-point scale.

4.5 Recognition & Value

Over 80% of employees either agreed or strongly agreed that they felt recognized, showing a strong connection to their work.

4.6 Tools & Resources

While most employees reported having access to necessary tools, a few highlighted a lack of adequate resources, particularly from HR and "Others" departments.

4.7 Feedback & Communication

Employees reported receiving weekly feedback, and communication across the organization was mostly rated as "Good" or "Excellent".

4.8 Work-Life Balance

Employees predominantly rated their work-life balance as "Good", though some rated it as only "Fair", suggesting room for improvement.

5. Findings

- Departments with high engagement showed better understanding of goals and higher satisfaction.
- Weekly feedback correlated with higher motivation and productivity.
- Recognition, career growth, and team collaboration were key motivators.
- Some departments still face challenges in resource availability and feedback regularity.

6. Limitations of the Study

- The sample size is limited to 25 participants, which may not represent the entire organization.
- Self-reported data might be influenced by individual bias or organizational culture.
- Cross-functional departmental representation was unequal, leading to potential skew in results.

© 2025, IJSREM | <u>www.ijsrem.com</u> DOI: 10.55041/IJSREM50468 | Page 2



International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)

Volume: 09 Issue: 06 | June - 2025 | SJIF Rating: 8.586 | **ISSN: 2582-3930**

• Time constraints limited deeper statistical analysis such as correlation coefficients or regression models.

7. Recommendations

- Strengthen Communication: Ensure transparency in organizational goals and regular updates across all departments.
- Regular Feedback Mechanism: Institutionalize a monthly or bi-weekly feedback cycle to maintain motivation.
- Resource Allocation: Address department-specific concerns regarding tools and resources for effective job performance.
- **Recognition Programs:** Introduce more visible and structured recognition frameworks.
- **Promote Work-Life Balance:** Offer flexible working hours or remote options to employees struggling with balance.

8. Conclusion

The study clearly demonstrates a strong link between employee engagement and organizational performance. Engaged employees are not only more productive and satisfied but also act as brand ambassadors for the organization. While the organization has taken positive steps in feedback and communication, there is still a need to focus on work-life balance and equitable resource distribution. Enhancing engagement through targeted initiatives can significantly uplift performance across all levels.

9. References

- Gallup. (2023). State of the Global Workplace Report.
- Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal*.
- Towers Watson. (2022). The ROI of Employee Engagement.
- Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*.
- SHRM. (2023). *Employee Engagement and Job Satisfaction Survey*.

© 2025, IJSREM | <u>www.ijsrem.com</u> DOI: 10.55041/IJSREM50468 | Page 3