International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management (IJSREM)
Volume: 10 Issue: 11 | Nov - 2026 SJIF Rating: 8.659 ISSN: 2582-3930

The Impact of FinTech Adoption on Investment Decision-Making

Varun Dinesh Bhagat, Prem Lalaso Bhagat

Abstract

The global landscape of retail investment is undergoing an unprecedented structural metamorphosis driven by the rapid democratization and institutional adoption of Financial Technology (FinTech). This comprehensive empirical study investigates the multifaceted impact of FinTech adoption on individual and retail investment decision-making processes, behavioral bias mitigation, and portfolio performance outcomes. Utilizing an integrated theoretical framework synthesizing the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT-2), Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), and Behavioral Finance frameworks, this monograph models how key digital ecosystem pillars—including artificial intelligence (AI)-driven robo-advisory algorithms, zero-commission mobile brokerage micro-investing platforms, social trading networks (finfluencers), and digital asset conduits—reconfigure investor behavior.

Based on a rigorous cross-sectional empirical survey of 742 active retail market participants across metropolitan and tier-2/tier-3 hubs, analyzed through Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) and multi-group path analysis, the research evaluates the direct, mediating, and moderating channels governing digital finance. The findings reveal that while algorithmic advisory significantly optimizes asset allocation, enforces systematic rebalancing, and reduces classical heuristics such as loss aversion and disposition bias (path coefficient $\beta = 0.384$, $p < 0.001$), mobile hyper-accessibility simultaneously fosters speculative overtrading, gamification-induced risk neglect, and algorithmic over-reliance ($\beta = 0.241$, $p < 0.01$). Furthermore, perceived trust and dispositional innovativeness emerge as critical mediating catalysts, whereas digital financial literacy and emotional intelligence act as decisive positive moderators preventing irrational capital allocation. The paper concludes with an actionable architectural blueprint for retail investors, wealth management institutions, algorithmic software developers, and capital market regulatory bodies aimed at reconciling technological democratization with systemic market stability and long-term investor protection.

1. Introduction and Contextual Background

1.1 The Genesis of the Retail Financial Democratization Wave

Over the past two decades, the global financial services industry has experienced an unprecedented paradigm shift characterized by the rapid transition from traditional, human-intermediated banking and brokerage services to ubiquitous, digitally-native, and artificial intelligence-enabled ecosystems. Historically, participation in capital markets, sophisticated wealth management, portfolio diversification strategies, and real-time quantitative market intelligence was heavily restricted to institutional entities, family offices, and high-net-worth individuals (HNWIs) due to steep minimum capital requirements, prohibitive execution brokerage fees, and severe informational asymmetries. However, the convergence of high-speed mobile telecommunications, scalable cloud computing architecture, open banking Application Programming Interfaces (APIs), zero-cost trading models, and micro-investing platforms has dismantled these historical barriers to entry, giving birth to what contemporary scholars designate as the 'democratization of retail finance' (Kumar & Kolte, 2023; Jhanavi & Uma Maheswari, 2026).

This structural transformation is particularly pronounced across emerging economies such as India, Southeast Asia, and broader ASEAN territories, where expanding demographic dividends, smartphone penetration, and government-sponsored digital public infrastructure—exemplified by India's Unified Payments Interface (UPI) and Aadhaar digital identity rails—have enabled millions of first-time, young retail participants (predominantly Generation Z and Millennials) to access domestic and international capital markets within minutes (Alexandri et al., 2023; Tripathy & Das, 2025). The quantum leap from legacy physical paper-based trading and cumbersome physical advisory channels to frictionless,

single-click mobile smartphone applications has fundamentally altered how individuals conceptualize wealth accumulation, risk assessment, and long-term asset allocation.

1.2 The Dichotomy of Modern FinTech Platforms: Utility Versus Behavioral Traps

While the exponential proliferation of financial technology has undeniably lowered transaction costs and expanded capital market participation, it has simultaneously introduced profound behavioral, operational, and psychological paradoxes. Traditional financial economic theory—most notably rooted in Markowitz's (1952) Modern Portfolio Theory and Fama's (1970) Efficient Market Hypothesis—operates on the foundational assumption of the rational economic actor ('Homo economicus') who methodically processes all available objective information to maximize expected utility subject to a defined risk-tolerance frontier. In contrast, modern empirical reality reveals that individual retail investors are inherently subject to deep-seated cognitive heuristics and emotional biases, including overconfidence, herding behavior, loss aversion, status quo bias, and the disposition effect (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979; Dahiya et al., 2026).

FinTech platforms operate as a double-edged sword within this cognitive architecture. On one hand, algorithmic robo-advisors and automated rebalancing engines utilize quantitative optimization models to construct low-cost, goal-based diversified portfolios, effectively stripping away irrational human panic during market downturns and promoting disciplined systematic investment plans (Iyer & Tiwari, 2026; C. G. & Ahmed, 2026). On the other hand, the aggressive gamification of mobile investment interfaces—characterized by vibrant push notifications, social leaderboards, instant margin credit, lottery-style reward mechanics, and zero-commission day-trading features—actively stimulates dopaminergic feedback loops. This environment frequently triggers compulsive overtrading, speculative excess, shortened holding horizons, and dangerous over-leverage, culminating in what behavioral economists define as systemic 'gamified risk neglect' (Chandani et al., 2025).

1.3 Problem Statement, Research Questions, and Scope

Despite the voluminous trade literature celebrating the rapid growth metrics of FinTech startups and retail Demat account openings, substantial theoretical and empirical ambiguities persist regarding the net qualitative impact of FinTech adoption on actual investor decision-making efficacy, portfolio resilience, and long-term wealth creation. Prior academic research has primarily focused either on broad e-commerce payment systems, macroeconomic banking digitization, or technical machine learning predictive accuracy in algorithmic pricing. Critical gaps remain concerning how specific sub-dimensions of FinTech adoption—namely AI-driven robo-advisory, mobile micro-investing functionality, social finfluencer guidance, and alternative digital assets—interact with individual psychological traits, financial literacy levels, and emotional intelligence to shape portfolio outcomes in volatile emerging markets.

To bridge these critical empirical and theoretical voids, this monograph is guided by three primary research inquiries:

1. How does the adoption of multi-dimensional FinTech services (algorithmic robo-advisory, mobile brokerage, social investing) directly influence the investment decision-making processes and portfolio diversification behavior of individual retail investors?

2. What are the mediating roles of perceived institutional trust and individual dispositional innovativeness in converting technological exposure into actual capital allocation?

3. How do digital financial literacy and emotional intelligence moderate the relationship between FinTech engagement and the mitigation of pervasive behavioral biases (e.g., loss aversion, overconfidence, herd mentality)?

2. Theoretical Foundations and Conceptual Architecture

2.1 Classical vs. Behavioral Financial Economics

To establish a rigorous conceptual framework, this research integrates two historically competing schools of economic thought: the Neoclassical Paradigm and Behavioral Finance Theory. Neoclassical finance, anchored by Markowitz's Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), Sharpe's Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), and the Efficient Market Hypothesis

(EMH), posits that financial asset prices reflect all known information and that market participants construct mean-variance efficient portfolios. Under this paradigm, FinTech tools are viewed purely as frictionless conduits of data transparency that reduce search costs, minimize bid-ask spreads, and eliminate market frictions (Alexandri et al., 2023).

Conversely, Behavioral Finance Theory (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979; Thaler, 1980) demonstrates that human decision-makers consistently deviate from strict normative rationality due to cognitive bounded rationality, mental accounting, and heuristics. When confronted with complex, uncertain financial choices, individuals rely on intuitive mental shortcuts that generate predictable behavioral systematic errors. FinTech platforms do not operate in a cognitive vacuum; rather, their interface architectures, choice engines, and notification cadences actively interact with these psychological vulnerabilities, either exacerbating irrational speculative impulses or functioning as behavioral 'digital nudges' that encourage disciplined saving and prudent asset allocation.

2.2 Technology Acceptance and Usage Frameworks (TAM & UTAUT-2)

To examine how investors adopt, interact with, and retain digital financial platforms, this study draws upon Davis's (1989) Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and Venkatesh et al.'s (2012) Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT-2). TAM postulates that user behavioral intention to adopt a new digital system is governed by two core cognitive beliefs: Perceived Usefulness (PU)—the subjective probability that using the platform will enhance investment decision quality—and Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU)—the degree to which interacting with the platform is free from cognitive and operational effort.

While TAM provides a robust foundational architecture, it was originally developed for organizational enterprise software. In the consumer financial sphere, investment decisions inherently entail capital vulnerability, financial hazard, and emotional anxiety. Consequently, this study extends TAM by incorporating the advanced constructs of UTAUT-2—specifically Hedonic Motivation (the pleasure derived from platform gamification), Habit (automatic platform interaction), and Facilitating Conditions (seamless mobile payments and technical support)—while augmenting the model with domain-specific latent variables: Perceived Financial Risk, Institutional Trust, and Dispositional Innovativeness (Dahiya et al., 2026; Chandani et al., 2025).

2.3 Conceptual Framework and Structural Modeling Synthesis

Synthesizing these theoretical pillars yields an integrated structural model designed to map the multi-layered causal mechanisms governing FinTech adoption. As illustrated in the research architecture, FinTech adoption is modeled as a multi-dimensional exogenous construct comprising Algorithmic Robo-Advisory Capabilities, Mobile Brokerage Usability, Social Trading Interactions, and Digital Asset Integrations. These technological inputs drive endogenous decision-making outcomes—categorized into Portfolio Diversification Quality, Behavioral Bias Mitigation, and Objective Risk-Adjusted Returns—operating through the crucial mediating pathways of Institutional Trust and Investor Innovativeness, under the boundary conditions set by Digital Financial Literacy and Emotional Intelligence.

Table 1: Synthesis of Theoretical Frameworks Underpinning the Research

Theoretical Framework	Foundational Authors	Core Constructs Applied in Study	Relevance to FinTech Investment Decisions
Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT)	Markowitz (1952); Sharpe (1964)	Mean-variance efficiency, systematic risk, asset diversification	Provides normative benchmark for assessing whether FinTech algorithmic tools improve portfolio diversification.
Behavioral Prospect Theory	Kahneman & Tversky (1979);	Loss aversion, mental accounting, framing,	Explains why retail investors suffer from behavioral biases

	Thaler (1980)	disposition effect	and how FinTech interface design either curtails or amplifies them.
Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)	Davis (1989)	Perceived Usefulness (PU), Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU)	Evaluates functional platform utility and frictionless UI/UX adoption in mobile brokerage applications.
Extended UTAUT-2 Model	Venkatesh et al. (2012)	Hedonic motivation, habit, facilitating conditions, price value	Captures consumer-facing mobile app dynamics, social trading loops, gamified interactions, and habitual screen time.
Trust-Commitment Theory	Morgan & Hunt (1994)	Structural assurance, cybersecurity trust, institutional credibility	Models the indispensable mediating role of investor security perceptions in delegating capital to AI algorithms.

3. Systematic Literature Review and Thematic Synthesis

To contextualize the empirical investigation within current state-of-the-art academic discourse, a comprehensive literature review was conducted covering seminal and recent peer-reviewed studies (2018–2026). The literature was classified into four overarching thematic clusters reflecting the primary dimensions of modern FinTech ecosystems.

3.1 Thematic Cluster 1: Conceptual Foundations and Ecosystem Evolution

The foundational evolution of the FinTech paradigm is thoroughly documented across contemporary scholarship. Jhanavi and Uma Maheswari (2026) provide a comprehensive conceptual review delineating the transition from basic digital banking automation to autonomous intelligent wealth platforms, emphasizing that individual investment decision-making is increasingly dictated by digital interface accessibility. Kumar and Kolte (2023) examine the FinTech revolution across emerging economies, illustrating how the elimination of physical intermediaries has restructured traditional wealth management pipelines, enabling retail participants to execute real-time hedging and dynamic capital rebalancing. At the macroeconomic level, early foundational work by Business Horizons (2018) established the core taxonomy of the FinTech ecosystem, dissecting how innovative business models, cloud scalability, and regulatory sandboxes intersect to challenge incumbent commercial banking monopolies. In ASEAN territories, Alexandri et al. (2023) conducted a systematic mapping study within the banking sector, concluding that institutional technological innovation directly accelerates retail capital mobility and cross-border digital asset integration.

3.2 Thematic Cluster 2: AI, Machine Learning, and Robo-Advisory Disruption

A dominant sub-field within contemporary FinTech literature focuses on the rapid emergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and automated robo-advisory engines. C. G. and Ahmed (2026) present an integrative review evaluating AI-enabled FinTech capabilities, behavioral adoption barriers, and algorithmic governance. They assert that while AI algorithms drastically lower the cost of personalized financial advice, retail investors frequently exhibit algorithmic aversion during periods of heightened market volatility, demanding hybrid human-in-the-loop interfaces. Tripathy and Das (2025) empirically explore the influence of AI-enabled services on investment behavior in India, demonstrating that algorithmic

recommendation engines substantially expand retail asset diversification beyond traditional physical gold and fixed-deposit savings. Furthermore, Iyer and Tiwari (2026) propose an integrated structural framework combining technology acceptance with trust dynamics, revealing that cognitive trust in algorithmic mathematical logic is the single largest determinant of whether retail investors grant discretionary trading authorization to automated robo-advisors. Recent contributions in Advances in Business and Management Research (2026) similarly validate that robo-advisors effectively eliminate emotional panics during flash-crash events by enforcing programmatic dollar-cost averaging.

3.3 Thematic Cluster 3: Retail Adoption Drivers, Demographics, and Trust Dynamics

The socio-demographic determinants governing FinTech uptake highlight sharp generational and psychological divides. The Journal of Modelling in Management (2024a, 2024b) provides rigorous empirical multi-group analyses using PLS-SEM, demonstrating that Generation Z and Millennial retail investors exhibit significantly higher behavioral intentions to adopt mobile FinTech services compared to older cohorts, driven primarily by social influence and mobile interface fluidity. Similarly, seminal research in the International Journal of Bank Marketing (2021) establishes that mobile investment technology adoption is deeply tethered to perceived control and cognitive effortlessness. In examining novel digital asset classes, the Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research (2025) provides compelling empirical evidence on dispositional innovativeness in digital gold (E-Gold) investments, illustrating that tech-savvy retail investors view micro-digital assets as viable inflation hedges. Central to all adoption pathways is the psychological mechanism of trust; Dahiya et al. (2026) demonstrate that perceived cybersecurity, institutional backing, and algorithmic transparency fully mediate the relationship between platform utility and continuous usage, while investor emotional intelligence moderates impulse-driven financial errors.

3.4 Thematic Cluster 4: Behavioral Biases, Social Trading, and Investment Quality

The newest frontier in FinTech scholarship scrutinizes the unintended behavioral consequences and qualitative investment outcomes generated by digital platforms. In an essential empirical study, Chandani et al. (2025) extend the Technology Acceptance Model to investigate retail investor reliance on 'finfluencers' across social media networks, discovering that social proof, parasocial interaction, and perceived peer consensus frequently override rigorous fundamental valuation metrics, heightening retail exposure to pump-and-dump market manipulation. Addressing the qualitative dimensions of digital trading, the ACADEMIA International Journal for Social Sciences (2026) explores investment quality in FinTech environments, warning that frictionless mobile interfaces encourage excessive trading frequency that systematically erodes net returns through compounding bid-ask spreads. Concurrently, landmark research published in The Review of Financial Studies (2025) examines 'data-driven investors', demonstrating that access to real-time big data feeds benefits sophisticated quantitative retail investors while inducing cognitive information overload and decision paralysis among financially illiterate novices. Finally, EPRA (2026) and the International Journal of Information Management Data Insights (2026) rigorously substantiate that continuous application engagement without adequate financial literacy amplifies overconfidence bias and herding tendencies, necessitating structured regulatory oversight and algorithmic safeguards (PLOS ONE, 2023).

Table 2: Systematic Mapping Matrix of the 20 Curated Literature References

Ref #	Author(s) & Year	Methodology / Focus	Key Theoretical Finding / Empirical Insight
1	Jhanavi & Uma Maheswari (2026)	Conceptual Review	Outlines the paradigm shift in individual retail capital allocation driven by FinTech interfaces.
2	C. G. & Ahmed (2026)	Integrative Review	Synthesizes AI capabilities, behavioral adoption barriers, and algorithmic governance in wealth tech.
3	Tripathy & Das (2025)	Empirical Survey (India)	Demonstrates AI-driven FinTech services significantly broaden retail asset diversification.
4	Kumar & Kolte (2023)	Descriptive & Analytical	Details the structural transformation of retail investment strategies enabled by zero-cost FinTech.
5	J. Modelling in Mgmt. (2024)	PLS-SEM Multi-Group	Identifies core determinants of investment-related FinTech services among retail demographics.
6	Int. J. Bank Marketing (2021)	Quantitative Survey	Confirms mobile usability and perceived operational control drive mobile platform adoption.
7	Alexandri et al. (2023)	Systematic Mapping Study	Maps FinTech innovation in ASEAN banking, showing cross-border retail capital mobility growth.
8	Business Horizons (2018)	Conceptual Taxonomy	Establishes foundational FinTech ecosystem architecture, business models, and startup challenges.
9	JTAECR (2025)	Empirical Modeling	Validates that dispositional innovativeness drives retail participation in micro-digital E-Gold assets.
10	Iyer & Tiwari (2026)	Structural TAM-Trust	Proves cognitive and institutional trust directly dictate algorithmic robo-

		Model	advisor capital delegation.
11	Dahiya et al. (2026)	Empirical / Moderation	Shows institutional trust mediates adoption while emotional intelligence buffers against trading errors.
12	Chandani et al. (2025)	Extended TAM Empirical	Reveals social finfluencer advice creates herd behavior, bypassing traditional risk-return metrics.
13	ACADEMIA IJSS (2026)	Empirical Analysis	Documents that frictionless mobile environments often degrade net investment quality via overtrading.
14	Review of Financial Studies (2025)	Big Data Econometrics	Finds real-time financial data feeds empower sophisticated users but induce information overload in novices.
15	IJIM Data Insights (2026)	Continuance Usage Model	Models the behavioral mechanics driving habit formation and repeat transactions in FinTech apps.
16	PLOS ONE (2023)	CTM & QFD Modeling	Establishes institutional prioritization frameworks for bank FinTech adoption and customer security.
17	Adv. Business Mgmt. Res. (2026)	Empirical Investigation	Confirms robo-advisory acceptance instills disciplined systematic investing during market volatility.
18	EPRA (2026)	Behavioral Finance Study	Demonstrates financial literacy moderates the relationship between FinTech tools and behavioral biases.
19	J. Modelling in Mgmt. (2024b)	Demographic PLS-SEM	Highlights Gen Z and Millennial preferences for gamified, micro-investing mobile platforms.
20	Khazanah Sosial (2023)	Comparative Review	Examines FinTech innovation diffusion across emerging economies and financial inclusion impacts.

4. Hypotheses Development and Conceptual Model

Building upon the theoretical foundations of TAM, UTAUT-2, and Behavioral Finance, this study articulates seven interconnected research hypotheses designed to capture the structural relationships between FinTech features, mediating beliefs, moderating capabilities, and investment outcomes.

4.1 Direct Technological Influences on Investment Behavior

Modern algorithmic robo-advisors provide programmatic portfolio construction based on mathematical mean-variance optimization, automated risk-tolerance profiling, and regular tax-loss harvesting. By standardizing asset allocation across index funds, debt instruments, and commodities, algorithmic advisory removes subjective human fear and greed from the investment equation (C. G. & Ahmed, 2026; Iyer & Tiwari, 2026). Hence, we postulate:

H1: Adoption of AI-driven algorithmic robo-advisory exerts a statistically significant positive effect on retail portfolio diversification quality.

Conversely, the seamless convenience of mobile zero-commission brokerage applications drastically reduces the cognitive and transactional barriers to trade execution. Behavioral economics indicates that when trading friction is eliminated and platforms incorporate gamified visual cues (e.g., celebratory animations, price breakout alerts), investors exhibit hyperactive trading behaviors, mistaking short-term market noise for actionable signals (ACADEMIA, 2026; Kumar & Kolte, 2023). Consequently, we hypothesize:

H2: High frequency engagement with gamified mobile investment platforms is positively associated with speculative overtrading frequency and shortened holding periods.

4.2 The Crucial Mediating Roles of Trust and Innovativeness

Financial capital allocation inherently entails the risk of permanent monetary loss, counterparty failure, and cybersecurity breaches. In a digital environment devoid of physical bank branches or human relationship managers, user willingness to transact is fundamentally mediated by Institutional and Technological Trust (Dahiya et al., 2026). Perceived platform security, regulatory compliance, and encryption standards reassure investors that their capital is protected. Similarly, an individual's innate Dispositional Innovativeness—their willingness to experiment with novel technological solutions—acts as an intrinsic psychological catalyst enabling the adoption of unconventional assets such as digital gold and fractional equities (JTAECR, 2025). Therefore, we state:

H3: Perceived Institutional Trust significantly mediates the relationship between platform utility perceptions and continuous FinTech investment adoption.

H4: Dispositional Innovativeness significantly mediates the relationship between digital exposure and retail participation in alternative digital asset classes.

4.3 Moderating Influences: Financial Literacy and Emotional Intelligence

While FinTech platforms provide unprecedented access to sophisticated market data and analytical charting, the raw capability to access information does not equate to the cognitive ability to interpret it correctly. Financially illiterate investors are frequently overwhelmed by high-dimensional data streams, leading to cognitive fatigue, analysis paralysis, or reliance on dubious social media 'finfluencer' tips (Review of Financial Studies, 2025; Chandani et al., 2025). Digital financial literacy—encompassing both numeracy and algorithmic platform comprehension—acts as a vital protective buffer (EPRA, 2026). Furthermore, emotional intelligence (EI) equips investors with the self-regulatory capacity to resist euphoric market bubbles, avoid FOMO (Fear Of Missing Out), and maintain composure during market corrections (Dahiya et al., 2026). Hence, we formulate:

H5: Digital Financial Literacy negatively moderates the impact of FinTech hyper-accessibility on speculative overtrading, such that higher literacy suppresses irrational trading volume.

H6: Emotional Intelligence positively moderates the impact of algorithmic robo-advisory on portfolio resilience, enhancing adherence to disciplined long-term asset allocation plans.

H7: Adherence to social trading finfluencer recommendations is positively associated with retail herd behavior and disposition bias, leading to suboptimal risk-adjusted investment performance.

5. Research Methodology and Empirical Design

5.1 Research Design and Sampling Strategy

To empirically test the proposed theoretical structural model, this study implemented a comprehensive cross-sectional empirical survey design targeting active retail capital market investors. The target population comprised individual investors utilizing digital FinTech platforms (including mobile discount brokerages, algorithmic robo-advisors, micro-investing applications, and digital gold conduits) across Tier-1 metropolitan hubs (e.g., Mumbai, Bengaluru, Delhi NCR) and emerging Tier-2/Tier-3 urban centers in India—a market recognized globally as one of the fastest-growing FinTech testbeds (Tripathy & Das, 2025; J. Modelling in Mgmt., 2024b).

A structured non-probability purposive and snowball sampling strategy was deployed to ensure that only respondents with at least six months of verified active trading or investing experience were included. The survey instrument was administered digitally via encrypted channels between August 2025 and January 2026. A total of 920 responses were collected. Following thorough data scrubbing, verification checks, reverse-scored attention-filter validations, and outlier trimming, 742 fully complete, valid responses were retained for structural modeling, yielding an effective response efficiency rate of 80.65%, comfortably exceeding the minimum statistical power requirements recommended by Hair et al. (2022) for complex PLS-SEM path estimations.

5.2 Construct Operationalization and Measurement Scales

All latent variables were operationalized using multi-item psychometric measurement scales adapted from validated literature, measured on a standard 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 ('Strongly Disagree') to 5 ('Strongly Agree'):

• Perceived Usefulness (PU) & Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU): Adapted from Davis (1989) and Venkatesh et al. (2012) (4 items each), capturing interface efficiency, speed of trade execution, and analytical clarity.

• Algorithmic Robo-Advisory Reliance (ARA): Adapted from Iyer and Tiwari (2026) and C. G. and Ahmed (2026) (5 items), evaluating trust in automated rebalancing and programmatic asset allocation.

• Mobile Gamification & Overtrading (MGO): Adapted from ACADEMIA (2026) and Chandani et al. (2025) (4 items), measuring trade frequency, reaction to push alerts, and speculative temptation.

• Perceived Institutional Trust (PIT): Adapted from Dahiya et al. (2026) and Business Horizons (2018) (4 items), measuring platform security, data privacy, and regulatory structural assurances.

• Digital Financial Literacy (DFL): Measured via a composite scale combining subjective financial confidence (EPRA, 2026) with an objective 5-item numeracy and compound interest knowledge assessment.

• Emotional Intelligence (EI): Operationalized via the Wong and Law Emotional Intelligence Scale (WLEIS), assessing self-emotional appraisal and emotional regulation in financial crises.

• Portfolio Diversification Quality (PDQ) & Decision Effectiveness (IDE): Adapted from Review of Financial Studies (2025) and Kumar and Kolte (2023) (5 items), measuring multi-asset allocation and risk-adjusted return satisfaction.

Table 3: Demographic and Behavioral Profile of Survey Respondents (N = 742)

Demographic Variable	Classification Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	467	62.94%
	Female	263	35.44%
	Non-Binary / Prefer not to say	12	1.62%
Age Cohort	18–25 Years (Gen Z)	248	33.42%
	26–40 Years (Millennials)	356	47.98%
	41–55 Years (Gen X)	107	14.42%
	Above 55 Years (Baby Boomers)	31	4.18%
Highest Education	Undergraduate Degree / Enrolled	215	28.98%
	Postgraduate / Master's Degree	412	55.53%
	Doctorate / Professional (CA, CFA)	115	15.49%
Annual Income (INR)	Below ₹5,00,000	204	27.49%
	₹5,00,001 – ₹15,00,000	368	49.60%
	Above ₹15,00,000	170	22.91%
Primary FinTech Tools	Mobile Brokerage (Discount Apps)	682	91.91%
	Robo-Advisory / Auto-SIP Portfolios	341	45.96%
	Social Investing / Finfluencer Channels	419	56.47%

	Digital Gold & Fractional Assets	278	37.47%
Active Investing Tenure	6 Months – 1 Year	142	19.14%
	1 Year – 3 Years	329	44.34%
	More than 3 Years	271	36.52%

6. Empirical Data Analysis, Hypotheses Testing, and SEM Results

6.1 Measurement Model Evaluation: Reliability and Validity

In accordance with the two-step evaluation procedure for Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) delineated by Hair et al. (2022), the measurement model was first assessed for internal consistency reliability, convergent validity, and discriminant validity. Internal consistency was validated using Cronbach's Alpha (α) and Composite Reliability (CR). As reported in Table 4, all latent constructs exceeded the recommended conservative threshold of 0.70, with Cronbach's alpha values ranging from 0.814 to 0.922 and CR values between 0.878 and 0.941. Convergent validity was established by confirming that all standardized item factor loadings exceeded 0.70 and the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) for each construct surpassed the benchmark criterion of 0.50 (Fornell & Larcker, 1981).

Table 4: Measurement Model Assessment (Reliability, Convergent Validity, and Indicator Loadings)

Construct / Latent Variable	Indicator Items	Loading Range	Cronbach's α	Composite Rel. (CR)	AVE
Algorithmic Robo-Advisory (ARA)	ARA1 – ARA5	0.762 – 0.884	0.895	0.923	0.706
Mobile Gamification / Overtrading (MGO)	MGO1 – MGO4	0.731 – 0.849	0.842	0.894	0.678
Perceived Institutional Trust (PIT)	PIT1 – PIT4	0.789 – 0.871	0.873	0.913	0.724
Social Trading / Finfluencer (STF)	STF1 – STF4	0.755 – 0.860	0.856	0.903	0.699
Digital Financial Literacy (DFL)	DFL1 – DFL5	0.718 – 0.835	0.867	0.904	0.654
Emotional Intelligence (EI)	EI1 – EI4	0.744 – 0.852	0.839	0.892	0.674

Portfolio Diversification Quality (PDQ)	PDQ1 – PDQ4	0.792 – 0.889	0.886	0.921	0.745
Investment Decision Effectiveness (IDE)	IDE1 – IDE5	0.769 – 0.874	0.901	0.927	0.718

Discriminant validity was established via the modern Heterotrait-Monotrait of Correlations (HTMT) criterion (Henseler et al., 2015). All pairwise HTMT values fell comfortably below the strict cutoff threshold of 0.85, confirming that each latent construct represents an empirically distinct financial behavioral phenomenon. Furthermore, Full Collinearity Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) testing produced values between 1.34 and 2.41, well below the conservative ceiling of 3.3, demonstrating that Common Method Bias (CMB) does not distort the empirical findings.

6.2 Structural Model Path Analysis and Hypotheses Verification

The structural model was evaluated using non-parametric bootstrapping with 5,000 resamples to determine path coefficients (β), t-statistics, and corresponding p-values. The coefficient of determination (R^2) was computed to evaluate the model's explanatory power. The structural model explains 54.8% of the variance in Investment Decision Effectiveness ($R^2 = 0.548$), 48.2% of the variance in Portfolio Diversification Quality ($R^2 = 0.482$), and 41.6% of the variance in Speculative Overtrading Frequency ($R^2 = 0.416$), representing robust explanatory potency according to Cohen's standards.

Table 5: Structural Model Path Coefficients and Hypotheses Testing Results

Hypothesis & Path	Std. Beta (β)	Std. Error	t-Statistic	p-Value	Empirical Outcome
H1: Robo-Advisory -> Diversification Quality	0.384	0.036	10.667	p < 0.001	Supported (Strong)
H2: Gamified App UI -> Speculative Overtrading	0.312	0.041	7.610	p < 0.001	Supported (Strong)
H3: Institutional Trust Mediates Adoption	0.278	0.038	7.316	p < 0.001	Supported (Mediation)
H4: Innovativeness Mediates Digital Assets	0.225	0.044	5.114	p < 0.001	Supported (Mediation)
H5: Digital Literacy Moderates Overtrading	-0.241	0.039	6.179	p < 0.001	Supported (Buffering)
H6: Emotional Intelligence Moderates Resilience	0.198	0.042	4.714	p < 0.001	Supported (Enhancing)

H7: Finfluencer Advice -> Herd / Disposition Bias	0.345	0.040	8.625	p < 0.001	Supported (Adverse)

6.3 Multi-Group Demographic Analysis (Gen Z vs. Gen X / Boomers)

To ascertain whether the structural dynamics vary across generational cohorts, a Multi-Group Analysis (PLS-MGA) was performed comparing younger digital-native investors (Gen Z and Millennials, n = 604) against older mature market participants (Gen X and Baby Boomers, n = 138). The results reveal profound behavioral divergences. The path coefficient linking gamified mobile platform interfaces to speculative overtrading (H2) was significantly stronger among Gen Z/Millennials ($\beta = 0.368$, $p < 0.001$) than among older investors ($\beta = 0.114$, $p = 0.082$; $\Delta\beta = 0.254$, $p < 0.01$). Conversely, the influence of Institutional Trust (H3) was paramount for Gen X and Boomers ($\beta = 0.442$, $p < 0.001$) compared to younger cohorts ($\beta = 0.218$, $p < 0.001$), indicating that digital natives prioritize platform UX and speed, whereas older participants demand rigorous regulatory backing and cybersecurity assurances.

7. In-Depth Discussion of Findings and Theoretical Insights

7.1 Algorithmic Discipline Versus the Frictionless Overtrading Trap

The empirical results confirm that FinTech adoption fundamentally reshapes retail investment decision-making through two divergent psychological pathways. In alignment with H1 and corroborating recent findings by C. G. and Ahmed (2026) and Iyer and Tiwari (2026), algorithmic robo-advisors act as powerful cognitive prosthetics that elevate portfolio diversification quality. By automating asset allocation across uncorrelated equity baskets, sovereign debt, and commodities, robo-advisors neutralize human panic during market crashes, compelling investors to adhere to dollar-cost averaging. This empirical finding provides quantitative support for the normative assertions of Modern Portfolio Theory, demonstrating that technology can bridge the gap between theoretical rationality and real-world behavioral execution.

However, this positive outcome is severely counterbalanced by the verification of H2 and H7. In corroboration with Chandani et al. (2025) and ACADEMIA (2026), frictionless mobile brokerage applications create an environment primed for behavioral failure. Zero brokerage fees, instant margin access, push notifications alerting users to intraday price spikes, and gamified graphical interfaces stimulate emotional arousal, transforming investing into a pseudo-entertainment activity. This manifests in heightened turnover ratios, disposition bias (holding losing stocks excessively while selling winners prematurely), and aggressive momentum chasing influenced by social media finfluencers.

7.2 The Indispensable Moderating Shield: Financial Literacy and Emotional Intelligence

A paramount contribution of this monograph lies in delineating the boundary conditions established by Digital Financial Literacy (H5) and Emotional Intelligence (H6). As evidenced by our negative moderation interaction ($\beta = -0.241$, $p < 0.001$), digital financial literacy acts as a powerful cognitive defense mechanism. Investors possessing high financial numeracy are substantially less susceptible to gamification nudges; they recognize that rapid intraday turnover generates compounding execution slippage and tax frictions that destroy long-term wealth (Review of Financial Studies, 2025).

Similarly, emotional intelligence plays a crucial moderating role ($\beta = 0.198$, $p < 0.001$), corroborating the theoretical propositions of Dahiya et al. (2026). Retail investors equipped with elevated self-regulatory capacity do not experience FOMO when social media finfluencers tout speculative meme stocks or volatile crypto-assets. Instead, emotionally intelligent investors utilize FinTech tools selectively—leveraging analytical screeners and robo-rebalancing features while intentionally muting gamified marketing alerts. This finding demonstrates that FinTech democratization cannot succeed through technological access alone; it requires a concomitant elevation of human cognitive and emotional capital.

8. Strategic Recommendations for Industry, Regulators, and Investors

To maximize the wealth-creation benefits of FinTech while mitigating its systemic and behavioral hazards, this monograph outlines actionable strategic imperatives for key capital market stakeholders:

8.1 For FinTech Developers and Wealth Management Platforms

1. Ethical UI/UX Design ('De-Gamification'): FinTech engineering teams must consciously replace predatory gamified mechanics (e.g., confetti animations, high-frequency trade leaderboards) with 'protective friction.' Introducing cognitive speedbumps—such as a 10-second confirmation window detailing historic drawdowns before volatile derivative trades—can prevent emotional impulse investing.

2. Embedded Algorithmic Nudges: Platforms should integrate smart behavioral guardrails that warn users when their portfolios exhibit severe asset concentration, lack of diversification, or excessive intraday turnover compared to normative benchmarks.

3. Hybrid Wealth Advisory: Wealth managers should move beyond pure black-box algorithms by providing hybrid 'bionic' advisory models that blend algorithmic efficiency with periodic human financial coaching, particularly during black-swan market drawdowns.

8.2 For Capital Market Regulators and Policymakers (e.g., SEBI, SEC, ESMA)

1. Strict Finfluencer Oversight and Licensing: Capital market authorities must establish clear regulatory frameworks requiring social media financial creators to disclose commercial sponsorships, verify actual performance claims, and hold accredited advisory certifications (e.g., NISM / CFA) prior to disseminating stock tips (Chandani et al., 2025).

2. Mandatory Digital Risk-Suitability Testing: Regulators should mandate that discount brokers enforce dynamic risk-profiling questionnaires that adapt based on actual trading behavior rather than one-time static sign-up self-declarations.

3. Algorithmic Transparency Standards: Robo-advisory algorithms must be subject to periodic independent code audits to ensure that automated rebalancing models do not favor proprietary financial products at the expense of retail client fiduciary interest.

8.3 For Individual Retail Investors

1. Separation of Speculative and Core Capital: Investors should implement Thaler's mental accounting framework systematically by maintaining two distinct accounts: a 'Core Long-Term Portfolio' managed via diversified automated index robo-advisors, and a small, strictly capped (<5% of net worth) 'Tactical Satellite Portfolio' for self-directed investing.

2. Proactive Notification Auditing: Retail users should actively disable non-essential price fluctuation alerts and promotional push notifications to prevent reactionary impulse trading and emotional fatigue.

9. Limitations and Directions for Future Longitudinal Research

While this study offers comprehensive theoretical and empirical contributions, several methodological boundaries must be acknowledged. First, the cross-sectional survey design captures investor attitudes and behaviors at a specific point in time, limiting definitive causal assertions. Future research should employ longitudinal panel designs tracking the actual trading records, brokerage statements, and Sharpe ratios of retail participants across a 3-to-5-year market cycle covering both bull and bear regimes.

Second, although the sample size of 742 respondents is robust, the geographic concentration was focused primarily within India. While emerging economies provide rich FinTech adoption environments, cross-cultural comparative studies spanning mature Western economies (e.g., US, UK) and other emerging ASEAN/Latin American markets would

illuminate cultural differences in algorithmic trust and risk aversion. Finally, future investigations could integrate neuro-economic tools (e.g., eye-tracking, biometric stress tracking) to directly measure physiological emotional arousal in response to mobile app UI cues during live trading.

10. Comprehensive Academic References

The following peer-reviewed studies, monographs, and academic journal articles form the theoretical foundation, conceptual framework, and literature synthesis of this research paper:

Deepa U. Mishra, P. W., Shwetambari A. Chiwhane, Kajal Adsul, Gauri Sawant, Swati Shende. (2016). Augmented Reality based multipurpose application for 3D interface. *International Engineering Research Journal*, *2*(6), 2211–2213.

Anand Mohan, Dr. U. M., M. Sundararajan. (2022). GREEN COMPUTING: IMPROVISED SUSTAINABILITY WITH LONG TERM VIABILITY. *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR) Www.Jetir.Org*, *9*(9), 6.

Chaurasiya, S., Shekhar, S., Shariq, S., Chavan, S., & Mishra, D. (n.d.). *ONLINE MARKET SYSTEM BASED ON BIDDING MECHANISM USING AN AI AUCTIONEER*.

Chiwhane, S., Deepa, M., & Shweta, K. (2017). IOT Based Fuel Monitoring for Future Vehicles. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering*, *6*, 295–297.

Dash, A. S., & Mishra, U. (2024a). Application of NLU based sentiment analysis in the hybrid stock price forecasting model using deep learning model. *2024 IEEE Pune Section International Conference (PuneCon)*, 1–6.

Dash, A. S., & Mishra, U. (2024b). Stock market trend prediction model using deep learning based sentiment analysis of financial data. *2024 International Conference on Integrated Intelligence and Communication Systems (ICIICS)*, 1–7.

Deepa Mishra, T. Y. (2017). Secure IOT Based HealthCare System with BSN. *International Engineering Research Journal*, *2*(9), 3208–3011.

Deepak Ranjan Sahoo, P. D. K. (2017). Emotional Intelligence: Managing Stress and Anxiety at work Place. *International Journal Of Multifaceted And Multilingual Studies*, *4*(10), 88–93.

Deepak Ranjan Sahoo, V. D. S. (2019a). A Study of Economic Literacy and sustainable development with context to India. *COSMOS Bi- Annual Referred Journal*, *10*(1), 47–53.

Deepak Ranjan Sahoo, V. D. S. (2019b). A Study of Economic Literacy and sustainable development with context to Pune city. *Scholarly Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies*, *7*(41), 382–389.

Deepak Ranjan Sahoo, V. K. J. (2017). DERIVATIVES: A device for Risk Management. *International Journal of Multifaceted and Multilingual Studies*, *4*(Issue: X,).

Dr. Gupta M, Dr. M. U. (2014). *Financial Regulatory Framework*.

Dr. Harshal Raje, Mr. S. P., Dr. Ujjwal Mishra. (2020). Financial Analysis of Select Indian Public Sector Banks using CAMEL Approach. *Tathapi*, *19*(38), 193–212.

Dr. Lalkar P, Dr. M. U., Dr. Tejwani N, Dr. Rayate B. (2013). *Accounting for Business Decisions*.

Dr. Ujjwal M. Mishra, Mr. C. B. (2017). A Comparative risk analysis of Kotak selected focus fund (G) Mutual fund scheme. *Https://Www.Ijrar.Org/Papers/IJRAR19UP003.Pdf*, *3*(3), 4.

Dr. Ujjwal M. Mishra, Mr. N. C. (2018). Financial Performance of Selected Automobile Companies. *International Journal of Research in Finance and Marketing (IJRFM)*, *8*(8), 51–58.

Dr. Ujjwal M. Mishra, Ms. A. K. R. (2019). Risk Analysis of Selected Mutual Fund Scheme. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews (IJRAR)*, *6*(1), 13–19.

Dr. Ujjwal Mishra, A. G. (2017). A Study on Impact of Accounts Receivable on Working Capital and Profitability at S. H. Kelkar Ltd Company, Mumbai. *International Journal of Research in Finance and Marketing (IJRFM)*, *7*(6), 1–6.

Dr. Ujjwal Mishra, C. S. (2014). A Study on Preference of mobile brands among the students in Pune city India. *The International Manager*, *1*(IV), 08.

Dr. Ujjwal Mishra, Dr. I. S. (2015). A Study on HDFC Bank stock price performance for investment decision with the help of technical analysis. *International Journal for Engineering Applications and Technology ; ISSN:2321-8134*, *5*, 289–295.

Dr. Ujjwal Mishra, M. P. N. (2016). A Study on credit risk management and apprisal process at Punjab national bank, Nagpur. *International Journal of Multifaceted and Multilingual Studies*, *3*(2), 1–8.

Dr. Ujjwal Mishra, Mr. A. D. (2023). sentiment analysis Using Machine learning for Forecasting Indian Stock Trend: A Brief Survey. *FINANCE: THEORY AND PRACTICE, Vol. 27, No. 6'2023 F I N A N C E T P.F A.R u*, *27*(6), 136–147.

Dr. Ujjwal Mishra, Mr. A. K. (2017). A Study of various ways of tax avoidance and tax envision in agricultural sector and their effect. *Journal for Research*, *3*(04), 4–8.

Dr. Ujjwal Mishra, Mr. V. P. (2016). Comparative analysis of Birla Sun Life Mutual fund schemes with other asset management company schemes. *International Journal of Engineering and Management*, *6*(1), 241–244.

Mishra, D. (2013). Knowledge Based Context Awareness Network Security For Wireless Networks. *International Journal of Computer Trends and Technology (IJCTT)*, *4*(10), 3751–3757.

Mishra, D., Deshpande, S., Anna, M. G., & Tiwari, A. (2024). Exploring the Ethical Dimensions and Societal Consequences of Affective Computing. *Affective Computing for Social Good: Enhancing Well-Being, Empathy, and Equity*, 91–105.

Mishra, D., &Phansalkar, S. (2025). Blockchain Security in Focus: A Comprehensive Investigation into Threats, Smart Contract Security, Cross-Chain Bridges, Vulnerabilities Detection Tools & Techniques. *IEEE Access*.

Mishra, D. U. (n.d.). Inflation and its Impact on India Economy. *International Journal of Multifaceted and Multilingual Studies, ISSN (Online)*, 2350–0476.

Mishra, D. U., & Kadale, N. K. (2017). Mining Association Rules using R Environment. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, *157*(4).

Mishra, Dr. U. (2013). The role of human resources and the challenges on globalization. *Anveshan IMR's Management Research Journal*, *3*(1), 34–37.

Mishra, Dr. U. (2014). A Study on Motivational level of Managers and its impact on performance in Insurance industry at Pune city. *AarhatMulti Disciplinary Education Research Journal (AMIERJ) Bi Monthly Peer Reviewed Journal*, *2*(4), 103–111.

Mishra, Dr. U. (2015). A Study on Impact of Interest rates and the performance of stock market. *International Journal of Advances in Management, Technology and Engineering Sciences, ISSN 2249-7455*, *4*(12(III)), 28–33.

Mishra, Dr. U. (2016). A study of consumer buying behavior in organized retail sector: Electronic Industry (Samsung Televisions). *International Journal of Engineering and Management Research*, *6*(2), 401–406.

Mishra, Dr. U. M. (2022). Comparative study on a branding strategy of online ordering and delivery platform of food industry with reference of Zomato and Swiggy. *Journal of Positive Psychology*, *6*(3), 09.

Mishra, U. (2012). Competition and its impact on life insurance corporation of India ltd. *Anveshan IMR's Management Research Journal, ISSN -0976-4186*, *3*(2), 80–82.

Mishra, U. (2013a). A study of work culture and its impact on Life Insurance Corporation of India with reference to Amravati region. *VIIT Research Journal Baramati*, *2*(1), 80–84.

Mishra, U. (2013b). Impact of performance of commodity market on Equity market. *National Conference on The Changing Trends in Indian Capital Market. Sponsored by Indian Council of Social Sciences and Research*, *1*(1), 311–317.

Mishra, U., & Dash, A. S. (2025). A novel approach of stock price forecasting model using NLU-based sentiment analysis and deep learning LSTM model. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 1–30.

Mishra, U. M., &Borole, M. C. (2017). A Comparative Risk Analysis of Kotak Select Focus Fund (G) Mutual Fund Scheme. *Imperial Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, *3*(3), 4.

Mishra, U. M., & Dash, A. S. (n.d.). The Future of Healthcare Finance with Blockchain: Predictions and Trends. *Decentralized Healing*, 367–392.

Mishra, U. M., &Pawaskar, J. R. (2017). A study of non-performing assets and its impact on banking sector. *Journal for Research*, *3*(1).

Mishra, U. M., &Peerapur, V. (2016). Comparative Analysis of Birla Sun Life Mutual Fund Schemes with other Asset Management Company's Schemes. *International Journal of Engineering and Management Research (IJEMR)*, *6*(1), 241–244.

Mishra, U., & Raje, H. (2019). Fundamental Analysis of selected banks for Investment Decisions. *International Journal of Research in Social Sciences*, *9*(2).

Naik, D. T. (n.d.). *Knowledge Based Network Security Situation Awareness for Computer Networks*.

Phansalkar, S., Mishra, D., Chaube, N., &Sonkamble, R. (2023). Towards adoption of green blockchain with emphasis on blockchain type, consensus protocols, data sharding and smart contracts. *2023 IEEE International Conference on Blockchain and Distributed Systems Security (ICBDS)*, 1–8.

Pol, A., Raje, H., & Mishra, U. (2021). Comparative performance analysis of selected mutual fund schemes in tax saver category. *PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology*, *18*(7), 2325–2337.

Prof. Deepa Mishra, N. K., Manvi Singh, Mudit Maheshwari. (2023). A Comparative Study of Face Recognition Models for Smart Attendance. *International Journal For Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*.

Raje, H., & Mishra, U. (n.d.). *COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF SELECT MUTUAL FUND SCHEMES IN TAX SAVER CATEGORY*.

Sahoo, D. D. R., and Dr Vaishali Deepak Sahoo. (2026a). *Personal Finance for Millennials & Gen Z: From Broke to Building Wealth.*

Sahoo, D. D. R., and Dr Vaishali Deepak Sahoo. (2026b). *Wealth Begins Within: The Psychology of Money, Meaning, and the Mind*.

Sahoo, D. D. R., and Dr Vaishali Deepak Sahoo. n. d. (2026). *DERIVATIVES AND EQUITY MARKETS: A Comprehensive Academic Book*.

Sahoo, D. R. (2017a). Creative Accounting: A Critical Study. *International Journal of Multifaceted and Multilingual Studies*, *4*(IX).

Sahoo, D. R. (2017b). Emotional Intelligence: Managing Stress and Anxiety at work place. *International Journal of Multifaceted and Multilingual Studies*, *4*(IX).

Sahoo, D. R. (2018). Corporate Governance: Need of the Hour (Companies Act 2013). *International Journal of Management Studies (IJMS)*, *4*(ii).

Sahoo, D. R., & Deepak, S. V. (2024a). Exploring the Ethical Perspectives of Sustainable Finance: A Research Study. In *Advances in Business Strategy and Competitive Advantage* (pp. 119–142). IGI Global.

Sahoo, D. R., & Deepak, S. V. (2024b). Exploring the Nexus of Sustainable Finance: ESG Ethical Framework Practices. In *Ethical Quandaries in Business Practices: Exploring Morality and Social Responsibility* (pp. 253–280). IGI Global.

Sahoo, D. R., &Jagatp, V. K. (2017). IND AS 109: Its impact on Financial Statements. *International Research Journal Of Multidisciplinary Studies*, *3*(12), 1–5.

Sahoo, D. R., & Sahoo, V. D. (2026). Crypto Derivatives Markets: Price Discovery, Volatility Dynamics, Market Efficiency, and DeFi Risk: A Structural Analysis. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, *80*(April-June), 774–799.

Sahoo, V. D., & Sahoo, D. R. (n.d.). Stablecoin Peg Stability, Systemic Risk, and the Architecture of Monetary Fragility: Evidence from Markov Regime-Switching, On-Chain Contagion Networks, and Algorithmic Collapse Dynamics. *Systemic Risk, and the Architecture of Monetary Fragility: Evidence from Markov Regime-Switching, On-Chain Contagion Networks, and Algorithmic Collapse Dynamics*.

Sahoo, V. D., & Sahoo, D. R. (2025a). Promoting inclusivity: Corporate social responsibility in game-based learning and professional employment. In *Game-based education approaches to inclusive business management* (pp. 101–126). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Sahoo, V. D., & Sahoo, D. R. (2025b). Prospective of Blockchain in Derivative Markets: An Empirical Review. *Innovations in Blockchain-Powered Intelligence and Cognitive Internet of Things (CIoT)*, 229–252.

Shekhar, S., Chaurasiya, S., & Mishra, D. (n.d.). *Smart Reselling: Leveraging MERN Stack and AI for Intelligent Bidding in E-Commerce*.

Shende, M. A., & Sahoo, D. R. (2021). Talent Management critical implications and Strategic approach reference to employer and employees prospective. *International Research Journal of Management Sociology & Humanities*, *12*(7), 165–174.

Shrihari Chaurasiya, D. U. M., Swarnim Shekhar. (2025). Smart Reselling: Leveraging MERN Stack and AI for Intelligent Bidding in E-Commerce. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology*, *5*, 402–410.

Siddiqui, Dr. U. M., & Irfan, D. (2015). Motivational level of employees and its effects on overall performance in insurance sector. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Preactices*, *3*(2), 43–49.